

Original Article



www.elsevier.es/rcp



Perceived Stress by Students of the Medical Sciences in Cuba Toward the COVID-19 Pandemic: Results of an Online Survey



Frank Hernández-García^{a,*}, Onelis Góngora Gómez^b, Victor Ernesto González-Velázquez^c, Elys María Pedraza-Rodríguez^c, Rolando Zamora-Fung^d, Luis Alberto Lazo Herrera^e

^a Centro Provincial de Atención y Educación al Paciente Diabético, Hospital Provincial General Docente "Dr. Antonio Luaces Iraola", Facultad de Ciencias Médicas "Dr. José AsseF Yara", Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de Ciego de Ávila, Ciego de Ávila, Cuba

^b Facultad de Ciencias Médicas Mariana Grajales Coello, Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de Holguín, Holguín, Cuba

^c Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de Villa Clara, Villa Clara, Cuba

^d Facultad de Ciencias Médicas Enrique Cabrera, Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de La Habana, La Habana, Cuba

^e Facultad de Ciencias Médicas Dr. Ernesto Che Guevara de la Serna, Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de Pinar del Río, Pinar del Río, Cuba

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 December 2020 Accepted 24 February 2021

Keywords: Coronavirus COVID-19 Psychological stress Medical students

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The aims of this study were to determine the usefulness of the Modified (10item) Scale of Perceived Stress related to COVID-19 (EEP-10-C, for its acronym in Spanish) and to identify the levels of stress perceived by students of medical sciences in Cuba due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted, with self-reported data of students from 14 Cuban universities of medical sciences (n = 200), through an online survey. The EEP-10-C was used as an instrument to identify stress. Its validity was determined through a confirmatory factor analysis and its internal consistency and reliability was measured by the Cronbach's alpha. A cluster analysis was performed to establish as the cut-off point the centre of the cluster with the highest values of stress perceived by the scale.

Results: The average age of the sample was 23.30 ± 1.91 years, with observed scores of the EEP-10-C between 0 and 29 points (13.25 ± 5.404). When applying the cut-off point ≥ 25 , only two students had high rates of perceived stress. The confirmatory factor analysis supported the validity of the instrument ($\alpha = 0.755$). The cut-off point ≥ 20 was proposed as a reference of high stress perceived for the study population, when applying this one, 14% of students presented high rates of stress.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: frankhernandezgarcia1996@gmail.com (F. Hernández-García).

2530-3120/@ 2021 Asociación Colombiana de Psiquiatría. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Conclusions: In Cuba, students of the medical sciences have participated in research and healthcare support, despite which they have presented low levels of stress. The main contribution of the research was the validation of the EEP-10-C for its use in assessing levels of stress in Cuban medical students, proposing the cut-off point \geq 20 as a reference of high stress perceived.

© 2021 Asociación Colombiana de Psiquiatría. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Estrés percibido por estudiantes de ciencias médicas en Cuba ante la pandemia por COVID-19: resultados de una encuesta en línea

RESUMEN

Introducción: Los objetivos de este estudio fueron determinar la utilidad de la Escala de Estrés Percibido Modificada (10 ítems) relacionada con COVID-19 (EEP-10-C) e identificar los niveles de estrés percibido por estudiantes de ciencias médicas en Cuba por la pandemia de COVID-19.

Métodos: Se realizó un estudio transversal, con datos informados por los estudiantes de 14 universidades de ciencias médicas de Cuba (n = 200) a través de una encuesta en línea. El EEP-10-C se utilizó como instrumento para identificar el estrés. Su validez se determinó mediante análisis factorial confirmatorio y su consistencia interna y su confiabilidad, mediante alfa de Cronbach. Se realizó un análisis de conglomerados para establecer como punto de corte el centro del conglomerado con los valores más altos de estrés percibido en la escala.

Resultados: La edad promedio de la muestra fue $23,30 \pm 1,91$ años, con puntajes observados de la EEP-10-C entre 0 y 29 puntos ($13,25 \pm 5,404$). Al aplicar el punto de corte ≥ 25 , solo 2 estudiantes tuvieron altos índices de estrés percibido. El análisis factorial confirmatorio respaldó la validez del instrumento ($\alpha = 0,755$). Se propuso el punto de corte ≥ 20 como referencia de alto estrés percibido para la población de estudio; al aplicarlo, el 14% de los estudiantes tenían altos índices de estrés.

Conclusiones: En Cuba, estudiantes de ciencias médicas han participado en investigaciones y apoyo a la atención de la salud, a pesar de lo cual han presentado bajos niveles de estrés. El principal aporte de la investigación fue la validación de la EEP-10-C para su uso en la evaluación de los niveles de estrés de los estudiantes de Medicina cubanos, y se propone el punto de corte \geq 20 como referencia del alto estrés percibido.

© 2021 Asociación Colombiana de Psiquiatría. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

The appearance of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) that causes the coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19), declared as a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020,¹ has resulted in a succession of changes in all spheres of social life. We must acknowledge that the senior educational system was also unprepared for a disruption of the kind of the COVID-19 pandemic;² consequently, more than 100 countries have been affected by the suspension of teaching activities.¹

Medical education has been affected as well in different regions of the planet,^{3,4} and the proposals made to mitigate the effects of the discontinuity of teaching are numerous, reaching from the implementation of distance education modalities to the incorporation of students to health care labors;^{5–10} in such a way that students becomes an active element in solving the problem and not part of it.

In Cuba, students of finals years of the medical careers have been worked bringing assistance in hospitals and isolation centers for cases suspicious of COVID-19. In addition, some distance teaching modalities have been trialed with good results so far, regarding that they have been never used in Cuban medical education. An element to be emphasized is the massive incorporation of students from all medical careers and academic years to active inquiries work in primary health care, in search of respiratory symptomatic cases, with prior vigorous training for this activity.^{11–13}

Stress is a widespread health problem in the world.¹³ It is the process or reflex that is set in motion when a person perceives a complex situation in which they find something that is threatening themselves. According to its determination, it is a social phenomenon, and by its nature, it is also a psychophysiological singularity,^{14,15} which by acting constantly on one individual and added to other factors, becomes a trigger for numerous diseases. University students constitute a vulnerable population for mental health problems because

Palabras clave: Coronavirus COVID-19 Estrés psicológico Estudiantes de Medicina of the changes they experiment in the transition to adult life. 16,17

The COVID-19 pandemic has generated among the population high degrees of stress, anxiety and depression, resultant from the effects of quarantine and social isolation, to which it is added for the specific case of health professionals, the stress generated by health care to the contagious patients and overload of work.^{18–20} Regarding these elements, it is necessary to have valid and reliable measurements implemented in a remote manner. In this sense, among other instruments, there is the Cohen scale of stress perceived (PSE)²¹ and a modified version of it for the Colombian population.²² The last one, known as the 10-item stress perceived scale modified in relation to COVID-19 (EEP-10-C), more adapted to the Latin American context, have not been validated among students of the medical sciences or other populations than the Colombian.

In the actual Cuban context, there is an absence of a validated instrument to determine the stress levels perceived toward the COVID-19 pandemic, and specifically among students of the medical sciences, who as well as the health professionals, are individuals with high risk of infeccion. It worth it to investigate if the scale based on the EEP-10-C is valid among students of the medical sciences, regarding the characteristics of this kind of population, as well as the possibility of facilitating more specific evaluations and interventions in the vulnerable population. The aims of this study were to asses the usefulness of the EPP-10-C scale and to identify the stress levels perceived in Cuban students of the medical sciences during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

Design and study population

An observational, analytical and cross-sectional, multicenter study was carried out. Data were self-reported through social networks. The group of possible participants was made up of students of the medical sciences (Medicine, Stomatology, Nursing and Health technologies) of the 2019-2020 academic year, from 14 of the 16 medical universities of Cuba. Of all the potential participants, 200 students completed and returned the surveys.

Data processing

The questionnaires were sent through groups of medical science students on *WhatsApp*. Before sending the survey, the participants gave their consent by reading and approving the study objectives, the target population and the nature of their voluntary participation, the risks/benefits and the confidentiality of their data. The survey was sent on August 4, 2020 at 20:00 h, and the responses were expected until August 17 at 20:00 h.

Instrument and measurements

Data were collected on the following sociodemographic traits of the participants: age, gender, academic year and medical university. In order to determine possible stressors associated with stress due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the following information was requested: cohabitation at home, medical history, and history of relative infected by COVID-19. Finally, the labor carried out during the months of the pandemic/quarantine was collected ("permanently isolated at home", "related to investigation work", "providing health care in hospitals", "collaborating in an isolation centers for suspected patients", "other activity" and specify, or a combination of the above).

Then, in the same survey, participants answered the version modified by Campo-Arias²² of the 10-item stress perceived scale modified in relation to COVID-19. This scale

Table 1 – Socio-educational characteristics of students of the medical sciences who participated in the online survey.

Variable	
Age Gender	22.30 ± 1.91
Female Male	78 (39.0) 122 (61.0)
Career Medicine Stomatology Nursing Health technologies	172 (86.0) 20 (10.0) 5 (2.5) 3 (1.5)
Academic year First Second Third Fourth Fifth Sixth	11 (5.5) 10 (5.0) 27 (13.5) 58 (29.0) 57 (28.5) 37 (18.5)
Cohabitation at home Lives alone Lives with mate Lives with parents Lives with brothers/sisters Lives with grandparents Lives with other relatives	10 (5.0) 20 (10.0) 91 (45.5) 10 (5.0) 23 (11.5) 1 (0.5)
Medical history None Bonchial asthma Diabetes mellitus Hypertension Immunodeficiencies Other	120 (60.0) 56 (28.0) 2 (1.0) 9 (4.5) 1 (0.5) 15 (7.5)
Relatives affected by COVID-19 None Family Friend Partner Neighbour	185 (92.5) 8 (4.0) 4 (2.0) 2 (1.0) 1 (0.5)
Labor carried out during the pandemic Permanently isolated at home Active inquiries work Providing health care in hospitals Collaborating in an isolation centers	3 (1.5) 154 (77.0) 30 (15.0) 13 (6.5)

Data are shown as mean \pm standard deviation or n (%).

During the last month	Never		Hardly ever		Occasionally		Almost always		Always		Р
	Mn (%)	Fn (%)	Mn (%)	Fn (%)	Mn (%)	Fn (%)	Mn (%)	F n (%)	Mn (%)	F n (%)	
1. I have been affected as if	38	21	33	15	45	36	4 (3.3)	6 (7.7)	2 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	.224
something serious will happen unexpectedly with the epidemic	(31.1)	(26.9)	(27.0)	(19.2)	(36.9)	(46.2)					
2. I have felt able to control the	1 (0.8)	1 (1.3)	9 (7.4)	7 (9.0)	21	13	57	32	34	25	.929
important things in my life because of the epidemic					(17.2)	(16.7)	(46.7)	(41.0)	(27.9)	(32.1)	
3. I have felt nervous or stressed	11 (9.0)	4 (5.1)	29	13	51	33	20	20	11 (9.0)	8 (10.3)	.380
about the epidemic			(23.8)	(16.7)	(41.8)	(42.3)	(16.4)	(25.6)			
4. I have been confident about my	2 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	3 (1.5)	1 (1.3)	22	11	42	32	53	34	.659
ability to handle my personal					(18.0)	(14.1)	(34.4)	(41.0)	(43.4)	(43.6)	
problems related to the epidemic											
5. I have felt that things are going	3 (2.5)	5 (6.4)	13	11	50	37	38	20	18	5 (6.4)	.192
well (optimistic) with the epidemic			(10.7)	(14.1)	(41.0)	(47.4)	(31.1)	(25.6)	(14.8)		
5. I have felt able to face the things	1 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	3 (2.5)	2 (2.6)	10 (8.2)	12	53	27	55	37	.437
hat I have to do to control the possible infection						(15.4)	(43.4)	(34.6)	(45.1)	(47.4)	
7. I have felt that I can control the	1 (0.8)	3 (3.8)	6 (4.9)	4 (5.1)	29	16	54	33	32	22	.669
lifficulties that could appear in my ife due to the infection					(23.8)	(20.5)	(44.3)	(42.3)	(26.2)	(28.2)	
3. I have felt that I have almost	3 (2.5)	7 (9.0)	10 (8.2)	8 (10.3)	40	27	51	26	18	10	.257
everything under control regarding the epidemic					(32.8)	(34.6)	(24.8)	(33.3)	(14.8)	(12.8)	
9. I have been upset that things	15	10	18	11	58	28	26	19	5 (4.1)	10	.161
related to the epidemic are almost all out of control	(12.3)	(12.8)	(14.8)	(14.1)	(47.5)	(35.9)	(21.3)	(24.4)		(12.8)	
10. I have felt that difficulties	41	30	42	20	31	18	5 (4.1)	7 (9.0)	3 (2.5)	3 (3.8)	.441
accumulate in these days of the	(33.6)	(38.5)	(34.4)	(25.6)	(25.4)	(23.1)					
epidemic and I feel unable to overcome them											

* Bilateral asymptotic significance of the Monte Carlo test.

was previously adjusted in its items 2 and 6, and with language adapted to our context. The EEP-10-C is composed of 10 items; each one of them offers 5 response options: "never", "almost never", "occasionally", "almost always", and "always". Items 1, 3, 9 and 10 are scored directly from 0 to 4 and items 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, contrariwise, from 4 to 0. The EEP-10-C was considered due to the acceptable internal consistency shown in other studies²¹. The suggested high stress cut-off point perceived by the EEP-10-C developers for the population of the scale creators was \geq 25 points.

Analysis of data

The Monte Carlo significance for Pearson's χ^2 -test was calculated to determine whether there were statistical differences between genderes in responses to the multiple choice survey. The validity of the instrument was determined by an exploratory factor analysis (EFA) using the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) sampling adequacy measure and Bartlett's sphericity test to identify whether the items grouped a latent factor. The internal consistency and reliability of the scale were calculated with Cronbach's alpha coefficient. A K-means cluster analysis was conducted, regarding the total score of the EPP-10-C scale as the dependent variable, to establish as the cut-off point the center of the cluster with the highest values of stress perceived by the scale. The means between groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney U-test after verifying the non-normality of the distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data analysis was performed using IBM-SPSS version 22.0.

Ethical principles

Research was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. There was no potential harm to participants, informed consent forms were collected and anonymity was guaranteed.

Results

Table 1 shows the descriptive analysis of the sample, composed of students from all Medical Universities of Cuba. There was representativeness of all careers, with a wild predominance of Medicine. Students in terminal years (fourth, fifth and sixth) constituted the majority of the group studied, with predominance of young students who stioll lived with their parents. In the medical history analysis, it was found that bronchial asthma was reported by 28% of the students, while 60% did not report the presence of personal pathological antecedents. None of the students referred to have been ill with COVID-19, however 7.5% of those surveyed reported knowing someone who contracted the disease. The active inquiries work predominated among the activities carried out during the epidemic months (77.0%), where only 1.5% of the students reported having been permanently isolated at home.

Table 2 shows that there were no statistically significant differences between genders in terms of answers given in the EEP-10-C questionnaire in any of the items that are evaluated on the scale. The scores obtained on the scale were

Table 3 – Exploratory factor analysis according to Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin sample adequacy measure.	the
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin sampling adequacy measure Bartlett's sphericity	.770
Estimated χ^2	389.437
df	45
p	<.001
df: degrees of freedom. Extraction method: main components analysis.	

generally low. Only 2 subjects scored higher than the cut-off point (25) proposed previously for high stress perceived related to COVID-19. The mean of the scale scores was $13.25 \pm 5,404$, with values between 0 and 29 points.

The measurement instrument validity was confirmed by the exploratory factor analysis (EFA), which made it possible to determine that the sample is adequate for the instrument, with association between items. In this analysis, it was found that all the communalities in the extraction were >.4; with a sample adequacy measure of KMO >.5 and a statistical significance of Bartlett sphericity test < .05 (table 3). These results allow to applie the scale legitimately regarding its validation in the analyzed sample.

Table 4 shows the reliability analysis by applying Cronbach's alpha coefficient, which allows to evaluate the internal consistency of the instrument. The internal consistency of the EEP-10-C is good (α = .755), which means that the scale has good psychometric properties, representing the high rate to which the items are correlated with each other. The results of the descriptive reliability analysis for Cronbach's alpha if one element is eliminated, did not show any useful results under the assumption of eliminating any of the items to increase the reliability of the scale, thus confirming the validity and precision of the EEP-10-C.

Table 5 shows the clusters obtained through the K-means analysis, by means of which three homogeneous but at the same time significantly different from each other groups were created (P = .000) according to the score on the EEP-10-C scale. The centers of the final clusters represent the average values of each cluster, therefore it is understood as the mean score obtained by the subjects belonging to each group.

Cluster 3 was made up of the 56 individuals with the highest scores on the EEP-10-C scale, so its center is proposed as a reference to establish the cut-off point (\geq 20) for high stress perceived related to COVID-19 in the study population.

Table 6 shows the comparison of the sample according to the score achieved on the scale and the classification of the groups using the proposed cut-off point (\geq 20). It was observed that 28 students were identified with high stress perceived related to COVID-19, resulting in the difference between both groups as statistically significant (P<.001).

Discussion

This is the first study applying the 10-item stress perceived scale modified in relation to COVID-19 (EPP-10-C)²² or some version of the Cohen scale²¹ in medical science students in Cuba and in students from Spanish America in general.

Table 4 – Reliability analysis of the 10-item stress perceived scale modified in relation to COVID-19 (EPP-10-C).								
Items	Mean of scale if item is removed	Scale variance if item is removed	Corrected item-total correlation	Cronbach's alpha if item is removed				
1. I have been affected as if something serious will happen unexpectedly with the epidemic	12.01	24.332	.412	.735				
2. I have felt able to control the important things in my life because of the epidemic	12.19	23.951	.478	.726				
 I have felt nervous or stressed about the epidemic 	11.22	24.213	.378	.740				
 I have been confident about my ability to handle my personal problems related to the epidemic 	12.45	24.178	.509	.723				
5. I have felt that things are going well (optimistic) with the epidemic	11.57	24.106	.439	.731				
6. I have felt able to face the things that I have to do to control the possible infection	12.54	25.335	.402	.737				
 I have felt that I can control the difficulties that could appear in my life due to the infection 	12.14	22.982	.600	.709				
8. I have felt that I have almost everything under control regarding the epidemic	11.73	23.959	.429	.733				
9. I have been upset that things related to the epidemic are almost all out of control	11.27	24.922	.287	.755				
 I have felt that difficulties accumulate in these days of the epidemic and I feel unable to overcome them 	12.15	25.023	.292	.753				
α = .755.								

Table 5 – Cluster analysis of K-means according to the score on the scale of stress perceived related to the COVID-19 pandemic (EPP-10-C).

	Centers of the finals conglomerates			Indiv	iduals in each c	Square mean	df	Al	NOVA	
	1	2	3	1	2	3			F	Р
EPP-10-C scores	6	13	20	52	92	56	2435.37	2	509.98	<.001*

df: degrees of freedom.

* Statistically significant.

Table 6 – Distribution of students according to scores on the 10-item stress perceived scale modified in relation to COVID-19 (EPP-10-C) and stress levels according to the cut-off point \geq 20.

	High stress perceived	Ν	Mean	Standard deviation	Tipic error of mean	Р
EPP-10-C scores	No	172	11.88	4.458	0.340	<.001*
	Yes	28	21.68	1.926	0.364	
* Statistically significant						

Statistically significant

Participants in this investigation were all young students of the medical sciences, the majority of whom were male. However, no statistically significant differences were found between gender and responses to the EEP-10-C or high level of stress, which differs from other studies.^{23,24}

In this investigation, when applying the cut-off point \geq 25, only 2 students had a high degree of stress perceived, with a mean score in the EEP-10-C of 13.25 ± 5.40 points, which indicated low stress perceived in this population. Campo-Arias²² obtained a mean score on the scale of 16.5 ± 7.3 , with values between 0 and 36 points, reporting 58 (14.28%) individuals with

high stress perceived, but it should be noted that its population was more heterogeneous regarding age, with participants between 19 and 88 years old (mean, 43.9 ± 12.4). Aslan et al.²⁴ evaluated stress perceived in nursing students in Turkey, using a validated version of Cohen's scale, in which they obtained a mean of score on the scale much higher than the present investigation (31.69 \pm 6.91).

Other authors have evaluated the psychological impact of COVID-19. In a study that evaluated the stress perceived in students at KSA using the Cohen scale²⁵, mean scores of 22.12 ± 7.33 were shown, which are higher compared to this

study, and concerned a higher degree of stress. Similar results to those have been found in researchs carried out on university students in France²⁶, Spain²⁷, Belarus, and Russia²⁸ during the COVID-19 pandemic, where anxiety, stress and depression were reported. The results of these studies may be partly due to the stress generated in the context of quarantine and social distancing,¹⁹ which has affected the whole world, but more severely to countries with higher population density.

Students of the medical sciences in Cuba have been actively participating in labors of antagonizing the disease related to active inquiries work or providing health care. On the other hand, this fact generates a paradox, because although they are not victims of the severe effects of prolonged quarantine and social distancing, they have been exposed to a major risk, given by the low percentage of stress perceived (1% for cutoff point \geq 25 and 14% for cut-off point \geq 20). This last result may be influenced by having up-to-date information on the disease, which has been associated with less psychological impact.18,29

Not all studies has shown a negative impact of COVID-19 on the mental health of university students. An investigation carried out in China on undergraduate medical students showed that only a minority of this group had moderate (2.7%) or severe (0.9%) anxiety.³⁰

After performing the K-means conglomerate analysis, from which a cut-off point of \geq 20 is proposed in the EEP-10-C for Cuban medical science students, those classified as high stress perceived increase, despite this new group only represent 14% of the total. In another study carried out in Cuba, it was found that medical students who participated in the active inquiries work presented low vulnerability to stress, not being present in 83% of the sample studied.³¹ This result is similar to the obteined in this study, though in that case the instruments used was different from ours and it was not validated for the population on the context of COVID-19.

The limitations of the study include those inherent to cross-sectional studies when establishing statistical associations and not causality. Other limitations include the procedures for the conformation of the sample (nonprobabilistic) and the virtual form of the survey that could generate recall and selection biases, as well as the short time limit for the students answers. In contrast, stress perceived was not stratified into levels, only the high degree of stress perceived or not was considered. Future research should take this limitation into account and stratify the population according to the level of stress into low, medium, and high levels and look for possible statistical associations between this and sociodemographic factors, which was not possible in this research due to the poor percentage of stress perceived (<1%), and even after adjusting the cut-off point to ≥ 20 (14%); however, the validity and internal consistency of the EEP-10-C was demonstrated, with the potentiality to generalize its application in Cuba.

The strength of the research is that it is one of the first studies to address aspects of the mental and occupational health of students of the medical sciences in Cuba toward the epidemic, carried out with an easy-to-fill online survey. Another strength is the representativeness of students from all the medical universities of Cuba. The main contribution of the research was

the validation of the EEP-10-C for Cuban medical majors, and the proposal of the cut-off point \geq 20 as high stress perceived for this population to be evaluated for other authors in future research.

Other subsequent studies should evaluate the validity of the instrument for the rest of the Cuban population and health professionals, to assess if it is necessary to formulate psychological interventions to improve the mental health of vulnerable populations during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Funding source

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The authors assumed the costs of its execution.

Conflict of interests

None.

Acknowledgments

Our most sincere thanks to students of the medical sciences in Cuba who voluntarily agreed to participate in this survey.

REFERENCES

- 1. Alemán I, Vera E, Patiño-Torres MJ. COVID-19 y la educación médica: retos y oportunidades en Venezuela. Educ Med. 2020;21:272-6.
- 2. Pedró F. COVID-19 y educación superior en América Latina y el Caribe: efectos, impactos y recomendaciones políticas. Análisis Carolina. 2020:1–15. Disponible en: https://www.fundacioncarolina.es/wpcontent/uploads/2020/06/AC-36.-2020.pdf.
- 3. Choi B, Jegatheeswaran L, Minocha A, Alhilani M, Nakhoul M, Mutengesa E. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on final year medical students in the United Kingdom: a national survey. BMC Med Educ. 2020;20:206.
- 4. Klasen JM, Vithyapathy A, Zante B, Burm S. The storm has arrived: the impact of SARS-CoV-2 on medical students. Perspect Med Educ. 2020;9:181-5.
- 5. Valdez-García JE, López Cabrera MV, Jiménez Martínez MA, Díaz Elizondo JA, Gerardo Dávila Rivas JA, Olivares Olivares SL. Me preparo para ayudar: respuesta de escuelas de medicina y ciencias de la salud ante COVID-19. Inv Ed Med. 2020;9:85-95.
- 6. San-Juan-Bosch M, García-Núñez R, Mur-Villar N, Falcón-Hernández A, Díaz-Brito A. Experiencias y alternativas académicas de la Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de Cienfuegos durante la COVID-19. Medisur. 2020;18:410-5. Disponible en: http://medisur.sld.cu/index.php/medisur/ article/view/4712
- 7. Artopoulos A. COVID-19: ¿Qué hicieron los países para continuar con la educación a distancia? Rev Lat Am Educ Comparada. 2020;11:1-11. Disponible en: http://www.saece.com.ar/relec/revistas/17/doc2.pdf.
- 8. Vergara de la Rosa E, Vergara Tam R, Alvarez Vargas M, Camacho Saavedra L, Galvez Olortegui J. Educación médica a distancia en tiempos de COVID-19. Educ Med Super. 2020;34:e2383. Disponible en:

http://www.ems.sld.cu/index.php/ems/article/view/2383.

- Vitón-Castillo AA, Lazo Herrera LA. Las TIC en la educación médica cubana en tiempos de COVID-19. Educ Med. 2020 [en prensa]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edumed.2020.07.013.
- Tabari P, Amini M, Moosavi M. Lessons learned from COVID-19 epidemic in Iran: The role of medical education. Med Teach. 2020;42:833.
- Hernández-García F, Góngora-Gómez O. Rol del estudiante de ciencias médicas frente a la COVID-19: el ejemplo de Cuba. Educ Med. 2020;21:281–2.
- Falcón-Hernández A, Navarro-Machado V, Díaz-Brito A, Delgado-Acosta H, Valdés-Gómez M. Pesquisa activa masiva poblacional para la COVID-19 Experiencia con estudiantes de las ciencias médicas. Cienfuegos, 2020. Medisur. 2020;18:381–7. Disponible en: http://medisur.sld.cu/index.php/medisur/article/view/4655.
- Pedraza-Rodríguez EM, González-Velázquez VE, López-Baeza PM. Labor de los estudiantes de medicina cubanos en la pesquisa activa durante la pandemia del SARS-CoV-2. Unimed. 2020;2:1–3. Disponible en: http://www.revunimed. scu.sld.cu/index.php/revestud/article/view/64.
- 14. Alfonso Águila B, Calcines Castillo M, Monteagudo de la Guardia R, Nieves Achon Z. Estrés académico. Rev EDUMECENTRO. 2015;7:163–78. Disponible en: http://www. revedumecentro.sld.cu/index.php/edumc/article/view/530.
- 15. Pérez Núñez D, García Viamontes J, García González TE, Ortiz Vázquez D, Centelles Cabreras M. Conocimientos sobre estrés, salud y creencias de control para la Atención Primaria de Salud. Rev Cubana Med Gen Integr. 2014;30:354–63.
- 16. Auerbach RP, Mortier P, Bruffaerts R, et al. WHO World mental health surveys international college student project: prevalence and distribution of mental disorders. J. Abnorm Psychol. 2018;127:623–38.
- 17. Micin S, Bagladi V. Salud mental en estudiantes universitarios: incidencia de psicopatología y antecedentes de conducta suicida en población que acude a un servicio de salud estudiantil. Ter Psicol. 2011;29:53–64.
- Lozano-Vargas A. Impacto de la epidemia del Coronavirus (COVID-19) en la salud mental del personal de salud y en la población general de China. Rev Neuropsiquiatr. 2020;83:51–6.
- **19.** Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020;395:912–20.
- 20. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among Chinese people in the

COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. Gen Psychiatry. 2020;2:20200306.

- 21. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of stress perceived. J Health Soc Behav. 1983;24:385–96.
- 22. Campo-Arias A, Pedrozo-Cortés MJ, Pedrozo-Pupo JH. Escala de estrés percibido relacionado con la pandemia de COVID-19: Una exploración del desempeño psicométrico en línea. Rev Colomb Psiquiatr. 2020;49:229–30.
- Matus E, Matus L, Florez AM, et al. Estrés por COVID-19 en Panamá. Alternativas cubanas en Psicología. 2020;8:120–35. Disponible en: http://www.acupsi.org/articulo/314/estrs-porcovid-19-en-panam-.html.
- Aslan H, Pekince H. Nursing students' views on the COVID-19 pandemic and their percieved stress levels. Perspect Psychiatr Care. 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ppc.12597.
- 25. AlAteeq DA, Sumayah Aljhani S, AlEesa D. Stress perceived among students in virtual classrooms during the COVID-19 outbreak in KSA. J Taibah Univ Med Sci. 2020;15:398–403.
- Husky MM, Kovess-Masfety V, Swendsen JD. Stress and anxiety among university students in France during Covid-19 mandatory confinement. Compr Psychiatry. 2020;102:152191.
- 27. Odriozola González P, Planchuelo Gómez A, Irurtia MJ, de Luis García R. Psychological effects of the COVID 19 outbreak and lockdown among students and workers of a Spanish University. Psychiatry Res. 2020;290:113108.
- 28. Gritsenko V, Skugarevsky O, Konstantinov V, et al. COVID-19 fear, stress, anxiety, and substance use among Russian and Belarusian university students. Int J Ment Health Addiction. 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00330-z.
- 29. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:1729.
- **30.** Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. Psychiatry Res. 2020;287:112934.
- 31. Pérez Abreu MR, Gómez Tejeda JJ, Tamayo Velázquez O, Iparraguirre Tamayo AE, Besteiro Arjona ED. Alteraciones psicológicas en estudiantes de medicina durante la pesquisa activa de la COVID-19. MEDISAN. 2020;24:537–48. Disponible en: http://www.medisan.sld.cu/index.php/ san/article/view/3159.