



Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica

www.elsevier.es/eimc



Original article

Factors related to quality of life of people living with HIV in Alicante, Spain[☆]



Yina Lizeth García-López ^{a,*}, Mari Carmen Bernal-Soriano ^a, Diego Torrús-Tendero ^b, José Antonio Delgado de los Reyes ^c, Ramón Castejón-Bolea ^a

^a Departamento de Salud Pública, Historia de la Ciencia y Ginecología, Universidad Miguel Hernández, Alicante, Spain

^b Unidad de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante-ISABIAL, Alicante, Área de Parasitología, Universidad Miguel Hernández, Alicante, Spain

^c Unidad de Medicina Preventiva, Hospital Vega Baja, Orihuela, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 October 2019

Accepted 22 March 2020

Available online 22 January 2021

Keywords:

HIV/AIDS

Adult

Female

Male

Cross-sectional studies

Health related quality of life

Patient-reported outcomes

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To analyze the determinants that influence the health-related quality of life of people living with HIV in Alicante (Spain).

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted, which recruited 214 Spanish-speaking participants over 18 years of age living with HIV from an outpatient consulting office of the infectious diseases in a hospital in Alicante between 2013 and 2014. A self-administration sociodemographic survey and the Short Form Health Survey (SF-36v2) was used to assess health-related quality of life. This questionnaire measures health on eight domains.

Results: 70% of the participants were male, 50% had CD4 cell count between 200–499 cells/mm³ and 20% were infected by the hepatitis C virus (HCV). For the eight SF-36v2 scales, the average scores were higher than 45. Men presented better scores than women; there were statistically significant differences in all the scales except for general health. Being co-infected with HCV and being unemployed or other situations other than having a job were significantly associated with a lower physical component summary (PCS), while being married or having a partner were significantly associated with a higher score in the mental component summary (MCS).

Conclusion: The socioeconomic level and the presence of clinical factors such as HCV influence the scales of quality of life of physical health among adults living with HIV.

© 2020 Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Factores relacionados con la calidad de vida en personas que viven con el VIH en Alicante, España

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:

VIH/SIDA

Adulto

Mujer

Hombre

Estudios transversales

Calidad de vida relacionada con la salud

Cuestionario autoadministrado por los pacientes

Objetivo: Analizar los determinantes que influyen en la calidad de vida relacionada con la salud de las personas que viven con el VIH en Alicante (España).

Métodos: Se realizó un estudio descriptivo transversal que reclutó a 214 participantes castellanoparlantes mayores de 18 años con VIH atendidos en consultas externas de la Unidad de Enfermedades Infecciosas de un hospital de Alicante entre 2013 y 2014. Se auto-administró un cuestionario sociodemográfico y el cuestionario sobre calidad de vida relacionado con la salud Short-Form-36 (SF-36v2) que mide la salud en ocho dimensiones.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2020.03.005>

☆ Please cite this article as: García-López YL, Bernal-Soriano MC, Torrús-Tendero D, Delgado de los Reyes JA, Castejón-Bolea R. Factores relacionados con la calidad de vida en personas que viven con el VIH en Alicante, España. Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin. 2021;39:127–133.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: y.garcialopez@yahoo.com (Y.L. García-López).

Resultados: El 70% de los entrevistados eran varones, el 50% presentaban cifras de linfocitos CD4+ entre 200 a 499 cel/mm³. El 20% estaban coinfectados por el virus de la Hepatitis C (VHC). Para las ocho dimensiones del SF-36v2, las puntuaciones medias fueron superiores a 45. Los hombres presentaron mejores puntuaciones que las mujeres en todas las dimensiones a excepción de la salud general, siendo estadísticamente significativos. La coinfección con VHC y una peor situación laboral resultó con una menor puntuación en el Componente Sumario Físico (CSF), mientras que estar casado o tener pareja se asoció significativamente con una mayor puntuación en el Componente Sumario Mental (CSM).

Conclusión: El nivel socioeconómico y la presencia de factores clínicos como la coinfección por el VHC influyen en las dimensiones de calidad de vida de la salud física en los adultos que viven con VIH.

© 2020 Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

In Spain, rates of new diagnoses of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are higher than the average of countries in the European Union and Western Europe. HIV is estimated to affect close to 145,000 people in Spain.¹ In 2018 alone, 3244 new diagnoses were reported. This represents an annual estimated rate of new HIV infections of 8.65 per 100,000 inhabitants, while the rate of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) was 1.4/100,000 in the same period. New cases of AIDS have been decreasing since the mid-1990s, with the advent of antiretroviral therapy (ART).² The efficacy of these treatments and increased access to them has increased survival in people living with HIV (PLWHA) and as a result they live longer.³

Thus, HIV has become a chronic condition. It also remains a global crisis of persistent social precariousness, especially among marginalised populations, and of uncertainty due to ageing, long-term ART toxicity and growing social inequalities.⁴ Therefore, an important aspect to be considered among PLWHA is health-related quality of life (HRQoL), evaluating their well-being and factors that might influence it. Some factors that have been clearly linked to HRQoL in PLWHA are advanced age, gender, incomplete education and unemployment, while there is a certain amount of debate as to the relationship between HRQoL and other factors such as CD4+ lymphocyte count and viral load.^{5–8}

HRQoL is defined as a subjective outcome measure that evaluates the impact of health status and physical, mental and social functioning in relation to an individual's objectives.⁹ It can be analysed with different tools, such as the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36).^{10–14} This generic tool offers a comprehensive profile that is efficient and psychometrically sound for measuring health status from the subject's point of view.¹⁵ The SF-36 has also been used to evaluate HRQoL in the population with HIV.^{10–13,16,17} For example, the SF-36 tool was used to explore the link between perceived social support and results for each health dimension in a group of PLWHA cared for in a hospital network in Colombia¹⁷ and to evaluate changes in HRQoL in PLWHA a year after starting ART.¹⁶

In Spain, comparatively few studies have been conducted assessing HRQoL in PLWHA measured with the SF-36. Data from studies conducted in different Spanish-language contexts cannot be extrapolated due to potential differences in social and healthcare contexts. Therefore, our objective was to analyse the determinants that influence HRQoL in PLWHA in Alicante (Spain) and their association with sociodemographic and clinical variables, measured with the SF-36v2 health questionnaire.

Methodology

Design

An observational, descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted with PLWHA cared for in the outpatient section of the

Infectious Diseases Unit at Hospital General Universitario de Alicante [Alicante University General Hospital] (HGUA) between October 2013 and February 2014.

Participants

A total of 214 participants belonging to the Department of Health of the HGUA were enrolled. The inclusion criteria were: patients with a diagnosis of HIV who originated from Spanish-speaking countries, were over the age of 18 and did not have severe cognitive decline. Subjects who did not originate from Spanish-speaking countries were excluded, as the instrument used was validated in Spanish only.¹⁸

Procedure

This study was approved by the HGUA Independent Ethics Committee on 31 October 2012.

Participants were invited to take part in the study during their routine medical visit to the Infectious Diseases Unit. After signing the informed consent form, those who agreed to take part were provided with the sociodemographic questionnaire (which included data on sex, age, marital status, those with whom they lived, level of education, occupational status and sexual orientation) and SF-36v2. Finally, the investigator reviewed the self-completed questionnaire for missing responses.

In addition, medical histories were reviewed to gather data on HIV diagnosis, date of diagnosis, risk behaviours, latest available viral load, nadir, current CD4+ lymphocyte count, ART, year ART was started and current clinical laboratory report on chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection.

Patients who declined to participate cited lack of time, lack of interest or fear of their condition being disclosed as reasons.

Instrument

To measure HRQoL, the SF-36v2 health questionnaire was used in its standard reminder period (4 weeks).¹⁹ The SF-36v2 consists of 36 questions grouped in 8 health domains. Two summary components are derived from these domains: the physical component summary (PCS) and the mental component summary (MCS). Scores of 45 or more are considered average or higher for that health domain.¹⁵ The Spanish version of the questionnaire has been shown to be reliable and valid for use in chronic diseases¹⁹ such as HIV.²⁰

Statistical analysis

Reliability for each dimension and for the summary component of the SF-36v2 was evaluated using Cronbach's alpha, a model of internal consistency based on the average of the correlations among

Table 1

Clinical and sociodemographic characteristics of participants (n = 214).

Sociodemographic characteristics					
Age (years)	Median (IQR) Range (min.-max.) 20–30 years, n (%) 31–40 years, n (%) 41–50 years, n (%) 51–60 years, n (%) >60 years, n (%)	46 (38–51) 20–91 17 (8) 48 (22) 86 (40) 46 (22) 17 (8)	Occupational status	Working, n (%) Unemployed, n (%) Retired, n (%) Studying, n (%) Housewife, n (%) Unable to work, n (%)	93 (44) 53 (25) 45 (21) 5 (2) 11 (5) 7 (3)
Sex	Male, n (%) Female, n (%)	150 (70) 64 (30)	Origin	Spain, n (%) Latin America, n (%)	136 (64) 78 (36)
Education	Primary, n (%) Lower secondary, n (%) Higher secondary, n (%) University studies, n (%)	38 (17) 60 (28) 59 (28) 57 (27)	Marital status	Single, n (%) Married/cohabiting, n (%) Divorced/separated, n (%) Widowed, n (%)	99 (46) 84 (39) 25 (12) 6 (3)
Sexual orientation	Heterosexual, n (%) Homosexual, n (%) Bisexual, n (%)	123 (58) 80 (37) 11 (5)	Living with	Parents, n (%) Alone, n (%) Partner, n (%) Friend(s), n (%) Relatives, n (%)	19 (9) 60 (28) 98 (46) 14 (6) 23 (11)
Risk behaviours	Heterosexual, n (%) Homo/bisexual, n (%) IDU, n (%) Unknown/other, n (%)	72 (33) 85 (40) 25 (12) 32 (15)			
Clinical characteristics					
Stage of HIV infection at diagnosis ^a	HIV, n (%) AIDS, n (%)	114 (53) 100 (47)	Co-infection	HBV, n (%) HCV, n (%)	9 (4) 43 (20)
CD4+ lymphocyte nadir	<200 cells/mm ³ , n (%) 200–499 cells/mm ³ , n (%) ≥500 cells/mm ³ , n (%)	90 (42) 107 (50) 17 (8)	CD4+ lymphocyte count (cells/mm ³)	Median (IQR) Range (min.-max.) <200 cells/mm ³ , n (%) 200–499 cells/mm ³ , n (%) ≥500 cells/mm ³ , n (%)	602 (416–748) 17–1,660 14 (7) 63 (29) 137 (64)
Years since diagnosis	Median (IQR) Range (min.-max.)	10 (5–19) 0–31		Mean (SD)	4477 (22,064)
ART	Yes, n (%) No, n (%)	207 (97) 7 (3)	Viral load (copies/mL)	Range (min.-max.) <20 copies (ml), n (%) >20 copies (ml), n (%)	0–192,568 169 (79) 45 (21)
Years of treatment	Median (IQR) Range (min.-max.)	7 (3–16) 0–27			

IQR: interquartile range; max.: maximum; min.: minimum; SD: standard deviation.

^a HIV: A1-A2-B1-B2; AIDS: A3-B3-C.

the items. In general, a Cronbach's alpha greater than 0.70 is considered acceptable for a psychometric scale.^{15,18,19,21}

A bivariate analysis of the summary components, as well as the different dimensions that comprise them, was performed. The Kruskal-Wallis test or the Mann-Whitney U test was used. In addition, Spearman's correlation coefficient was calculated between the PCS and the MCS.

Two multiple linear regression models were prepared to explain the summary components (PCS and MCS) according to different sociodemographic and clinical factors. The stepwise method was used to construct the models. Compliance with the basic assumptions of the analysis, linearity and normality, was evaluated by inspection of the residual graphs; homoscedasticity of residuals using the Breusch-Pagan test and collinearity using variance inflation factor (VIF) and tolerance (1/VIF), accepting VIF values lower than 10 and 1/VIF values more than 0.10.

A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were analysed using the SPSS v17 statistical software package (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) and Stata v15 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, United States).

Results

A total of 214 PLWHA took part in this study. Their characteristics are shown in Table 1. There were no missing responses to the questionnaires. Cronbach's alpha coefficient values were more than 0.80 for the 8 domains of the SF-36v2 and greater than or equal to 0.90 for 3 of them (Table 2).

Table 2
Scores for the SF-36v2 domains.

SF-36v2	Mean ± SD	Cronbach's α
<i>Domains</i>		
Physical functioning	88 ± 20	0.90
Physical role	78 ± 29	0.93
Bodily pain	66 ± 32	0.86
General health	61 ± 23	0.84
Vitality	62 ± 22	0.80
Social functioning	73 ± 28	0.81
Emotional role	77 ± 28	0.90
Mental health	65 ± 22	0.83
<i>Summary component</i>		
Physical summary component	52 ± 10	0.86
Mental summary component	45 ± 12	0.90

Bivariate analysis

Variables associated with physical health

Regarding the PCS, 46 (21%) subjects had scores below values considered normal. Notable among the characteristics that showed differences in the PCS were patient gender and age. The best scores were seen in men and younger patients (20–30 years of age) (Table 3).

The most significant results obtained on the different dimensions included in the PCS (physical functioning, physical role, bodily pain and general health) are listed below. Data are expressed in terms of mean score and p value [Appendix B, Supplementary Table 1].

Table 3

Descriptions of the summary components of the SF-36v2, in terms of mean ± standard deviation, for the different sociodemographic and clinical variables (n = 214).

Variable	Physical summary component		Mental summary component	
Sex				
Male	53 ± 9	p < 0.01	46 ± 12	p = 0.08
Female	49 ± 11		43 ± 13	
Age, years				
20–30	57 ± 5	p < 0.01	48 ± 9	p = 0.61
31–40	54 ± 8		44 ± 11	
41–50	50 ± 10		45 ± 13	
51–60	49 ± 11		45 ± 14	
>60	54 ± 6		48 ± 12	
Marital status				
Single	52 ± 10	p = 0.22	44 ± 12	p = 0.03
Married/cohabiting	52 ± 10		48 ± 11	
Divorced/separated	52 ± 7		43 ± 14	
Widowed	44 ± 10		35 ± 15	
Living with				
Parents	53 ± 9	p = 0.23	41 ± 13	p = 0.40
Alone	53 ± 10		44 ± 13	
Partner	52 ± 9		46 ± 12	
Friend(s)	54 ± 11		49 ± 11	
Relatives	48 ± 10		44 ± 12	
Level of education				
Primary	49 ± 11	p < 0.01	43 ± 16	p = 0.10
Lower secondary	49 ± 9		45 ± 12	
Higher secondary	54 ± 8		44 ± 12	
University studies	54 ± 9		48 ± 9	
Occupational status				
Working	56 ± 5	p < 0.01	48 ± 10	p < 0.01
Unemployed	52 ± 9		46 ± 12	
Retired	45 ± 11		41 ± 15	
Studying	47 ± 8		48 ± 6	
Housewife	49 ± 11		41 ± 13	
Unable to work	43 ± 13		40 ± 13	
Sexual orientation				
Heterosexual	49 ± 11	p < 0.01	44 ± 13	p = 0.51
Homosexual	55 ± 7		46 ± 10	
Bisexual	55 ± 7		45 ± 10	
Origin				
Spain	50 ± 10	p < 0.01	44 ± 12	p = 0.21
Latin America	55 ± 8		47 ± 12	
Stage of infection at diagnosis				
HIV (A1-A2-B1-B2)	53 ± 9	p = 0.12	46 ± 12	p = 0.54
AIDS (A3-B3-C)	51 ± 10		45 ± 12	
Year of diagnosis				
<2000	49 ± 10	p < 0.01	44 ± 13	p = 0.13
2000–2013	54 ± 7		46 ± 111	
Risk behaviour				
Heterosexual	50 ± 11	p < 0.01	46 ± 14	p = 0.81
Homosexual/bisexual	55 ± 7		46 ± 10	
IDU	45 ± 9		44 ± 12	
Unknown/other	52 ± 9		42 ± 12	
HBV				
Yes	55 ± 6	p = 0.33	43 ± 13	p = 0.61
No	52 ± 10		45 ± 12	
HCV				
Yes	44 ± 10	p < 0.01	41 ± 14	p = 0.02
No	54 ± 8		46 ± 11	
ART				
Yes	52 ± 10	p = 0.13	45 ± 12	p = 0.68
No	56 ± 7		44 ± 9	
CD4+ (cells/mm³)				
<200 cells/mm ³	48 ± 11	p = 0.18	44 ± 12	p = 0.51
200–499 cells/mm ³	51 ± 10		44 ± 13	
≥500 cells/mm ³	52 ± 9		46 ± 12	
Viral load (copies/mL)				
<20 (undetectable)	52 ± 9	0.65	46 ± 12	0.21
>20	51 ± 11		43 ± 11	

For each dimension included in the PCS, men had better scores than women, with statistically significant differences on all dimensions with the exception of general health. The greatest difference in HRQoL between the two sexes was found in mean scores for physical role, with a difference of 13 points. In addition, those with a higher level of education and those

who had paid work had a better physical HRQoL score. Moreover, heterosexual (HT) men evaluated their HRQoL as worse compared to homosexual (HM) and bisexual (BS) men, obtaining lower scores for physical functioning (HT = 82, HM = 98, BS = 95; p < 0.01), physical role (HT = 71, HM = 87, BS = 92; p < 0.01) and bodily pain (HT = 60, HM = 74, BS = 75; p < 0.01), whereas for general

Table 4

Factors that determine the score for the summary components of the SF-36 (multiple linear regression analysis).

	Physical summary component						Mental summary component					
	B coef.	95% CI	p value	Explained variation (%)	VIF	1/VIF	® coef.	95% CI	p value	Explained variation (%)	VIF	1/VIF
Constant	41.40	32.94; 49.87	<0.01	—	—	—	15.03	-2.06; 32.11	0.08	—	—	—
Sex	2.28	-0.31; 4.86	0.08	0.9	1.16	0.86	2.83	-1.38; 7.03	0.19	1.01	1.49	0.67
Age	-0.03	-0.15; 0.09	0.61	0.1	1.30	0.77	-0.01	-0.17; 0.15	0.90	0	1.20	0.83
Diagnosis (AIDS)	0.79	-1.58; 3.17	0.51	0.1	1.15	0.87	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lower secondary	-1.74	-5.16; 1.68	0.32	0.03	3.36	0.30	3.00	-1.91; 7.91	0.23	0.5	1.91	0.52
Higher secondary	1.53	-1.92; 4.99	0.38	0.1	2.79	0.36	0.37	-4.82; 5.56	0.89	0.01	2.16	0.46
University studies	-0.53	-4.23; 3.17	0.78	0.6	1.97	0.51	4.32	-1.04; 9.68	0.11	1.01	2.20	0.45
MCS	0.10	0.001; 0.19	0.05	0.9	1.10	0.91	—	—	—	—	—	—
HCV	-5.44	-8.42; -2.46	<0.01	4.5	1.19	0.84	-5.43	-10.48; -0.38	0.03	1.97	1.67	0.60
Working	6.65	2.56; 10.74	<0.01	4.0	3.36	0.30	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unemployed	4.11	-0.18; 8.39	0.06	1.2	2.79	0.36	—	—	—	—	—	—
Retired	-1.51	-6.03; 3.00	0.51	0.1	2.84	0.35	—	—	—	—	—	—
PCS	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.27	0.08; 0.46	0.01	1.04	1.33	0.75
Single	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.04	-1.99; 18.06	0.12	2.26	9.87	0.10
Married/cohabiting	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.81	1.76; 21.85	0.02	0.78	9.62	0.10
Divorced/separated	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.22	-3.55; 32.11	0.19	2.49	4.89	0.20
Heterosexual	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.07	-0.91; 9.04	0.11	0.93	2.21	0.45
Homosexual/bisexual	—	—	—	—	—	—	-1.03	-637; 4.32	0.71	0.06	2.67%	0.37
IDU	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.89	0.045; 13.73	0.04	1.53	1.97	0.51
R ² = 0.33							R ² = 0.10					

® coef.: beta coefficient; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; MCS: mental component summary; PCS: physical component summary; IDU: injecting drug user; VIF: variance inflation factor; 1/VIF: tolerance.

health the lowest score was for BS men (BS = 53, HT = 57, HM 67 =, p < 0.01).

By place of origin, patients of Spanish (S) origin evaluated their HRQoL as worse than patients of Latin American (L) origin. Differences were observed in the scores for the dimensions of physical functioning (E = 84, L = 94; p < 0.01), physical role (E = 74, L = 85; p = 0.02) and general health (E = 57, L = 67; p = 0.02).

In relation to HRQoL according to clinical variables, patients in the AIDS stage had lower scores in all domains assessed by the SF-36v2 compared to those in earlier stages of the disease, with statistically significant differences in physical functioning (AIDS = 85, HIV = 90; p = 0.05).

It was also found that scores for all domains were lower in patients with concomitant HCV infection, who obtained the lowest values for the domains of bodily pain (HCV = 44, NHCV = 72; p < 0.01) and general health (HCV = 47, NHCV = 64; p < 0.01). Patients with a CD4+ lymphocyte count ≥ 500 cells/mm³ reported a higher HRQoL on all dimensions of physical health, with a statistically significant difference in general health (63; p = 0.04).

Variables associated with mental health

MCS scores were below normal values in 97 (45%) subjects. Men (M) had better MCS scores, and although the differences were not statistically significant. In women (W), scores were slightly below normal levels (Table 3).

Moreover, each domain of the MCS (Appendix B, Supplementary Table 2) was better valued in men. These included vitality (M = 64, W = 57; p = 0.03), social functioning (SF) (M = 75, W = 67; p = 0.05), emotional role (ER) (M = 77, W = 67, p < 0.01) and mental health (M = 67, W = 61, p = 0.05). Regarding level of education, statistically significant differences were only obtained in SF and ER; patients with university studies showed higher scores (SF = 80, ER = 81), whereas those with basic education obtained the lowest scores (SF = 65, ER = 67). Moreover, those who worked (Wo) or studied (St) had higher scores for vitality (Wo = 69, St = 75), SF (Wo = 81, St = 82) and ER (Wo = 83, St = 75).

In addition, HT men obtained lower scores for SF (p = 0.08) and ER (p = 0.03) compared to HM and BS men. Furthermore, patients of S origin evaluated their HRQoL as worse than patients of L origin on the vitality domain (S = 58; L = 69; p < 0.01).

In relation to HRQoL according to clinical variables, only time since diagnosis and concomitant HCV infection showed significant differences in the mental component. Those without concomitant HCV infection (NHCV) showed higher scores compared to patients with concomitant HCV infection (HCV) for the domains of vitality (NHCV = 65, HCV = 52; p < 0.01), SF (NHCV = 76, HCV = 60; p < 0.01), ER (NHCV = 77, HCV = 61; p < 0.01) and mental health (NHCV = 67, HCV = 57; p < 0.01).

The correlation between the two components (PCS and MCS) was weak ($r = 0.251$, p < 0.001).

Multivariate analysis

The multiple regression analysis showed that the MCS was significantly associated with a lower score in patients co-infected with HCV and a higher score in those who had paid work. Concomitant HCV infection was also significantly associated with a lower score on the MCS, while being married or having a partner was associated with a higher score. Finally, a higher score on the PCS was associated with a higher score on the MCS and vice versa (Table 4).

Discussion

In this study, we used the SF-36v2 generic instrument for evaluating HRQoL in PLWHA. The highest mean score recorded in HRQoL for functional status was in physical functioning 88 (20). This evaluates the degree to which lack of health limits daily activities, such as walking or kneeling. Our findings were similar to those reported in another study conducted in Spain,⁸ in which this domain was among those with the best scores, as well as a study conducted in Colombia,¹⁰ in which PLWHA obtained an average score of 90.29 for this domain. Another study conducted in Portugal showed that both PLWHA and patients with other immune system diseases also had higher scores for physical functioning, with a mean of 69.1.²² The positive assessment of this domain might have derived from the fact that some PLWHA change their lifestyle and adopt healthier attitudes towards exercise as part of coping with their diagnosis and from a desire to continue living.^{7,23}

Moreover, various factors that influence HRQoL assessment in PLWHA have been reported.⁵⁻⁸ Thus, this study assessed both

sociodemographic and clinical characteristics that may influence the HRQoL of these patients, which are discussed below.

First, being a woman has been linked to a worse perceived state of health.^{5,7,8,10,16,24–27} A study conducted in Spain⁵ found that women report lower scores than men in domains such as bodily pain, physical functioning, mental health and general health. Our data also showed a worse assessment of HRQoL among women. This might have been due to the social norms and expectations associated with gender, where women express their feelings more openly and in greater detail, which would allow them to more readily report their dissatisfaction with their health status. Another factor associated with a worse health status was age. Our patients over 50 years of age had a lower score for their general health, which coincided with the previous literature.^{6,25}

Factors linked to a better HRQoL in PLWHA consist of a higher level of education^{6,17,25} and paid work.^{10,16,24,27} In this study, patients with a higher level of education had significantly better scores on the PCS, and those who worked had better scores on both health components compared to those who did not. Some studies, such as one by Briongos Figuero et al.,⁸ did not find a correlation between level of education, occupation and HRQoL.

Our results also showed that patients who indicated that they were HM/BS perceived themselves to have a better physical health status compared to those who indicated otherwise. By contrast, the literature reflects a certain amount of debate as to the influence of sexual orientation on the assessment of physical functioning. One study associated being HM with a better score for physical functioning,¹⁷ whereas another study reported a low score for this functioning in men who have sex with men (MSM).⁸ In Spain, most new diagnoses of HIV are made in men, especially in MSM, who comprise the group with the shortest delay in diagnosis of HIV infection.² Therefore, evaluating HRQoL in this group of patients could aid in determining the impact of this disease on their health.

Finally, among the sociodemographic characteristics that may influence HRQoL, our results showed that patients who live with a partner had a higher score on the MCS. This positive relationship has also been found in prior studies,^{5,16} which suggests that living with a partner could be a protective factor for mental health quality in PLWHA.

In addition to sociodemographic characteristics, described above, various clinical factors may influence HRQoL in PLWHA. In our study, the PCS was seen to be affected by the time since HIV diagnosis. This was similar to that reported in other studies.^{6,25,26} This effect was stronger in those with more years since diagnosis, who had worse scores in all domains of the physical component, this being more evident in the domains of bodily pain and general health.

Moreover, this study did not find any differences in HRQoL aspects according to the patient's immunological status (CD4+ lymphocyte count) or virological status (viral load). This was similar to that reported by other groups.^{8,10} Concerning immunological status, a higher CD4+ lymphocyte count was associated with better physical health, and a lower CD4+ lymphocyte was associated with poorer mental health.²⁸ By contrast, a systematic review concluded that there is a great deal of debate with regard to the impact of virological status on the HRQoL of PLWHA.⁷

Another clinical factor that appears to influence HRQoL is concomitant HCV infection. In our study, co-infection with this virus was associated with lower (worse) scores in all domains of the SF-36v2 and in both summary components. Notably, the values obtained for the domains of general health and bodily pain were evaluated as poor. In addition, patients perceived their pain to interfere with their day-to-day work. A study conducted in Spain yielded similar results for perceived general health and bodily pain.⁸

Our study has several limitations. First, patients were recruited from a single hospital and, although the sample was representa-

tive of PLWHA cared for at that healthcare centre, it may not be possible to generalise the results to the entire PLWHA population. Second, a generic quality-of-life questionnaire was used. While it has been used successfully in the HIV/AIDS population, by not using a specific instrument in our study it has possibly excluded certain aspects of HRQoL of particular interest in PLWHA. Third, the study data were collected five years ago. Finally, causality could not be determined by virtue of our study's cross-sectional design. Future longitudinal studies will be able to determine the causal directions of these variables. Our results suggest that socioeconomic status and co-infection with HCV influence quality of life with regard to physical health among adults living with HIV.

Funding

This study received no specific funding from public, private or non-profit organisations.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the entire team of the Infectious Diseases Unit at Hospital General Universitario de Alicante and all the patients who took part in the study.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimce.2020.03.006>.

References

1. Plan Estratégico de Prevención y Control de la infección por VIH y otras infecciones de transmisión sexual. Prórroga 2017–2020. Plan Nacional sobre el Sida, Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad, 2018. [Accessed 5 March 2020]. Available from: <https://www.mscbs.gob.es/ciudadanos/enfLesiones/enfTransmisibles/sida/docs/Prorroga2017.2020.15Jun18.pdf>.
2. Unidad de Vigilancia de VIH y Comportamientos de Riesgo. Vigilancia Epidemiológica del VIH/SIDA en España 2018: Sistema de Información sobre Nuevos Diagnósticos de VIH y Registro Nacional de Casos de Sida. Plan Nacional sobre el Sida-D.G. de Salud Pública. Calidad e Innovación /Centro Nacional de Epidemiología-ISCIII. Madrid; 2019 [Accessed 5 March 2020]. Available from: <https://www.mscbs.gob.es/ciudadanos/enfLesiones/enfTransmisibles/sida/vigilancia/doc/Informe VIH SIDA 2019.pdf>.
3. UNAIDS. Communities at the Centre Global AIDS Update 2019, Geneva; 2019 [Accessed 5 March 2020].
4. Sangaramoorthy T. Chronicity, crisis, and the “end of AIDS”. Glob Public Health. 2018;13:982–96.
5. Fumaz CR, Larranaga-Eguilegor M, Mayordomo-Lopez S, Gomez-Martinez S, Gonzalez-Garcia M, Ornella A, et al. Health-related quality of life of people living with HIV infection in Spain: a gender perspective. Aids Care. 2019;31:1509–17.
6. Fuster-RuizdeApodaca MJ, Laguia A, Safréed-Harmon K, Lazarus JV, Cenoz S, Del Amo J. Assessing quality of life in people with HIV in Spain: psychometric testing of the Spanish version of WHOQOL-HIV-BREF. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2019;17:144.
7. Degroote S, Vogelaers D, Vandijck DM. What determines health-related quality of life among people living with HIV: an updated review of the literature. Arch Public Health. 2014;72:40.
8. Briongos Figuero L, Bachiller Luque P, Palacios Martín T, González Sagrado M, Eiros Bouza J. Assessment of factors influencing health-related quality of life in HIV-infected patients. Hiv Med. 2011;12:22–30.
9. Shumaker S, Naughton M. The international assessment of health-related quality of life: a theoretical perspective. In: Shumaker SA, Beror RA, editors. The international assessment of health related quality of life: theory, translation, measurement and analysis. Oxford: Rapid Communications of Oxford Ltd.; 1995. p. 3–10.
10. Cardona-Arias J, Peláez-Vanegas L, López-Saldarriaga J, Duque-Molina M, Leal-Alvarez O. Health related quality of life in adults with HIV/AIDS in Colombia. Biomedica: Revista del Instituto Nacional de Salud. 2011;31:532–44.

11. Gillis J, Cooper C, Rourke S, Rueda S, O'Brien K, Collins E, et al. Impact of hepatitis B and C co-infection on health-related quality of life in HIV positive individuals. *Qual Life Res.* 2013;22:1525–35.
12. Jaquet A, Garonet F, Balestre E, Ekouevi DK, Azani JC, Bognounou R, et al. Antiretroviral treatment and quality of life in Africans living with HIV: 12-month follow-up in Burkina Faso. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2013;16:18867.
13. Sun W, Wu M, Qu P, Lu C, Wang L. Quality of life of people living with HIV/AIDS under the new epidemic characteristics in China and the associated factors. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e64562.
14. Colautti M, Palchik V, Botta C, Salamano M, Traverso M. Revisión de cuestionarios para evaluar calidad de vida relacionada a la salud en pacientes VIH/Sida. *Acta Farm Bonaerense.* 2006;25:123–30.
15. Ware JE Jr, Snow KK, Kosinski M, Gandek B. SF-36 Health Survey. Manual and interpretation guide. Boston MA: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center; 1993.
16. Dutra BS, Ledo AP, Lins-Kusterer L, Luz E, Prieto IR, Brites C. Changes health-related quality of life in HIV-infected patients following initiation of antiretroviral therapy: a longitudinal study. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2019;23:211–7.
17. Moreno-Montoya J, Barragán AM, Martínez M, Rodríguez A, González ÁC. Calidad de vida y percepción de apoyo social en personas con HIV en Bogotá, Colombia. *Biomedica.* 2018;38:577–85.
18. Alonso J, Regidor E, Barrio G, Prieto L, Rodríguez C, de la Fuente L. Population reference values of the Spanish version of the Health Questionnaire SF-36. *Med Clin.* 1998;111:410–6.
19. Alonso J, Prieto L, Anto JM. The Spanish version of the SF-36 Health Survey (the SF-36 health questionnaire): an instrument for measuring clinical results. *Med Clin.* 1995;104:771–6.
20. García Ordóñez MA, Mansilla Francisco JJ, Nieto Aragón E, Cereto MR, Salas Samper F, Vallejo Díaz M, et al. Quality of life associated with the health of patients with HIV infection measured with the Health Questionnaire SF-36. *An Med Interna.* 2001;18:74–9.
21. Nunnally J. Psychometric theory. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1978.
22. Campo C, Silveira A, Silva I, Ribeiro C, Gestal J, Vasconcelos C. Health related quality of life of chronic patients with immune system diseases: a pilot study. *Rev Bras Enferm.* 2012;65:454–9.
23. Arias-Colmenero T, Perez-Morente MA, Ramos-Morcillo AJ, Capilla-Díaz C, Ruiz-Martínez M, Hueso-Montoro C. Experiences and Attitudes of People with HIV/AIDS: A Systematic Review of Qualitative Studies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020;17.
24. Munene E, Ekman B. Does duration on antiretroviral therapy determine health-related quality of life in people living with HIV? A cross-sectional study in a regional referral hospital in Kenya. *Glob Health Action.* 2014;7:23554.
25. Miners A, Phillips A, Kreif N, Rodger A, Speakman A, Fisher M, et al. Health-related quality-of-life of people with HIV in the era of combination antiretroviral treatment: a cross-sectional comparison with the general population. *Lancet HIV.* 2014;1:e32–40.
26. Emurene L, Welles S, Evans AA, Polansky M, Okulicz JF, Macalino G, et al. Health-related quality of life among military HIV patients on antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One.* 2017;12:e0178953.
27. Ventura Cerdá JM, Martín Conde MT, Morillo Verdugo R, Tebenes Cortés M, Casado Gómez MA. Adherence, satisfaction and health-related quality of life in HIV-infected patients with antiretroviral therapy in Spain. The ARPAS study. *Farm Hosp.* 2014;38:291–9.
28. Armon C, Lichtenstein K. The associations among coping, nadir CD4+ T-cell count, and non-HIV-related variables with health-related quality of life among an ambulatory HIV-positive patient population. *Qual Life Res.* 2012;21:993–1003.