

The response rate to IV steroids was 59%, similar to that reported in the prebiological era.¹ However, when breaking down our results, it was significantly lower in patients with previous exposure to IV steroids, but not to IFX, which suggests that, in this group, the start of treatment for a severe flare-up should be directly with IFX. On the other hand, in patients with prior exposure to IV steroids and in the maintenance phase with IFX, the rate of colectomy was high (4/5), suggesting that in this scenario other therapeutic options such as calcineurin inhibitors, followed by a biological agent with a different mechanism of action (vedolizumab), or the use of small molecules could be evaluated.¹ Future studies could better define the strategy in this group of patients.

56% of flare-ups received an optimised IFX induction regimen, with a colectomy rate of only 11% at 30 days. These results support the need to intensify and/or accelerate induction doses, given the greater clearance of IFX described in severe UC.³ Although some have not been able to confirm differences between an optimised vs. standard induction,⁴ a recent publication demonstrated that both intensified and accelerated induction are commonly used strategies in severe UC.⁵ Randomised prospective studies will allow for the personalisation of treatment in this scenario.

In conclusion, the management of severe UC requires a multidisciplinary and protocolised approach. Our results support the use of clinical guidelines to guide decision-making at the appropriate time.

Funding

No funding was received for this study.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Sedano R, Quera R, Simian D, Yarur AJ. An approach to acute severe ulcerative colitis. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;13:943–55, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17474124.2019.1681974>.
 2. Lee NS, Pola S, Groessl EJ, Rivera-Nieves J, Ho SB. Opportunities for improvement in the care of patients hospitalized for Inflammatory Bowel Disease-related colitis. Dig Dis Sci. 2016;61:1003–12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10620-016-4046-0>.
 3. Ordás I, Mould DR, Feagan BG, Sandborn WJ. Anti-TNF monoclonal antibodies in inflammatory bowel disease: pharmacokinetics-based dosing paradigms. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2012;91:635–46, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/clpt.2011.328>.
 4. Choy MC, Seah D, Faleck DM, Shah SC, Chao CY, An YK, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis: optimal salvage therapy in acute severe ulcerative colitis. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2019;25:1169–86, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izy383>.
 5. Rodriguez-Lago I, Ferreiro-Iglesias R, Nos P, Gisbert JP, on behalf of the Spanish working group on Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Management of acute severe ulcerative colitis in Spain: a nationwide clinical practice survey. Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;42:90–101, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gastrohep.2018.09.002>.
- Edith Pérez de Arce^a, Rodrigo Quera^{b,c}, Paulina Núñez^d, Daniela Simian^{b,e}, Patricio Ibáñez^{b,c}, Jaime Lubascher^{b,c}, Carolina Figueroa^{b,c}, Gonzalo Pizarro^{b,c}, Lilian Flores^{b,c}, Gonzalo Carrasco-Avino^f, María José Escaffi^g, Andrés O'Brien^h, María José Leiva^g, Udo Kronberg^{b,i,*}
- ^a Departamento de Medicina Interna, Servicio de Gastroenterología, Hospital Clínico Universidad de Chile, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ^b Programa Enfermedad Inflamatoria Intestinal, Clínica Las Condes, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ^c Departamento de Gastroenterología, Clínica Las Condes, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ^d Departamento Gastroenterología, Hospital San Juan de Dios, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ^e Dirección Académica, Clínica Las Condes, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ^f Departamento de Anatomía Patológica, Clínica Las Condes, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ^g Centro de Nutrición y Enfermedades Metabólicas, Clínica Las Condes, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ^h Departamento de Imagenología, Clínica Las Condes, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- ⁱ Unidad de Coloproctología, Departamento de Cirugía, Clínica Las Condes, Santiago de Chile, Chile
- * Corresponding author.
E-mail address: ukronberg@clinicalascondes.cl (U. Kronberg).

2444-3824/ © 2020 Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Pancreatic space occupying lesion (SOL): Another case of pancreatic adenocarcinoma?*

LOE pancreática ¿otro caso de adenocarcinoma pancreático?

Tuberculosis continues to be the infectious disease with the highest mortality worldwide, with more than 1.5 million



deaths per year.¹ Up to 12.5% of cases are extrapulmonary² and, although the pancreas is a rare location, its diagnosis is increasing due to the increase in immunosuppressed patients, as well as the improvement of diagnostic tools such as fine-needle aspiration (FNA) by ultrasound endoscopy. There are no pathognomonic clinical or imaging findings, so the index of suspicion must be high to be able to diagnose it.

We present the case of a 59-year-old woman from Venezuela, resident in Spain for 10 years, with no recent trips to her country of origin, and no personal or family history of interest. She was admitted to hospital due to onset of symptoms six months prior, consisting of progres-

* Please cite this article as: Velamazan R, García S, Hernandez M, Saura N, Hijos G, Abad D, et al. LOE pancreática ¿otro caso de adenocarcinoma pancreático? Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2022;45:207–208.



Figure 1 Abdominal CT scan showing a hypodense pancreatic mass in the pancreatic head with solid cystic content and small calcifications inside.

sive and continuous epigastric pain of moderate intensity, although with frequent episodes of greater intensity, not clearly related to eating, associated with a recent weight loss of about 5 kg, nausea and occasional feeling of coldness.

Complementary laboratory tests showed: GGT 180 U/l, ALP 600 U/l, bilirubin 2.5 mg/dl, leukocytes 8,800/mm³, CRP 1.49 mg/dl, CEA 15 ng/mL, CA 19.9 240 U/ml, rest of biochemistry and coagulation normal. An ultrasound and later a CT scan were performed (Fig. 1) which reported: a 45-mm hypodense tumour in the body and head of the pancreas, poorly delimited, surrounding the portal vein and with cystic-necrotic areas inside and presence of peripancreatic adenopathies of 2 cm. Finally, ultrasound endoscopy was performed, where it was observed that the tumour completely surrounded the portal vein without infiltrating it, being in the final stage T2 N1, and because it was a potentially resectable lesion, FNA was not performed. The tumour committee decided on performing a cephalic pancreaticoduodenectomy.

The patient underwent surgery by laparotomy, during which it was noted that the cystic areas of the tumour drained a whitish granular content, an inter-aortocaval node was analysed intraoperatively and showed a caseating granulomatous inflammatory reaction. Due to these findings samples of the adenopathy were sent off in order to perform PCR and culture of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, which were negative. During the postoperative period, HIV–, Mantoux (3.5 mm) and IGRA+ studies were performed. Finally, the pathological anatomy of the surgical specimen reported the absence of malignancy and the presence of foci of caseous necrosis surrounded by epithelioid cells and peri-granuloma lymphocyte reaction in the parenchyma and peripancreatic lymph nodes. Thus the patient was finally diagnosed with pancreatic tuberculosis, a standard anti-tuberculous treatment regimen was started, and during the follow-up appointments the patient reported being asymptomatic, tolerating treatment without complications.

Pancreatic tuberculosis is a disease that is difficult to diagnose. It can readily mimic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), and also, on rare occasions (<15%),

patients have a history of tuberculosis, which makes its suspicion even more difficult. It is an entity that must be particularly suspected in relatively young patients (40 years) from endemic areas (Asia, Africa, South America), but also in immunocompromised patients, since in up to 20% it is associated with HIV.³ Clinically, it is indistinguishable from an PDAC, although occasionally (46%) low-grade fever may manifest, which can be a clue to the diagnosis. The most common form of presentation is in the form of SOL in the pancreatic head, which is hypodense in up to 90% of cases, and blood vessels may be encompassed in a similar way to PDAC, although more atypical data such as masses that are solid-cystic, heterogeneous or with calcifications may sometimes show.⁴ The diagnosis is made by EUS + FNA, occasionally showing a macroscopic caseous content, and histologically the most typical finding is the presence of caseating granulomas. Other tests that support the diagnosis, although due to the fact that it is a form of paucibacillary TB there is a certain rate of false negatives, are culture (sensitivity: 40–75%) or PCR (sensitivity: 50–70%) of the FNA sample, as well as Mantoux or IGRA (sensitivity: 70%). The standard anti-tuberculosis treatment regimen (rifampicin 10 mg/kg/day; pyrazinamide 25 mg/kg/day; isoniazid 5 mg/kg/day; ethambutol 15 mg/kg/day for two months, and then four months of rifampicin 10 mg/kg/day + isoniazid 5 mg/kg/day) is effective, although in most cases treatment must be extended from 9 to 12 months.

References

1. Pai M, Behr MA, Dowdy D, Dheda K, Divangahi M Boehme C, et al. Tuberculosis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2016;2:16076.
2. Gupta P, Kumar S, Sharma V, Mandavdhare H, Dhaka N, Sinha S, et al. Common and uncommon imaging features of abdominal tuberculosis. *Med Imaging Radiat Oncol.* 2019;63:329–39.
3. Sharma V, Rana S, Kumar A, Bhasin D. Pancreatic tuberculosis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016;31:310–8.
4. Panic N, Maetzel H, Bulajic M, Radovanovic M, Löhr M. Pancreatic tuberculosis: a systematic review of symptoms, diagnosis and treatment. *United Eur Gastroenterol J.* 2020;8:396–402.

Raúl Velamazan*, Sandra García, María Hernandez, Nuria Saura, Gonzalo Hijos, Daniel Abad, Samuel Martínez, Cristina Borao, Pablo Cañamares, Enrique Alfaro, Viviana Laredo, Guillermo García-Rayado

Servicio de Aparato Digestivo, Hospital Clínico Universitario Lozano Blesa, Zaragoza, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: raulvs92@gmail.com (R. Velamazan).

2444-3824/ © 2020 Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.