



# Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica

[www.elsevier.es/eimc](http://www.elsevier.es/eimc)



## Brief report

### Susceptibility evolution to antibiotics of *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Morganella morganii*, *Klebsiella aerogenes* and *Citrobacter freundii* involved in urinary tract infections: An 11-year epidemiological surveillance study<sup>☆</sup>



Gemma Jiménez-Guerra<sup>a</sup>, Jaime Borrego-Jiménez<sup>a</sup>, Blanca Gutiérrez-Soto<sup>b</sup>, Manuela Expósito-Ruiz<sup>c</sup>, José María Navarro-Marí<sup>a</sup>, José Gutiérrez-Fernández<sup>a,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Microbiología, Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves, IBS-Granada, Granada, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Unidad de Medicina de Familia, Centro de Salud San Fernando, Badajoz, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Unidad de Metodología de la Investigación y Bioestadística, Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves, IBS-Granada, Granada, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Departamento de Microbiología, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Granada, IBS-Granada, Granada, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

##### Article history:

Received 19 April 2019

Accepted 19 July 2019

Available online 3 March 2020

##### Keywords:

Urinary tract infections

Enterobacteriaceae

AmpC

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** The objective of this study was to analyse the susceptibility to antibiotic of *Citrobacter freundii*, *Klebsiella aerogenes*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Providencia stuartii* and *Morganella morganii* (CESPM group), detected in urine cultures.

**Methods:** Between 2006 and 2016, we analysed CESPM group *Enterobacteria* isolated from urine cultures from both primary health-care centres and Hospital Virgen de las Nieves (Granada). We studied the susceptibility to aminoglycosides, fosfomycin, nitrofurantoin, quinolones, piperacillin/tazobactam, cefepime, imipenem and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole following CLSI interpretation criteria.

**Results:** A total of 736 isolates were studied: 30.57% *E. cloacae*, 23.50% *M. morganii*, 20.38% *K. aerogenes*, 10.32% *C. freundii*, 8.83% *S. marcescens* and 6.38% *P. stuartii*. A significant decrease in the antibiotic susceptibility was observed. Gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, imipenem and cefepime showed susceptibility over 80%.

**Conclusions:** *E. cloacae*, *M. morganii* and *K. aerogenes* were the most common isolates. Cefepime and imipenem are still a good empiric therapeutic alternative given its activity *in vitro*.

© 2019 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. All rights reserved.

### Evolución de la sensibilidad a los antibióticos de *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Morganella morganii*, *Klebsiella aerogenes* y *Citrobacter freundii* causantes de infecciones del tracto urinario: un estudio de vigilancia epidemiológica de 11 años

#### RESUMEN

##### Palabras clave:

Infección del tracto urinario

Enterobacteriaceae

AmpC

**Introducción:** El objetivo fue la detección en urocultivos de *Citrobacter freundii*, *Klebsiella aerogenes*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Providencia stuartii* y *Morganella morganii* (grupo CESPM) para el estudio de su perfil de sensibilidad a los antibióticos.

**Métodos:** Entre 2006 y 2016 se analizaron todos los aislados de enterobacterias del grupo CESPM de urocultivos de centros de atención primaria o del complejo hospitalario Virgen de las Nieves (Granada). Se estudió la sensibilidad a aminoglucósidos, fosfomicina, nitrofurantoína, quinolonas, piperaclina/tazobactam, cefepime, imipenem y trimetoprim/sulfametoxazol, según normas del CLSI.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2019.07.010>

\* Please cite this article as: Jiménez-Guerra G, Borrego-Jiménez J, Gutiérrez-Soto B, Expósito-Ruiz M, Navarro-Marí JM, Gutiérrez-Fernández J. Evolución de la sensibilidad a los antibióticos de *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Morganella morganii*, *Klebsiella aerogenes* y *Citrobacter freundii* causantes de infecciones del tracto urinario: un estudio de vigilancia epidemiológica de 11 años. Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin. 2020;166–169.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [josegf@go.ugr.es](mailto:josegf@go.ugr.es) (J. Gutiérrez-Fernández).

**Resultados:** Se estudiaron 736 aislamientos (30,57% *E. cloacae*; 23,50% *M. morganii*; 20,38% *K. aerogenes*; 10,32% *C. freundii*; 8,83% *S. marcescens* y 6,38% *P. stuartii*). Se observó una disminución significativa de la sensibilidad. Para gentamicina, ciprofloxacino, imipenem y cefepime presentaron sensibilidad superior al 80%.

**Conclusión:** *E. cloacae*, *M. morganii* y *K. aerogenes* fueron las especies más frecuentemente aisladas. Cefepime e imipenem siguen siendo una buena alternativa terapéutica empírica por su actividad *in vitro*.

© 2019 Elsevier España, S.L.U. y Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica.

Todos los derechos reservados.

## Introduction

Antibiotic resistance rates have increased globally in recent years, especially in gram-negative bacilli. The CESPM group (*Citrobacter freundii*, *Klebsiella aerogenes*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Providencia stuartii* and *Morganella morganii*) refers to enterobacteria that cause 10% of nosocomial and community-acquired urinary tract infections (UTIs). In addition, they have a high resistance profile due to naturally producing inducible chromosomal AmpC beta lactamases.<sup>1–3</sup> Urine cultures from our health area in the last year have demonstrated a prevalence of enterobacteria in adults of 15.2% in the community and 12.8% in hospitalized patients and, in children, 8.3% in the community and 11.1% in hospitalized patients. The objective of this work was the detection of these enterobacteria in our environment to study their susceptibility profile over a period of 11 years, which may help establish a more adequate empirical treatment in risk populations.

## Methods

A descriptive analysis of the susceptibility profile of isolated CESPM enterobacteria in urine cultures was performed in the Microbiology Laboratory of the Virgen de las Nieves University Hospital in Granada between 2006 and 2016. Urine was obtained from midstream samples or using catheters (permanent catheter or temporary catheterization) of patients in primary care centres and hospitalized patients. Samples were received in sterile containers or in boric acid tubes (Vacutainer, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA). They were processed within 24 h after receipt or stored at 5–8 °C for 24 h if not processed immediately. They were seeded semiquantitatively with 1 µl calibrated loops (COPA, Brescia, Italy) in CHROMagar Orientation chromogenic medium (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA) at 37 °C for 18–24 h and, in patients with kidney disease, also in Columbia blood agar (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA) in a CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Those with one or two uropathogen counts above 100,000 CFU/ml (10,000 in urine by probing) or one uropathogen between 10,000 and 100,000 CFU/ml (1000–10,000 in urine by probing) were considered positive. The MicroScan Walkaway automated system (Beckman Coulter, Brea, USA), interpreted according to CLSI document M100-S26, was used to identify the microorganisms and for the sensitivity study. In all cases where there was resistance to cefoxitin, the production of AmpC was established through the demonstration of synergy with cloxacillin<sup>3</sup> and the production of extended spectrum beta lactamase was excluded by testing synergy with clavulanic acid and the production of carbapenemase with the Rapidec® Carba NP colorimetric test (BioMérieux, France) or, failing that, the Hodge test when necessary.

Duplications of results in urine cultures with an interval of less than 21 days were excluded. For the statistical analysis, IBM SPSS Statistics 19 version 1.7 was used (IMB, Chicago, USA). The categorical variables were expressed as distribution of absolute and relative frequencies, while to analyse the evolution in the rates of susceptibility to the different antibiotics over the study period

Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test for trends was used. A value of  $p < 0.05$  was considered significant.

The protocol was conducted in accordance with the Health Research and Ethics Committee of the Hospitals and Health Care Districts; it was a non-interventionist study that only included routine procedures. The data were analysed based on an anonymous database, so no additional permissions were required.

## Results

Some 736 isolates (Table 1) of CESPM microorganisms were studied: 225 (30.57%) *E. cloacae*; 173 (23.50%) *M. morganii*; 150 (20.38%) *K. aerogenes*; 76 (10.32%) *C. freundii*; 65 (8.83%) *S. marcescens* and 47 (6.38%) *P. stuartii*. The mean age was 57.8 years (range 0–95 years), with 416 (56.52%) male and 320 (43.48%) female subjects. The departments of origin were: Emergency 222 (30.16%) isolates; Urology 105 (14.26%); Nephrology 94 (12.77%); Paediatrics 66 (8.96%); Digestive Medicine 52 (7.06%); Internal Medicine 42 (5.70%) and 155 (21.05%) belonging to other departments.

In the susceptibility studies (Table 2) it was observed that, in the four most common microorganisms, this was elevated against aminoglycosides and ciprofloxacin (with exceptions in 2010–2012 for *E. cloacae* and *C. freundii*). High susceptibility to imipenem was observed. Cefepime was the antibiotic with the best activity profile for all microorganisms, but presented a significant reduction in susceptibility in 2010. *E. cloacae* presented a decrease in susceptibility to fosfomycin and was the microorganism with the lowest rate of susceptibility to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and piperacillin/tazobactam. *E. cloacae* and *K. aerogenes* saw a decrease in nitrofurantoin susceptibility, with susceptibility rates in 2016 of 28% and 13%, respectively. *K. aerogenes* was the most susceptible microorganism to nalidixic acid, with an average susceptibility of 86%. The low number of isolates of *P. stuartii* and *S. marcescens* meant that it was not possible to show its evolution. In 2015, one isolate of *E. cloacae* producing a VIM type carbapenemase (in a patient admitted to the Nephrology Department) was identified.

## Discussion

Knowing the susceptibility profiles of the most common uropathogens is of particular importance in order to establish adequate empirical treatments in UTIs, since, being very common, they generate a high health expenditure. CESPM enterobacteria that are resistant to third-generation cephalosporins and do not produce extended-spectrum beta lactamase may produce AmpC, chromosomal or plasmid-mediated beta lactamase<sup>4</sup>, which needs to be confirmed.

*E. cloacae* is an especially important pathogen because of its ability to acquire other resistance mechanisms during treatment in addition to those intrinsic to it.<sup>5,6</sup> In our work, the lowest susceptibility percentages for most of the antibiotics studied is presented. Cefepime is a fourth generation cephalosporin that weakly induces AmpC betalactamases, as it penetrates rapidly through the gram-negative outer membrane<sup>7</sup>; therefore, it is considered

**Table 1**Annualised distribution of urinary clinical isolates of the species *E. cloacae*, *M. morganii*, *K. aerogenes*, *C. freundii*, *S. marcescens* and *P. stuartii*.

Species	Annualised distribution of clinical isolates										
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
<i>E. cloacae</i>	17	18	19	18	19	21	20	22	21	25	25
<i>K. aerogenes</i>	9	9	10	15	16	16	18	17	17	12	11
<i>M. morganii</i>	14	14	16	14	14	17	15	17	16	17	19
<i>C. freundii</i>	6	7	5	6	6	7	6	8	7	9	9
<i>S. marcescens</i>	5	6	6	5	5	7	5	6	6	7	7
<i>P. stuartii</i>	4	3	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	6	6
Total	55	57	61	61	64	72	68	74	71	76	77

**Table 2**

Percentages of antibiotic susceptibility in isolates of microorganisms obtained from urine cultures.

Antibiotics	Microorganisms	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	MEDIA
Gentamicin	<i>E. cloacae</i>	100	100	68	82	86	53*	86	81	94	77	76	82
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	70	100	92	77*	100	100	100	93	100	100	100	94
	<i>C. freundii</i>	50	100	100	75	63	100	100	100	92	71	75	84
	<i>M. morganii</i>	100	80	67*	100	67*	100	100	100	100	89	90	90
Tobramycin	<i>E. cloacae</i>	100	100	68	76	93	58	86	85	94	81	72	83
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	70*	100	92	77*	88	100	100	100	93	100	100	93
	<i>C. freundii</i>	50*	100	100	75	63**	100	100	100	100	71	75	85
	<i>M. morganii</i>	100	80	67	100	33	67	100	100	6	100	100	78
Phosphomycin	<i>E. cloacae</i>	90	92*	70	94*	93*	84	59	52	53	58	48	72
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	60	100	100	92	88	92	81	88	79	88	93	87
	<i>C. freundii</i>	100	100	75*	100	100	100	100	100	92	86	75*	93
	<i>M. morganii</i>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	63*	100	90	96
Nitrofurantoin	<i>E. cloacae</i>	75	75	70	59	86	95	82	15*	25*	35*	28*	59
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	80	100	92	62	76	83	25*	19*	14*	19*	13*	53
	<i>C. freundii</i>	100	100	100	100	88	100	86*	100	58*	100	88	93
Nalidixic acid	<i>E. cloacae</i>	90	100	73	71	86	68	45***	85	88	81	79	79
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	60*	100	75	68*	88	100	88	88	86	94	100	86
	<i>C. freundii</i>	50	83	75	88	75	67	86	100	50	71	63	73
	<i>M. morganii</i>	75	100	100	100	67	33***	100	100	63***	89	80	82
Ciprofloxacin	<i>E. cloacae</i>	95	100	76	82	86	68	59*	85	88	81	72	81
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	80	100	83	69*	88	100	94	100	100	100	100	92
	<i>C. freundii</i>	100	100	75	86	75	67*	86	100	67*	71*	75	82
	<i>M. morganii</i>	75	100	67*	100	67*	33*	100	100	100	89	90	84
Imipenem	<i>E. cloacae</i>	100	100	97	100	100	84	100	93	84*	81*	93	92
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	90	100	100	100	100	58*	100	69*	86	88	80	88
	<i>C. freundii</i>	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	67*	83	100	88	94
	<i>M. morganii</i>	100	100	67*	100	100	33*	100	100	100	100	80	89
Piperacillin/ tazobactam	<i>E. cloacae</i>	85	83	73	94	86	63*	82	74	81	73	66	78
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	80	67*	75	69	71	100	88	88	79	88	93	82
	<i>C. freundii</i>	75	100	88	100	88	67	100	100	92	86	88	89
	<i>M. morganii</i>	75	80	67	100	33*	67	100	100	69	100	100	81
Trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole	<i>E. cloacae</i>	95	75	65	76	93	74	68	89	78	62	55*	75
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	70*	100	92	77*	88	100	100	100	100	100	100	93
	<i>C. freundii</i>	75	83	88	75	63	100	100	100	67	71	75	82
	<i>M. morganii</i>	100	80	100	100	67	33	100	100	100	89	80	86
Cefepime	<i>E. cloacae</i>	90	92	70	76	79	84	100	74	81	69*	69*	80
	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	90	100	83*	100	76*	100	100	100	100	100	100	95
	<i>C. freundii</i>	75*	100	100	100	88*	100	100	100	92	100	100	96
	<i>M. morganii</i>	100	60*	67*	100	67*	100	100	100	100	100	100	90

p values for the decrease in antibiotic susceptibility compared to the mean.

\* p ≤ 0.001.

\*\* p = 0.011.

\*\*\* p = 0.015.

\*\*\*\* p = 0.021.

an alternative to carbapenemes against AmpC microorganisms.<sup>7</sup> However, it was not very useful against *E. cloacae*.

The current scenario has favoured the re-emergent use of traditional antibiotics, such as fosfomycin, whose resistance rates have traditionally been low.<sup>8,9</sup> Nitrofurantoin has been considered an excellent therapeutic option for UTI in southern European countries,<sup>10</sup> but it reaches only low concentration levels in parenchyma.<sup>11</sup> In our study, the significant reduction in

susceptibility to these has been building since 2012, coinciding with the publication of UTI treatment guidelines that recommended their use.<sup>12</sup> Considering the susceptibility in *Enterobacter* spp., we can empirically determine that nitrofurantoin is not useful. Aminoglycosides are not usually recommended in uncomplicated UTIs since they have some toxicity and their administration is parenteral. If we consider their use in hospital settings, there are authors who claim that gentamicin is a good alternative to

carbapenemes,<sup>13</sup> as in our series. Fluoroquinolones are widely used in UTIs due to their broad spectrum, excellent oral bioavailability, good tolerance and postantibiotic effect, but due to their frequent use there has been a marked decrease in susceptibility in enterobacteria.<sup>14,15</sup> In our study, we observed how the reduction in susceptibility to ciprofloxacin was growing, which explains why it is the main resistance in beta lactamase producing bacteria, whose coding shares the same genetic elements.

Carbapenemes are the first-line option against AmpC beta lactamases, although in our series we observed a drop in susceptibility to imipenem in the four species between 2013 and 2015, which we could relate to their possible excessive use. For this, cefepime could be an alternative. Imipenem was the drug of choice for the years in which our laboratory only received hospital samples. This phenomenon would explain the decrease in susceptibility for all antibiotics studied, since the bacteria that cause nosocomial UTI have a more resistant profile.

Although in this work targeted treatment with the alternatives cefepime and imipenem is considered as a conclusion, there is a high rate of susceptibility to other antimicrobials and, therefore, any of them may be the drug of choice depending on the patient's clinical situation. If we were talking about empirical treatment, given the low prevalence of UTIs by these microorganisms, the approach would be different. Antibiotics that retain a susceptibility greater than 80% for all species and that, therefore, would be a good alternative to carbapenemes as an empirical treatment, are gentamicin and ciprofloxacin, despite studies concerning the latter as the most common co-resistance in beta lactamase producing bacteria.

The main limitations of this retrospective study are the impossibility of establishing whether the episodes of UTI were complicated or not and whether a previous antibiotic therapy had been used. Therefore, there may be an overestimation of the resistance of the uropathogens studied. In addition, the type of patients varied throughout the study, which may explain the fluctuations. Finally, enterobacteria with AmpC expressed at a low level, such as *Escherichia coli* were not included, nor has the presence of acquired AmpC beta lactamases in the isolates studied been reported.

In conclusion, the species *E. cloacae*, *M. morganii* and *K. aerogenes* were the enterobacteria with natural inducible chromosomal AmpC beta lactamases most frequently isolated in urine culture, in which the resistance to several groups of antibiotics was common. Cefepime remains a therapeutic alternative to carbapenemes due to its *in vitro* activity.

## Funding

None.

## Conflicts of interest

None.

## References

- Dos Santos GS, Solidônio EG, Costa MC, Melo RO, de Souza IF, Silvan GR. Study of the Enterobacteriaceae group CESP (*Citrobacter*, *Enterobacter*, *Serratia*, *Providencia*, *Morganella* and *Hafnia*): a review. In: Méndez-Vilas A, editor. The battle against microbial pathogens: basic science, technological advances and educational programs. Pernambuco: Federal University of Pernambuco; 2015. p. 794–805.
- Gajdács M, Urbán E. Resistance trends and epidemiology of *Citrobacter-Enterobacter-Serratia* in urinary tract infections of inpatients and outpatients (RECESUTI): a 10-year survey. Medicina (Kaunas). 2019;55:E285. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/medicina55060285>.
- Jacoby GA. AmpC beta-lactamases. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2009;22:161–82.
- Yu WL, Cheng KC, Chi CJ, Chen HE, Chuang YC, Wu JT. Characterisation and molecular epidemiology of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacter cloacae* isolated from a district teaching hospital in Taiwan. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2006;12:579–82.
- Cantón R, Oliver A, Coque TM, Varela MC, Pérez-Díaz JC, Baquero F. Epidemiology of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacter* isolates in a Spanish hospital during a 12-year period. J Clin Microbiol. 2002;40:1237–43.
- Tato-Rodríguez R, Oteo-Iglesias J, Álvarez-García P, Zamora-López MJ, Martínez-López J, Pallarés-González A, et al. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant CTX-M-9-producing *Enterobacter cloacae* complex in an intensive care unit. Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin. 2016;34:237–42.
- Meini S, Tascini C, Cei M, Sozio E, Rossolini GM. AmpC beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriales: what a clinician should know. Infection. 2019. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s15010-019-01291-9>.
- Sorlózano A, Jiménez-Pacheco A, Luna del Castillo JD, Sampedro A, Martínez-Brocal A, Miranda-Casas C, et al. Evolution of the resistance to antibiotics of bacteria involved in urinary tract infections: a 7-year surveillance study. Am J Infect Control. 2014;42:1033–8.
- Sorlózano-Puerto A, Gómez-Luque JM, Luna del Castillo JD, Navarro-Marí JM, Gutiérrez-Fernández J. Etiological and resistance profile of bacteria involved in urinary tract infections in young children. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:4909452. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2017/4909452>.
- Maraki S, Mantadakis E, Michailidis L, Samonis G. Changing antibiotic susceptibilities of community-acquired uropathogens in Greece, 2005–2010. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2013;46:202–9.
- Lorente Garín JA, Placer Santos J, Salvado Costa M, Segura Álvarez C, Gelabert-Mas A. Antibiotic resistance transformation in community-acquired urinary infections. Rev Clin Esp. 2005;205:259–64.
- Pigrau C. Nosocomial urinary tract infections. Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin. 2013;31:614–24.
- Demir T, Buyukguclu T. Evaluation of the *in vitro* activity of fosfomycin tromethamine against Gram-negative bacterial strains recovered from community- and hospital-acquired urinary tract infections in Turkey. Int J Infect Dis. 2013;17:e966–70.
- Aguinaga A, Gil-Setas A, Mazón Ramos A, Álvaro A, García-Irupe JJ, Navascués A, et al. Uncomplicated urinary tract infections antimicrobial susceptibility study in Navarre. An Sist San Nav. 2018;2018:59989.
- Tena D, González-Praetorius A, González JC, Heredero E, Illescas S, de Baranda CS, et al. Changes in the antimicrobial susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* isolates from community diagnosed urinary tract infections during the period 2003–2007. Multicentre study in Castilla-La Mancha (Spain). Rev Esp Quimioter. 2010;23:36–42.