



Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica

www.elsevier.es/eimc



Original article

Impact of ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT on the management of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia: a retrospective observational study



Paula Suanzes^{a,b,1}, Rein Willekens^{a,b,1}, Mireia Puig-Asensio^{c,d}, María Nazarena Pizzi^{b,e}, Albert Roque^{b,f}, Marta Barrios^g, Marc Simó^g, Dolors Rodríguez-Pardo^{a,b,d}, María Nieves Larrosa^{d,h}, Núria Fernández-Hidalgo^{a,b,d,*}, Benito Almirante^{a,b,d}

^a Infectious Diseases Department, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Vall d'Hebron Barcelona Hospital Campus, Barcelona, Spain

^b Medicine Department, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain

^c Infectious Diseases Department, Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, Carrer de la Feixa Llarga, s/n, Barcelona, Spain

^d Spanish Network for the Study of Infectious Diseases (REIPI), Spain

^e Cardiology Department, Vall d'Hebron Hospital Universitari, Vall d'Hebron Barcelona Hospital Campus, Barcelona, Spain

^f Radiology Department, Vall d'Hebron Hospital Universitari, Vall d'Hebron Barcelona Hospital Campus, Barcelona, Spain

^g Nuclear Medicine Department, Vall d'Hebron Hospital Universitari, Vall d'Hebron Barcelona Hospital Campus, Barcelona, Spain

^h Microbiology Department, Vall d'Hebron Hospital Universitari, Vall d'Hebron Barcelona Hospital Campus, Barcelona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 September 2021

Accepted 30 November 2021

Available online 29 October 2022

Keywords:

Staphylococcus aureus

Bacteraemia¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To assess the impact of ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT on the diagnosis and management of patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia (SAB).

Methods: *Post hoc* analysis of a prospective cohort of consecutive adult patients diagnosed with SAB (January 2013–December 2017). Patients who underwent ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT at the discretion of the attending physician were included. Endpoints were the identification of previously unknown infectious foci and changes in clinical management, defined as changes in the duration or class of antibiotic therapy, a surgical procedure on the source of infection or a change in the decision to remove or retain an implantable device.

Results: We included 39 patients (median age: 69 years, IQR:60–79). Fifteen (39%) patients did not have an infectious focus identified before ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT). Thirty new infectious foci were detected in 22/39 (56%) patients. In 11/15 (73%) patients without an identified focus at least one infectious focus was detected by ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT. In 22/26 (85%) patients with implantable devices, ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT confirmed or ruled out infection or detected local complications. Out of 13 device infections, 10 were detected by ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT (7/10 for the first time). In 19/39 (49%) patients ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT results led to changes in clinical management (15 changes in antibiotic therapy, 2 device removals, 2 surgical procedures, 1 avoidance of a surgical procedure).

Conclusions: ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT may be a useful asset in the management of selected SAB cases, allowing the identification of previously undetected infectious foci and optimization of therapy, particularly in patients with endovascular devices. Indication should be made on a case-by-case basis.

© 2021 Sociedad Española de

Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Impacto de la ^{18}F -FDG-PET/TC en el manejo de la bacteriemia por *Staphylococcus aureus*: resultados de un estudio retrospectivo observacional

RESUMEN

Objetivos: Evaluar el impacto de la ^{18}F -FDG-PET/TC en el diagnóstico y manejo de pacientes con bacteriemia por *Staphylococcus aureus* (BSA).

Palabras clave:

Staphylococcus aureus

Bacteriemia¹⁸F-FDG-PET/TC

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2021.11.013>

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: nuferman@gmail.com (N. Fernández-Hidalgo).

¹ P Suanzes and R Willekens contributed equally to the work.

Métodos: Análisis *post hoc* de una cohorte prospectiva de pacientes adultos consecutivos con BSA (enero 2013–diciembre 2017). Se incluyeron aquellos pacientes en los que se realizó una ^{18}F -FDG-PET/TC a criterio del médico tratante. Los criterios de valoración fueron la identificación de nuevos focos infecciosos y los cambios en el manejo clínico (definidos como modificaciones en la duración o clase del tratamiento antibiótico, intervención quirúrgica sobre el foco infeccioso o cambios en la decisión de retirar o mantener un dispositivo implantable).

Resultados: Se incluyeron 39 pacientes (edad mediana: 69 años, RIC: 60–79). En 15 (39%) pacientes no se había identificado un foco infeccioso antes de la ^{18}F -FDG-PET/TC. Se identificaron 30 nuevos focos infecciosos en 22/39 (56%) pacientes. En 11/15 (73%) pacientes sin un foco infeccioso identificado la ^{18}F -FDG-PET/TC detectó al menos un foco infeccioso. En 22/26 (85%) pacientes con dispositivos implantables la ^{18}F -FDG-PET/TC permitió confirmar/descartar infección del dispositivo o detectar complicaciones locales. Diez de 13 infecciones de dispositivos fueron detectadas por ^{18}F -FDG-PET/TC (7/10 desconocidas previamente). En 19/39 (49%) pacientes los hallazgos en la ^{18}F -FDG-PET/TC conllevaron cambios en el manejo clínico (15 modificaciones de tratamiento antibiótico, 2 retiradas de dispositivo, 2 intervenciones quirúrgicas, 1 procedimiento quirúrgico evitado).

Conclusiones: La ^{18}F -FDG-PET/TC puede ser de utilidad en la BSA, ya que permite identificar nuevos focos infecciosos y modificar el manejo clínico, sobre todo en pacientes con dispositivos endovasculares. La indicación ha de individualizarse en cada paciente.

© 2021 Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus is an important human pathogen and one of the major causes of bloodstream infections.¹ *S. aureus* bacteraemia (SAB) causes significant morbidity, with mortality ranging from 20 to 50% according to different studies.^{2–6} The persistence of SAB and the source and extension of the infection are key for defining the duration of antibiotic therapy and determining prognosis in each case.² Approximately one-third of patients with SAB develop metastatic complications^{7,8} and only 40–60% of these metastatic foci present with localizing signs or symptoms that may guide the use of diagnostic tests.^{9,10} A focus of infection is not found in approximately 25% of patients with SAB.¹¹ The ability to adequately control the source of infection in patients with SAB is associated with better outcomes.^{2,3,12,13}

Although the performance of an echocardiogram is well established for patients with complicated SAB or predisposing conditions for infective endocarditis (IE),⁵ other imaging techniques might be of use for identifying other focal infections and guiding the clinical management in patients with SAB. ^{18}F fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT) has proven to be a useful diagnostic tool in patients with suspected prosthetic valve endocarditis and intracardiac device infections.¹⁴ It has also been proposed as an alternative imaging modality to diagnose infectious foci in patients with bacteraemia caused by *S. aureus* or other Gram-positive cocci.^{15–19} However, there is still a lack of agreement on when and in which cases should ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT be performed in patients with SAB. Moreover, previous studies have not evaluated the performance of ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT in patients with SAB and implantable devices.

In the present study, we aimed to assess the impact of ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT on the diagnosis of infectious foci and the clinical management of patients with SAB.

Patients and methods

Study design and participants

This study is a *post hoc* analysis of data collected within a prospective, observational, single-center cohort study of consecutive patients with SAB that was conducted between January 2013 and December 2017 at Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron,²⁰ a 1000-bed tertiary university hospital in Barcelona (Spain). All cases were prospectively evaluated by an infectious diseases specialist,

but decisions on clinical management and antimicrobial therapy were made by the attending physician. All patients 18 years of age or older diagnosed with monomicrobial SAB were included. In each patient, only the first episode of SAB during the study period was included. Patients were followed for 90 days after completing SAB treatment or until death. If clinical monitoring concluded within 90 days after treatment, follow-up was completed by telephone interview. We also checked primary care records and other regional hospital registries if needed.

We retrospectively reviewed those patients in the cohort who underwent a ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT according to the attending physician's medical opinion.

Study variables and data collection

Demographic, clinical and microbiological data were prospectively collected for the original cohort. Follow-up blood cultures were drawn at the attending physician's discretion. Data regarding ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT were retrospectively collected through an electronic chart review and entered in a database specifically designed for the study.

Definitions

Bacteraemia duration was defined as the number of days between the first and the last positive blood culture for *S. aureus*. Persistent SAB was defined as the isolation of *S. aureus* in blood cultures after 72 h of active antimicrobial therapy according to susceptibility testing. Persistent fever was defined as at least one determination of axillary temperature above 37.5°C after 72 h of active antimicrobial therapy. Complicated SAB was defined as the persistence of positive blood cultures after ≥ 3 days of active treatment, development of septic thrombophlebitis, infective endocarditis, infected arterial aneurysm, endovascular graft infection, or other metastatic distant foci; and any device-related infection where the device could not be removed in the first 3 days. SAB-definite therapy was defined as the main antibiotic administered during therapy.

The source of bacteraemia was established according to the Centers for Disease Control criteria.²¹ SAB was considered catheter-related if the Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines' criteria for a definite diagnosis of catheter-related bloodstream infection were met²² or if there were clinical signs of phlebitis or purulence at the catheter insertion site without any other plausi-

ble primary source of the bacteraemia. When a source of infection could not be identified, it was classified as an unknown source. Definite IE was defined according to the 2015 European Society of Cardiology guidelines,²³ cases before August 2015 were retrospectively reviewed. Endovascular device infections (e.g. pacemaker infections) and IE were considered different entities. Appropriate source control was defined as the removal of all intravascular catheters (confirmed or suspected as a source of SAB) present at least 24 h before the first positive blood culture, drainage of an abscess (if present) or removal of infected devices (including prosthetic heart valves). In the absence of any of these factors, we considered the source as appropriately controlled.

Relapse was defined as a new episode of SAB with the same susceptibility pattern as the index case within 90 days of finishing SAB treatment.

Changes in clinical management were defined as: (1) changes in the duration or class of antibiotic therapy; (2) the performance or avoidance of a surgical procedure on the source of infection; or, (3) a change in the decision to remove or retain an implantable device or a long-term central venous catheter (excluding procedures that required open surgery).

The usefulness of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT (detecting local complications, leading to changes in clinical management or confirming or ruling out infection) was established after individually discussing each case with two infectious diseases specialists.

For additional methods information see Supplementary Material.

Endpoints

Endpoints were the number and location of previously unknown infectious foci identified by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT and the proportion of patients in which ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT results entailed changes in clinical management, as defined above.

Diagnostic workup

An echocardiogram was recommended for all patients with persistent bacteraemia or persistent fever if the following criteria were met: absence of an uncontrolled known infectious focus; community-acquired SAB; SAB of unknown source; presence of metastatic distant foci; or predisposing conditions for endocarditis. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) was performed as a first-line technique, followed by transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE) in patients with a negative TTE, high index of suspicion for IE, and no contraindications for TOE. Other diagnostic imaging tests (e.g., ultrasound, computed tomography, magnetic resonance, scintigraphy) were performed according to the presence of guiding signs and symptoms at the discretion of the attending physician.

In case an endovascular infection was suspected, a cardiospecific ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT angiography (¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CTA) was performed.^{14,24} Images were reviewed by a group of experts on cardiac imaging, including a radiologist, a nuclear medicine physician and a cardiologist.

Microbiological studies

Blood cultures were performed with the BacT/ALERT 3D system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Étoile, France) and isolate's identification was performed using the VITEK 2 or VITEK MS systems (bioMérieux) or by commercial molecular tests (Cepheid Xpert MRSA/SA BC, Sunnyvale, California). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed in accordance with the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing guidelines by use of disk diffusion techniques.

Statistical analysis

Qualitative variables were described by absolute count and relative percentage, and continuous variables were described as median and interquartile range. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 21.

Ethics approval

This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the hospital ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients, except those unable to consent due to the severity of their clinical condition, in which case the local ethics committee waived the need to obtain written informed consent.

Results

Patients included in the study

We identified 476 patients with at least one episode of SAB during the study period. Thirty-nine (8%) of these patients had a ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT performed during hospitalization and were included in this study. Among these 39 patients, 11 (28%) were methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* strains. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients who had a ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT performed are shown in Table 1.

Characteristics, management and outcomes of SAB

An implantable device infection was diagnosed in 13 (33%) patients. Follow-up blood cultures were obtained from all 39 (100%) patients. Bacteraemia lasted for a median of 3 days (IQR: 0–8) from the start of active antibiotic therapy to the last positive blood culture. Echocardiography was performed in 38 (97%) patients, TOE was performed in 30 (77%) cases. One single patient—who had a prosthetic heart valve and a high level of suspicion for IE—could not have an echocardiogram performed because of respiratory failure and intolerance to undergo a TOE. Instead, a ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT was directly performed without further image testing.

A potentially controllable infectious focus was found in 24 (62%) patients, but source control was only possible in 13 cases. In the remaining 11 cases, source control could not be achieved due to extreme fragility of the patient or unacceptable high risk of the surgical procedure (e.g. removal of endovascular prosthesis).

An implantable device infection was diagnosed in 13 (33%) patients. Eleven (28%) patients were diagnosed with definite IE (6 prosthetic valve endocarditis and 5 native valve endocarditis). In 9 (82%) cases, the diagnosis of endocarditis was done before performing the ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT. Abnormal valvular or perivalvular uptake was present in 5/6 cases of prosthetic valve endocarditis and 2/5 cases of native valve endocarditis. One patient with a previous normal TTE was diagnosed with prosthetic valve endocarditis by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT (TOE could not be performed due to respiratory failure). Another patient with SAB of unknown origin and a previous normal TOE was diagnosed with prosthetic valve endocarditis, vascular graft infection and septic pulmonary embolisms by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT. Finally, there was a patient in which prosthetic valve endocarditis was diagnosed by TTE and ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT was performed to assess if a pacemaker was also infected. ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT ruled out pacemaker infection but found a paravalvular abscess that required surgery.

All-cause mortality was 26% (10/36) at 30 days and 33% (13/39) at 90 days. Bacteraemia relapsed in 5 (13%) cases during follow-up. One patient was diagnosed with a paravalvular abscess that could

Table 1
Demographic and clinical characteristics of the 39 patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia who had a ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT performed.

Variables	Patients (n = 39)
Age, years, median [IQR]	69 [60–79]
Female sex	9 (23)
MRSA strain	11 (28)
Pre-existing conditions	
Charlson comorbidity index, median [IQR]	3 [1–4]
Charlson comorbidity index (age adjusted), median [IQR]	6 [3–8]
Valvular heart disease	22 (56)
Diabetes mellitus	17 (44)
Chronic kidney disease	14 (36)
Malignancy	10 (26)
Immunosuppression	8 (21)
Renal replacement therapy	6 (15)
Liver cirrhosis	4 (10)
Implantable devices	
Endovascular devices (other than vascular catheters)	26 (67)
Osteosynthesis	17 (44)
Prosthetic heart valves	9 (23)
Other	8 (21)
Other	1 (3)
Vascular catheter^a	
13 (33)	
Acquisition of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> bacteraemia	
Community-acquired	13 (33)
Non-nosocomial healthcare-related	18 (46)
Nosocomial	8 (21)
Presumed portal of entry	
Catheter-related	7 (18)
Cutaneous	7 (18)
Surgical wound infection	2 (5)
Urinary tract infection	1 (3)
Pneumonia	1 (3)
Intraabdominal	1 (3)
Unknown	20 (51)
Clinical data	
Persistent bacteraemia	22 (56)
Persistent fever	3 (8)
Complicated <i>S. aureus</i> bacteraemia	31 (79)
Intensive care unit stay	5 (13)
Vasopressor support	3 (8)
Time from onset to start of active antimicrobial therapy, days, median [IQR]	0 [0–1]
Duration of antibiotic treatment, days, median [IQR]	41 [24–68]
Definite antimicrobial therapy	
MSSA bacteraemia	
Cloxacillin	28 (72)
Cefazolin	15 (54)
Levofloxacin + rifampin ^b	6 (21)
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole ^b	4 (14)
Daptomycin	2 (7)
Daptomycin	1 (4)
MRSA bacteraemia	
Daptomycin	11 (28)
Daptomycin	5 (46)
Ceftaroline	3 (27)
Daptomycin + cloxacillin	2 (18)
Daptomycin	1 (9)
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole + rifampin ^b	

Data are presented as N (%) unless otherwise indicated. ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT: ¹⁸F fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography, IQR: interquartile range, MRSA: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, MSSA: Methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*.

^a Present at least 24 h before the first positive blood culture.

^b All patients treated with trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole ± rifampin or levofloxacin + rifampin were initially treated with at least 14 days of treatment with an intravenous betalactam or daptomycin. Antibiotic therapy was switched after negativization of blood cultures.

not be surgically managed and after relapsing a TOE showed persistence of the abscess; in another case a prosthetic joint infection not previously detected by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT was diagnosed. Another patient had the ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT performed after the relapse: he underwent a TTE and an abdominal CT scan during the initial evaluation of SAB and, as no infectious foci were found, received antibiotic therapy for 16 days. After the relapse of SAB, vertebral osteomyelitis was identified by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT. No infectious foci were detected in the remaining 2 patients with relapsed bacteraemia.

¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT findings

The median interval from the first positive blood culture to ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT was 11 days (IQR: 7–16). Before performing ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT, 15 (38.4%) patients did not have an infectious focus identified. Thirty-two (82.1%) patients had at least one infectious focus detected by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT. Two-thirds of the patients with a positive ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT had a single infectious focus detected by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT, as opposed to one-third of the patients in whom multiple infectious foci were identified. ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT results, timing and changes in clinical management for each patient are detailed in Table 2.

Overall, 30 new infectious foci were detected in 22 (56%) patients, as shown in Table 3. One or more new infectious foci were detected by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in 11 out of 15 (73%) patients without an identified focus and 12 out of 22 (55%) patients with persistent bacteraemia. In patients with a known infectious focus, new foci were detected in 11/24 (46%) cases. In 22 out of 26 (85%) patients with implantable devices, ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT confirmed or ruled out infection or detected local complications. In 9/10 patients with an implantable device and no known infectious focus, at least one infectious focus was detected. Ten out of thirteen (77%) device infections were detected by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT, 7 of these device infections were diagnosed exclusively according to the ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT findings (4 endovascular devices, 2 prosthetic heart valves and 1 biliary drainage catheter).

Changes in clinical management

In 20 (51%) patients ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT results led to changes in clinical management (Table 2). This proportion was higher (67%) in the 15 patients without an identified infectious focus, particularly in those with an implantable device (7/10), compared to 9/24 patients with a known infectious focus (38%). There were 15 changes in antibiotic therapy: in 14 cases treatment was extended and in 1 case treatment was switched to oral antibiotic therapy (IE was ruled out in a patient with prosthetic joint infection and a high index of suspicion in which TOE could not be performed). Two endovascular devices were removed: one pacemaker and one catheter cuff that had inadvertently remained in site after removing an infected central venous line. There were 2 surgical procedures derived from ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT results: one prosthetic valve replacement after diagnosing a perivalvular abscess in a patient with prosthetic valve endocarditis and one abdominal abscess that required surgical drainage. Finally, surgical debridement of periprosthetic joint fluid collections was not performed in one case after a negative ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT result.

Discussion

Our results show that the ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT identified new infectious foci in 56% of the patients, and this percentage was higher (73%) in patients without a previously identified infectious focus. Furthermore, it led to changes in clinical management in approximately half of the patients. Considering only patients with

Table 2
Findings and changes in clinical management of patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia after performing a ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT.

Patient	Sex	Age	Implantable device	Suspected focus before ¹⁸ F-FDG-PET/CT	Indication for ¹⁸ F-FDG-PET/CT	Days from first positive BC to ¹⁸ F-FDG-PET/CT	¹⁸ F-FDG-PET/CT results	Changes in clinical management
1	M	82	EV (pacemaker)	Central catheter, SST	Assessment of EV device	19	Pacemaker infection ruled out	No
2	M	20	No	Vertebral osteomyelitis, native joint	Locating other IF	16	No new IF detected	No
3	M	60	OS	Vertebral osteomyelitis (cervical spine), native joint	Locating other IF	12	Vertebral osteomyelitis (lumbar spine), prosthetic joint infection ruled out	No
4	F	83	OS, PHV	Unknown	Assessment of PHV, locating other IF	8	PVE, prosthetic joint infection ruled out	AT extended
5	M	64	EV (pacemaker)	Surgical wound	Assessment of EV device	11	Pacemaker infection ruled out	No
6	M	84	EV (pacemaker)	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin, assessment of EV device	7	Vertebral osteomyelitis, pacemaker infection ruled out	AT extended
7	F	79	No	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	14	Vertebral osteomyelitis	AT extended
8	F	83	EV (pacemaker), OS	NVE	Assessment of EV device	7	Pacemaker infection ruled out	No
9	F	87	OS, PHV	Prosthetic joint	Assessment of PHV, locating other IF	11	No new IF detected, PVE ruled out	Intravenous-to-oral AT switch
10	M	79	EV (pacemaker)	NVE	Assessment of EV device	7	Pacemaker infection ruled out	No
11	M	78	EV (mitral valve annuloplasty, pacemaker), OS	NVE, SST	Assessment of EV device	9	Pacemaker infection, septic pulmonary embolisms	Pacemaker removal
12	F	79	PHV	PVE	Locating other IF	11	Vertebral osteomyelitis	No
13	M	68	No	SST	Locating other IF	11	Lung abscess, native joint infection, pyomyositis	AT extended
14	M	63	EV (pacemaker), PHV	PVE	Assessment of EV device, assessment of PVE extension	4	Paravalvular abscess, pacemaker infection ruled out	Surgical intervention
15	F	35	No	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	12	No new IF detected	No
16	M	68	EV (vascular graft)	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin, assessment of EV device	5	Vascular graft infection	AT extended
17	M	69	No	SST	Locating other IF	24	Intraabdominal abscess	AT extended, abscess drainage
18	F	37	No	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	8	Vertebral osteomyelitis	AT extended
19	M	65	EV (vascular graft)	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin, assessment of EV device	4	Vascular graft infection	AT extended
20	F	84	OS, PHV	PVE, native joint	Locating other IF	8	Septic pulmonary embolisms, prosthetic joint infection ruled out	Surgical drainage of suspected prosthetic joint infection was canceled
21	M	58	OS	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	32	Vertebral osteomyelitis	AT extended
22	M	73	No	Septic thrombophlebitis	Locating other IF	14	No new IF detected	No
23	M	34	No	Central catheter	Locating other IF	14	Infected retained cuff after incomplete CVC removal	Retained cuff removal
24	M	62	EV (vascular graft)	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	8	Vascular graft infection	AT extended
25	M	65	EV (vascular graft)	SST	Assessment of EV device	12	No new IF detected	No
26	M	71	EV (ICD)	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	21	No new IF detected	No
27	M	83	No	SST	Locating other IF	28	New SST foci	AT extended
28	F	29	EV (vascular graft)	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin, assessment of EV device	47	No new IF detected	No

Table 2 (Continued)

Patient	Sex	Age	Implantable device	Suspected focus before ¹⁸ F-FDG-PET/CT	Indication for ¹⁸ F-FDG-PET/CT	Days from first positive BC to ¹⁸ F-FDG-PET/CT	¹⁸ F-FDG-PET/CT results	Changes in clinical management
29	M	69	PHV	PVE	Assessment of PVE extension	6	No new IF detected	No
30	M	77	No	Central catheter, surgical wound	Locating other IF	24	Septic thrombophlebitis, septic pulmonary embolisms	AT extended
31	M	22	EV (vascular graft), PHV	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	5	PVE, vascular graft infection, septic pulmonary embolisms	AT extended
32	M	76	No	SST	Locating other IF	27	No new IF detected	No
33	M	60	OS	NVE	Locating other IF	7	Mycotic aneurysm, non-vertebral osteomyelitis, vertebral osteomyelitis	No
34	M	85	Other	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	24	Intraabdominal abscess	No
35	M	68	No	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	5	Mycotic aneurysm	AT extended
36	M	81	EV (pacemaker), PHV	Pneumonia	Locating other IF, assessment of EV device and PHV	7	No new IF detected, pacemaker infection and PVE ruled out	No
37	M	69	EV (vascular graft), OS	NVE, vertebral osteomyelitis, SST	Assessment of EV device	12	Vascular graft infection	No
38	M	67	EV (pacemaker)	Pacemaker	Assessment of EV device, assessment of central venous catheter (port-a-cath)	11	No new IF detected	No
39	M	41	No	Unknown	SAB of unknown origin	12	No new IF detected	No

SAB: *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia, ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT: ¹⁸F fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography, BC: blood culture, M: male, F: female, EV: endovascular, OS: osteosynthesis, PHV: prosthetic heart valve, ICD: Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, SST: skin and soft tissue, NVE: native valve endocarditis, PVE: prosthetic valve endocarditis, IF: infectious foci, AT: antibiotic therapy.

Table 3
Localization of infectious foci identified by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT.

Infectious foci	New foci (n)	Total foci (n)
Endovascular	10	11
Vertebral osteomyelitis	7	9
Pulmonary	5	6
Soft tissue	2	9
Endocarditis	2	7
Abdominal	2	3
Non-vertebral osteomyelitis	1	1
Native joint	1	5
Total	30	51

¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT: ¹⁸F fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography.

implantable devices, ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT provided useful information in 85% of cases (detecting local complications and confirming or ruling out infection) and was able to identify 77% of device infections.

These findings are in line with results from previous studies that have evaluated the performance of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in Gram-positive bacteraemia and indicate that it can identify previously unknown infectious foci in more than 50% of patients.^{18,25} However, it is still unclear which subgroups of patients with Gram-positive bacteraemia could benefit the most from ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT. In our study, we found the performance of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT to be higher in patients without a previously identified infectious focus. Furthermore, although some studies have outlined in the baseline characteristics the presence of implantable devices,^{15,18,26} this is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study to assess the performance of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in SAB in this subgroup of patients.

Even though the optimal timing of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in SAB is yet to be defined, preceding studies have described a median time of 7–14 days between first positive blood culture and ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT performance,^{18,26} which coincides with our results. As one of the

expected benefits of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT would be achieving an early diagnosis of infectious foci and/or complications, the consensus in previous publications seems to be to have it performed as soon as possible (preferably in the first 7–14 days after the onset of bacteraemia).^{17,18} Nonetheless, Brøndserud et al. found no significant difference in the ability of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT to detect sites of infection in relation to the duration of bacteraemia in patients with Gram-positive bacteraemia.²⁵

As reported in previous studies, endovascular, vertebral osteomyelitis and pulmonary foci were the most frequently newly detected foci by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT,¹⁷ probably because localizing symptoms are less common in endovascular and pulmonary locations. Although our study was not designed to assess the performance of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in prosthetic or native valve endocarditis, the fact that only two cases of IE were diagnosed by ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT stands out. This is probably due to the expertise of the multidisciplinary endocarditis team in our center. We do not perform ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT routinely when prosthetic valve IE is suspected, but rather in selected cases in which further information apart from the TTE or TOE is required. Even though the usefulness of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in prosthetic valve endocarditis has already been established, its diagnostic value in native valve endocarditis remains to be defined.^{27,28}

In our study, we found a 90-day mortality rate of 33% in patients with SAB who had a ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT performed, higher than reported by previous studies.¹⁸ This variability in mortality might be explained by differences in the study population, as our subjects were older (median age 69 years) and probably at higher risk for complications (56% had persistent SAB and 79% complicated bacteraemia).

Although ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT is costly, a previous study by Vos et al. conducted in the Netherlands suggests that performing a ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in patients with high-risk Gram-positive bacteraemia might be cost-effective.²⁹ However, and while more data on cost-

effectiveness are needed, it could be further improved by accurately selecting patients with high-risk SAB that might benefit most from this technique.

There are several limitations that should be taken into account when interpreting these results. First, this is a retrospective analysis of a prospective cohort and, as such, the original cohort was not designed to analyze and interpret the data hereby presented. The evaluation of the ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT indication and changes in clinical management were performed retrospectively. However, we aimed to reduce bias by assessing the changes in clinical management in consensus by two infectious diseases specialists. Second, as a single-center study, the sample size is relatively small. Third, there is no comparative group and, therefore, we could not assess the impact of not performing ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT in a similar group of patients. Notwithstanding that our conclusions might be restricted by these limitations, we believe that sharing our real-world experience can contribute to a deeper understanding of the utility of ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT in the management of patients with SAB. The results of this exploratory study could help design future prospective studies that might cast light on which patients with SAB will benefit from a ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT.

In conclusion, our results suggest that ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT can be a useful tool in the management of selected SAB cases. Performing a ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT can identify previously undetected infectious foci and, accordingly, help optimize clinical management. This strategy might be particularly useful in patients with endovascular devices and SAB without previously known infectious foci. However, until more data are available regarding the optimal timing and subgroups of patients that might benefit from this intervention, we believe that indication should be made on a case-by-case basis.

Authors' contributions

PS, RW, NFH and BA designed the study. PS, RW and MPA were responsible for data collection. MPA was responsible for obtaining hospital ethics committee approval. PS, RW, MPA, MNP, AR, MB, MS, DRP, MNL, NFH and BA contributed to data analysis and interpretation. PS and RW drafted the manuscript, which was critically revised and amended by MPA, MNP, AR, MB, MS, DRP, MNL, NFH and BA. PS and RW contributed equally to this work. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

Supported by the Plan Nacional de I+D+I 2013–2016, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Subdirección General de Redes y Centros de Investigación Cooperativa, Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad, and the Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases [REIPI RD16/0016/0003]. REIPI is co-financed by the European Development Regional Fund 'A way to achieve Europe' and the Operative program Intelligent Growth 2014–2020.

Conflicts of interest

All the authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. Carles Pigrau for his helpful advice and guidance.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2021.11.013>.

References

- Kern WV, Rieg S. Burden of bacterial bloodstream infection—a brief update on epidemiology and significance of multidrug-resistant pathogens. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2020;26:151–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2019.10.031>.
- van Hal SJ, Jensen SO, Vaska VL, Espedido BA, Paterson DL, Gosbell IB. Predictors of mortality in *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2012;25:362–86, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.05022-11>.
- Kim SH, Park WB, Lee KD, Kang CI, Bin Kim H, Oh MD, et al. Outcome of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia in patients with eradicable foci versus noneradicable foci. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003;37:794–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/377540>.
- Wyllie DH, Crook DW, Peto TEA. Mortality after *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia in two acute hospitals in Oxfordshire, 1997–2003: cohort study. *Br Med J*. 2006;333:281–4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38834.421713.2F>.
- López-Cortés LE, Del Toro MD, Gálvez-Acebal J, Bereciartua-Bastarrica E, Fariñas MC, Sanz-Franco M, et al. Impact of an evidence-based bundle intervention in the quality-of-care management and outcome of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;57:1225–33, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit499>.
- Fernández-Hidalgo N, Ribera A, Larrosa MN, Viedma E, Origüen J, de Alarcón A, et al. Impact of *Staphylococcus aureus* phenotype and genotype on the clinical characteristics and outcome of infective endocarditis. A multicenter, longitudinal, prospective, observational study. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2017;24(9):985–91, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2017.12.002>.
- Fowler VG, Olsen MK, Corey GR, Cheng AC, Dudley T, Oddone EZ. Clinical identifiers of complicated *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003;163:2066–72, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinte.163.17.2066>.
- Ringberg H, Thorén A, Lilja B. Metastatic complications of *Staphylococcus aureus* septicemia. To seek is to find. *Infection*. 2000;28:132–6, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s150100050065>.
- Cuijpers MLH, Vos FJ, Bleeker-Rovers CP, Krabbe PFM, Pickkers P, van Dijk APJ, et al. Complicating infectious foci in patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Streptococcus* species bacteraemia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2007;26:105–13, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10096-006-0238-4>.
- Vos FJ, Kullberg BJ, Sturm PD, Krabbe PFM. Metastatic infectious disease and clinical outcome in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus* species. *Bacteremia*. 2012;91:86–94, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.0b013e31824d7ed2>.
- Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology. *Clin Manifestat Manage*. 2015;28:603–61, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00134-14>.
- Zimmerli W, Lautenschlager S, Herzog C. Course and outcome of bacteremia due to *Staphylococcus aureus*: evaluation of different clinical case definitions. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1993;16:567–73, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ciind/16.4.567>.
- Jensen AG, Wachmann CH, Espersen F, Scheibel J, Skinhøj P, Frimodt-Møller N. Treatment and outcome of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: a prospective study of 278 cases. *Arch Intern Med*. 2002;162:25–32, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinte.162.1.25>.
- Pizzi MN, Roque A, Fernández-Hidalgo N, Cuéllar-Calabria H, Ferreira-González I, González-Alujas MT, et al. Improving the diagnosis of infective endocarditis in prosthetic valves and intracardiac devices with ^{18}F -fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography angiography: initial results at an infective endocarditis referral center. *Circulation*. 2015;132:1113–26, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015316>.
- Berrevoets MAH, Kouijzer JJE, Slieker K, EHJG Aarntzen, Kullberg BJ, Oever JT, et al. ^{18}F -FDG PET/CT-guided treatment duration in patients with high-risk *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: a proof of principle. *J Nucl Med*. 2019;60:998–1002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.118.221929>.
- Yildiz H, Reychler G, Rodríguez-Villalobos H, Orioli L, D'Abadie P, Vandeleene B, et al. Mortality in patients with high-risk *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia undergoing or not PET-CT: a single center experience. *J Infect Chemother*. 2019;25:880–5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiac.2019.04.016>.
- Vos FJ, Bleeker-Rovers CP, Sturm PD, Krabbe PFM, Van Dijk APJ, Cuijpers MLH, et al. ^{18}F -FDG PET/CT for detection of metastatic infection in gram-positive bacteremia. *J Nucl Med*. 2010;51:1234–40, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.109.072371>.
- Ghanem-Zoubi N, Kagna O, Abu-Elhija J, Mustafa-Hellou M, Qasum M, Keidar Z, et al. Integration of FDG-PET/CT in the diagnostic workup for *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: a prospective interventional matched-cohort study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa929>. Online ahead of print.
- Goodman AL, Cook GJ, Goh V. Imaging in the investigation and management of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia: a role for advanced imaging techniques. *J Hosp Infect*. 2020;105:234–41, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.007>.
- Willekens R, Puig-Asensio M, Ruiz-Camps I, Larrosa MN, González-López JJ, Rodríguez-Pardo D, et al. Early oral switch to linezolid for low-risk patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infections: a propensity-matched cohort study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2019;69:381–7.

21. Garner JS, Jarvis MN, Emori WR, Horan TG, Hughes TCJM. CDC definitions for nosocomial infections. *Am J Infect Control.* 1988;16:128–40, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0196-6553\(88\)90053-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0196-6553(88)90053-3).
22. Mermel LA, Allon M, Bouza E, Craven DE, Flynn P, O'Grady NP, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 update by the infectious diseases society of America. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;49:1–45, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/599376>.
23. Habib G, Lancellotti P, Antunes MJ, Bongiorni MG, Casalta JP, Del Zotti F, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis: The Task Force for the Management of Infective Endocarditis of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Endorsed by: European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36(44):3075–128, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv319>.
24. Roque A, Pizzi MN, Cuéllar-Calàbria H, Agudé-Bruix S. ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT angiography for the diagnosis of infective endocarditis. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* 2017;19(2):15, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11886-017-0824-3>.
25. Brøndserud MB, Pedersen C, Rosenvinge FS, Høilund-Carlsen PF, Hess S. Clinical value of FDG-PET/CT in bacteremia of unknown origin with catalase-negative gram-positive cocci or *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2019;46:1351–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00259-019-04289-5>.
26. Berrevoets MAH, Kouijzer IJE, Aarntzen EHJG, Janssen MJR, De Geus-Oei LF, Wertheim HFL, et al. ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT optimizes treatment in *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia and is associated with reduced mortality. *J Nucl Med.* 2017;58:1504–10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.117.191981>.
27. Duval X, Le Moing V, Tubiana S, Esposito-Farèse M, Ilic-Habensius E, Leclercq F, et al. Impact of systematic whole-body ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET/CT on the management of patients suspected of infective endocarditis: the prospective multicenter TEPvENDO study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2021;73(3):393–403, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa666>.
28. Pizzi MN, Fernández-Hidalgo N. Optimizing the diagnostic workup of infective endocarditis: an urgent need for studies focused on defining the decision-making process. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2020;27(2):609–11.
29. Vos FJ, Bleeker-Rovers CP, Kullberg BJ, Adang EMM, Oyen WJG. Cost-effectiveness of routine ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in high-risk patients with gram-positive bacteremia. *J Nucl Med.* 2011;52:1673–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.111.089714>.