

As our colleagues Dr. González and Dr. Franch Arcas have indicated, it is not possible to carry out randomized prospective studies that would resolve all these uncertainties. Above all, because central lymph node dissection is not harmless and entails morbidity.<sup>6</sup> Also, there is currently no solid evidence to recommend prophylactic central lymph node dissection in papillary microcarcinomas with a good prognosis (quite the opposite).<sup>7</sup> What is important is to be able to select that small percentage of cases that could benefit from therapeutic central lymph node dissection. Despite its limitations, our study tried to address this objective.<sup>1</sup>

For all these reasons, we consider that the comparison of the groups performed in the study is useful.<sup>1</sup>

## REFERENCES

1. Ruiz Pardo J, Ríos A, Rodríguez JM, Paredes M, Soriano V, Oviedo MI, et al. Risk factors of metastatic lymph nodes in papillary thyroid microcarcinoma. *Cir Esp.* 2020;98:219–25.
2. Luo Y, Zhao Y, Chen K, Shen J, Shi J, Lu S, et al. Clinical analysis of cervical lymph node metastasis risk factors in patients with papillary thyroid microcarcinoma. *J Endocrinol Invest.* 2019;42:227–36.
3. Zhang C, Li BJ, Liu Z, Wang LL, Cheng W. Predicting the factors associated with central lymph node metastasis in clinical node negative (cN0) papillary thyroid microcarcinoma. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2020;277:1191–8.
4. Miyauchi A, Ito Y. Conservative surveillance management of low risk papillary thyroid microcarcinoma. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* 2019;48:215–26.

5. Ríos A, Rodríguez JM, Ibáñez N, Piñero A, Parrilla P. Detection of the sentinel node using a magnetic tracer in thyroid cancer. A technical pilot study. *Cir Esp.* 2019;97:169–74.
6. Zambudio AR, Rodríguez J, Riquelme J, Soria T, Canteras M, Parrilla P. Prospective study of postoperative complications after total thyroidectomy for multinodular goiters by surgeons with experience in endocrine surgery. *Ann Surg.* 2004;240:18–25.
7. Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, Doherty GM, Mandel SJ, Nikiforov YE, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association management guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: the American Thyroid Association guidelines task force on thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer. *Thyroid.* 2016;26:1–133.

José Ruiz Pardo<sup>a,\*</sup>, José Manuel Rodríguez<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Antonio Ríos<sup>a,b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Servicio de Cirugía General y de Aparato Digestivo, Hospital Clínico Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, Murcia, Spain

<sup>b</sup>Instituto Murciano de Investigación Bio-Sanitaria Virgen de la Arrixaca (IMIB-Arrixaca), Murcia, Spain

<sup>c</sup>Departamento de Cirugía, Pediatría y Obstetricia, y Ginecología, Universidad de Murcia, Murcia, Spain

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [josrp@hotmail.es](mailto:josrp@hotmail.es) (J. Ruiz Pardo).

2173-5077/

© 2020 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

## Response to «Management of Splenic Injuries Utilizing a Multidisciplinary Protocol in 110 Consecutive Patients at a Level II Hospital»<sup>☆</sup>



## Respuesta a «Resultados en el tratamiento de traumatismos esplénicos utilizando un protocolo multidisciplinar en 110 pacientes consecutivos en un hospital de nivel II»

Dear Editor,

We have read with interest the article by Zurita Saavedra et al.<sup>1</sup> about their experience in splenic trauma management at a

level II, hospital. We congratulate the authors for their experience, commitment to the care of splenic trauma patients. However, we would like to add some considerations based on our experience.

Like the authors, we believe that non-operative management (NOM) of blunt splenic trauma is the treatment of

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Sánchez Arteaga A, Beltrán Miranda P, Gómez Bravo MÁ, Pareja Ciuro F. Respuesta a «Resultados en el tratamiento de traumatismos esplénicos utilizando un protocolo multidisciplinar en 110 pacientes consecutivos en un hospital de nivel II». *Cir Esp.* 2020;98:498–499.

choice, except in patients with hemodynamic instability or the presence of other injuries that justify surgery. Success rates up to 90% have been obtained with conservative treatment.<sup>2</sup>

What we find controversial in the article by Zurita Saavedra et al. is the direct surgical treatment of patients with grade IV splenic trauma (American Association of Trauma Surgery AAST<sup>3</sup>), regardless of hemodynamic status. In 2017, the World Society of Emergency Surgery (WSES)<sup>4</sup> published its recommendations for the management of patients with splenic trauma injuries, establishing hemodynamic stability as a key aspect of NOM in patients with grades IV–V splenic trauma. Angioembolization (AE) was also introduced as the most appropriate initial technique in these patients.

Despite the absence of randomized studies to support the recommendation (in 2017, the prospective randomized multicenter study SinE qua NOM trial was initiated but had to be canceled due to slow recruitment<sup>5</sup>), there is sufficient evidence based on retrospective studies and meta-analyses that support this indication with good results.<sup>6</sup>

Based on this, and given the proximity of the referral center at only 300 m, we believe that hemodynamically stable patients with grades IV–V splenic trauma should be referred for AE at that center, as the success rate of NOM in these patients is higher when AE is performed.<sup>4</sup> We also encourage the authors to conduct propensity score matching of the results of both techniques.

In addition, we have also noticed the high number of spleen-preserving surgeries performed. Even though we would have liked to see an analysis of their results, it is somewhat contradictory with the trend in the literature, as the use of this approach has decreased over time with the increased use of NOM and the poor initial results of this technique (although with little evidence).<sup>7</sup> This surgery is complex, and we find its accumulated volume very interesting, which we also consider a subject that merits being published alone.

Finally, we are uncertain whether excessive professional zeal or the absence of embolization, as well as the high rate of conservative surgery, could justify the long hospital stay reported by the authors.

In short, we believe that the prevailing general management strategy for blunt splenic trauma allows us to use NOM in hemodynamically stable patients, even those with grades IV–V lesions accompanied by AE. Moreover, until new studies show otherwise, spleen-preserving surgery in this context should only be performed by experts or under clinical trial protocols.

## REFERENCES

1. Zurita Saavedra M, Pérez Alonso A, Pérez Cabrera B, Haro García AM, Ruiz García VM, Mirón Pozo B, et al. Management of splenic injuries utilizing a multidisciplinary protocol in 110 consecutive patients at a level II hospital. *Cir Esp*. 2020;98:143–8 [Accessed 4 March 2020] Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31739975>
2. Brillantino A, Iacobellis F, Robustelli U, Villamaina E, Maglione F, Colletti O, et al. Non operative management of blunt splenic trauma: a prospective evaluation of a standardized treatment protocol. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg*. 2016;42:593–8.
3. Kozar RA, Crandall M, Shanmuganathan K, Zarzaur BL, Coburn M, Cribari C, et al. Organ injury scaling 2018 update: spleen, liver, and kidney. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2018;85:1119–22. [Accessed 4 March 2020] Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30462622>.
4. Coccolini F, Montori G, Catena F, Kluger Y, Biffi W, Moore EE, et al. Splenic trauma: WSES classification and guidelines for adult and pediatric patients. *World J Emerg Surg*. 2017;12:40. [Accessed 5 March 2020] Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28828034>.
5. Splenic Injury Embolization - the Question About NOM (SinE Qua NOM) - Tabular View - ClinicalTrials.gov. [Accessed 4 March 2020] Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/record/NCT03231202?view=record>.
6. Bhangu A, Nepogodiev D, Lal N, Bowley DM. Meta-analysis of predictive factors and outcomes for failure of non-operative management of blunt splenic trauma. *Injury*. 2012;43:1337–46. [Accessed 5 March 2020] Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21999935>.
7. Beal SL, Spisso JM. The risk of splenorrhaphy. *Arch Surg*. 1988;123:1158–63. [Accessed 5 March 2020] Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3415469>.

Alejandro Sánchez Arteaga<sup>a</sup>, Pablo Beltrán Miranda<sup>b,\*</sup>, Miguel Ángel Gómez Bravo<sup>b</sup>, Felipe Pareja Ciuro<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Unidad de Cirugía de Urgencias y Politraumatismo, Servicio de Cirugía General y del Aparato Digestivo, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain

<sup>b</sup>Unidad de Cirugía Hepato-Bilio-Pancreática y Trasplante Hepático, Servicio de Cirugía General y del Aparato Digestivo, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [pablobm81@hotmail.es](mailto:pablobm81@hotmail.es) (P. Beltrán Miranda).

2173-5077/

© 2020 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.