

## Reply to letter "Remarks on cerebral infarct from another point of view"<sup>☆</sup>



### Réplica a la carta «Algunas consideraciones sobre el infarto cerebral desde otra óptica»

Dear Editor,

We appreciate the interest shown in the article mentioned in 'Remarks on cerebral infarct from another point of view'.<sup>1</sup> We would like to say that we agree completely with the remarks in the letter. However, the article presents treatment guidelines, and although it recognises the importance of the points raised in the letter, they were not analysed in depth because the objective here is less to discuss the details of stroke treatment management and principally to establish treatment recommendations based on scientific evidence. As stated in the letter, emergency services and coordinated care systems supported by telemedicine resources are of great importance in optimising care for stroke patients.<sup>2</sup> Both the healthcare plans developed in different autonomous communities and projects by various groups of neurologists in Spain support these statements.<sup>3,4</sup> Appropriate care for stroke patients requires a multidisciplinary approach in which all the links in the chain of care must be well assembled. When considering multidisciplinary attention, actions by outpatient as well as inpatient emergency medical services are fundamental to ensure that patients not only remain in the best possible condition until being treated in stroke units, but also that they receive this specialised treatment as quickly as possible.<sup>1,2,5</sup> Implementing telemedicine systems helps ensure optimal care by increasing the number of patients who receive neurological consultations and specialised treatments and reducing delays before they receive them, in addition to decreasing the diagnostic error rate and unnecessary transfers. However, we must not forget that care in stroke units is the therapeutic strategy that benefits the most subjects.<sup>6</sup> Ensuring that all patients with acute stroke have access to these specialised units should be the ultimate goal of the organisational systems mentioned; similarly, patients must have access to new technologies, which complement and support but can in no way substitute stroke units.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Alonso de Leciñana M, Egido JA, Casado I, Ribó M, Dávalos A, Masjuan J, et al. Réplica a la carta «Algunas consideraciones sobre el infarto cerebral desde otra óptica». Neurología. 2016;31:136.

## References

1. Alonso de Leciñana M, Egido JA, Casado I, Ribó M, Dávalos A, Masjuan J, et al., por el Comité ad Hoc del Grupo de Estudio de Enfermedades Cerebrovasculares de la SEN. Guía para el tratamiento del infarto cerebral agudo. *Neurología*. 2014;29:102–22.
2. Alonso de Leciñana M, Gil Nuñez A, Díez Tejedor E. Relevance of stroke code, stroke unit and stroke networks in organization of acute stroke care. The Madrid Acute Stroke Care Program. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2009;27 Suppl 1:140–7.
3. Álvarez Sabín J, Alonso de Leciñana M, Gállego J, Gil Peralta A, Casado I, Castillo J, et al., Grupo de Estudio de las Enfermedades Cerebrovasculares de la Sociedad Española de Neurología. Plan de atención sanitaria al ictus. *Neurología*. 2006;21: 717–26.
4. Masjuan J, Alvarez-Sabín J, Arenillas J, Calleja S, Castillo J, Dávalos A, et al. Plan de asistencia sanitaria al ictus II. *Neurología*. 2011;26:383–96.
5. Alonso de Leciñana M, Díaz-Guzmán J, Egido JA, García Pastor A, Martínez-Sánchez P, Vivancos J, et al. Tratamiento endovascular en el ictus isquémico agudo plan de atención al ictus en la Comunidad de Madrid. *Neurología*. 2013;28: 425–34.
6. Martínez Sánchez P, Alonso de Leciñana Cases M, Miralles Martínez Z, Huertas González N, Martín Martínez A, Correas Callero E, et al. Implantación de un sistema de Teleictus en la Comunidad de Madrid: ¿una alternativa para conseguir la equidad? Datos preliminares del Proyecto Teleictus Madrid. *Neurología*. 2013;28:59–60.

M. Alonso de Leciñana <sup>a,\*</sup>, J.A. Egido <sup>b</sup>, I. Casado <sup>c</sup>, M. Ribó <sup>d</sup>, A. Dávalos <sup>e</sup>, J. Masjuan <sup>a</sup>, J.L. Caniego <sup>f</sup>, E. Martínez-Vila <sup>g</sup>, E. Díez Tejedor <sup>h</sup>, representing the ad hoc committee of the SEN Study Group for Cerebrovascular Diseases

<sup>a</sup> Unidad de Ictus, Servicio de Neurología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, IRYCIS, Madrid, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Unidad de Ictus, Servicio de Neurología Hospital Clínico Universitario San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Unidad de Ictus, Servicio de Neurología, Hospital San Pedro de Alcántara, Cáceres, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Unidad de Ictus, Servicio de Neurología, Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>e</sup> Unidad de Ictus, Servicio de Neurología, Hospital Universitario Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>f</sup> Servicio de Neurorradiología Intervencionista, Hospital Universitario de La Princesa, IIS Princesa, Madrid, Spain

<sup>g</sup> Unidad de Ictus, Servicio de Neurología, Clínica Universitaria de Navarra, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain

<sup>h</sup> Servicio de Neurología, Centro de Ictus, Hospital Universitario La Paz, IDIPAZ, Madrid, Spain

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: malecinanacases@salud.madrid.org  
(M. Alonso de Leciñana).