



Scientific letter

COVID-19 lockdown impact on plastic surgery activity in the emergency department[☆]



Impacto del confinamiento por la COVID-19 en la actividad asistencial de cirugía plástica en el servicio de urgencias

Dear Editor,

The outbreak of the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus pandemic, the lockdown decreed on 14th March 2020¹ and the need to restructure resources has had a strong impact on the different medical specialties.²

With the aim of knowing the impact of lockdown during the COVID-19 epidemic in plastic surgery emergencies, we have designed a cross-sectional descriptive observational study. The data were collected by 3 plastic surgery department surgeons from the Diraya database for patients treated for plastic surgery in the emergency department (tertiary referral hospital for 921,000 people) from 16th March to 26th April 2020 (6 weeks of lockdown) so as to compare them with the patients seen on the same dates in 2019. The period from 18 March to 28 April 2019 was considered for comparison of calendar weeks. Pediatric patients were excluded. The variables age, sex, reason for consultation (Table 1), requirement of specialized procedure (admission/intervention) and time to receive it (<24 h> 24 h) were collected. Regarding reasons for consultation: less than 5 patients were classified as «other».

The quantitative variables were expressed as median (25th–75th percentile) and the qualitative variables by absolute number and percentage. The Mann–Whitney U test has been used to compare quantitative variables and the Chi-square for qualitative variables. To analyse frequency, the daily data in 6 weeks of 2019 and their corresponding in 2020 have been grouped and a generalized Poisson model has been used to compare the weekly incidence between periods. To facilitate interpretation, we have transformed the coefficients in terms of risk together with their confidence intervals. The statistical program «R» with the application «Rcmdr» was used for the statistical analysis. A p value = 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Six hundred and forty-eight patients were included in the study (35.8% female, 47.0 [29.0–65.75] years of age). Four hundred and fifty-seven were treated in the period of 2019 and 191 in that of 2020. The patients seen in 2020 were younger (42.0 [27.0–60.0] years; p = 0.04). There were no differences related to sex (Table 1). The relative frequency of upper and lower limb injuries varied during lockdown (Table 1). No significant differences were obtained in requirement for admission/surgical intervention (16 vs. 17.3%) or in delay times (Table 1). The number of patients treated during lockdown was 3.55 (3.35–6.6) patients/week per 100,000 inhabitants per 8.35 (8.00–8.78) in the period of 2019 (p = 0.008) with relative risk (RR) = 0.42 (0.25–0.68).

One of the possible explanations for the significant decrease in the number of patients seen per week is a reduction in the causal

Table 1
Statistical results.

	Total n = 648	2019 n = 457	2020 n = 191	P value
Age	47.0 (29.0–65.75)	49.0 (29.0–67.0)	42.0 (27.0–60.0)	p = 0.04
Sex (women); n (%)	232 (35.8)	155 (33.9)	77 (40.3)	p = 0.12
Patients/100,000 inhabitants. week	5.5 (3.57–8.32)	8.35 (8.00–8.78)	3.55 (3.35–6.68)	p = 0.0008 ^a
Simple hand injury; n (%)	179 (27.6)	115 (25.2)	64 (33.5)	p = 0.03
Tendon/nerve/bone injury; n (%)	41 (6.3)	26 (5.7)	15 (7.9)	p = 0.3
Upper limb injury not hand; n (%)	31 (4.8)	22 (4.8)	9 (4.7)	p = 1
Lower limb injury; n (%)	52 (8)	43 (9.4)	9 (4.7)	p = 0.045
Nasal fracture; n (%)	26 (4)	22 (4.8)	4 (2.1)	p = 0.108
Face injury; n (%)	131 (20.2)	95 (20.8)	36 (18.8)	p = 0.575
Scalp injury; n (%)	63 (9.7)	46 (10.1)	17 (8.9)	p = 0.648
Mild burn; n (%)	42 (6.5)	28 (6.1)	14 (7.3)	p = 0.571
Moderate or severe burn; n (%)	14 (2.2)	7 (1.5)	7 (3.7)	p = 0.089
Fingertip amputation; n (%)	11 (1.7)	9 (2)	2 (1)	p = 0.407
Dog bite; n (%)	12 (1.9)	7 (1.5)	5 (2.6)	p = 0.35
Others; n (%)	46 (7.1)	37 (8.1)	9 (4.7)	p = 0.126
More than 24 h to receive specialized treatment; n (%)	19 (2.9)	14 (3.1)	5 (2.6)	p = 0.759

^a Data compared with the generalized Poisson model.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Cases-Perera O, Losilla-Rodríguez J, Rivera-Lopez R. Impacto del confinamiento por la COVID-19 en la actividad asistencial de cirugía plástica en el servicio de urgencias. Med Clin (Barc). 2021.;156:139–140.

mechanisms due to restricted mobility. Another possible explanation is fear of infection. However, we found no differences in the proportion of patients requiring admission/surgery, which suggests that there has not been an increase in the severity threshold for emergency department visits as evidenced in other specialties.³ In reference to the age of the patients there is a statistically significant decrease during the pandemic, and it cannot be ruled out that older patients have sought less medical attention for fear of infection.

During lockdown, the percentage of hand injuries increased in relative terms and the percentage of lower limb injuries and nasal fractures decreased. The findings could be justified by increased exposure to domestic accidents⁴ and a decrease in traffic and sports accidents.⁵

Not finding any differences in the percentage of patients who received specialised care or delays in such care, suggests that no delays in specialised care derived from the protocols developed for the pandemic were identified in our centre.

As limitations of the study, it should be noted that the data were collected from an area with an average COVID seroprevalence, although much of it may be generalizable, others will vary with the prevalence of the infection.

In summary, the COVID-19 has had an impact on the number of emergencies handled by the plastic surgery department, decreasing to less than half. These data can be important for planning emergency care in possible future outbreaks, or in countries where the pandemic has not yet erupted.

Ethical responsibilities

All the authors have confirmed the preservation of confidentiality and respect for patients' rights.

Funding

The authors declare the non-existence of funding in relation to the article.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare the non-existence of conflicts of interest in relation to the article.

References

1. Ministerio de la Presidencia relaciones con las cortes y memoria democrática. Real Decreto 463/2020, de 14 de marzo, por el que se declara el estado de alarma para la gestión de la situación de crisis sanitaria ocasionada por el COVID-19. BOE no 67 de 14 de marzo de 2020. Boletín of del Estado. 2020;67(1): 25390–400.
2. García S, Albaghaddi MS, Meraj PM, Schmidt C, Garberich R, Jaffer FA, et al. Reduction in ST-segment elevation cardiac catheterization laboratory activations in the United States during COVID-19 pandemic. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2020;75: 2871–2.
3. Guo H, ZhouXia Y, Liu X, Tan J. The impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on the utilization of emergency dental services. *J Dent Sci.* 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2020.02.00>.
4. Ihekire O, Salawu SAI, Opadele T. International surgery: causes of hand injuries in a developing country. *Can J Surg.* 2010;53:161–6.
5. Ytterstad B. The Harstad injury prevention study: The epidemiology of sports injuries. An 8 year study. *Br J Sports Med.* 1996;30:64–8.

Oriol Cases-Perera^{a,*}, Jose Losilla-Rodríguez^a, Ricardo Rivera-Lopez^{b,c}

^a Servicio de Cirugía Plástica y Reparadora, Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves, Granada, Spain

^b Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves, Granada, Spain

^c Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria, ibs.GRANADA, Granada, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: oricases101@gmail.com (O. Cases-Perera).

2387-0206/© 2020 Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Relationship between MMR vaccination and severity of Covid-19 infection. Survey among primary care physicians^{z,*}



Relación entre la gravedad de la infección Covid-19 y el estado vacunal. Resultados de una encuesta anónima entre médicos de atención primaria

Mr. Editor,

The first epidemiological studies about the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) highlighted its low morbidity and mortality among children and young adults. Different hypotheses have speculated on the protective effect against COVID-19 exerted by an immunity trained by different vaccines, including the bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG),¹ hepatitis A, polio, and measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccines.²

With a view to better characterize the relationship between the vaccination status and the severity of COVID-19, we designed a descriptive and observational study based on an anonymous survey

administered to a population sample that included primary care physicians practicing in Madrid during the first wave of the pandemic. We would like to emphasize that this was an anonymous, confidential, unsponsored, and independent survey, which is why it did not have to be evaluated by an Ethics Committee. An invitation with a link to the SurveyMonkey® survey platform was sent to each physician via WhatsApp® between 20 April 2020 and 10 May 2020. The survey included questions about the demographics, vaccination status (MMR, BCG, and hepatitis B as a control), type of exposure, method used to diagnose infected patients, and severity of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection. The association between variables related to the vaccination status, viral infection, and severity of COVID-19 was analyzed using a chi-square test.

The information was collected from 208 survey responses provided by the participating primary care and emergency care physicians, of whom 148 were women, with a mean age of 47.15 (±9.39) years and an age range of 29–65 years. The most relevant results of the survey are summarized in Table 1.

After analyzing the results, we saw that there were no significant differences between the rate of SARS-CoV-2 infection for any of the vaccines. However, we did find statistically significant differences with respect to the severity of COVID-19 and the MMR vaccination status ($p = 0.013$). The MMR vaccine has been administered on a mandatory basis to all Spanish children in the context

^{z,*} Please cite this article as: López-Martin I, Andrés Esteban E, García-Martínez FJ. Relación entre la gravedad de la infección Covid-19 y el estado vacunal. Resultados de una encuesta anónima entre médicos de atención primaria. *Med Clin (Barc).* 2021.;156:140–141.