



# Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica

[www.elsevier.es/eimc](http://www.elsevier.es/eimc)



## Review article

### From CLSI to EUCAST, a necessary step in Spanish laboratories<sup>☆</sup>



María Nieves Larrosa<sup>a,\*</sup>, Natividad Benito<sup>b</sup>, Rafael Cantón<sup>c</sup>, Andrés Canut<sup>d</sup>, Emilia Cercenado<sup>e</sup>, Felipe Fernández-Cuenca<sup>f</sup>, Jesús Guinea<sup>e</sup>, Antonio López-Navas<sup>g</sup>, Miguel Ángel Moreno<sup>h</sup>, Antonio Oliver<sup>i</sup>, Luis Martínez-Martínez<sup>j</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Servicio de Microbiología, Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebron, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca (VHIR), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Unidad de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica de Sant Pau (IIB Sant Pau), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Servicio de Microbiología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Servicio de Microbiología, Hospital Universitario de Álava, Instituto de Investigación de Álava (BIOARABA), Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain

<sup>e</sup> Servicio de Microbiología y Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

<sup>f</sup> Unidad de Gestión Clínica de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Microbiología y Medicina Preventiva, Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Universidad de Sevilla, Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBIS), Sevilla, Spain

<sup>g</sup> Agencia Española de Medicamentos y Productos Sanitarios (AEMPS), Madrid, Spain

<sup>h</sup> Departamento de Sanidad Animal, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain

<sup>i</sup> Servicio de Microbiología, Hospital Universitario Son Espases, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Illes Balears (IdISBa), Palma de Mallorca, Spain

<sup>j</sup> Unidad de Gestión Clínica de Microbiología, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía, Departamento de Microbiología, Universidad de Córdoba, Instituto Maimónides de Investigación Biomédica de Córdoba (IMIBIC), Córdoba, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 25 September 2018

Accepted 28 September 2018

Available online 27 November 2019

### Keywords:

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

European Committee on Antimicrobial

Susceptibility Testing

Zone diameter breakpoints

MIC

Spanish Antibiogram Committee

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

EUCAST

CLSI

## ABSTRACT

The Spanish Antibiogram Committee (Comité Español del Antibiograma, COESANT) presents in this document a simple “roadmap” or decalogue of recommendations, with a view to facilitating the transition from the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) antimicrobial susceptibility testing regulations to the Clinical Microbiology Spanish laboratories that still use the CLSI guidelines. The objectives are to adapt the closer European regulations to the Spanish clinical and epidemiological reality and to fully implement the EUCAST recommendations in all microbiology laboratories in Spain.

© 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. All rights reserved.

## Del CLSI al EUCAST, una transición necesaria en los laboratorios españoles

## RESUMEN

El Comité Español del Antibiograma (COESANT) presenta en este documento una sencilla «hoja de ruta» en forma de decálogo de recomendaciones cuya finalidad es facilitar la transición de la normativa del *Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute* (CLSI) a la del *European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing* (EUCAST) en los Servicios y Unidades de Microbiología Clínica que aún emplean los criterios del CLSI. Su objetivo es adaptar las directrices europeas, más próximas a la realidad clínico-epidemiológica española, y conseguir una implantación de los criterios del EUCAST en la totalidad de los laboratorios de Microbiología en España.

© 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U.

y Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. Todos los derechos reservados.

### Palabras clave:

Antibiograma

European Committee on Antimicrobial

Susceptibility Testing

Punto de corte

CMI

Comité Español del Antibiograma

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

EUCAST

CLSI

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2018.09.014>

☆ Please cite this article as: Larrosa MN, Benito N, Cantón R, Canut A, Cercenado E, Fernández-Cuenca F, et al. Del CLSI al EUCAST, una transición necesaria en los laboratorios españoles. Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin. 2020;38:79–83.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [mnlarrosa@vhebron.net](mailto:mnlarrosa@vhebron.net) (M.N. Larrosa).

## Introduction

For almost fifty years, antimicrobial susceptibility studies in Spanish laboratories have been guided primarily by the standards recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, or CLSI (formerly known as the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, or NCCLS). The *Mesa Española de la Normalización de la Sensibilidad y Resistencia a los Antimicrobianos* (MENSURA) [Spanish Bureau for the Standardisation of Antimicrobial Susceptibility and Resistance] was created in 1991, focusing on specific technical aspects related to the antibiogram, in the same vein as other European national committees such as the *Comité de l'Antibiogramme* of the *Société Française de Microbiologie* (CA-SFM) or the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC) Susceptibility Testing Standing Committee. Both committees, together with those of other countries in northern Europe, were the spawn of the current European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST), which was organised in 1997 under the auspices of the European Centre for Diseases Prevention and Control (ECDC, [www.ecdc.europa.eu](http://www.ecdc.europa.eu)) and the European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID, <https://www.escmid.org>). EUCAST is currently accepted as the breakpoint committee for the European Medicines Agency (EMA) (<http://www.ema.europa.eu>) and the ECDC, with this guaranteeing the independence of criteria with respect to the pharmaceutical industry and the diagnosis, as it holds purely consultative powers.

With Spain now fully integrated into Europe, it makes no sense that, for the study of antimicrobial susceptibility, which is one of the central activities of Microbiology Departments and Units, some laboratories still use Reference documents from the United States (CLSI documents), especially taking into account the significant epidemiological differences. Currently, both committees establish clinical breakpoints based on microbiological aspects, including population analysis of minimum inhibitory concentrations, or MIC, on pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters and on clinical outcomes ([http://www.eucast.org/clinical\\_breakpoints/eucast\\_setting\\_breakpoints](http://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/eucast_setting_breakpoints)). EUCAST also provides epidemiological cut-off points, or ECOFF, which allow the microorganism to be classified according to whether or not it has an acquired resistance mechanism for a greater number of antibiotic/microorganism combinations than the CLSI. Regardless of the EMA and the ECDC recommendations, other differences supporting the definitive implementation of the EUCAST expert rules in Spain are the aforementioned EUCAST independence from the pharmaceutical industry and the fact that all the guidelines and the technical documentation from this committee are freely accessible on its website (<http://www.eucast.org/>). For the study of antifungal susceptibility with the EUCAST reference method, it should be noted that use of a spectrophotometric reading of the MIC eliminates the variability of the visual reading. Although EUCAST does not currently have breakpoints for all the antimicrobials marketed in Spain necessary to fully cover patient care (with some deficiencies particularly affecting rare microorganisms), recommendations are given on how proceed when this circumstance arises.<sup>1</sup> However, this lack of data has a minimal impact on the day to day and will be resolved as sufficient information becomes available to establish these breakpoints with certainty.

The main focus of the *Comité Español del Antibiograma* (COESANT) [Spanish Antibiogram Committee] (<http://coesant-seimc.org>), sponsored by the *Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiology Clínica* (SEIMC) [Spanish Society of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology] and the *Agencia Española de Medicamentos y Productos Sanitarios* (AEMPS) [Spanish Agency of Medicines and Medical Devices], is to promote the implementation of the EUCAST expert rules in the Clinical

**Table 1**

Ten fundamental steps to adopt the EUCAST expert rules as reference in the reading of antibiograms.

1. Review the EUCAST manual of breakpoints and the rules of interpretation
2. Notify the suppliers of commercial support systems in order to plan the necessary software changes
3. Pay close attention to the specific recommendations for the disc-diffusion method
4. Anticipate the change in concentration of some disks and of culture medium for some microorganisms
5. Decide the breakpoints to be adopted in cases where EUCAST has not set specific criteria
6. Verify that the appropriate control strains are available
7. Adapt the laboratory's documentary information and computer support system
8. Inform the physicians responsible for the patient and the pertinent Committees of possible variations in the interpretation of susceptibility
9. Take into account the differences in the analysis of cumulative susceptibility reports
10. Consult COESANT about any doubts or queries that may arise

Microbiology Departments and Units of Spain, providing any necessary help and support that may facilitate the change of reference system for antibiotic susceptibility tests.<sup>2</sup> It should also be noted that one of the aims of the *Plan Nacional frente a la Resistencia a los Antibióticos* (PRAN) [Spanish Plan against Antibiotic Resistance] is to promote uniform implementation of the use of susceptibility tests with EUCAST criteria. Among other functions, COESANT is responsible for reviewing EUCAST documents and proposals, and for channelling and managing specific consultations between microbiologists who are experts in the study of antimicrobial susceptibility and the European committee. This allows needs to be identified and priorities established, such as the document set out below. From our experience of having overcome the process of migrating from CLSI to EUCAST, the aim of this simple "road map" is to resolve the initial doubts that arise and facilitate the transition as far as possible by simplifying the actions to be taken.

Today, according to data from a survey on the status of Microbiology Departments and Units in Spain (unpublished data), as well as SEIMC Quality Control and multicentre studies,<sup>3</sup> 50–65% of Microbiology Departments/Units in Spain have already implemented the EUCAST expert rules as a single reference or complemented by some CLSI criteria.

The guidelines presented below have been simplified into ten points (Table 1), the aim being to create a document which is more practical than theoretical, but citing the sources of origin so that those who want to delve further into the subject are able to do so.

## The recommendations

1. Review of the EUCAST manual of breakpoints and the rules of interpretation.<sup>4–6</sup>

In this first step, certain aspects need to be taken into account such as those listed below:

- For EUCAST, the resistant category (R) is defined as a value "greater than" (>), while the CLSI defines it as "greater than or equal to" (≥).
- EUCAST does not individually refer to the intermediate susceptibility category (I), purely to simplify the tables. This category obviously exists and refers to the zone between the minimum inhibitory concentrations or the diameters of inhibition halos between the susceptible (S) and resistant (R) cut-off points. EUCAST recently proposed a redefinition of the "intermediate" category (Susceptible, increased exposure) that reflects only the aspects related to the exposure of the microorganism to the

antibiotic (by adjusting the dosage regimen or by concentration at the site of infection), and not the techniques derived from the laboratory susceptibility study itself.<sup>7</sup> The interpretation of category I is proposed as "Susceptible, increased exposure".

- A breakpoint of  $S \geq 50$  mm is an arbitrary scale for situations in which wild strains are categorised as intermediate; for example, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and *Enterococcus* spp.
- For the MIC evaluation of the combinations of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and ampicillin-sulbactam, the inhibitor concentration is set for clavulanic acid at 2 mg/l and for sulbactam at 4 mg/l and is not a ratio (2:1) as in the case of CLSI. For piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftolozane-tazobactam and ceftazidime-avibactam, the concentration is the same for both committees (2 mg/l in the first case and 4 mg/l in the other combinations).<sup>8</sup> That implies a higher percentage of resistance, but better clinical correlation. It should also be noted that the EUCAST breakpoints for amoxicillin-clavulanic acid are different in urinary tract infection an in systemic infection.
- For quinolones, the EUCAST breakpoints are more restrictive than those defined by the CLSI. In *Salmonella enterica*, the MIC of ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin or ofloxacin should be determined to detect the presence of strains with a low level of resistance to quinolones, including strains that produce Qnr proteins or with the variant of the bifunctional enzyme AAC(6')-Ib-cr.<sup>8,9</sup> COESANT considers that this recommendation should be extended to the other *Enterobacteriaceae*, at least when they produce invasive infections. In the Departments/Units that mainly use the disc-diffusion method, the study of susceptibility to nalidixic acid facilitates the phenotypic recognition of part of these resistance mechanisms against quinolones.
- The EUCAST breakpoints for imipenem and meropenem in *Enterobacteriaceae* are less restrictive in terms of their translation in the clinical category; not so the carbapenemase screening breakpoint, which aims to increase the sensitivity for detection.<sup>10-12</sup> If the presence of this resistance mechanism is confirmed, it is mandatory to give the result of the MIC for the carbapenems, given the possibility of using them in combination (lower failure rate than other alternatives), provided that the MIC is  $\leq 8$  mg/l according to Pk/Pd models and clinical outcomes.<sup>13,14</sup>
- For trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole at a 1:19 ratio, the MIC values are expressed as trimethoprim concentration.
- There are significant variations in the breakpoints established by EUCAST and CLSI for antibiotics such as aztreonam<sup>15</sup> and fosfomycin.
- The breakpoints for azoles and echinocandins are species-specific and depend on the different combinations of antifungal and *Candida/Aspergillus* species.<sup>16-18</sup> EUCAST does not currently recommend antifungal susceptibility testing of caspofungin against isolates of *Candida* spp. due to the high variability in the results obtained between different laboratories. The susceptibility of *Candida* spp. to caspofungin can be extrapolated from the results of the study of anidulafungin and micafungin, and it is recommended that both echinocandins be tested in order to detect uncommon phenotypes of strains resistant only to one or the other.
- 2. Notification of the suppliers of commercial antibiogram systems of the intention to implement the EUCAST expert rules, in order to plan the necessary software changes.

If a laboratory boasts a commercial antimicrobial susceptibility testing system, it is recommended to inform the supplier in advance of the intention to adopt the EUCAST criteria, in order to plan the adaptation of the software and the configuration of the panels (currently under review).<sup>19</sup> If a semi-automatic disc-diffusion test reader is used, the supplier must also be informed

**Table 2**  
Differences in disc concentration between EUCAST and CLSI.

Antimicrobial agent	Disc concentration	
	EUCAST	CLSI
Benzylpenicillin	1 unit	10 units
Ampicillin	2 and 10 µg <sup>a</sup>	10 µg
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	2-1 and 20-10 µg <sup>b</sup>	20-10 µg
Piperacillin	30 µg	100 µg
Piperacillin-tazobactam	30-6 µg	100-10 µg
Cefotaxime	5 µg	30 µg
Ceftaroline	5 µg	30 µg
Ceftazidime	10 µg	30 µg
High-concentration gentamicin	30 µg	120 µg
Vancomycin	5 µg	30 µg
Linezolid	10 µg	30 µg
Mupirocin	200 µg	10 µg
Nitrofurantoin	100 µg	300 µg

<sup>a</sup> 2 µg for *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Pasteurella multocida*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* and streptococci.

<sup>b</sup> 2-1 µg for *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Moraxella catarrhalis* and *Pasteurella multocida*.

of the need to adapt the expert systems and breakpoints to the EUCAST criteria.

### 3. Review the specific recommendations for the disc-diffusion method.

The specific EUCAST recommendations for the disc-diffusion method<sup>20,21</sup> must be taken into account (for example, to incubate the plates for no less than 16 h but no more than 20 h, with specific exceptions, such as *Corynebacterium* spp.).

### 4. Anticipate the change in concentration of some disks and of culture medium for some microorganisms.

In the disc-diffusion method, keep in mind that the antibiotic concentration on some disks changes (Table 2). In addition, in the case of streptococci and other bacterial species, such as *Moraxella catarrhalis*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Pasteurella multocida*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Campylobacter coli*, *Corynebacterium* spp., *Aerococcus sanguinicola* and *Aerococcus urinae*, as well as *Kingella kingae*, Mueller-Hinton agar is recommended supplemented with 5% defibrinated horse blood and 20 mg/l of β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD).<sup>22</sup>

### 5. Decide the breakpoints to be adopted in cases where EUCAST has not ruled.

Each Microbiology Department/Unit must decide which breakpoints apply in cases where EUCAST has not yet established criteria. The EUCAST document referred to above should be taken into account for that purpose.<sup>1</sup>

- Pk/Pd breakpoints not related to the microbial species.
- In the absence of Pk/Pd breakpoints, interpret the result based on whether they belong to the wild-type (susceptible) population or to the population that may have a resistance mechanism (resistant).
- For specific queries, consult COESANT.

### 6. Verify that the appropriate control strains are available.

Verify that the main appropriate control strains are available in the laboratory both for routine controls (*Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, *Enterococcus faecalis*

ATCC 29212, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* ATCC 49619, *Haemophilus influenzae* ATCC 49766, *Campylobacter jejuni* ATCC 33560, *Candida krusei* ATCC 6258 and *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC 22019) and for the detection of the main resistance mechanisms.<sup>6</sup>

- a. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) and resistance of some combinations of beta-lactam-beta-lactamase inhibitor such as ceftazidime-avibactam, cefotolozane-tazobactam and piperacillin-tazobactam: *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603, which produces SHV-18.
  - b. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (*mecA* gene): *Staphylococcus aureus* NCTC 12493.
  - c. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VanB phenotype): *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 51299.
  - d. Enterococci with high-level gentamicin resistance: *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 51299.
  - e. *Haemophilus influenzae* with decreased susceptibility to ampicillin due to PBP mutations: *Haemophilus influenzae* ATCC 49247.
  - f. Colistin resistance: *Escherichia coli* NCTC 13846 (*mcr-1*).
7. Adapt the laboratory's documentary information and computer support system.

Make all necessary changes in the documents or working procedures in the laboratory (technical documentation and quality manuals), and in the laboratory's computer support system and the results which are sent to the patients' medical records.

#### 8. Information on the possible wide-ranging variations in the interpretation of susceptibility.

Inform the physicians responsible for the patient and the Infections and/or Antibiotic and Pharmacy Policy Committees of possible variations in the interpretation of susceptibility.<sup>23</sup> Also communicate if it is decided not to report the interpreted antibiogram in the case of certain resistance mechanisms such as ESBL-producing strains; in such cases, add a comment in the laboratory report as recommended by EUCAST.

#### 9. Consider differences in the analysis of cumulative susceptibility reports.

This information should be borne in mind when analysing the results of cumulative susceptibility reports, which may vary in certain aspects from those of other years (decreased susceptibility in species/antibiotic combinations where there is variation in breakpoints) and the reports should be prepared according to EUCAST guidelines<sup>23–27</sup> and the recommendations of the SEIMC Clinical Microbiology Procedures.<sup>28</sup>

#### 10. For difficulties or queries, consult COESANT.

For any questions deriving from the process of transitioning from CLSI to EUCAST, consult COESANT (<http://coesant-seimc.org/>).

#### Funding

No specific funding was received to draft this document. COESANT's activities are partly funded by the Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica (SEIMC) [Spanish Society of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology] and the Agencia Española de Medicamentos y Productos Sanitarios (AEMPS) [Spanish Agency of Medicines and Medical Devices]. The research by MNL, RC, FFC, AO and LMM is funded by the Plan Estatal de Investigación Científica y Técnica

y de Innovación [State Plan for Scientific and Technical Research and Innovation] 2013–2016 and the Instituto de Salud Carlos III [Carlos III Health Institute], Subdirección General de Redes y Centros de Investigación Cooperativa [General Sub-directorate of Networks and Centres for Cooperative Research], Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades [Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities], Red Española de Investigación en Patología Infecciosa [Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases] (REIPI RD16/0016/0003; RD16/0016/0011; RD16/0016/0001; RD16/0016/0004; RD16/0016/0008), co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund "A way to make Europe", Smart Growth Operational Programme 2014–2020.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### References

1. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Antimicrobial susceptibility tests on groups of organisms or agents for which there are no EUCAST breakpoints; 2016. Available from: <http://www.eucast.org>.
2. Martínez-Martínez L, Pascual A, Cantón R. El Comité Español del Antibiograma (COESANT), en sintonía con EUCAST. Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin. 2013;31:639–40.
3. Díez-Aguilar M, Conejo MC, Morosini MI, Tormo Palop N, Gimeno C, Cantón R, et al. Susceptibility testing and detection of β-lactam resistance mechanisms in *Enterobacteriaceae*: a multicentre national proficiency study. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2018;51:612–9.
4. Leclercq R, Cantón R, Brown DFJ, Giske CG, Heisig P, MacGowan AP, et al. EUCAST expert rules in antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19:141–60.
5. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. EUCAST intrinsic resistance and exceptional phenotypes, Expert Rules version 3.1; 2016. Available from: [http://www.eucast.org/expert\\_rules](http://www.eucast.org/expert_rules).
6. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Routine and extended internal quality control as recommended by EUCAST. V8.0; 2018. Available from: <http://www.eucast.org>.
7. Kahlmeter G. EUCAST proposes to change the definition and usefulness of the susceptibility category 'Intermediate'. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2017;23:894–5.
8. Rodríguez-Martínez JM, Machuca J, Calvo J, Diaz-de-Alba P, Rodríguez-Mirónes C, Gimeno C, et al. Challenges to accurate susceptibility testing and interpretation of quinolone resistance in *Enterobacteriaceae*: results of a Spanish multicentre study. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2015;70:2038–47.
9. Skov R, Matuschek E, Sjölund-Karlsson M, Åhman J, Petersen A, Stegger M, et al. Development of a pefloxacin disk diffusion method for detection of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Salmonella enterica*. J Clin Microbiol. 2015;53:3411–7.
10. Cantón R, Canut A, Morosini MI, Oliver A. Breakpoints for carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*: is the problem solved? Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin. 2014;32:33–40.
11. Fattouh R, Tijet N, McGeer A, Poutanen SM, Melano RG, Patel SN. What is the appropriate meropenem MIC for screening of carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in low-prevalence settings? Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016;60:1556–9.
12. EUCAST guidelines for detection of resistance mechanisms and specific resistances of clinical and/or epidemiological importance Version 2.0; 2017. Available from: <http://www.eucast.org>.
13. Tzouvelekis LS, Markogiannakis A, Psichogios M, Tassios PT, Daikos GL. Carbapenemases in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and other *Enterobacteriaceae*: an evolving crisis of global dimensions. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012;25:682–707.
14. Rodríguez-Baño J, Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez B, Machuca I, Pascual A. Treatment of infections caused by extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-, AmpC-, and carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2018;31, e00079–17.
15. Juan C, Conejo MC, Tormo N, Gimeno C, Pascual A, Oliver A. Challenges for accurate susceptibility testing, detection and interpretation of -lactam resistance phenotypes in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: results from a Spanish multicentre study. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2013;68:619–30.
16. Cuena-Estrella M, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Gómez-López A, Monzón A. Antifungal susceptibility testing in yeasts. Update and novelties. Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin. 2013;31 Suppl. 1:53–8.
17. Pfaller MA, Castanheira M, Messer SA, Rhomberg PR, Jones RN. Comparison of EUCAST and CLSI broth microdilution methods for the susceptibility testing of 10 systematically active antifungal agents when tested against *Candida* spp. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;79:198–204.
18. Chowdhary A, Singh PK, Kathuria S, Hagen F, Meis JF. Comparison of the EUCAST and CLSI broth microdilution methods for testing isavuconazole, posaconazole, and amphotericin B against molecularly identified mucorales species. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015;59:7882–7.

19. Cantón R, Alós JL, Baquero F, Calvo J, Campos J, Castillo J, et al. Recomendaciones para la selección de antimicrobianos en el estudio de la sensibilidad in vitro con sistemas automáticos y semiautomáticos. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin*. 2007;25:394–400.
20. Matuschek E, Brown DFJ, Kahlmeter G. Development of the EUCAST disk diffusion antimicrobial susceptibility testing method and its implementation in routine microbiology laboratories. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2014;20: O255–66.
21. Check list to facilitate implementation of antimicrobial susceptibility testing with EUCAST breakpoints. v1.0 valid from 2010-05-28. Available from: [http://www.eucast.org/ast\\_of\\_bacteria/disk\\_diffusion\\_implementation/](http://www.eucast.org/ast_of_bacteria/disk_diffusion_implementation/).
22. EUCAST disk diffusion method for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Version 6.0; 2017. Available from: [www.eucast.org](http://www.eucast.org).
23. Sánchez-Bautista A, Coy J, García-Shimizu P, Rodríguez JC. Cambio de CLSI a EUCAST en la interpretación de la sensibilidad a antimicrobianos: ¿cómo influye en nuestro medio? *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin*. 2018;36:229–32.
24. Cornaglia G, Hryniwicz W, Jarlier V, Kahlmeter G, Mittermayer H, Stratchounski L, et al. European recommendations for antimicrobial resistance surveillance. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2004;10:349–83.
25. Wolfensberger A, Sax H, Weber R, Zbinden R, Kuster SP, Hombach M. Change of antibiotic susceptibility testing guidelines from CLSI to EUCAST: influence on cumulative hospital antibiograms. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8:e79130.
26. Hombach M, Mouttet B, Bloemberg GV. Consequences of revised CLSI and EUCAST guidelines for antibiotic susceptibility patterns of ESBL- and AmpC-lactamase-producing clinical *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2013;68:2092–8.
27. Hombach M, Wolfensberger A, Kuster SP, Bottger EC. Influence of clinical breakpoint changes from CLSI 2009 to EUCAST 2011. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing guidelines on multidrug resistance rates of Gram-negative rods. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2013;51:2385–7.
28. Calvo Montes J, Canut Blasco A, Martínez-Martínez L, Rodríguez Díaz JC. Preparación de informes acumulados de sensibilidad a los antimicrobianos. 51. Martínez-Martínez L (coordinador). Procedimientos en Microbiología Clínica. Cercenado Mansilla E, Cantón Moreno R (editores). Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica (SEIMC); 2014.