



CIRUGÍA y CIRUJANOS

Órgano de difusión científica de la Academia Mexicana de Cirugía
Fundada en 1933

www.amc.org.mx www.elsevier.es/circir



GENERAL INFORMATION

Insulin resistance in surgery

Olle Ljungqvist*

Department of Surgery, Örebro University Hospital, Örebro, Sweden

KEYWORDS

Insulin resistance;
Postoperative
metabolic response;
Enhanced recovery
after surgery

PALABRAS CLAVE

Resistencia
a la insulina;
Respuesta metabólica
posquirúrgica;
Enhanced Recovery
After Surgery

Abstract

Insulin resistance is a condition in which the effect of insulin falls below normal, affecting glucose metabolism, lipids and proteins. In surgery it presents after an injury is established or during surgical intervention.

Depending on the level of trauma, insulin resistance may last for weeks. The best method to evaluate insulin resistance is the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp technique.

With ERAS (Enhanced Recovery After Surgery) programmes and objectives such as preoperative glucose administration, minimally invasive surgery and pain control, insulin resistance is reduced by 50% during abdominal surgery.

All Rights Reserved © 2016 Academia Mexicana de Cirugía A.C. This is an open access item distributed under the Creative Commons CC License BY-NC-ND 4.0.

Resistencia a la insulina en cirugía

Resumen

La resistencia a la insulina es una condición en donde la acción de la insulina está por debajo de lo normal y afecta al metabolismo de la glucosa, los lípidos y las proteínas. En cirugía se presenta después del establecimiento del daño o bien durante el proceso quirúrgico.

Dependiendo del nivel del trauma, la resistencia a la insulina puede durar semanas. El mejor método para evaluar la resistencia a la insulina es el denominado clamp hiperinsulinémico normoglucémico.

Con los programas y objetivos de ERAS (Enhanced Recovery After Surgery, por sus siglas en inglés), tales como la administración de glucosa preoperatoria, la cirugía de invasión mínima y el control del dolor, la resistencia a la insulina se reduce hasta un 50% durante la cirugía abdominal.

Todos los derechos reservados © 2016 Academia Mexicana de Cirugía A.C. Publicado por Masson Doyma México S.A. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

*Correspondence to the author: Department of Surgery, Örebro University Hospital, Örebro 70185, Sweden.
Email: olle.ljungqvist@ki.se

Background

Insulin resistance develops within minutes of any damage or injury to the body, such as trauma, sepsis¹ and elective surgery². This change in metabolism has been shown to be associated with the development of major postsurgery complications³. Current knowledge of metabolic balance control in surgical patients places an emphasis on the control of metabolism as key to caring for these patients⁴.

Insulin resistance is a term used to describe a metabolic situation where the effect of insulin is below normal for any of the metabolism's important functions⁴ including metabolism of glucose, fats and proteins and although this is the full meaning of the term, insulin resistance is commonly used to describe the ineffectiveness of insulin to maintain glucose values.

Insulin resistance manifests as dose-dependent in response to the magnitude of the operation² and its duration⁵; laparoscopy instead of open surgery reduces insulin resistance⁶ as does the anaesthetic technique^{7,8}. It lasts for ~3 weeks after an open abdominal procedure such as cholecystectomy⁹.

The metabolism of glucose in postoperative insulin resistance and the development of complications

Most research into insulin resistance is performed with glucose. Glucose values are increased after surgery and reach very high values if glucose infusions are administered without insulin. In non-severe cases, the absorption of glucose is quickly stimulated with insulin, primarily by activating specific glucose transporters in muscle and fat. After surgery there is a significant blocking of the activation of these transporters called GLUT4. Within the cell, there is also a blocking of the storage of glucose and glycogen, which depletes energy in the muscle. At the same time there is a rapid release of glycogen in the liver, which occurs similarly in the muscle where energy reserves are also exhausted. In the liver, glucose production is supported by the increase of gluconeogenesis from lactate, glycerol and amino acids. Therefore, the liver and periphery raise glucose levels, which is very similar to what happens in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Diabetes studies have shown that although insulin absorption is low in sensitive cells such as muscle and fat, other cells that assimilate glucose according to extracellular concentration, immune cells, endothelial and neural, present an increase in absorption. In diabetes, Brownlee¹⁰ has proposed a mechanism where these cells participate in the complications of diabetes. Briefly, for the assimilation of insulin, independent cells have transporters that recover external glucose values. In situations of glucose overload, these cells can also be overloaded. Without these cells' storage capacity, the glycolytic pathway may become saturated and lead to the production of oxygen free radicals, which will eventually cause changes in gene expression, increasing inflammation and dysfunction. In recent studies in surgical patients, many of the intracellular changes observed in diabetic patients occurred a few hours after surgery^{11,12}. Interestingly, although the cells involved

and diabetic complications are the same as they are in postoperative patients (Table 1), the period differs between the two, relative to the rate of insulin resistance, throughout the years in diabetes and minutes after surgery. Complications can take months or years in diabetics, whereas its development can take days or weeks. Even so, the complications are very similar and include infections, cardiovascular problems and others up to certain neutral points, suggesting that they have a common pathophysiological basis. The field is interesting and important for future research.

Protein metabolism and their recovery after surgery

In a healthy person, insulin mainly affects muscle proteins, reducing their catabolism. However, insulin also stimulates the absorption of proteins and its synthesis in the muscle when accompanied by amino acids, for example, after the consumption of proteinous foods^{13,14}. Resistance to insulin for protein turnover also develops after surgery¹⁵. Strength and muscle mass is lost^{16,17}. This loss, along with the simultaneous depletion of energy sources and the inability to carry external glucose, explains fatigue and the difficulty to move frequently observed after major surgery.

Modification of insulin resistance

As mentioned briefly, there are several ways to modify insulin resistance. This author believes that this is one of the main ways to achieve these objectives⁴— called 'Enhanced Recovery After Surgery' (ERAS). Table 2 shows several treatments known to influence insulin resistance and the specialist responsible for its application. From this table it becomes clear that there must be a joint effort to ensure the least amount of stress or insulin resistance in surgical patients. This is the essence of the ERAS protocols¹⁸. Interestingly, when the ERAS study guides^{19,20} or the most recent from the ERAS society²¹⁻²³ are put to the test, it is shown that improvements depend on their strict adherence and application²⁴. When their use increases from 50 to 90% in almost 1,000 patients with colorectal cancer (50/50), the duration of insulin resistance decreases from an average of 9.5 days to <6 days and complications from 46 to 18%. This shows that the guidelines make a difference. It is very probable that the effect is related to surgical stress and lower insulin resistance⁴.

Table 1 Cells and tissue affected by glucose elevations with clinical manifestations in diabetes and surgery

| Cells/tissue | Complication |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Immune cells | Infection, increased inflammation |
| Endothelial cells | Cardiac, vascular |
| Neural cells | Polyneuropathy |
| Kidney | Failure |

Table 2 List of perioperative treatments known to impact the action of insulin and resistance

| Treatment | Effect of IR | Professionals responsible |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| Fasting of carbohydrates at night treatment | Carbohydrate treatment reduces IR by 50% | Anaesthetist |
| Laparoscopic surgery | Reduces IR >50% | Surgeon |
| Pain control | Pain increases IR | Surgeon/anaesthetist/nurse |
| Epidural anaesthesia | IR can be reduced by up to 50% | Anaesthetist |
| Postoperative food | Improves IR | Surgeon/nurse |

IR: insulin resistance.

Determination of insulin resistance

In insulin resistance studies, using the correct method is very important. Unfortunately, the use of simple, cheap and uncomplicated methods generally leads to confusing results. The only reliable way to assess insulin resistance is the gold standard, the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp technique where the insulin action on the glucose metabolism is studied on observed values after ingestion of food, i.e., normal physiological values²⁵. Other methods cited in the literature include the HOMA and QUICKI, which use baseline glucose and insulin and do not reflect the results using the ERAS clamp method. This causes confusion in the literature because the authors who use these simplified methods tend to use them as if they were one and the same. Although numerous studies using the clamp method demonstrate that the treatment reduces insulin resistance after surgery by 50%²⁶, other studies in similar patient groups using HOMA did not detect this difference²⁷. This is explained by the fact that the main flaw of postoperative insulin resistance is due to the drastic decrease of the incorporation of glucose, which decreases dramatically and is responsible for ~90% of the total change. This part of insulin resistance is not detected by other methods and results in confusion when no insulin resistance is found.

Conclusions

Insulin resistance during surgical procedures is common. Glucose metabolic disorders and protein losses are amongst its consequences. These begin minutes after the trauma. ERAS programmes quickly reduce insulin resistance by reducing operative trauma and pain.

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Bibliography

- Little RA, Henderson A, Frayn KN, Galasko CS, White RH. The disposal of intravenous glucose studied using glucose and insulin clamp techniques in sepsis and trauma in man. *Acta Anaesthesiol Belg*. 1987;38:275-9.
- Thorell A, Nygren J, Ljungqvist O. Insulin resistance: a marker of surgical stress. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 1999;2:69-78.
- Sato H, Carvalho G, Sato T, Lattermann R, Matsukawa T, Schrickler T. The association of preoperative glycemic control, intraoperative insulin sensitivity, and outcomes after cardiac surgery. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol*. 2010;95:4338-44.
- Ljungqvist O, Jonathan E. Rhoads Lecture 2011: Insulin resistance and enhanced recovery after surgery. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2012;36:389-98.
- Nygren J, Soop M, Thorell A, Sree Nair K, Ljungqvist O. Preoperative oral carbohydrates and postoperative insulin resistance. *Clin Nutr*. 1999;18:117-20.
- Thorell A, Nygren J, Essén P, Gutniak M, Loftenius A, Andersson B, et al. The metabolic response to cholecystectomy: insulin resistance after open compared with laparoscopic operation. *Eur J Surg*. 1996;162:187-91.
- Uchida I, Asoh T, Shirasaka C, Tsuji H. Effect of epidural analgesia on postoperative insulin resistance as evaluated by insulin clamp technique. *Br J Surg*. 1988;75:557-62.
- Donatelli F, Vavassori A, Bonfanti S, Parrella P, Lorini L, Fumagalli R, et al. Epidural anesthesia and analgesia decrease the postoperative incidence of insulin resistance in preoperative insulin-resistant subjects only. *Anesth Analg*. 2007;104:1587-93.
- Thorell A, Efedic S, Gutniak M, Häggmark T, Ljungqvist O. Insulin resistance after abdominal surgery. *Br J Surg*. 1994;81:59-63.
- Brownlee M. The pathobiology of diabetic complications: a unifying mechanism. *Diabetes*. 2005;54:1615-25.
- Witasp A, Nordfors L, Schalling M, Nygren J, Ljungqvist O, Thorell A. Increased expression of inflammatory pathway genes in skeletal muscle during surgery. *Clin Nutr*. 2009;28:291-8.
- Witasp A, Nordfors L, Schalling M, Nygren J, Ljungqvist O, Thorell A. Expression of inflammatory and insulin signaling genes in adipose tissue in response to elective surgery. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol*. 2010;95:3460-9.
- Nygren J, Nair KS. Differential regulation of protein dynamics in splanchnic and skeletal muscle beds by insulin and amino acids in healthy human subjects. *Diabetes*. 2003;52:1377-85.
- Lugli AK, Schrickler T, Wykes L, Lattermann R, Carli F. Glucose and protein kinetics in patients undergoing colorectal surgery: preoperative amino acid versus hypocaloric dextrose infusion. *Metabolism*. 2010;59:1649-55.
- Svanfeldt M, Thorell A, Nygren J, Ljungqvist O. Postoperative parenteral nutrition while proactively minimizing insulin resistance. *Nutrition*. 2006;22:457-64.
- Yuill KA, Richardson RA, Davidson HI, Garden OJ, Parks RW. The administration of an oral carbohydrate-containing fluid prior to major elective upper-gastrointestinal surgery preserves skeletal muscle mass postoperatively—a randomised clinical trial. *Clin Nutr*. 2005;24:32-7.

17. Henriksen MG, Hesson I, Dela F, Hansen HV, Haraldsted V, Rodt SA. Effects of preoperative oral carbohydrates and peptides on postoperative endocrine response, mobilization, nutrition and muscle function in abdominal surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2003;47:191-9.
18. Varadhan KK, Lobo DN, Ljungqvist O. Enhanced recovery after surgery: the future of improving surgical care. *Crit Care Clin*. 2010;26:527-47.
19. Fearon KC, Ljungqvist O, Von Meyenfeldt M, Revhaug A, Dejong CH, Lassen K, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery: a consensus review of clinical care for patients undergoing colonic resection. *Clin Nutr*. 2005;24:466-77.
20. Lassen K, Soop M, Nygren J, Cox PB, Hendry PO, Spies C, et al. Consensus review of optimal perioperative care in colorectal surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Group recommendations. *Arch Surg*. 2009;144:961-9.
21. Nygren J. Guidelines for perioperative care in elective rectal/pelvic surgery. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations. *Clin Nutr*. 2012;31:801-16.
22. Lassen K, Coolson MM, Slim K, Carli F, De Aguilar-Nascimento JE, Schäfer M, et al; ERAS® Society; European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism; International Association for Surgical Metabolism and Nutrition. Guidelines for perioperative care for pancreaticoduodenectomy: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations. *Clin Nutr*. 2012;31:817-30.
23. Gustafsson UO, Scott MJ, Schwenk W, Demartines N, Roulin D, Francis N, et al. Guidelines for Perioperative Care in Elective Colonic Surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society Recommendations. *World J Surg*. 2013;37:259-84.
24. Gustafsson UO, Hausel J, Thorell A, Ljungqvist O, Soop M, Nygren J; Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Study Group. Adherence to the enhanced recovery after surgery protocol and outcomes after colorectal cancer surgery. *Arch Surg*. 2011;146:571-7.
25. DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD, Andres R. Glucose clamp technique: a method for quantifying insulin secretion and resistance. *Am J Physiol*. 1979;237:E214-23.
26. Ljungqvist O. Modulating postoperative insulin resistance by preoperative carbohydrate loading. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. 2009;23:401-9.
27. Mathur S, Plank LD, McCall JL, Shapkov P, McIlroy K, Gillanders LK, et al. Randomized controlled trial of preoperative oral carbohydrate treatment in major abdominal surgery. *Br J Surg*. 2010;97:485-94.