Clin Investig Arterioscler. 2019;31(3):111-118



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Pharmacological PPAR β/δ activation upregulates VLDLR in hepatocytes



Mohammad Zarei^{a,b,c}, Emma Barroso^{a,b,c}, Xavier Palomer^{a,b,c}, Joan Carles Escolà-Gil^{b,d,e}, Lidia Cedó^{b,d,e}, Walter Wahli^{f,g,h}, Manuel Vázquez-Carrera^{a,b,c,*}

^a Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Therapeutic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy and Food Sciences, University of Barcelona, Institute of Biomedicine of the University of Barcelona (IBUB), Barcelona, Spain

^b Spanish Biomedical Research Center in Diabetes and Associated Metabolic Diseases (CIBERDEM), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain

^c Research Institute, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona, Spain

^d Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques (IIB) Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

^e Departament de Bioquímica i Biología Molecular, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^f Center for Integrative Genomics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

^g Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 308232, Singapore

^h INRA ToxAlim, UMR1331, Chemin de Tournefeuille, Toulouse Cedex, France

Received 16 October 2018; accepted 17 January 2019

KEYWORDS	Abstract The very low-density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR) plays an important function in the control of serum trially ordinates and in the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
VLDLK;	(NAFLD). In this study, we investigated the role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor
PPAR;	$(PPAR)_{B/\delta}$ activation in henatic VI DLR regulation. Treatment of mice fed a high-fat diet with
NAFLU	the PPAR β/δ agonist GW501516 increased the hepatic expression of <i>Vldlr</i> . Similarly, exposure
	of human Huh-7 hepatocytes to GW501516 increased the expression of VLDLR and triglyceride
	accumulation, the latter being prevented by VLDLR knockdown. Finally, treatment with another
	$PPAR\beta/\delta$ agonist increased VLDLR levels in the liver of wild-type mice, but not $PPAR\beta/\delta$ -deficient
	mice, confirming the regulation of hepatic VLDLR by this nuclear receptor. Our results suggest
	that upregulation of hepatic VLDLR by PPAR β/δ agonists might contribute to the hypolipidemic
	effect of these drugs by increasing lipoprotein delivery to the liver. Overall, these findings pro-
	vide new effects by which PPAR β/δ regulate VLDLR levels and may influence serum triglyceride levels and NAFLD development
	© 2019 Sociedad Española de Arteriosclerosis. Published by Elsevier España, S.I.U. All rights
	reserved.

Abbreviations: HFD, high-fat diet; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; PPAR, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor. * Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mvazquezcarrera@ub.edu (M. Vázquez-Carrera).

^{2529-9123/© 2019} Sociedad Española de Arteriosclerosis. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.



La activación de PPAR β/δ aumenta los niveles de VLDLR en hepatocitos

Resumen El receptor de las lipoproteínas de muy baja densidad (VLDLR) desempeña una función muy importante en el control de los niveles de triglicéridos séricos y en el desarrollo de la enfermedad del hígado graso no alcohólico (EHGNA). En este estudio hemos investigado el papel de la activación del receptor activado por los proliferadores peroxisómicos (PPAR) β/δ en la regulación hepática del VLDLR. El tratamiento de ratones alimentados con una dieta rica en grasas con el agonista PPAR β/δ GW501516 aumentó la expresión hepática de Vldlr. Asimismo, la exposición de hepatocitos humanos Huh-7 a GW501516 aumentó la expresión de VLDLR y la acumulación de triglicéridos, siendo este ultimo aumento evitado por el knockdown de VLDLR. Finalmente, el tratamiento con otro agonista PPAR β/δ incrementó los niveles de VLDLR en el hígado de ratones wild-type, pero no en el de ratones deficientes en PPAR β/δ , confirmando la regulación del VLDLR hepático por este receptor. En conjunto, nuestros resultados proporcionan un nuevo efecto por el que PPAR β/δ regula los niveles de VLDLR y puede influenciar los niveles de triglicéridos séricos así como el desarrollo de la EHGNA.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2019 Sociedad Españal de Arterios
clerosis. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is increasingly recognized as the most common chronic liver disease, affecting 25% of the global population.¹ NAFLD encompasses a spectrum of liver injuries ranging from hepatic steatosis, defined by the excessive accumulation of triglycerides in the liver, to the most severe condition of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which is characterized by hepatic steatosis, inflammation and damage of liver cells. In addition, NAFLD is an important risk factor for the development of obesity-related pathologies including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and cardiovascular diseases.² Patients suffering NAFLD also show dyslipidemia, which is characterized by increased levels of serum triglycerides and decreased levels of HDL cholesterol.^{3–5} The mechanisms responsible for the profound alterations in serum and liver triglyceride levels in NAFLD are not well understood, but they might involve changes in the delivery of the main circulating particles transporting these lipids, the very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL).

In the last years it has been demonstrated that VLDL receptor (VLDLR) plays an important role in the development of hepatic steatosis and in controlling the levels of serum triglycerides.⁶ This receptor belongs to the lowdensity lipoprotein (LDL) receptor family and its expression is high in brain, heart, skeletal muscle, and adipose tissue, whereas its very low in the liver under physiological conditions.^{7,8} VLDLR binds apolipoprotein E (apoE) triglyceride-rich lipoproteins such as chylomicrons, VLDL, and intermediate density lipoproteins, leading to lipid entry into the cell through lipoprotein lipase (LPL)-dependent lipolysis or receptor-mediated endocytosis.9-12 As a result, a link has been established between VLDLR levels and plasma triglyceride levels.¹³ Mice lacking VLDLR are leaner, display normal blood lipids¹⁴ and are protected from obesity induced by high-fat diet (HFD) feeding.¹⁵ However, fasting or feeding a HFD increases serum triglyceride levels in these animals.^{15,16} VLDLR is regulated by several transcription factors, including peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor

(PPAR) γ in adipose tissue¹² and hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1 α) in the heart,¹⁷ contributing to lipid deposition in both tissues. In alcoholic liver disease, the activation of oxidative stress-induced nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 (Nrf2) upregulates VLDLR levels,¹⁸ whereas stimulation of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) signaling during endoplasmic reticulum stress induces hepatic steatosis via increase in VLDLR levels by enhancing lipoprotein delivery to the liver.⁶ In addition, hepatic VLDLR upregulation plays an essential role in the triglyceride-lowering effect of fenofibrate through PPAR α activation.¹⁹ However, little is known about the effects of PPAR β/δ on VLDLR regulation in the liver. PPAR β/δ is a ligand-activated transcription factor involved in the regulation of glucose and lipid homeostasis,²⁰ and it has been proposed as a therapeutic target for the treatment of metabolic syndrome.²¹ Thus, genetic manipulation of PPAR β/δ as well as its activation by agonists attenuate dyslipidemia and hyperglycemia, improve whole-body insulin sensitivity, and prevent diet-induced obesity.²² In this study, we demonstrate that PPAR β/δ activation upregulates VLDLR levels in liver, thereby increasing VLDL delivery to the liver, providing a novel mechanism by which PPAR β/δ activation can ameliorate hypertriglyceridemia.

Materials and methods

Reagents

Control and VLDLR siRNA were purchased from Santa Cruz (Dallas, TX). Triglyceride levels were measured using a commercial kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO).

Plasma VLDL isolation

VLDL particles (d < 1.006 g/mL) were isolated by ultracentrifugation from human plasma of healthy subjects (total cholesterol \leq 5.2 mmol/L, triglyceride \leq 1 mmol/L) obtained

in EDTA-containing vacutainer tubes. The VLDL preparation was extensively dialyzed in PBS and then triglyceride concentration was measured using a commercial kit adapted to a COBAS c501 autoanalyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). Cells were treated with 300 μ g/mL of filtered VLDL, based on triglyceride concentration, as previously described.²³

Mice

Male CD1 mice (Harlan Ibérica S.A., Barcelona, Spain) were randomly distributed into three experimental groups (n=8each): standard diet, Western-type high-fat diet (HFD, 35% fat by weight, 58% Kcal from fat, Harlan Ibérica S.A.) plus one daily oral gavage of vehicle (0.5%, w/v, carboxymethylcellulose), and HFD plus one daily oral dose of 3 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ of the PPAR β/δ agonist GW501516 dissolved in the vehicle (volume administered 1 mL kg⁻¹) for 3 weeks.

Male $Ppar\beta/\delta$ knockout $(Ppar\beta/\delta^{-/-})$ mice and their wild-type littermates $(Ppar\beta/\delta^{+/+})$ with the same genetic background $(C57BL/6X129/SV)^{24}$ and an initial weight of 20–25 g were fed a standard diet. When indicated, wild-type and $Ppar\beta/\delta$ -null mice were treated with daily intragastric doses of the PPAR β/δ agonist GW0742 (10 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) or a vehicle solution (1.0% w/v carboxymethylcellulose) for 14 days.

The research complied with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). All procedures were approved by the University of Barcelona Bioethics Committee, as stated in Law 5/21 July 1995 passed by the Generalitat de Catalunya.

Cell culture

Human Huh-7 cells (a kind gift from Dr. Mayka Sanchez from Josep Carreras Leukemia Research Institute) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% serum, at $37 \circ C/5\% CO_2$. siRNA transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) following the protocol provided by the manufacturer.

RNA preparation and quantitative RT-PCR

The relative levels of specific mRNAs were assessed by realtime RT-PCR, as previously described.²⁵ Primer sequences used for real-time RT-PCR are displayed in Table 1.

Immunoblotting

Isolation of total protein extracts was performed as described elsewhere.²⁵ Proteins ($30 \mu g$) were separated by SDS-PAGE on 10% acrylamide separation gels and transferred to Immobilon polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). Western blot analysis was performed using antibodies against VLDLR (AF2258) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and actin (A5441) (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain). Detection was achieved using the Western Lightning[®] Plus-ECL chemiluminescence kit (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). The equal loading of proteins was assessed by Ponceau S staining. The size of

Table 1Primer sequences used for real-time RT-PCR.			
Gene		Primers	
mAngplt4	for	5'-GCATGGCTGCCTGTGGTAAC-3'	
	rev	5′-ATCTTGCTGTTTTGAGCCTTGA-3′	
mAprt	for	5'-CAGCGGCAAGATCGACTACA-3'	
	rev	5′-AGCTAGGGAAGGGCCAAACA-3′	
mPdk4	for	5'-CACCACATGCTCTTCGAACTCT-3'	
	rev	5′-AAGGAAGGACGGTTTTCTTGATG-3′	
hVLDLR	for	5'-CAAGAGGAAGTTCCTGTTTAACTCTGA-3'	
	rev	5′-TGACCAGTAAACAAAGCCAGACA-3′	
mVldlr	for	5'-TCCAATGGCCTAATGGAATTACA-3'	
	rev	5'-AGCATGTGCAACTTGGAATCC-3'	

detected proteins was estimated using protein molecularmass standards (Bio-Rad).

Hematoxylin-eosin and Oil Red O staining

We performed hematoxylin-eosin and Oil Red O staining as previously reported.²⁵ ORO staining was quantified using Image J software.

Statistical analyses

Results are expressed as means \pm S.D. Significant differences were established by two-way ANOVA using the GraphPad Instat program (GraphPad Software V5.01) (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). When significant variations were found by two-way ANOVA, the Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison post-test was performed. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05.

Results

We have previously reported that administration of the $\text{PPAR}\beta/\delta$ activator GW501516 to mice fed a HFD for a short period (3 weeks) reduced plasma triglyceride levels.²⁶ However, the hypotriglyceridemic effect observed at 3 weeks in these mice²⁶ was not accompanied by a reduction in hepatic steatosis. In fact, HFD-fed mice receiving GW501516 showed higher hepatic lipid levels than those treated with vehicle, as assessed by Oil Red O (ORO) and hematoxylin-eosin staining (Fig. 1A and B). This finding suggests that the hypotriglyceridemic effect of PPAR β/δ activators observed at 3 weeks may be mediated only in part by an increase in fatty acid oxidation,²⁶ although fatty acid oxidation may be the main contributor in longer treatments (8 weeks), where hepatic steatosis is not observed any more following treatment with GW501516.²⁷ This prompted us to examine whether the hypotriglyceridemic effect caused by GW501516 at 3 weeks was mediated by increasing lipoprotein delivery to the liver through VLDLR. Interestingly, VLDLR protein levels (Fig. 1C) were increased only in the livers of mice treated with GW501516. Similarly, incubation of human Huh-7 hepatocytes with GW501516 also increased the expression of VLDLR either in the absence or in the presence of human VLDL (Fig. 2A). Next, human Huh-7 hepatocytes, which secrete VLDL, although in low amounts,²⁸



Figure 1 PPAR β/δ activators increase VLDLR abundance in liver. Male mice were fed a standard chow or HFD with or without GW501516 (3 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹). Animals were sacrificed after three weeks of treatment. (A) Oil Red O and hematoxylin–eosin (H&E) staining of livers. Scale bar: 100 μ m. (B) Quantification of ORO staining of Fig. 1A. Data are presented as the mean \pm S.D. (n = 4 per group). (C) Liver cell lysates were assayed for Western blot analysis with antibody against VLDLR. *p < 0.05 vs. WT mice fed a standard diet (CT). #p < 0.05 vs. mice fed a HFD. Data are presented as the mean \pm S.D. (n = 5 per group) relative to the control (CT) group. ***p < 0.001 and *p < 0.05 vs. CT group. ###p < 0.001 and *p < 0.05 vs. mice fed the HFD.

were incubated with human VLDL, GW510156 or both. Incubation with VLDL or GW501516 led to some neutral lipid accumulation, especially with the PPAR β/δ agonist (Fig. 2B), where a strong increase was observed in VLDLR mRNA levels (Fig. 2A), thus allowing a higher uptake of VLDL lipoproteins secreted by hepatocytes. Interestingly, lipid accumulation was strongly increased when cells were exposed to VLDL and treated with GW501516 (Fig. 2B), suggesting that PPAR β/δ activation upregulates the uptake of these lipoproteins through VLDLR. Similar results were obtained with another PPAR β/δ agonist, GW0742 (Fig. 2D-F). In line with these results, knockdown of VLDLR by transfecting cells with siRNA against this gene reduced VLDL uptake caused by GW501516, suggesting that PPAR β/δ activation upregulates the uptake of these lipoproteins through VLDLR (Fig. 2B and C). When wild-type and $Ppar\beta/\delta$ -deficient mice were treated with the PPAR β/δ agonist GW0742, we observed that this drug increased hepatic liver triglyceride and the hepatic expression and protein levels of VLDLR in the liver of wild-type, but not of $Ppar\beta/\delta$ -null mice (Fig. 3A–F). The expression of two well-known PPAR β/δ target genes (Angptl4 and Pdk4) showed the same behavior (Fig. 3G-H). These findings confirm that the effects of PPAR β/δ activators on VLDLR were dependent on PPAR β/δ .

Discussion

Here we present evidence that pharmacological activation of PPAR β/δ upregulates VLDLR in liver, and this effect might contribute to the hypotriglyceridemic action of PPAR β/δ activators by increasing lipoprotein delivery

to the liver. As a result, PPAR β/δ activation increases intrahepatic triglyceride accumulation in short treatments (3-4 weeks) (this manuscript and 30), but the activation of fatty acid oxidation by PPAR β/δ agonists in longer treatments (8 weeks)²⁶ finally reverts hepatic triglyceride accumulation.²⁷ Thus, in addition to PPAR α activators, such as fenofibrate, which require the upregulation of hepatic VLDLR via PPAR α for their hypotriglyceridemic effect,¹⁹ VLDLR may play a key role in the triglyceride-lowering effect of PPAR β/δ agonists. Our findings also demonstrate that the effect of these compounds depends on PPAR β/δ because it is abolished in $Ppar\beta/\delta$ -deficient mice. A functional peroxisome-proliferator response element (PPRE) for PPAR α has been described in the Vldlr promoter region,¹⁹ suggesting that, as with many PPAR α -responsive genes, this PPRE also responds to PPAR β/δ . The effect of PPAR α on VLDLR seems to be tissue-specific, since fenofibrate increases VLDLR expression in hepatic cells but not in adipose cells.¹⁹ Likewise, PPAR β/δ regulation of the Vldlr gene may also be tissue-specific, since it has been reported that PPAR β/δ activation induces microRNA-100 and decreases VLDLR expression in endothelial cells.²⁸

In spite of the upregulation of VLDLR by PPAR β/δ activators, we have previously reported that $Ppar\beta/\delta$ -null mice showed increased hepatic VLDLR levels through indirect activation of ATF4 and Nrf2,²⁹ another transcription factor that contributes to increase VLDLR levels.¹⁸ Under conditions of $Ppar\beta/\delta$ -deficiency, the increase in VLDLR levels would contribute to hepatic steatosis, since in contrast to what it is observed following PPAR β/δ activation, where hepatic fatty acid oxidation has been reported to increase,²⁶ the lack of increase in fatty acid



Figure 2 Intracellular accumulation of triglycerides in hepatocytes caused by GW501516 is inhibited by *VLDLR* knockdown. (A) mRNA abundance of *VLDLR*. (B) Oil Red O staining in Huh-7 hepatocytes incubated for 24 h in the absence (Control, CT) or presence of VLDL (300 µg/mL) and/or GW501516 (10 µM). (C) Oil Red O staining in Huh-7 hepatocytes non-transfected or transfected with siRNA control or siRNA against VLDLR and incubated for 24 h in the absence (Control, CT) or presence of VLDL (300 µg/mL) and/or GW501516 (10 µM). (C) Oil Red O staining of Fig. 2B. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. (*n* = 4 per group). (E) Oil Red O staining in Huh-7 hepatocytes incubated for 24 h in the absence (Control, CT) or presence of VLDL (300 µg/mL) and/or GW0742 (10 µM). (F) Quantification of ORO staining of Fig. 2D. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. (*n* = 4 per group). (G) mRNA abundance of *VLDLR*. ****p* < 0.001, ***p* < 0.01 and **p* < 0.05 vs. CT group. ###*p* < 0.001, ##*p* < 0.01 and #*p* < 0.05 vs. VLDL-exposed cells.



Figure 3 The effect of GW0742 on VLDLR in liver is PPAR β/δ -dependent. Wild-type (WT) and $Ppar\beta/\delta$ -null mice were treated with vehicle or GW0742 (10 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) for two weeks (*n*=6 per group) and liver triglyceride levels (A and E), hepatic mRNA abundance (B and E), protein levels (C and F) of VLDLR and the expression of hepatic *Angptl4* (G) and *Pdk4* (H) were analyzed. ***p*<0.01 and **p*<0.05 vs. wild-type mice treated with vehicle.

oxidation would exacerbate triglyceride accumulation. In addition, stimulation of ER stress induces hepatic steatosis through VLDLR,⁶ and this process might be exacerbated in *Ppar* β/δ -null mice through a higher activation of both ATF4 and Nrf2, suggesting that the absence of PPAR β/δ contributes to intensify ER stress-induced fatty liver.

Although it might seem contradictory that mice lacking VLDLR display normal lipids¹⁴ with the results obtained in this study, it is worth saying that this receptor is widely expressed in the heart, skeletal muscles, adipose tissues, and macrophages, whereas it is barely detectable in the liver under normal conditions.^{7,8} Interestingly, the hepatic expression of VLDLR is upregulated by fenofibrate and this increase was correlated with a reduction in serum triglycerides, whereas the absence of VLDLR blunted the hypotriglyceridemic effect of the fibrate.¹⁹ These findings suggest that the increase in the hepatic levels of VLDLR is essential for serum triglyceride metabolism.

In summary, the findings of this study suggest that the hypotriglyceridemic effect of PPAR β/δ activators might involve an increase in hepatic VLDLR levels. Overall, these data suggest that modulation of VLDLR abundance by PPAR β/δ agonists might contribute to the hypolipidemic effect of these drugs by increasing lipoprotein delivery to the liver.

Author's contribution

MZ, EB, XP, JCEG, LC, and MVC performed the experiments; MZ, WW and MVC designed the experiments and revised the results; MVC was primarily responsible for writing the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript editing and approval.

Financial support

This study was partly supported by funds from the SEA-FEA grant for basic research 2017 and the Spanish Ministry of the Economy and Competitiveness (SAF2015-64146-R to MVC) and European Union ERDF funds. CIBER de Diabetes y Enfermedades Metabólicas Asociadas (CIBERDEM) is a Carlos III Health Institute projects. WW is supported by Start-Up Grants from the Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, and by the Région Midi-Pyrénées, France.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Satapathy SK, Sanyal AJ. Epidemiology and natural history of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Semin Liver Dis. 2015;35:221–35.
- Cohen JC, Horton JD, Hobbs HH. Human fatty liver disease: old questions and new insights. Science. 2011;332:1519–23.
- Targher G, Day CP, Bonora E. Risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:1341-50.

- 4. Speliotes EK, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Vasan RS, Meigs JB, Sahani DV, et al. Fatty liver is associated with dyslipidemia and dysglycemia independent of visceral fat: the Framingham Heart Study. Hepatology. 2010;51:1979–87.
- Nseir W, Shalata A, Marmor A, Assy N. Mechanisms linking nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with coronary artery disease. Dig Dis Sci. 2011;56:3439–49.
- Jo H, Choe SS, Shin KC, Jang H, Lee JH, Seong JK, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress induces hepatic steatosis via increased expression of the hepatic very low-density lipoprotein receptor. Hepatology. 2013;57:1366–77.
- Webb JC, Patel DD, Jones MD, Knight BL, Soutar AK. Characterization and tissue-specific expression of the human 'very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) receptor' mRNA. Hum Mol Genet. 1994;3:531–7.
- Oka K, Ishimura-Oka K, Chu MJ, Sullivan M, Krushkal J, Li WH, et al. Mouse very-low-density-lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR) cDNA cloning, tissue-specific expression and evolutionary relationship with the low-density-lipoprotein receptor. Eur J Biochem. 1994;224:975–82.
- Tacken PJ, Beer FD, Vark LC, Havekes LM, Hofker MH, Willems Van Dijk K. Very-low-density lipoprotein binding to the apolipoprotein E receptor 2 is enhanced by lipoprotein lipase, and does not require apolipoprotein E. Biochem J. 2000;347:357–61.
- Takahashi S, Suzuki J, Kohno M, Oida K, Tamai T, Miyabo S, et al. Enhancement of the binding of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins to the very low density lipoprotein receptor by apolipoprotein E and lipoprotein lipase. J Biol Chem. 1995;270: 15747–54.
- Takahashi S, Sakai J, Fujino T, Hattori H, Zenimaru Y, Suzuki J, et al. The very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) receptor: characterization and functions as a peripheral lipoprotein receptor. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2004;11:200–8.
- **12.** Tao H, Aakula S, Abumrad NN, Hajri T. Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-gamma regulates the expression and function of very-low-density lipoprotein receptor. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2010;298:E68–79.
- Yagyu H, Lutz EP, Kako Y, Marks S, Hu Y, Choi SY, et al. Very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) receptor-deficient mice have reduced lipoprotein lipase activity. Possible causes of hypertriglyceridemia and reduced body mass with VLDL receptor deficiency. J Biol Chem. 2002;277:10037–43.
- 14. Frykman PK, Brown MS, Yamamoto T, Goldstein JL, Herz J. Normal plasma lipoproteins and fertility in gene-targeted mice homozygous for a disruption in the gene encoding very low density lipoprotein receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:8453–7.
- Goudriaan JR, Tacken PJ, Dahlmans VE, Gijbels MJ, van Dijk KW, Havekes LM, et al. Protection from obesity in mice lacking the VLDL receptor. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2001;21: 1488–93.
- 16. Goudriaan JR, Espirito Santo SM, Voshol PJ, Teusink B, van Dijk KW, van Vlijmen BJ, et al. The VLDL receptor plays a major role in chylomicron metabolism by enhancing LPL-mediated triglyceride hydrolysis. J Lipid Res. 2004;45:1475–81.
- Perman JC, Boström P, Lindbom M, Lidberg U, StÅhlman M, Hägg D, et al. The VLDL receptor promotes lipotoxicity and increases mortality in mice following an acute myocardial infarction. J Clin Invest. 2011;121:2625–40.
- Wang Z, Dou X, Li S, Zhang X, Sun X, Zhou Z, et al. Nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 activation-induced hepatic verylow-density lipoprotein receptor overexpression in response to oxidative stress contributes to alcoholic liver disease in mice. Hepatology. 2014;59:1381–92.
- Gao Y, Shen W, Lu B, Zhang Q, Hu Y, Chen Y. Upregulation of hepatic VLDLR via PPARα is required for the triglyceride-lowering effect of fenofibrate. J Lipid Res. 2014;55:1622–33.

- Vázquez-Carrera M. Unraveling the effects of PPARβ/δ on insulin resistance and cardiovascular disease. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2016;27:319–34.
- Salvadó L, Serrano-Marco L, Barroso E, Palomer X, Vázquez-Carrera M. Targeting PPARβ/δ for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2012;16:209–23.
- 22. Tan NS, Vázquez-Carrera M, Montagner A, Sng MK, Guillou H, Wahli W. Transcriptional control of physiological and pathological processes by the nuclear receptor PPARβ/δ. Prog Lipid Res. 2016;64:98–122.
- Nguyen A, Tao H, Metrione M, Hajri T. Very low density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR) expression is a determinant factor in adipose tissue inflammation and adipocyte-macrophage interaction. J Biol Chem. 2014;289:1688–703.
- 24. Nadra K, Anghel SI, Joye E, Tan NS, Basu-Modak S, Trono D, et al. Differentiation of trophoblast giant cells and their metabolic functions are dependent on peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor beta/delta. Mol Cell Biol. 2006;26:3266-81.
- 25. Zarei M, Barroso E, Leiva R, Barniol-Xicota M, Pujol E, Escolano C, et al. Heme-regulated $elF2\alpha$ kinase modulates hepatic

FGF21 and is activated by $\mbox{PPAR}\beta/\delta$ deficiency. Diabetes. 2016;65:3185–99.

- 26. Barroso E, Rodríguez-Calvo R, Serrano-Marco L, Astudillo AM, Balsinde J, Palomer X, et al. The PPAR β/δ activator GW501516 prevents the down-regulation of AMPK caused by a high-fat diet in liver and amplifies the PGC-1 α -Lipin 1-PPAR α pathway leading to increased fatty acid oxidation. Endocrinology. 2011;152:1848–59.
- 27. Garbacz WG, Huang JT, Higgins LG, Wahli W, Palmer CN. PPAR α is required for PPAR δ action in regulation of body weight and hepatic steatosis in mice. PPAR Res. 2015; 2015:9270.
- Fang X, Fang L, Liu A, Wang X, Zhao B, Wang N. Activation of PPAR-δ induces microRNA-100 and decreases the uptake of very low-density lipoprotein in endothelial cells. Br J Pharmacol. 2015;172:3728-36.
- 29. Zarei M, Barroso E, Palomer X, Dai J, Rada P, Quesada-López T, et al. Hepatic regulation of VLDL receptor by PPAR β/δ and FGF21 modulates non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Mol Metab. 2018;8:117–31.