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ABSTRACT
Louis Joseph Troost (1825-1911) carried on a large number of researches, alone or with Deville, Hautefeuille, and other colleagues, on the isolation of lithium, determination of its atomic mass, and preparation of many of its salts, on allotropic phenomena, on the use of electromotive force of a cell to determine the heat effects of a reaction, determination of the density of vapors at high temperatures, dissociation of gases, niobium and its compounds, the hydrides of palladium, sodium, and potassium, and the influence of manganese and silicon on the properties of the different varieties of iron.
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RESUMEN (Cuatro estudiantes brillantes de Henry Sainte-Claire Deville. Parte 2. Louis Joseph Troost)
Louis Joseph Troost (1825-1911) llevó a cabo un gran número de investigaciones, solo o junto con Deville, Hautefeuille, y otros, acerca del aislamiento del litio, determinación de su masa atómica, y la preparación de muchas de sus sales, acerca de la alotropía, el uso de la fuerza electromotriz de una pila para determinar los efectos térmicos de reacciones químicas, la determinación de la densidad de gases a alta temperatura, disociación de gases, el niobio y sus compuestos, los hidruros de paladio, sodio y potasio, y la influencia del silicio y el manganeso en las propiedades de diversas variedades de fierro.

Palabras clave: alotropía, boro, densidad de gases, disociación, efectos calóricos, hidruros, litio, sales de litio, silicio, densidad de vapor

Life and career
Louis Joseph Troost was born in Paris in October 17, 1825. He received his first education at the Lycée Charlemagne and in 1848 entered the École Normale Supérieure in Paris (founded by Napoleon) where he received his agrégé (license to teach) of physical sciences in 1851. In 1857 he was awarded his doctorate of science at the Faculty of Sciences of Paris (Sorbonne), under the direction of Henry Sainte-Claire Deville (1818-1881). After graduation he taught physics for four years at the Lycée d’Angoulême in southwestern France, and then returned to Paris to teach chemistry at the Lycée Bonaparte and do research at Deville’s thermochemical laboratory. In 1868 he was appointed Maitre de Conferences at the Faculty of Sciences of Paris (Sorbonne), following the significant papers he had published with Deville about the density of vapors. In 1874 he was promoted to the Chair of Mineral Chemistry, replacing Louis Pasteur (1822-1895), and in 1881 he was appointed to the Chair of General Chemistry, replacing Henri Debray (1827-1888). He kept this position until his retirement in 1900. Henry Moissan (1852-1907) replaced him in at the Chair. En 1884 he was elected to the Académie des Sciences, replacing Charles-Adolph Würtz (1817-1884) who had just passed away (Anonymous, 1911; Gautier, 1911; Jaubert, 1912).

Troost was a commander of the Légion de Honneur, a member of the French section of International Committee on the Meter, of the Consulting Committee of Arts and Manufactures, of the National Bureau of Weights and Measures, of the permanent Commission of Monetary Circulation, of the Council of Hygiene and Salubrity of the Seine, of the Commission of Inventions at the Ministry of War, and for some time, President of the Administration Council of the Parisian Gas Company (Anonymous, 1911; Gautier, 1911; Jaubert, 1912).

Troost was a commander of the Légion de Honneur, a member of the French section of International Committee on the Meter, of the Consulting Committee of Arts and Manufactures, of the National Bureau of Weights and Measures, of the permanent Commission of Monetary Circulation, of the Council of Hygiene and Salubrity of the Seine, of the Commission of Inventions at the Ministry of War, and for some time, President of the Administration Council of the Parisian Gas Company (Anonymous, 1911; Gautier, 1911; Jaubert, 1912).

Troost’s first researches were about lithium and its salts. After discovering a practical method for preparing highly pure lithium chloride, he isolated the metal, determined its atomic mass, and showed that it constituted the bridge between the alkali metals (Na, K) and the alkali earth ones. He also prepared and determined the properties of many of its salts (Troost, 1856, 1857, 1862). Troost studied magnesium...
(Troost, 1865), chloral and its hydrate (Troost, 1877bc, 1878abc, 1879b), and the reaction of HCl with ammonia (Troost, 1879a). With Edme Hippolyte Marié-Davy (1820-1893) he studied the use of the electric cell to measure heat effects in chemical reactions (Marié-Davy and Troost, 1858abc); with Deville he studied the density of vapors at high temperatures (Deville and Troost, 1858, 1859, 1860, 1863ab, 1867a), metallic sulfides (Deville and Troost, 1861), the permeability of iron and cast iron (Deville and Troost, 1863c, 1868), niobium and its compounds (Deville and Troost, 1865, 1867b), the determination of high temperatures (Deville and Troost, 1880a), the density of selenium and tellurium vapors (Deville and Troost, 1880b), etc. etc. Together with Paul Gabriel Hautefeuille (1836-1902) he published a series of studies about boron and silicon (Troost and Hautefeuille, 1870ab, 1872, 1873), the formation of paracyanogen and its reversible dissociation to cyanogen, the transformation of cyanic acids into its isomers (Troost and Hautefeuille, 1868abcd, 1869ab), the allotropic conversions of white phosphorus into red phosphorus (Troost and Hautefeuille, 1873b), the hydrides of palladium, sodium, and potassium (Troost and Hautefeuille, 1874abcd, 1875a), the influence of silicon and manganese on the physical properties of commercial iron (Troost and Hautefeuille, 1873c, 1875b), etc. etc. (Anonymous, 1911; Gautier, 1911; Jaubert, 1912).

**Scientific contribution**

Troost was a very prolific researcher and writer; he published well over 100 memoirs, books, and booklets. His chemistry textbook (Troost, 1861), the result of his classes at the Sorbonne, became very popular and went through a large number of editions. As was customary for a candidate for membership in the Académie des Sciences, Troost also published two booklets describing his main fields of research and findings (Troost, 1878, 1884).

In what follows we describe some of his important results. His publications with Deville about the density of gases at high temperatures, dissociation, measurement of high temperatures, and related subjects have already been discussed in another paper (Wisniak, 2004).

**Lithium and its salts**

As told by Troost, Johann August Arvedson (1790-1841), a student of Jöns Jacob Berzelius (1779-1848), discovered lithium in 1817 while examining the Swedish mineral petalite (Arvedson, 1818). He reported that petalite was composed of silica, alumina, and an alkali. At the recommendation of Berzelius, the new alkali was named lithion (stone) to symbolize the fact that it had been discovered in the mineral kingdom, while the two other known alkalis (sodium and potassium) had been found in the vegetable kingdom. The element was later found to be present in other minerals, such as triphane or spodumen, lepidolite, triphylline, amblygonite, minette, etc. etc., as well as in some mineral waters. In 1807 Humphry Davy (1778-1819) reported to the Royal Society the isolation of potassium (in very small amount) by decomposing a fragment of slightly moistened potassium hydroxide by means of a voltaic cell (Davy, 1808). Afterwards, Robert Bunsen (1811-1899) and his student Augustus Matthiessen (Bunsen, 1855) developed an alternative process for producing large amounts of lithium.

Many chemists believed that lithine (LiOH) was isomorphic with the other two alkalis and that it should be able to replace NaOH in any compound, in the same proportion it was present in it. As proof of the isomorphism, chemists had tried unsuccessfully to prepare the double sulfate of lithium and aluminum. Similarly, no one had prepared lithium bisulfate as the analog of potassium or sodium bisulfates. This lack of information was the motive that led Troost to make a detailed investigation of the position that lithium had to occupy in the metal series (Troost, 1857).

Not much was known about the properties of lithium because of the difficulties involved in its isolation; from lepidolite, the most common mineral, it was possible to separate perhaps 4 to 5 parts per hundred parts of raw mineral. Davy, Bunsen, and Matthiessen had reported many of the properties of lithium: it was a solid at room temperature, it was not affected by dry air, but in contact with humid air it slowly became tarnished. It was the lightest known body, with a density of 0.59; it floated in naphtha, it melted at 180°C, and had an equivalent of 6.5 and an atomic volume of 11. It caught flame at elevated temperatures and burned quietly with a white flame. It decomposed water at room temperature without melting, and formed light alloys with sodium and potassium.

Troost mentioned that while attending the Universal Exposition held in Paris in 1855, he had been very fortunate to obtain a large quantity of lepidolite. Troost went on to prepare lithium in large amounts, using Bunsen and Matthiessen's method. He also tried unsuccessfully to adopt Deville's method for the preparation of sodium (Deville, 1855) and Joseph-Louis Gay-Lussac (1778-1850) and Louis-Jacques Thenard's (1777-1857) method for extraction of potassium with the help of iron (Gay-Lussac and Thenard, 1808).

Troost's separation procedure was based on the fact that if a mixture lepidolite, barium carbonate, and barium sulfate were heated in a crucible, the materials fused and underwent a kind of liquefaction and separation into two phases. The lower one was a perfectly fused but viscous glass and the upper one, an extremely fluid liquid, easily removed from the crucible while hot either with an iron spoon or by decantation. This liquid, on cooling, produced a crystalline mass, white or slightly colored rose by manganese. If the whole mass was left to solidify in the crucible, it formed two solid non-adherent masses. The white crystallized portion was a combination of barium sulfate, potassium sulfate, and lithium sulfate. Simple washing with boiling water separated the barium sulfate from the alkaline sulfates. This simple procedure only succeeded with petalite by adding to it an amount of sodium or potassium sulfates such that the total mass of alkali was more or less the same as that present in lepidolite. These results suggested that adding these alkalis to
lepidolite would increase the yield of lithium oxide. This allowed Troost to obtain by a simple fusion, a yield of 3% of lithium oxide (Troost, 1857).

Troost described the preparation and properties of a large number of lithium inorganic and organic derivatives, among them, the oxide and the hydroxide, lithium salts such as the chloride, bromide, iodide, sulfide, fluoride, carbonate, sulfate, and phosphate; the double sulfates of lithium and potassium, and lithium and ammonia; and organic salts such as acetate, the oxalates (acid and neutral) and double oxalates, tetrates and double tartrates, etc. (Troost, 1857).

Troost concluded that although the results of his experiments indicated that lithium should be classified the same as the two known alkalis (sodium and potassium); it should be clearly separated from them and approach more those of magnesium. Thus, lithium could not be prepared by the procedures that yielded easily potassium and sodium; lithium chloride when dissolved and heated, experimented a partial decomposition as magnesium chloride; solutions of lithium salts were not precipitated by ammonium carbonate, it formed with them double salts, the same as magnesium did. Lithium carbonate was soluble in a very large amount of water; if this solution was treated with a stream of CO₂, the solubility of the carbonate increased as it did for magnesium carbonate, while the solubility of the other alkaline carbonate decreased. Lithium phosphate was insoluble in water, the same as magnesium phosphate; the equivalent value of lithium hydroxide was small with relation to sodium hydroxide, the same like that of magnesium hydroxide with respect to calcium hydroxide. Lithium chloride heated to its fusion temperature lost part of its chlorine, like magnesium chloride, etc. etc. In summary, lithium seemed to have the same role in the series of alkaline metals as magnesium did in the series of alkaline earth elements (Troost, 1857).

Several scientists had reported highly different values for the equivalent of lithium. Arfwenson had used an impure salt and calculated the value to be 10.224 while and Bunsen and Matthiessen and others had obtained values about 6.5. In his first paper about lithium Troost had also found the value 6.5 (Troost, 1857). It was clear that the real value depended on the nature and amount of the impurities present. Troost decided to repeat the determination of this property after spectral analyses showed that the lithium salts used also contained, in addition of sodium and potassium, notable amounts of caesium and rubidium (Troost, 1862). His findings indicated that highly purified lithium carbonate did not contain these foreign elements. His procedure was as follows: Lithium chloride was treated with ammonium carbonate; the precipitated lithium carbonate was washed, dried, suspended in water, and the milky liquid treated with a stream of CO₂, which dissolved the carbonate rapidly. Heating the solution to boiling precipitated the crystalline carbonate. After repeating the process one more time, spectral analysis showed that the purified carbonate did not contain sodium, potassium, caesium, or rubidium. Their absence was easily explained on the basis of the high (relative) solubility of their carbonate.

Troost used this highly purified sample to determine the value of the equivalent by two different procedures. In the first one, he heated lithium chloride in a porcelain boat, and treated it first with a stream of dry HCl, followed by another of dry oxygen. The cooled material was then treated with silver nitrate to precipitate the chlorine. The results of two different runs gave the values 7.030 and 6.99 for the equivalent, average 7.01. For the second procedure Troost reacted one sample of the highly purified lithium carbonate with sulfuric acid, to titrate the lithium, and titrated the carbonate by heating another sample, intimately mixed with an excess of pure quartz. The results of two runs gave 7.00 and 7.02 [average 7.01] for the titration with sulfuric acid, and 7.06 for the carbonate. All these results indicated that the value of the equivalent was close to 7. This number was confirmed by the value 7.026 (the atomic mass of lithium is 6.941) reported by Karl Diehl (Diehl, 1862) (Troost, 1862).

**Niobium and tantalum**

In 1801 Charles Hatchett (1765–1847) communicated to the Royal Society his discovery of a new metal, which he named columbium (Hatchett, 1802). Shortly thereafter, Anders Gustaf Ekeberg (1767-1813) announced the discovery of a new element, which he named tantalum (Ekeberg, 1802). Some years later, Wollaston became suspicious that columbium and tantalum were the same metal (Wollaston, 1809) and after much experimental work, in which he compared the physical and chemical properties of the materials used by Ekeberg and by Hatchett, Wollaston concluded that except for a large difference in the specific gravities of the two minerals (5.918 for columbite and 7.953 for tantalite), which he assumed to be due to a different state of aggregation or of oxidation, there was no reason to consider columbium and tantalum as different elements. Wollaston opinion was generally accepted until 1844 when Heinrich Rose (1795-1864) showed that the American mineral contained a metallic acid different from than present in tantalite. Rose named his acid niobic and its metallic component *niobium* (after Niobe, one of the children of Tantalus) (Rose, 1844).

According to Jean-Charles Galissard de Marignac (1817-1894), although Rose had done an exhaustive study of the combinations of niobium, some of his conclusions seemed to be not based on factual data. For example, Rose assumed that hyponiobic acid and niobic acid were two oxygenated compounds of the same metal, completely irreducible one into the other: It was impossible to convert hyponiobic chloride into niobic chloride using chlorine in excess. In addition, both acids belonged to the sesquioxide and dioxide groups, respectively, although Rose’s results seemed to indicate that they were able to combine with bases in different proportions, the same as silicic and stannic acids did. Marignac’s experiences proved that niobium hypochloride and hypofluonioiates salts contained oxygen, contrary to Rose’s...
statement that the constitution of the hypochlorite was \( \text{Nb}_2\text{Cl}_5 \) instead of \( \text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5\text{Cl}_3 \). Not only that, Marignac showed that the value of the equivalent of hyponiobic acid was about 266 instead of Rose’s 243.2, and that hyponiobic chloride was actually niobic oxychloride \( (\text{NbOCl}_3) \) and hyponiobic acid was \( \text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5 \) (Marignac, 1865).

In a paper published in 1865, Deville and Troost reported their results on the constitution of niobium compounds (Deville and Troost, 1865). After a short review on the work done by Rose and Marignac, they indicated that the value 9.6 for the density of niobium chloride at the boiling temperature of mercury that they had reported previously (Deville and Troost, 1865a), corresponded more correctly to Marignac’s formula, \( \text{Nb}_2\text{Cl}_5 \), than to Rose’s one of 8.6 for his formula \( \text{NbCl}_3 \). Deville and Troost also determined that the density of niobium oxychloride was 7.87, at the temperature of boiling sulfur, a value corresponding to that Marignac’s formula \( (\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5\text{Cl}_3) \) for this compound, and concluded that their results proved that Rose’s hypochloride was actually an oxychloride and that all the properties of niobium, claimed to be extraordinary, were actually common properties (Deville and Troost, 1865).

In a following paper, Deville and Troost used a synthetic method to prove the presence of oxygen in niobium oxychloride (Deville and Troost, 1867b). Their procedure was as follows: Niobic acid was heated to redness in a platinum boat in a glass tube through which a current of dry CO\(_2\) was passed; niobium chloride \( \text{NbCl}_5 \) (fusing at 194° and boiling at 240°C) was then repeatedly volatilized over the acid. The niobic acid disappeared almost completely to form a white silky body volatilizing at 400°C, which possessed all the properties of niobium oxychloride, according to the reaction

\[
\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5 + 3\text{NbCl}_5 = 5\text{NbOCl}_3
\]

The same experiment, repeated using instead tantalic acid and tantalum chloride \( (\text{Ta}_2\text{Cl}_5) \), produced no tantalum oxychloride. Deville and Troost determined that tantalic chloride was a pale yellow, solid crystallizable compound, melting at 211.3°C and boiling at 241.6°C at 753 mmHg. It decomposed rapidly in contact with air producing fumes of HCl, and reconvert into tantalic acid. Its vapor density was 12.8 at 360° and 13.0 at 440°C, confirming Marignac’s formula \( \text{Ta}_2\text{Cl}_5 \) and the equivalent value of 182. The density of tantalic acid, precipitated from the chloride by ammonia and ignited to low redness, was 7.35 (Deville and Troost, 1867b).

**Heat and work effects in cells**

In 1858 Troost joined forces with Edme Hippolyte Marié-Davy (1820-1893) to study the possibility of using the electromotive force of a cell to determine the heat and work effects of some chemical reactions. Marié-Davy had done fundamental work on batteries and the electromagnetic motor and had found, among other things, that the resistance encountered by an ondulatory or continuous electric movement, at any point in an electric circuit, was proportional to its intensity at that point; that the work done by the resistance was proportional to the square of the intensity of the movement; and that in an active cell, the total work of the resistances of the circuit was proportional to the electromotive force of the cell and to its useful expenditure of zinc (Marié-Davy, 1855).

James Prescott Joule (1818-1889) had shown that the heat developed by a chemical reaction was due to the resistance that the media opposed to the establishment of an electric movement, and that the electromotive force of a cell was proportional to the algebraic sum of the heat effects of all the chemical reactions that took place in the cell. Using different arguments, Rudolf Julius Emanuel Clausius (1822-1888) had shown that the electromotive force of a cell could be used to measure the amounts of heat released during the chemical reactions taking place in the cell.

Marié-Davy and Troost carried on their experiments along two lines: (1) whenever possible, they built a cell with the substances they wanted to combine and measured the electromotive force generated. Although this seemed to be to most direct approach, it was applicable only to a limited number of cases; (2) passing the current of a cell through the substances they wanted to combine or decompose, and thus force reactions that otherwise would not take place. The electromotive force of the cell was measured before and after the current flowed through the pertinent substances, and the difference between both values gave the result desired (Marié-Davy and Troost, 1858ab). In their calculations they used the fact that the solution of one gram of zinc in dilute sulfuric acid released 567.9 calories, or that one equivalent of zinc (32.5 g) released 18,457 calories (Favre, 1843).

In a paper published in 1858, Marie-Davy and Troost reported the calculation of the molecular work produced by the reaction of 10 inorganic acids (among them, sulfuric, nitric, HCl, HBr, boric acids, and zinc oxide) and five organic acids (oxalic, tartaric, acetic, formic, and citric), with KOH, NaOH, and ammonia (Marié-Davy and Troost, 1858ab). The results were expressed as the amount of heat released during the combination of one part of alkali in dilute solution with one part of the acid in dilute solution. Their results agreed completely with those obtained by Pierre Antoine Favre (1813-1880) and J. T. Silbermann (1806-1865) using standard calorimetric procedures (Favre, 1843).

In a following work, Marié-Davy and Troost used their electrochemical procedure to determine the heat of reaction on one equivalent of chlorine with one equivalent of 33 different metals (Marié-Davy and Troost, 1858c).
El documento contiene un texto en idioma castellano que discute la química del nitrógeno y la conversión de dos formas del nitrógeno, el cloruro de nitrógeno y el paracróxido de nitrógeno, en diferentes condiciones de temperatura y presión. Se mencionan experimentos en los que se observa la degradación del cloruro de nitrógeno a arsénico y ácido cloruro a través del paracróxido de nitrógeno. El texto también menciona el trabajo de Troost y Hautefeuille en el campo de la química del nitrógeno y la utilización de mediciones de densidad para determinar las propiedades de los vapores de cloruro de nitrógeno.

Además, se hace referencia al trabajo de otros científicos como Jean Baptiste Dumas, que realizó mediciones de densidad de vapores de cloruro de nitrógeno, y Alexander Naumann, que realizó mediciones de densidad de cloruro de nitrógeno a diferentes temperaturas. El documento concluye con una discusión sobre la descomposición del cloruro de nitrógeno y la conversión de cloruro de nitrógeno a arsénico y ácido cloruro a través del paracróxido de nitrógeno.
water vapor, or if this vapor was a stable compound representing 8 volumes (dry vapor). In the first case, the vapor pressure \( F \) should be considered a mixture of two vapors, each exerting a partial pressure \( 0.5F \) (assuming ideal gas behavior); in the second case (no decomposition), the vapor behaved as a dry gas having pressure \( F \). The method proposed by Troost was very simple and elegant: addition to the vapors of chloral hydrate a hydrated salt having a dissociation pressure of \( F \). The compound should be selected such that \( f < 0.5F \) at the temperature of the experiment. Under these circumstances, if the vapor of chloral hydrate was decomposed, then the salt would not dissociate and the pressure would remain at the value \( F \). If the chloral hydrate was not dissociated, the salt would decompose and the total pressure increase to \( F + f \) the dissociation pressure of the salt, that is, \( F + f \) (Troost, 1877a).

For the particular case in question, Troost selected the hydrate of potassium oxalate, having a dissociation of pressure of 53 and 182 mmHg at 78° and 100°C, respectively. The apparatus was charged with an amount of chloral hydrate that completely vaporized at 78°C, yielding a pressure of 117.5 mmHg. Afterwards, the potassium oxalate was introduced. If the salt came into contact with a mixture of chloral and water vapor, each exerting a pressure of 117.5/2 = 58.5 mmHg, then the total pressure would remain unchanged at 117.5 mmHg. It was found that the pressure increased little by little until it reached 164.5 mmHg, that is, the increase by 47 mmHg. If the mixture of chloral hydrate and water vapor was ideal and there was no dissociation of the former, then the increase should have been 53 mmHg. The experimental result indicated that the potassium salt dissociated into chloral hydrate behaving as a dry gas. The same result was obtained at 100°C. In summary, at 78° and 100°C chloral hydrate vaporized without decomposition, against what was claimed by Naumann (Troost, 1877a).

It is clear that Troost did not consider the possibility of a small dissociation of chloral hydrate as an alternative for the pressure increase.

A few months later Würtz reported that he had used Troost’s technique and found that chloral hydrate could not vaporize without decomposing completely into anhydrous chloral and water vapor (Würtz, 1877). According to Würtz, the apparatus used by Troost could only handle very small amounts of the oxalate (about 1 gram) so that it was enough to introduce one milligram of water as hygroscopic water to change completely the results. In addition, Würtz brought into attention another possible source of error: the fact that potassium oxalate acted upon chloral and decomposed it into chloroform and formiate, at the same time that it transformed into potassium mono-oxalate anhydrous (Würtz remarked that this reaction was probably insignificant under Troost’s conditions). In his response to this criticism, Troost wrote that at the beginning of his experimental work he had operated under the same conditions used by Würtz but found that they were improper because of the extremely low rate of dissociation of the potassium oxalate hydrate. After Würtz’s communication he had carried on more experiences and found that they gave the same result as his previous ones. Troost remarked that his experimental method required that the measurements be made at a pressure not larger than 50% that of chloral hydrate at the temperature in question. At higher pressures the phenomena were more complex, decomposition took place, the surface of the potassium oxalate became acid and colored, and the salt now contained KCl. His method, which was based on hygrometry, lost validity when the salt reacted with chloral (Troost, 1877b, 1878a).

In a following work Troost described the phenomena that took place when distilling a mixture of chloral hydrate and chloroform (Troost, 1879b). When the temperature reached about 61°C, the passing vapors condensed into a turbid liquid that afterwards separated into two phases, one of chloroform, and the other of water. Almost all the water combined with the chloral had passed over when about two-thirds of the mixture had distilled (formation of an azeotrope). When the temperature had reached about 67°C the new liquid phase consisted of anhydrous chloral and chloroform.

**Alloys of hydrogen with metals**

In 1868 Thomas Graham (1805-1869) reported that palladium had the remarkable property of absorbing about 982 times its volume of hydrogen and that this characteristic could be explained as a phenomenon similar to dissolution or condensation, which he named occlusion (Graham, 1868). In another paper Graham determined that the density of palladium charged with 800 to 900 volumes of hydrogen was sensibly lower than that of pure palladium, that the tenacity and electrical conductivity of the metal decreased (as occurred with alloys), and that the magnetism increased, as was the case of the combination of palladium with a strong magnetic metal. These results led him to modify his original hypothesis and admit that hydrogen and palladium formed an alloy of equal equivalents (Graham named the combined hydrogen, hydrogenium) (Graham, 1869ab). This new hypothesis was well accepted, although Graham now realized that the actual combination was formed by 0.772 equivalents of hydrogen per equivalent of palladium (assuming \( H = 1 \) and \( Pd = 106.5 \)) (Troost and Hautefeuille, 1874a).

Troost and Hautefeuille believed the phenomenon was more complex and for this reason they decided to further investigate the question of whether hydrogen and palladium formed a real combination or not, and in case of a positive answer, what was the formula of the compound formed (Troost and Hautefeuille, 1874a). From their previous work, they knew that under the action of heat, compounds formed directly by the combination of a fixed substance with a gas decomposed partially, which resulted in a fixed pressure for every temperature level. In the case of bodies that dissolved a gas (e.g. water loaded with CO\(_2\)), or condensed it (e.g. platinum black loaded with hydrogen), the resulting pressure
depended on the initial degree of saturation. Hence, a simple way to answer the question was to measure the pressure of the hydrogen released from palladium, at different temperatures.

To do so, Troost and Hautefeuille put an amount of palladium saturated with hydrogen inside a tube communicated with a manometer and with a vacuum pump, which allowed withdrawing measured volumes of hydrogen during a given run. At about 100 °C the results indicated that as long as the volume of fixed hydrogen was above 600 times the volume of palladium, the pressure decreased very rapidly for every withdrawal of hydrogen, a characteristic, which defined a dissolution. When the volume decreased to 600 times, the pressure remained constant; this volume corresponded to ½ equivalent of hydrogen per equivalent of the metal, that is, to the formula \( \text{Pd}_2\text{H} \). From this moment on, the hydrogenated palladium behaved like a definite combination capable of dissociating in such a manner that the pressure depended only on the temperature. A series of experiments conducted between 20° and 180°C, with a volume ratio of hydrogen/palladium \( \leq 600 \), showed that within this temperature range, the pressure was a function of the temperature alone and that the combination corresponded to ½ equivalent of hydrogen per equivalent of the metal hydride should be 0.625 (Troost and Hautefeuille, 1874d).

An ingot of pure nickel gave out, in a vacuum, at a red heat, \( \frac{1}{3} \) of its volume of hydrogen. Laminae of nickel, obtained by electrolysis, heated under vacuum to 200°C, gave out 40 times their volume. Pulverulent nickel gave up 100 times its volume, and remained pyrophoric after the escape of the hydrogen. An ingot of cobalt gave up 1/10 of its volume; electrolytic laminae of cobalt, heated under vacuum to 200°C, 35 times their volume, and pyrophoric cobalt powder 100 times. It also remained pyrophoric after the loss of the hydrogen. Soft iron in ingots gave off \( \frac{1}{4} \) of its volume, and grey cast-iron more than the half. Electrolytic laminae of iron gave off 260 volumes. In summary, iron, nickel, and cobalt absorbed directly hydrogen, without seemingly combining with it, just as had been already shown in the case of lithium and thallium. Finely divided iron had a property, which was not shared by nickel or cobalt: it decomposed water slowly at room temperatures and rapidly at about 100°C. In this respect, iron approximated to manganese (Troost and Hautefeuille, 1875).
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