Shock and awe: Loudness and unpredictability in Twitter messages and crowdfunding campaign success

Marcus T. Wolfe, Pankaj C. Patel, Andrew S. Manikas

Abstract

Although recent evidence has found support for the importance of social media in communicating specific messages between venture founders and their target audience, there remains a relative paucity of research regarding how specific temporal elements of social media activity are related to key venture development outcomes. In this context, the current study draws on the conceptual framework of shock advertising to understand how louder (i.e., an increasing number of tweets) and unpredictable (i.e., tweets at non-standard times) Twitter activity can improve the odds of crowdfunding success. Using a sample of Kickstarter projects between 2009 and 2018 and cross-sectional regressions (ordinary least squares and the logit model), we find that the odds of meeting goals increase 1.24 times with each percentage increase in tweets, relative to tweets in the project-category-year. The odds of success increase further with an increase in the unpredictability and number of project-category-year adjusted tweets. In an era when standing out in the social media is increasingly challenging, our findings have implications for managing social media messages during crowdfunding campaigns.

Introduction

Crowdfunding has gained attention as a means used by entrepreneurs to secure financial resources. The crowdfunding process involves soliciting a large number of interested backers to invest small sums of funds for a project in exchange of a non-financial reward (Davis, Himieleski, Webb & Coombs, 2017; Mollick, 2014). Owing to its rapidly rising popularity, there has been an increasing research focus on the factors influencing the outcomes of the crowdfunding process (Fischer & Reuber, 2014). In addition to the structural elements of a crowdfunding project, the recent research has provided further understanding of the subjective basis of crowdfunding performance. Specifically, it has indicated that crowdfunding success can be influenced by the content of crowdfunding campaigns, such as the use of narcissistic language (A. H. Anglin et al., 2018) or the emphasis of concern in crowdfunding appeals (Allison, McKenny & Short, 2013). Although recent efforts at examining the crowdfunding process have focused on how specific narrative elements contained within the campaigns might influence funding performance (A. H. Anglin et al., 2018; Parhankangas & Renko, 2017), there are other external factors that, when used in tandem with crowdfunding campaigns, can increase campaign success.

In this context, it must be noted that the social media has become an important mode of communication and influence for crowdfunding campaigns (Aragon, Kappler, Kaltenbrunner, Laniado & Volkovich, 2013; LaMarre & Suzuki-Lambrecht, 2013). Studies show that early promotion of crowdfunding products or services on social media positively influence the crowdfunding performance (Lu, Xie, Kong & Yu, 2014). Since one of the most important campaign outcomes of crowdfunding is the number of backers, it stands to reason that campaign founders with a larger number of social media followers have a higher likelihood of achieving campaign success (Moisseyev, 2013). Given that social media activity is one of the ways through which founders can garner the attention of a large number of potential backers, which is key to campaign success, campaign founders who are more active on social media platforms are more likely to experience better performance (Nevin et al., 2017). However, social media is becoming an increasingly noisy communication channel, driving individuals to "shout above the noise" to be heard by their intended audience (El Abaddi et al., 2011; Lewis, 2013). In this regard, while evidence indicates that specific aspects of the message content contained within crowdfunding campaigns can serve to moderate the relationship formed between founders and potential investors,
being marketed (Parry, Jones, Stern & Robinson, 2013), evidence suggests that such content can provide other ancillary benefits. For instance, recent research indicates that viral ads with certain forms of shocking content can increase the audience involvement, product and brand information retention, the likelihood of members sharing product information, and overall advertisement likeability (Brown, Bhadury & Pope, 2010). Building on this notion, we argue that an unpredictable social media activity can serve a similar function in terms of its influence on the relationship between social media and crowdfunding performance. Specifically, our findings suggest that the positive relationship between the performance of a crowdfunding project and its founders’ social media activity is enhanced when the founders act louder and more unpredictable than the other participants in their project-category. It is possible that by being louder and more unpredictable in regard to the timing of their social media activity, entrepreneurs can effectively find a way to rise above the noise normally associated with social media. This can contribute toward increased audience involvement, and thereby improve crowdfunding performance.

Our findings make the following valuable contributions. First, we extend existing research on the relationship between social media activity and crowdfunding. By demonstrating that increased Twitter activity is positively associated with multiple important crowdfunding outcomes (i.e., funds raised, number of backers, fundraising goal met, etc.), we support recent findings that founders who are more active on social media platforms have a better chance of conducting successful crowdfunding campaigns (Hong, Hu & Burtch, 2015; Nevin et al., 2017). Second, by applying the concepts of shock advertising to crowdfunding, we extend our understanding of how specific forms of social media usage might further moderate the relationship between general social media activity and crowdfunding performance. By paralleling the similarities between unpredictable social media activity and shock advertising, we present some plausible rationale behind the role of unpredictable social media activity in enhancing the relationship between social media activity and crowdfunding performance. Finally, we complement the growing interest in how specific narrative elements contained within crowdfunding campaigns can influence its performance. We also reinforce the need to consider factors external to the campaigns when analyzing the relative appeal and success of a given crowdfunding campaign.

In the next section, we present a literature review and hypotheses. Subsequently, we describe the data, measurements, and results. Finally, we discuss the theoretical and practical implications of our findings, followed by the limitations and potential areas of future research. We conclude with the main inferences of our study.

**Literature review**

The growing popularity of crowdfunding as a means of securing the financial resources necessary to start a new venture has attracted scholarly attention, with studies mainly focusing on the factors influencing the outcomes of the crowdfunding process (Short, Ketchen, McKenny, Allison & Ireland, 2017). In this context, studies have emphasized how specific narrative elements contained within the campaigns can more effectively persuade potential investors to contribute to a given campaign (A. H. Anglin et al., 2018; Anglin, Allison, McKenny & Busenitz, 2014). While this stream of research has given several valuable insights into the crowdfunding process, there is a need to conduct further research to better understand all the factors influencing the crowdfunding process.

**Social media loudness and crowdfunding performance**

The social media activity of campaign founders plays a crucial role in crowdfunding. Recent evidence indicates that social media activity such as increased Twitter posts can positively influence
crowdfunding success (Kaur & Gera, 2017; Nevin et al., 2017). For example, the number of social media followers is positively related to overall crowdfunding performance (Borst et al., 2018). Particularly, social media activity can be particularly beneficial for the early promotion of successful crowdfunding campaigns (Lu et al., 2014). Recent findings also indicate that social media can motivate potential investor activity (Blankespoor, 2018; Elliott, Grant & Hodge, 2018). In fact, the strategic use of social media can play a critical role in influencing investor decisions (Piñeiro–Chousa, López-Cabarcos, Pérez-Pico & Vízcalino-González, 2020) and crowdfunding performance (Datta, Sahaym & Brooks, 2019).

Although the literature has established the general relationship between social media activity (i.e., tweets) and crowdfunding performance, studies have paid little attention to how changes in social media activity during a crowdfunding campaign can differentially influence crowdfunding performance. This is a salient topic of interest, given that social media activity is one of the primary forms of communication, marketing, and advertising that individuals can employ in a controlled and affordable manner (Marwick & Boyd, 2011) to seize financial opportunities (Pegoraro & Jinnah, 2012). While the generally espoused recommendation is to increase the number of tweets, given the ever-increasing noise present within the social media platforms (Lewis, 2013), we argue that the key to crowdfunding success is a more nuanced and strategic approach toward the temporal aspect of when to employ social media. In this regard, social media loudness—or the extent to which individuals tweet relative to similar others—and unpredictability—the relative consistency and regularity of social media activity—can be important considerations in managing the crowdfunding campaign messages.

There is a lack of research on how increased Twitter usage (i.e., “tweeting”) can influence crowdfunding performance. However, if we view social media activity as a form of marketing and advertising (Dwivedi, Kapoor & Chen, 2015; Knoll, 2016), then we can draw on the considerable body of research on the effectiveness of advertising frequency to better understand the potential relationship between increased tweeting and crowdfunding performance. The field of advertising has long espoused the notion that the persuasiveness of a communication is positively influenced by exposure frequency (Cacioppo & Petty, 1980), and advertising frequency has been shown to benefit advertisement recall and effectiveness (Schmidt & Eisend, 2015). Evidence has also indicated that advertising frequency can be particularly useful in increasing the effectiveness of online advertising efforts (Broussard, 2000). Given that crowdfunding founders’ tweets function as advertisements used to build individual brands (Marwick & Boyd, 2011), it stands to reason that if campaign founders increase the frequency of their tweeting throughout the campaign, they can improve their campaign performance. Hence, we offer the following hypothesis:

**Hypothesis 1:** An increase in Twitter activity (i.e., social media loudness), adjusted for project category and year, is positively related to the likelihood that crowdfunding campaigns will (a) meet funding goals, (b) increase the amount of funds raised, and (c) increase the number of backers.

**Moderating influence of unpredictability**

While an increase in the overall social media activity, relative to similar other activities, can play an important role in crowdfunding performance, it would be important to consider other aspects in regard to how social media activity can influence key crowdfunding outcomes. Specifically, an increase in the unpredictability and loudness of founders’ social media activity can further attract audience’s attention and interest. In this context, drawing on the research on advertising effectiveness can provide insights into the usefulness of unpredictability. For certain cases, it would be beneficial to consider the recent research on “shockvertising.” Essentially, the unexpected nature of shocking advertisements can help in drawing audience’s attention toward the advertised product or cause (Parry et al., 2013; Skorupa, 2014). The studies have shown that shocking viral advertisements increase audience involvement, the retention of brand information, the likelihood that members will share the advertisement’s message, and advertisement likeability (Brown et al., 2010). Building on this perspective, it can be argued that an unpredictable increase in social media activity can particularly impact crowdfunding performance. While the unpredictability of social media activity does not necessarily defy audience’s preferences and beliefs, by its very nature, it may violate potential investors’ expectations in regard to the established behavior patterns of campaign founders.

We can consider the political success of the former US President Donald Trump as an example of the effectiveness of unpredictability and loudness. Throughout his campaign and subsequent time as a President, Trump routinely employed unpredictability as a key social media messaging strategy (Bentley & David, 2021). This unpredictability has been most evident in Trump’s tweets; he had a penchant for using Twitter as a primary means of communication. Trump’s social media strategy included producing an unprecedented volume of tweets at unpredictable timings. Trump would routinely issue tweets at off-hour times, either early in the morning before the news cycle or late at night after the prime communication hours between the mainstream news outlets and the general public. This garnered him increased media coverage and substantially magnified the ramifications of his tweets (O’Rourke, 2017; Wells et al., 2020). As a result of tweeting at unexpected times, Trump’s tweets often rose above the noise associated with social media, and thereby exerted a significant impact in terms of communication and persuasion (Wells et al., 2020). Applying this logic to the crowdfunding context, it can be stated that an increase in social media loudness coupled with an unpredictable schedule of tweets can enhance the likelihood that messages contained within tweets are observed and understood, resulting in better campaign performance. Hence, we offer the following hypothesis:

**Hypothesis 2:** The relationship between an increase in Twitter activity, adjusted for project category and year, and the likelihood that crowdfunding campaigns will meet their funding goals will be moderated by the relative unpredictability of Twitter activity such that higher levels of unpredictability will enhance the positive relationship between social media activity and (a) funding goals, (b) the amount of funds raised, and (c) number of backers.

**Research method**

**Sample**

We use three datasets to test our proposed relationships. First, we employ a third-party service (WebDataGuru) to collect data on Kickstarter projects launched between May 31, 2009 and December 12, 2018. The inclusion criteria of the projects are as follows: the pledged campaign amount, number of backers, whether the campaign included images and/or videos, the campaign id, campaign URL, campaign title, campaign category, campaign goal amount, and a segment of text on project description. We collect the second dataset from the Web Robots (Web Robot, 2019; retrieved January 5, 2019). This dataset aimed at supplementing that derived from the WebDataGuru, as it provides additional details on the campaign date creation, country, URL webpage, currency, whether it was a staff pick (yes/no), and the current state of the campaign (i.e., successful, failed, suspended, canceled, or live). The initial data comprised 297,795 campaigns; we had 126,828 campaigns that matched with the campaigns in the Web Robots dataset. We include campaigns that were either fully funded (successful) or not fully funded (failed). Hence, our final dataset comprised 120,657 campaigns. In an attempt to control for the founder’s sex, using Kickstarter_id on the campaign page, we distinguish the
founder’s sex— an approach that has been utilized to construct controls for sex (Geiger & Oranburg, 2018). However, owing to the peculiarities in the Kickstarter id mnemonics, these values often do not represent the campaign founders’ full names. Hence, we could not record the founder’s sex in all cases. This scenario can lead to the errors of omission in the case where values for gender are missing and to the errors of commission when the founder’s gender is misclassified.

We take the third dataset from the Twitter application programming interface. We scrape tweets for each Kickstarter project by searching for the word “Kickstarter” and the project name, in line with Hong, Hu and Burch (2018a). We further exclude projects without Twitter activity. It must be noted that self-selection into Twitter activity is an important empirical point, and we lack a clear instrument explaining this self-selection. However, to overcome survivor bias to a certain extent, among those tweeting about their crowdfunding campaign, we control for all the available project data and the range of project-related dummies. In our final sample, we did not include the data of projects that had less than five tweets. Owing to constraints pertaining to the operationalization of the unpredictability measure, we did not include any project that tweeted on less than 3 distinct days. After the case-wise deletion, our final sample, depending on the outcome variable, comprises 7946 to 7980 campaigns from 2009 to 2018.

Measures

**Dependent variable — met the goal.** Our key dependent variable is whether the campaign met the funding goal (1=yes; 0=no). Our additional outcome variables are the log of funded amount (controlling for the log of goal amount) and the log of the number of backers.

**Category-adjusted change in the log of tweets.** We use the log of tweets associated with the project adjusted for category-year. In other words, we take the log of mean tweets for the category-year combination and subtract it from the log of tweets associated with the project. It is expressed as follows:

\[
\text{Category} - \text{year adjusted log of tweets} = \ln(1 + \text{Tweets}_{ic}) - \ln(1 + \text{Tweets}_{ct})
\]

where Tweets\(_{ic}\) is the total number of tweets for the focal project \(i\) in category \(c\). Tweets\(_{ct}\) is the average of tweets in category \(c\) during year \(t\). In cases where a project straddles 2 years (e.g., from December 2015 to January 2016), we use the average of tweets in a category during the year of launch.

**Category-adjusted tweet unpredictability.** Wholey and Brittain (1989:869) define predictability as “the degree to which the future can be anticipated solely on the basis of knowledge of the past.” We use their method of computing the predictability of the number of tweets daily. The expression is as follows:

\[
\text{Tweets}_{id} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \log day + \epsilon
\]

where Tweets\(_{id}\) is the number of tweets on project \(i\) on day \(d\). day\(_i\) is the \(i^{th}\) day from the start of the project when there was at least one tweet. In other words, we regress future daily tweets on past daily tweets. Predictability is the R-squared \((R^2)\) in the above regression of how well the number of future tweets can be predicted from past tweets. To calculate unpredictability, we subtract predictability from one. To better assess the tweeting unpredictability of a particular project, we wish to compare its unpredictability with other projects’ unpredictability in the same category. Therefore, we subtract the mean unpredictability in tweets in a category-year from the unpredictability of tweets for a project. A higher difference indicates greater unpredictability.

**Control variables.** To control for post-tweet activity, we include the log of likes and log of retweets. Twitter users utilize the retweet function to share and disseminate information to build and manage relationships with others. Retweeting is a rebroadcast of the original message; hence, it exerts a chain effect to a tweet’s author’s followers, the sharers’ followers, and so on, amplifying the audience of the content to a potentially massive scale (Lotan, Graeff, Ananny, Caffney & Pearce, 2011). We include the log of total retweets during the campaign (Dai & Zhang, 2019). It must be noted that, since retweets are sent by other Twitter users, we do not hypothesize the main effects for this outcome owing to the lack of observables on individual re-tweeters. Twitter also enables followers to like a tweet. This feature allows followers to express positive sentiment toward the tweets; thus, a large number of likes reflect not only appreciation but also popularity within Twitter. We also include the log of total likes on Twitter during the campaign (Kindler, Golosovsky & Solomon, 2019).

We also control for the sex of the campaign founder (0=female, 1=male) (Vaznyte, Andries & Manigart, 2020) and the log of past projects from the project leader (Courtney, Dutta & Li, 2017). Additionally, we include a control for the log of the goal amount (Deb, Oery & Williams, 2019), whether at least one video was provided (1=yes; 0=no) (Mitra & Gilbert, 2014), whether the campaign was a staff pick (1=yes; 0=no) (Soublière & Gehman, 2020), and the log of total words in the campaign description (Soublière & Gehman, 2020).

We also control for a series of dictionary-based measures derived from Provalis Corporation’s content analysis software. We control for ambiguity in the project description as the absolute difference in positive and negative tone words divided by the total number of positive and negative tone words. We multiply the resulting number by – 1 so that higher values imply higher ambiguity. The dictionary for positive and negative words is based on Henry (2008, p. 387).

Kickstarter projects can be influenced by entrepreneurial orientation, regulatory focus, and psychological capital throughout the development of the project. Given this, the text of the project may help glean potential impetus around these key constructs in entrepreneurship. Therefore, we control for the text-based measures of entrepreneurial orientation (EO), promotion and prevention-based regulatory focus variables, and organizational psychological capital. The measure of EO is based on the dictionary of words from McKenny, Short, Ketchen, Payne and Moss (2018). To create this variable, we take the mean of the three dimensions of EO (proactiveness, innovation, and risk-taking). We also employ previously developed and validated dictionaries for the construction of our promotion- and prevention-based regulatory focus variables (Gamache, McNamara, Mannon & Johnson, 2015). Organizational psychological (organizational optimism, organizational hope, organizational resilience, and organizational confidence) measures are based on the dictionary by McKenny, Short and Payne (2013). We use the mean of the scores on the four sub-dimensions of the organizational psychological capital. Concerning the factors affecting, it must be noted that a variety of temporal, country, and category effects may drive project success. There may be systematic variations across countries in launching campaigns; categories may also impose idiosyncratic project requirements; and variations by month, day, or year may further influence funding success. Therefore, we control for the number of days of the project. We also include 160 project-category dummies and month-of-the-year, day-of-the-week, year, and country dummies.

**Results**

Table 1 and Fig. 1 provide sample descriptions. Based on the included projects, approximately 61% of the projects met their funding goals. As expected, the pledged amount and backers were strongly correlated with funding success. Tweet unpredictability was negatively correlated with project success; however, the change in
the log of tweets had a negligible correlation with project success. Tweets with more likes and retweets did not translate to campaigns with a higher likelihood of project success. As expected, project leaders with more past projects had a higher chance of receiving funding. In line with previous research, projects with a higher goal amount had a lower correlation with project success. Projects with at least one video, which were picked to be featured by the Kickstarter staff, were more likely to be funded. Project descriptions with higher entrepreneurial orientation, promotion-based regulatory focus, and psychological capital were more strongly correlated with project success.

In Fig. 1, concerning the unpredictability of tweets we see a bifurcation in each category—we see two distinct distributions at the upper and lower ends of the tail. This suggests that projects systematically vary in the unpredictability of their Twitter activity. In relation to the change in the category-year adjusted tweets, we do not see a distinct distribution at the tails. However, for the Comics category, we see a somewhat uniform distribution. Concerning the count of tweets, the distribution of the tweets is more heavily distributed at the lower tail.

To test our proposed relationships, we employ the estimates of the logit (DV = met goal; Table 2) and OLS (log of the funded amount and log of backers; Tables 3 and 4). We use case-wise deletion for each of the outcome variables. Concerning the first outcome variable of met goal, there are 7946 observations. Concerning the remaining two outcome variables—log of pledged amount and log of backers—the sample count is 7980. For the remaining analyses, the observations for each case-wise model are listed at the bottom of the tables.

Concerning the control variables, the log of likes for tweets is positively associated with the three outcomes. However, the log of retweets is not associated with the three outcomes (model 1 in Tables 2–4). Similarly, male project leaders had lower odds of meeting
goals, receiving funding; however, there was no significant difference in the number of backers between male and female project leaders (model 1 in Tables 2–4). The count of past projects had a significant positive association with all the three outcomes (model 1 in Tables 2–4). Although a higher goal amount lowered the odds of funding (model 1 in Table 2), it was positively associated with the funding amount and backers (model 1 in Tables 3–4). The presence of a video, a project selected by staff, or the length of project description were all positively associated with the three outcomes (model 1 in Tables 2–4). Ambiguity in project description was not associated with the likelihood of meeting the goal or pledged amount; however, it was negatively associated with the log of backers. Although entrepreneurial orientation is not associated with the likelihood of meeting goals, the promotion focus slightly lowered the odds of meeting the goal (model 1 in Table 2). Prevention focus or psychological capital did not influence the odds of meeting the goal (model 1 in Table 2). For the log of the pledged amount, the effects were not significant for entrepreneurial orientation or psychological capital but were positive for both regulatory focus types (model 1 in Table 3). For the log of backers, the effects were significant for entrepreneurial orientation and both regulatory focus types but not for psychological capital (model 1 in Table 4). For the three outcomes, the days of the project slightly lowers the odds of meeting the goal, the pledged amount, and backers.

Hypothesis 1 proposed that the log of category-year adjusted tweets has a positive association with meeting the goal (model 2, Table 2: odds ratio = 1.237, \( p < 0.05 \)), the log of pledged amount (model 2, Table 3: \( \beta = 0.0889, p > 0.10 \)), and the log of backers (model 2, Table 4: \( \beta = 0.174, p < 0.01 \)). The findings show that, with each percentage increase in tweets relative to tweets in category-year, there is 1.237 times increase in the odds of campaigns achieving their stated goals.

Hypothesis 2 proposed that greater unpredictability in tweets is positively related to campaign performance. Higher unpredictability with an increase in the log of category-adjusted change in log of tweets is associated with a higher likelihood of meeting the funding goal (model 3, Table 2 \( \beta = 1.659, p < 0.05 \)), and Fig. 2a), the log of pledged amount (model 3, Table 3 \( \beta = 0.444, p < 0.01 \)), and Fig. 2b), and the log of backers (model 3 Table 4 \( \beta = 0.365, p < 0.01 \)) and Fig. 2c).

In Fig. 2(a), with an increasing category-adjusted change in the log of tweets, projects with a higher unpredictability (i.e., mean plus 1 s. d.; dashed line) have higher odds of receiving funding. Similarly, in Fig. 2(b), higher tweet unpredictability with an increase in category-adjusted change in the log of tweets, is also associated with more backers. In Fig. 2(c), an increase in category-adjusted change in log of tweets is also associated with more backers, under higher levels of unpredictability. Overall, we find support for both hypotheses. The results show that an increase in category-adjusted change in log of tweets accompanied by greater unpredictability is associated with more favorable funding outcomes.

Robustness checks

Country-category-year trends. Projects may vary systematically by category and country over time. Variations in preferences for certain projects in a country or a category may influence project success. Therefore, to control for such projects and country-related secular trends, we include dummies by country-category-year. The estimated effects can be influenced by the combined fixed effects of country, category, and year. In Table 5, by controlling for country × category × year dummies, we find that the estimates are consistent with the main analysis.

Estimates from the non-US and non-UK samples. A large number of projects in the samples are from the United States and the United Kingdom. Excluding the United States and the United Kingdom, the estimates are consistent with the main effects in Table 6 (models 1–3).

Discussion

With an increasing prevalence of social media, standing out in the crowd has become a key priority for crowdfunding project owners. Although the traditional signaling theory may be less useful in the

Fig. 1. Violin plots for distribution.
current context, our theoretical model focuses on how loudness and unpredictability in social media activity influence crowdfunding performance. We controlled for the factors driving crowdfunding success. Our effect sizes are meaningful and call for future examination of these messaging strategies.

Consistent with a variety of crowdfunding studies (Kraus, Richter, Brem, Cheng & Chang, 2016) and the broader role of knowledge and innovation in academic research, our study contributes to the knowledge of how social media communication strategies during the very early stages of innovation in the context of crowdfunding influence crowdfunding performance. Moreover, with the multi-contextual nature of innovation, our proposed framework aims to improve the understanding of innovation funding success from a perspective of communication sciences. As such, our proposed model serves to improve our understanding of the antecedents of funding success related to the use of newly emerging social media platforms.

### Theoretical Implications

Innovation, entrepreneurship, and knowledge are fundamental to economic growth and competitiveness (Pineiro—Chousa, Lopez-Cabarcos, Romero-Castro & Pérez-Pico, 2020). The popularity of social media as one of the most popular forms of communication has heightened the need to better understand how social media platforms facilitate the intersection of these key factors. Building on the evidence indicating the growing importance of social media as a key tool in the entrepreneurial process (Fischer & Reuber, 2011, 2014) and reinforcing the usefulness that campaign-related social media...
activity can have on crowdfunding performance (Hong et al., 2018a), we investigate how the relative loudness and unpredictability of social media activity influences crowdfunding performance. Contributing to the relatively well-established positive relationship between social media activity, venture performance (Perez, Sokolova & Konate, 2020), entrepreneurial endeavors (Damian & Manea, 2019), and crowdfunding performance specifically (Borst et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2014), our results suggest that the louder the campaign founders are on social media (i.e., increasing their social media activity relative to the average activity undertaken in other campaigns in their project category and year), the better their campaigns might perform in terms of the number of backers, funds raised, and the likelihood of meeting the funding goals. Given the increasingly noisy nature of social media environments (El Abadi et al., 2011; Lewis, 2013), our findings indicate the importance of *shouting over the noise* and increasing the level of social media activity to improve campaign performance.

Our findings complement recent investigations on how transparency, or a lack thereof, might influence campaign performance. While increasing the level of contributor information available on crowdfunding platforms can increase investor’s willingness to engage (Burtsch, Ghose & Wattal, 2015), such increased information disclosure can lower the average contributions of investors (Hong et al., 2015). The research also indicates that the lack of information disclosure by contributors can have detrimental effects on campaign performance (Burtsch, Ghose & Wattal, 2016). Our findings present an important counterpoint on the *loudness* of information presented from the perspective of campaign founders. While increased information disclosure by contributors can have potentially negative consequences, our findings indicate that the louder the campaign founders, the greater will be the success they experience. This adds valuable nuance to the ongoing conversation on the relationship between information, communication, and crowdfunding performance.

In addition to our findings on the potential usefulness of increasing social media activity for enhancing crowdfunding performance, we also found that the relative predictability, or the lack thereof, can enhance this relationship. In this context, shock advertising has demonstrated the effectiveness of such techniques in capturing audiences’ attention and increasing advertising outcomes (Parry et al., 2013; Skorupa, 2014). The unexpected nature of unpredictable social media activity can have a similar effect in terms of enhancing the relationship between increased social media activity and campaign performance. Unpredictability can help founders cut through the overall noise of social media and communicate their messages to potential campaign investors more efficiently and effectively. Similar to the strategy employed by the former US President Donald Trump, entrepreneurs can combine a high level of social media activity with a relatively unpredictable Twitter schedule to disseminate messages successfully to their intended audiences, without employing loudness to rise above the high levels of noise present on social media platforms during more standard timeframes. Essentially, posting tweets at unpredictable timetables increases the likelihood of drowning out the message owing to the presence of fewer active social media participants at such timings. In this context, by incorporating theoretical perspectives from recent studies of *shock* advertising, we provide a novel lens through which future research might continue to examine the factors critical to the crowdfunding process.

### Practical implications

From a practical perspective, our findings suggest crowdfunding campaign founders to incorporate social media activity as a key component of their overall campaign strategy. Similar to findings emphasizing the importance of social media in the realm of political campaigns (Aragón et al., 2013; LaMarre & Suzuki-Lambrechts, 2013), our findings emphasize the importance of social media in the realm of crowdfunding campaigns. Specifically, we suggest crowdfunding campaign founders to ascertain how best to leverage their social media efforts. Supplementing the research establishing the link between general social media activity and crowdfunding (Hong et al., 2018a), our findings shed light on how specific types of social media activities (i.e., loudness and unpredictability) might further enhance the benefits campaign founders reap from active social media engagement. In this manner, we build on recent literature on the positive influence of social media exposure on crowdfunding campaigns (Burtsch, Ghose & Wattal, 2013). In this regard, it could be helpful for
founders to understand the activity of founders of other campaigns in their product category, in order to gauge their level of activities toward increasing campaign outcomes. It would also be helpful to understand the typical schedule of social media activities in which other founders participate across social media platforms. This will contribute toward a better strategy that can increase the unpredictability of social media activity and enhance the likelihood of achieving the desired results.

Our findings provide early-stage guidance on the Twitter strategy that can be adopted by project owners in a crowdfunding setting. As project owners develop Twitter messaging strategies, high-pulse, unexpected messages may play a crucial role in attracting attention and interest. Although a body of work on the influence of social media on crowdfunding has called for visible network structures (Hong, Hu & Burtch, 2018b), the temporally driven unpredictability and loudness may be essential to draw attention to a crowdfunding campaign. As such, increasing intensity and unpredictability are essential elements to driving the message in increasingly noisy social media platforms.

Limitations and future research

It is important to note that our results should be interpreted considering their limitations. First, Twitter and Kickstarter campaign...
data provide limited information. Although we control for a range of factors, the engagement over Twitter was subject to selection biases before and during the project. The recent evidence has also indicated that the engagement over Twitter was subject to selection biases.

Factors, the engagement over Twitter was subject to selection biases. While having a mere perspective on Twitter may not help entrepreneurs to stand out, ensuring a louder presence relative to other crowdfunding campaigns in the same project category and maintaining an unpredictable schedule for the social media activity can influence their crowdfunding performance. We hope that this study primes future research on the importance of temporality during crowdfunding campaigns.

### Conclusion

The entrepreneurs on crowdfunding platforms are advised to maintain an active social media footprint. Understanding the nature and the intensity of the flow of social media messages can add further nuance to this recommendation of maintaining an active social media presence. We offer a framework that can help crowdfunding entrepreneurs develop a social media strategy. While having a mere presence on Twitter may not help entrepreneurs to stand out, ensuring a louder presence relative to other crowdfunding campaigns in the same project category and maintaining an unpredictable schedule for the social media activity can influence their crowdfunding performance. We hope that this study primes future research on the importance of temporality during crowdfunding campaigns.
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