Additionally, this was a retrospective review and only one of the three subjects was evaluated by a consulting dermatologist. While oncologists and other health care providers may routinely evaluate skin eruptions in HSCT patients, clinical assessment by an experienced dermatologist remains the standard of care at most centres.

Transfer of atopy and contact allergy from donor to recipient following bone marrow transplantation has been described, and the presumed mechanism is via adoptive transfer of donor memory T cells to a newly reconstituted recipient immune system. There is also evidence of allergen-specific IgE-mediated hypersensitivity passed from donors to recipients of stem cell transplantation via B cell transfer. Thus, HSCT may predispose certain patients to the development of atopy or new environmental and medication allergies.

Whether drug allergies persist in patients undergoing non-myeloablative HSCT or in HSCT recipients with less than total donor chimerism is unknown, and there are no published data on drug allergy persistence following HSCT.

This observational study suggests that there may be an important immunologic component of drug allergy that may influence the development of acute GVHD. A prospective multi-centred trial with dermatologist evaluation of skin eruptions in HSCT patients would help to further elucidate both the role of medication allergy as a risk factor for GVHD and the presence or absence of drug allergy following transplant.
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Adverse reaction after administration of progesterone

To the Editor,

Progesterone is a hormone that inhibits contractions of uterus, facilitates the implantation of the embryo and maintains the pregnancy. In the pregnant uterus, progesterone plays a role in controlling the development of endometrial receptivity and in the processes of an angiogenesis and trophoblast invasion.

An inadequate secretion of progestogens in the early phases of pregnancy seems to be related to many cases of miscarriage. Therefore, a progesterone supplementation therapy has been used to prevent spontaneous pregnancy loss and also to prevent the preterm birth. There is some evidence, too, to indicate that women with idiopathic recurrent miscarriage may benefit from the immunomodulatory properties of progesterone in early pregnancy. In some cases vaginal progesterone therapy has been demonstrated effective to reduce the risk of miscarriage and without important side effects. However, until now there has been
uncertainty about the real utility of routine use of progestogens to prevent miscarriage in pregnancy.\textsuperscript{6}

We report a case of a 23-year-old Caucasian woman, with no significant past medical history, admitted to our Division of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, referring to an adverse reaction which occurred during the 9th week of her first pregnancy, to progesterone administered to prevent miscarriage. She had never before taken estroprogestins. She reported that after 2 h of intravaginal administration of 100 mg of progesterone, labial and hands angio-oedema appeared. Twenty-four hours after progesterone treatment, miscarriage occurred. Thus she underwent uterine curettage intervention, performed under general anaesthesia induced by administration of propofol and fentanyl. Before surgery she underwent premedication protocol, as indicated from ”Memorandum SIAIC (Italian Society of Allergology and Clinical Immunology) on the diagnosis of allergy/intolerance to drugs”\textsuperscript{7}. Premedication consists of the administration of prednisone 13 h, 7 h and 1 h associated to the intramuscular administration of chlorpheniramine maleate 1 h before surgery.\textsuperscript{7} When the woman came to our observation, after a physical examination which did not show any remarkable abnormality, a challenge test for the progesterone derivative hydroxyprogesterone was performed, with a positive result (a wide itching wheal) 3 h after the intradermal injection of the undiluted drug. The tolerance test for the alternative medication is a procedure characterised by intradermal injection of scalar dilutions of the drug, followed by the administration of the whole dose of the drug.\textsuperscript{8}

There are several data supporting the effective use of progesterone to prevent spontaneous miscarriage.\textsuperscript{9}\textsuperscript{10} We retain this case of interest because miscarriage, this time, seems to be a consequence of administration of progesterone.

To evaluate the causality connection between the adverse reaction and progesterone administration we applied the Naranjo adverse drug reaction probability scale.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{12} This algorithm permits to assign the likelihood of a drug to be the cause of an unexpected event. Through a ten-item questionnaire it assigns numerical values to arrive at an overall total score for probability assignment. Depending on the score obtained, the causality connection may be indicated as certain, probable, possible, unlikely. The total score of our case was 6, so the causality connection can be considered probable.

Hypersensitivity to progesterone is a rare clinical condition in which patients display hyper-sensitivity to endogenous or exogenous progesterone. It seems to occur as the result of an autoimmune response to endogenous progesterone production but can also be caused by exogenous intake of a synthetic progestin. This disorder has been reported to occur during pregnancy, in the postpartum period, in post-menopausal women taking hormone replacement therapy, and even in men taking exogenous synthetic progesterone.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{12}

The relationship between progesterone activity and the immune system has been investigated. Peripheral blood gamma delta T cells of pregnant women and peripheral natural killer cells express lymphocyte progesterone receptors. This recognition of foetal antigens is required for the initiation of progesterone-dependent immunoregulatory mechanisms.\textsuperscript{1} Quite uncommon progestinic-induced side effects are hypersensitivity reactions. Cases of autoimmune progesterone anaphylaxis and dermatitis, due both to an autoimmune reaction to endogenous progesterone production, and to exogenous intake of a synthetic progestogen, have been reported. Moreover, progesterone-induced urticaria has also been described.\textsuperscript{13}\textsuperscript{15} However, progesterone seems to have a discrepant action because even if it contributes in suppressing the histamine release, still it can cause potentiation of the IgE formation.\textsuperscript{14} The risk profile of progestogens is characterised by non-specific reported adverse effects (with incidence rates between 1\% and 10\%) common to substances belonging to this class of drugs. The most frequently-reported adverse effects are bleeding problems, headache, breast and pelvic pain, altered or depressed mood, nausea, acne and weight gain.\textsuperscript{17}

In conclusion, we report a case of miscarriage probably caused by a hypersensitivity reaction to progesterone in a woman whose anamnesis revealed a link between the appearance of symptoms and the timing of the event. Successively, a positive response to an intradermal test with progesterone derivative hydroxyprogesterone was demonstrated. In the light of the common use of progesterone supplementation therapy to prevent spontaneous abortion and preterm birth and according to the possibility of occurrence of hypersensitivity reactions to progestogens, we suggest to evaluate the opportunity to ascertain this individual predisposition in pregnant.
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Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in a patient with leucocyte adhesion deficiency

To the Editor,

Leucocyte adhesion deficiency type 1 (LAD-1) is a rare autosomal recessive primary immunodeficiency disorder, characterised by the absence or deficient expression of the adhesion molecules on leucocytes. The disease is usually associated with leucocytosis, recurrent severe bacterial and fungal infections without pus formation and impaired wound healing. Omphalitis, delayed umbilical cord separation, perirectal abscess, sepsis, necrotising enterocolitis, pneumonia, gingivitis and periodontitis are common features of disease.1,3 Although some forms of primary immunodeficiency diseases could develop malignancies, there is no report of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in patients with LAD.

Herein a boy is presented who was admitted to the NICU ward because of omphalitis, sepsis, icterus and erythema rashes. He was the second child of consanguineous parents. The first child of the family was a girl who died at three months of age due to pneumonia. The culture of umbilical discharge of the patient was Pseudomonas spp. While he was receiving treatment, he developed right foot cellulites. Radiosotope scanning showed arthritis in right knee. His umbilical cord had been cut on 32 days of life. Lab data revealed leucocytosis 30,000/mm³ with neutrophilia (65%) and eosinophilia (10%). Serum immunoglobulin (Ig)G, IgM, IgA, and NBT tests were all normal. Peripheral blood flow cytometric analysis revealed normal T-, B- and NK cell numbers, but was compatible with LAD-1 (CD18 = 0.5%, CD11a = 0.5%, CD11b = 0%, CD11c = 1.2%). He had history of several episodes of sepsis, pneumonia, diarrhoea, typhilitis, necrotic skin ulcers and infections because of Pseudomonas spp. and S. aureus after diagnosis.

The 3rd sibling of this family is a girl, who was admitted to the NICU at age of 30 days because of delayed separation of umbilical cord and omphalitis. Her laboratory data revealed leucocytosis (27,000/mm³) with neutrophilia (82%). Serum immunoglobulins and NBT were normal. Chemotaxis was impaired and peripheral blood flow cytometric analysis revealed low expression of CD18 (CD18 = 1.6%, CD11a = 0.8%, CD11b = 1.0%, CD11c = 5%). T-, B- and NK subpopulation numbers were normal. Now, she is six years old and has undergone recurrent severe diarrhoea, pneumonia, skin infections with necrotic ulcers due to Pseudomonas spp. and S. aureus, chronic gingivitis and chronic otitis media with discharge. Both siblings have failure to thrive.

During follow up, the boy was admitted into the hospital due to abdominal mass at the age of seven years. The mass (3 cm × 4 cm) removed in appendix with partial residue in ileocecal region during laparotomy. Pathology reported diffuse large B-cell lymphoma as a primary tumour in the abdomen being positive for CD20 and CD22. Genetic evaluation showed t (8:14) in mass. Other investigation to determine the clinical extent of the disease for staging showed stage II of disease. He received LMB-96 protocol. During chemotherapy, he had several episodes of severe infection and abscess formation. The result of treatment was excellent after treatment and now he is alive, 11 years old, without any recurrence of disease.