



Special article

Enhanced Recovery After Surgery in Gastric Resections[☆]



**Marcos Bruna Esteban,* Peter Vorwald, Sonia Ortega Lucea,
Jose Manuel Ramírez Rodríguez, Working Group on Esophagogastric Surgery
of the Spanish Group of Multimodal Rehabilitation Group (GERM)[△]**

Servicio de Cirugía General y del Aparato Digestivo, Hospital General Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 July 2016

Accepted 29 October 2016

Available online 18 March 2017

Keywords:

Gastric surgery

Fast-track

Enhanced recovery after surgery

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Enhanced recovery after surgery is a modality of perioperative management with the purpose of improving results and providing a faster recovery of patients. This kind of protocol has been applied frequently in colorectal surgery, presenting less available experience and evidence in gastric surgery.

Methods: According to the RICA guidelines published in 2015, a review of the bibliography and the consensus established in a multidisciplinary meeting in Zaragoza on the 9th of October 2015, we present a protocol that contains the basic procedures of fast-track for resective gastric surgery.

Results: The measures to be applied are divided in a preoperative, perioperative and postoperative stage. This document provides recommendations concerning the appropriate information, limited fasting and administration of carbohydrate drinks 2 h before surgery, specialized anesthetic strategies, minimal invasive surgery, no routine use of drainages and tubes, mobilization and early oral tolerance during the immediate postoperative period, as well as criteria for discharge.

Conclusions: The application of a protocol of enhanced recovery after surgery in resective gastric surgery can improve and accelerate the functional recovery of our patients, requiring an appropriate multidisciplinary coordination, the evaluation of obtained results with the application of these measures and the investigation of controversial topics about which we currently have limited evidence.

© 2016 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

* Please cite this article as: Bruna Esteban M, Vorwald P, Ortega Lucea S, Ramírez Rodríguez JM y Grupo de Trabajo de Cirugía Esofagogastrica del Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal (GERM). Rehabilitación multimodal en la cirugía de resección gástrica. Cir Esp. 2017;95:73-82.

[☆] Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drbruna@comv.es (M. Bruna Esteban).

△ The names of the components of the Multimodal Rehabilitation Working Group on Esophagogastric Surgery of the Spanish Multimodal Rehabilitation Group are listed in [Addendum 2](#).

Rehabilitación multimodal en la cirugía de resección gástrica

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:

Cirugía gástrica
Recuperación intensificada
Rehabilitación multimodal

Introducción: La rehabilitación multimodal es un conjunto de medidas que se aplican durante el período perioperatorio con el fin de mejorar los resultados y facilitar una pronta recuperación de los pacientes. La aplicación de protocolos de este tipo se ha extendido ampliamente en la cirugía colorrectal, siendo menor la experiencia y evidencia disponible en relación con la cirugía gástrica.

Métodos: En base a las directrices marcadas por la vía Recuperación Intensificada en Cirugía Abdominal (RICA) publicada en el año 2015, una amplia revisión de la bibliografía y el consenso establecido en una reunión multidisciplinar del Grupo de Trabajo de Cirugía Esofagogastrica del Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal celebrada en Zaragoza el 9 de octubre de 2015, se presenta una matriz temporal que recoge las recomendaciones fundamentales para la aplicación de un protocolo de rehabilitación multimodal en la cirugía de resección gástrica.

Resultados: Las medidas a aplicar se dividen en una etapa preoperatoria, otra perioperatoria y otra postoperatoria. Así, se establecen en este documento recomendaciones sobre la información adecuada y preparación del paciente y su entorno, el ayuno limitado y la ingesta de bebidas carbohidratadas 2 h antes de la operación, estrategias anestésicas más especializadas, la cirugía mínimamente invasiva, la no colocación de forma sistemática de sondas o drenajes, la movilización y tolerancia oral precoz durante el postoperatorio inmediato, así como los criterios a considerar para el alta hospitalaria.

Conclusiones: La aplicación de un protocolo de rehabilitación multimodal en la cirugía resectiva gástrica puede mejorar y acelerar la recuperación funcional de nuestros pacientes. Sin embargo, para conseguir este objetivo se precisa de una correcta coordinación multidisciplinar, así como de la evaluación de los resultados y del análisis e investigación de los puntos de controversia sobre los que la evidencia científica es aún limitada.

© 2016 AEC. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Multimodal Rehabilitation (MMR) or Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) programs have been developed as an approach to all aspects of patient care in order to provide the best and fastest recovery possible. In this context, less aggressive surgical techniques and advances in anesthetic management, pain control and specific perioperative care have shown clear benefits in postoperative recovery.¹ Kehlet and Wilmore² were the first to propose and apply a series of measures of this type after colorectal surgery within a fast-track MMR program. In our country, the Spanish Multimodal Rehabilitation Group (*Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal – GERM*) was created in 2007. In close collaboration with the Ministry of Health, Social Affairs and Equality, in 2015 GERM published the Intensified Recovery in Abdominal Surgery (IRAS) guidelines,³ which provides an evidence-based protocol for the stages and key points of MMR in the perioperative management of patients undergoing abdominal surgery.

To date, the greatest implementation of these protocols has been in colorectal surgery. However, given the excellent results obtained in this type of surgery, it seems logical to try to extend its application to other types of major abdominal surgery.⁴⁻⁷ With regards to gastric resection surgery, there are few high-quality studies that provide demonstrated evidence from intensified recovery protocols, although there is growing

evidence of its safety and benefits compared to more traditional management.⁸

This manuscript presents an MMR protocol or time management matrix for gastric resection surgery that was developed and approved by members of GERM based on a thorough review of currently available evidence and the clinical experience of a multidisciplinary group of experts.

Methods

The work group that developed this protocol included a total of 42 medical professionals from different specialties and hospitals (32 surgeons, 5 anesthetists, 3 nurses and 2 nutritionists) with accredited experience in the treatment of patients with gastric disease. The result was a time matrix, whose final version was agreed upon at a consensus meeting held in Zaragoza, Spain, on October 9, 2015.

This protocol was established based on general recommendations established in the IRAS³ for abdominal surgeries, an extensive bibliographic search on MMR in gastric surgery in the Cochrane Library, Medline, EMBASE, Scopus, Tryp database and DARE from 1995 to 2015, and the opinion and consensus of the group of experts. The results obtained from the bibliographic search were evaluated using the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) methodology, establishing the levels of quality of evidence and the grade of recommendation according to the GRADE system⁹ (Table 1).

Table 1 – Quality of Evidence and Degrees of Recommendation According to the GRADE Methodology.

		Definition
<i>Quality of evidence</i>		
High		There is high confidence that the effect estimate is very close to the actual effect.
Moderate		There is moderate confidence in the effect estimate: it is likely that the estimator of the effect is close to the actual effect, but substantial differences are possible.
Low		The confidence in the effect estimate is low: the effect estimate may be substantially different from the actual effect.
Very low		There is very low confidence in the effect estimate: it is very likely that the effect estimate is substantially different from the real effect.
<i>Grades of recommendation</i>		
Strong		High quality of evidence. Favorable benefit/risk balance.
Weak		Moderate or high quality of evidence. The benefit/risk balance, etc., advise a weak recommendation (if based on the consensus). Quality of evidence is low, very low or absent but with firm criteria that benefit>risk.

Adapted by Guyatt et al.⁹**Table 2 – Adapted Model of the Evaluation of the Nutritional Status and Risk for Malnutrition (Based on the MUST Model).**

	Weight loss in the last 3–6 months	Body mass index (kg/m ²)	Prevision oral intake in the next 5 days
0 points	<5%	>20	
1 points	5%–10%	18.5–20	
2 points	>10%	<18.5	No nutritional support expected for more than 5 days.
Calculation of the risk for malnutrition			
0=Low risk	1=Moderate risk	2=High risk	
Standard clinical management Repeat screening: - Hospital: every week - Residences: at least every month - Community: every year in special groups	Document dietary intake in 3 days: If intake is sufficient: repeat the screening - Hospital: every week - Residences: at least every week - Community: at least every 2–3 months If intake is insufficient: follow local protocols, set objectives, improve and increase the total nutritional intake, follow-up and review periodically		Initiate supplemental artificial nutrition and/or consult with the hospital nutritional support unit. Refer to a dietitian or nutritional support team, or apply local protocols. Set objectives, improve and increase total nutritional support.

Adapted from Stratton et al.¹⁴

This document presents a multidisciplinary protocol for all the measures to be carried out in the perioperative management of patients with gastric disease who are candidates for resective surgery under the MMR approach. It establishes the indications and recommendations to be considered in each stage of the treatment process. Thus, in order to facilitate the overall comprehension and outline of the protocol ([Addendum 1](#)), the actions will be grouped in 3 stages: preoperative, perioperative and postoperative. Obviously, these recommendations and measures should be applied at each hospital in a rational, progressive, individual or global manner and based on the specific resources available and protocols of each institution.

Results

Indications and Contraindications

Candidates for the application of the recommended measures were those patients who were scheduled for total, partial or

subtotal gastrectomy (ICD-9 codes: 43.5, 43.6, 43.7, 43.81, 43.89, 43.91, 43.99) and met the following criteria³:

- Age between 18 and 85.
- Appropriate cognitive state (able to comprehend and cooperate).
- ASA I, II and III.

Excluded from the application of this protocol were pediatric patients and those requiring urgent surgery.

Protocol and Time Matrix ([Addendum 1](#))

Preoperative Period

In this period, among other measures included in the protocol, the following points should be highlighted:

- Detailed oral and written information should be provided about the entire process, as it is able to reduce fear, favor early oral intake and improve recovery, mobilization, pain control and

- respiratory function (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate).^{10,11}
- Evaluation and optimization of nutritional status: all patients who are scheduled to undergo this type of surgery should be evaluated by nutritionists and follow certain nutritional recommendations in order to optimize and ensure proper nutritional status, especially in those who present a certain degree of dysphagia (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate).^{12,13} There are many scales developed to assess nutritional status and risk of malnutrition, and one of the most widely used is the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST).¹⁴ Table 2 shows an adaptation of this tool for use in patients who are candidates for the application of this protocol. The use of artificial nutrition is considered indicated in cases of severe malnutrition for a period of 10–14 days before surgery (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: high).^{15,16}
 - Evaluation and treatment of preoperative anemia: The aim is to achieve preoperative hemoglobin values of more than 13 g/dL in men and 12 g/dL in women, according to the recommendations of the World Health Organization (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: high).^{3,17,18}
 - Cessation of toxic habits and prehabilitation: Alcohol abuse (defined as an intake greater than 36 g ethanol per day) is associated with an increased risk of bleeding, surgical wound infection, and metabolic response to stress. A minimum abstinence of 4 weeks before surgery is necessary to reduce this risk. Furthermore, smoking has been shown to increase pulmonary complications by up to 50%, and cessation 4 weeks before surgery improves surgical wound healing. Therefore, it is recommended to stop alcohol use and smoking at least 4 weeks prior to surgery (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate)^{19,20}; meanwhile, moderate physical exercise, breathing exercises and various prehabilitation therapies are recommended.²¹

Perioperative Period

Immediate Preoperative Phase. In this period, we should highlight the following points in addition to other measures reflected in the protocol ([Addendum 1](#)):

- Preoperative diet and fasting: Patients should abstain from oral intake of solids 6 h before surgery and clear liquids 2 h before surgery (Recommendation: strong; level of evidence: moderate-high).^{22,23} The patient should also drink a carbonated drink (250 mL with 12.5% maltodextrins) 2 h before surgery. (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate-high).^{24,25} These measures reduce postoperative ileus, improve catabolic response and insulin resistance during the postoperative period, and should be applied individually and with caution in patients with certain degrees of dysphagia.
- Antibiotic and thromboembolic prophylaxis measures: Prophylactic antibiotic administration is recommended 30–60 min before the surgical incision,²⁶ and repeat doses are recommended in procedures longer than 3 h or in cases of bleeding in excess of 1500 mL (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: high).^{3,10,27} In addition, for thromboembolic prevention, the administration of low-molecular-weight

heparin is recommended together with other measures, such as compression stockings or intermittent compression systems, based on the individualized risk of each patient (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate).^{10,28} This prophylaxis should be continued for at least 7–10 days after surgery and extended for 4 weeks in high-risk patients.²⁹

Intraoperative Phase.

- Anesthetic care: the following anesthesia measures should be applied:
 - o Monitoring with capnography, central temperature control, diuresis, anesthetic depth and neuromuscular function (Recommendation: weak; Level of evidence: moderate).^{30–33}
 - o Invasive catheterization is recommended for adequate objectives-guided fluid therapy, and placement of a central venous catheter is reserved for select cases.
 - o Analgesia should be opioid-saving multimodal analgesia, while the use of local anesthesia and the insertion of a thoracic epidural catheter (T6–T8) should be considered depending on the type of surgical approach (laparotomy or laparoscopic) and the individual need of each patient (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate).³⁴
 - o Short-acting anesthesia should be administered and premature extubation should be done in the operating room, if possible.
 - o The presence of nausea and vomiting during the postoperative period can appear in about 30% of all surgical patients. It is the main cause of delayed initiation of oral tolerance, mobilization and hospital discharge. It is therefore recommended to evaluate the risk for developing this problem with the Apfel scale³⁵ (Table 3) and, in patients at high risk, measures should be applied (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate) such as the use of propofol in anesthesia induction and maintenance,³⁶ avoiding the use of nitrous oxide,³⁷ the use of inhaled anesthesia³⁸ and minimizing the use of intraoperative and postoperative opiates, prioritizing epidural analgesia.^{39,40}
 - o Active warming of the patient is recommended with a thermal blanket and fluid warming in order to maintain body temperature and avoid hypothermia (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate-low).^{3,41,42}

Surgical approach: Both laparoscopic and laparatomic approaches can be used in MMR protocols, depending on the

Table 3 – Apfel Scale.

Risk factors	Score	
Female	1	
Non-smoker	1	
History of nausea or postoperative vomiting	1	
Use of postoperative opiates	1	
Category	Score	Risk
Low risk	0-1 point	≈10%-20%
Moderate risk	2 points	≈40%
High risk	3-4 points	≈60%-80%

experience of the surgical team and available resources. The *laparoscopic approach* involves smaller incisions, less surgical trauma and is usually accompanied by less hemorrhage, shorter hospital stay and faster return to daily activities while maintaining the safety of the laparotomic approach, which is why it is recommended whenever possible (Recommendation: weak; Level of evidence: moderate).^{43,44} As demonstrated by a meta-analysis published in 2015 with more than 400 patients who had undergone gastrectomy,⁴⁵ the use of *abdominal drains* does not provide benefits in terms of postoperative morbidity and mortality and increases the time for initiation of oral intake and hospital stay. Therefore, the systematic use of drains is not recommended in these procedures (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate-high).⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷

The systematic use of a *nasogastric tube* is also not recommended and, if used, its withdrawal should be considered after the intervention is completed or in the first 24 h after surgery (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate-high).^{48,49} A meta-analysis with more than 700 patients comparing the use or not of decompression catheters after gastric surgery concluded that there were no differences in postoperative morbidity and mortality or hospital stay, but the time to postoperative oral intake was shorter in the group where the catheter had not been used.⁵⁰ The routine placement of parenteral feeding catheters or jejunostomies is also not recommended.

Immediate Postoperative Phase. During the initial postoperative hours, patients remain in the Post-Anesthesia Care Unit or Postoperative Recovery Unit, taking into account the following aspects (*Addendum 1*):

- According to many MMR protocols, oral intake (clear liquids) should be initiated within a few hours of surgery, which entails no increase in complications. In addition, this measure facilitates the onset of peristalsis and postoperative recovery, so the ingestion of clear fluids is recommended 6-8 h after surgery (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate).¹⁰
- The use of flow and volume-oriented incentive spirometers is recommended during the postoperative period of these patients in order to reduce the number of associated respiratory complications (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate).⁵¹ This measurement will be maintained throughout the postoperative period.
- All postoperative management guidelines agree on the beneficial effect of *early mobilization* after surgery (Recommendation: strong; Level of evidence: moderate).¹⁰ The available literature does not exactly specify the ideal time to start, although many studies recommend walking 6 h after surgery,^{52,53} or at least transferring the patient to the armchair for 2 h on the same day of surgery,^{54,55} or mobilization in bed 6 h after the intervention.⁵⁶ Therefore, although there is no unified criterion to define the timing, manner or duration of mobilization, it is generally recommended that active mobilization be initiated within the first 24 h after surgery.
- Ensuring correct tissue oxygenation and good pain control through the use of combined analgesia (epidural catheter,

intravenous analgesia, etc.) is essential for a good postoperative progress.

Postoperative Period

During the postoperative period, different measures are applied (*Addendum 1*) to attempt to progressively reestablish basic functions and return to the preoperative state. Among these measures, the following stand out:

- As previously mentioned, the early initiation of oral intake is recommended,^{10,53,57,58} resulting in a rapid return to correct gastrointestinal function and shorter hospital stay. It is advisable to proceed with caution according to patient tolerance, starting with a liquid diet and gelatin during the first postoperative day and progressively increasing to puréed foods/yogurt, mashed foods, and finally a soft diet on the fourth day post-op before discharge.
- *Active and progressive mobilization* is recommended, from sitting to progressive ambulation in time and distance during the first postoperative days.¹⁰
- Other strategies such as *pain control*, *thromboembolic prophylaxis* and *breathing exercises*, discussed above, should continue to be considered at this stage.
- *Complementary tests:* Follow-up analyses with blood count and basic biochemistry are recommended, including C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin on alternating days and depending on the patient's evolution (Recommendation: weak; Level of evidence: low). Systematic transit studies with contrast have not been shown to improve postoperative recovery (Recommendation: weak, Level of evidence: low),⁸ although there are groups with experience in this type of processes that perform it on the first⁵³ or second day post-op.⁵⁹
- In the absence of warning signs, hospital discharge may be assessed on the fourth day post-op in patients who meet the following criteria:
 1. Analgesia with oral medication.
 2. Correct ambulation and independence for basic daily activities.
 3. Good oral tolerance.
 4. Acceptance and proper comprehension of the discharge instructions and what to do in case of warning signs.
 5. Absence of warning signs to indicate suspicion.

Discussion

MMR is an alternative to traditional management in patients undergoing gastrectomy. Scientific evidence shows that it facilitates postoperative recovery while reducing hospital stay and costs associated with the procedure. This manuscript presents an extensive review of the current literature and establishes recommendations based on it and the consensus established by experts from different fields (surgery, anesthesia, nursing and nutrition), thereby creating a time-based matrix that intends to serve as a guideline for the application of an MMR protocol in this type of surgery. However, one must consider the limited evidence available for some of the points

discussed in this manuscript. Furthermore, most of the studies are based on series of Asian medical centers, where the management, tumor stage, and patient type differ to some degree from cases in our setting. In addition, the prevalence of gastric cancer in Western countries is not as high as in the East nor as high as colorectal cancer in our setting, so the possibilities of developing well-designed prospective studies with a large number of cases reporting consistent scientific evidence are more limited.

Obviously, the implementation of these measures should not be rigidly interpreted and should be adapted to the possibilities, organization and infrastructure of each hospital, although the joint application of most is recommended to favor better results. In addition, the multidisciplinary work of several specialists is necessary to obtain a well-structured and organized care sequence. The hospital staff should work together in the same global program, which would allow all facets of the process to happen more quickly and effectively.

For the development and fulfillment of all these measures, one of the basic pillars is to clearly inform the patient and family members, promoting self-care and involvement in surgical preparation and postoperative recovery. This information must be provided verbally and in writing because much verbal information is often forgotten; sometimes, less than 25% of information provided orally is recalled.^{60–62} It is also essential to provide patients with support material to be able to inform themselves and to consult whenever needed throughout the process, either before or after surgery and/or discharge.

The philosophy of MMR involves several points that are opposed to more traditional tendencies. Thus, measures such as limited fasting and the ingestion of a carbonated drink 2 h

before surgery, more specialized anesthetic strategies, minimally invasive surgery, mobilization and early oral tolerance during the immediate postoperative period, the indication and more restrictive placement of catheters and drains, etc., make for earlier patient recovery and better results than with the traditional approach. Along this line, a meta-analysis from 2015 of studies published up to 2014 on MMR in esophagogastric surgery (including 7 studies and a total of 329 patients with gastrectomy) observed that MMR protocols reduced hospitalization time in the Anesthesia Care Unit, time to oral intake and time to hospital discharge.⁸ In addition, morbidity and mortality results were similar to those of the traditional approach, with a lower percentage of readmissions (5%–10%), but without clear differences in other postoperative morbidity data. According to some studies, the percentage of reoperations was also similar to traditional management.^{52,63}

One of the purposes of GERM is to periodically evaluate the results from applying these protocols and to update them, making revisions based on new scientific evidence, projects and research that attempt to resolve aspects that have yet to be clarified. Thus, the intention is to create a national registry to collect data associated with the implementation of these protocols and to evaluate the application percentage of the proposed measures as well as the compliance and feasibility of the implementation of these protocols.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

Addendum 1. Time-Based Protocol for Gastric Surgery: Total/Subtotal Gastrectomy

Period	Protocol	Specialist
Prior to hospitalization	<p>Initial office visit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Patient medical history and complementary tests ordered - With results, presentation of the case before the Tumor Committee <p>Second office visit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The patient and family members are provided complete information about the treatment process. - Screening, assessment of nutritional status (MUST test) and nutritional optimization - If aphagia: hospitalization and nutritional optimization - If dysphagia to solids: liquid diet with protein supplements - Evaluation and treatment of preoperative anemia - Evaluation for anesthesia and comorbidities - Cessation of toxic habits (tobacco, alcohol, etc.) - Respiratory exercises and “prehabilitation” - Signed consent forms; collection of documentation - Admittance check-list 	Nursing Surgery
Day prior to surgery (if possible to schedule admittance the same day of surgery)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Initiate thromboembolic prophylaxis according to hospital protocol - Fasting for solids 6 h and clear liquids 2 h prior to surgery 	Nursing Surgery Anesthesia

Perioperative period	<p><i>Immediate preoperative phase</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Preoperative check-list - Carbonated drink (12.5% maltodextrin, 250 cc) 2 h before surgery, unless contraindicated - Placement of compression measures or intermittent pneumatic compression, according to risk of thromboembolism - Premedication: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Antibiotic prophylaxis 30–60 min before surgical incision - If delayed gastric emptying: prophylactic regurgitation measures <p><i>Intraoperative phase</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Operating room check-list - Standard monitoring, including anesthetic depth, muscle relaxation and central temperature - Active warming with thermal blanket and warm fluids - If laparoscopic surgery (preferable): individualized assessment for placement of T6–T8 thoracic epidural catheter, combined with multimodal analgesia and/or opioid-saving multimodal analgesia - If open surgery: placement of T6–T8 thoracic epidural catheter and combined analgesia with opioid-saving multimodal analgesia - Invasive arterial catheterization for hemodynamic management (objectives-guided fluid therapy) - No systematic use of central venous catheter - Use of short-acting anesthesia agents; assess deep muscle relaxation - Early extubation - Urinary catheter (withdraw after 24 h) - NGT: withdraw after surgery - Objectives-guided fluid therapy, avoiding fluid overload - Prophylaxis for nausea and vomiting according to the Apfel scale - No systematic use of drains <p><i>Immediate postoperative phase (Post-anesthesia Care Unit)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Initiate oral tolerance 6–8 h after surgery - Initiate mobilization (bed to chair) 6 h after surgery - Ensure good pain control: combined analgesia - Stimulate deep breathing and the use of incentive spirometer - Maintained FiO₂ 0.5% 2 h after surgery - Restrictive intravenous fluid therapy - Thromboembolic prophylaxis - Treatment for nausea and vomiting, according to the Apfel scale - Follow-up tests: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Blood work 	Nursing Anesthesia Surgery
First day post-op (hospital ward)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Liquid diet/gelatin - Mobilization (bed/chair/walking) - Ensure good pain control (intravenous analgesia) - Stimulate deep breathing and the use of incentive spirometer - Withdraw urinary catheter - Restrictive intravenous fluid therapy - Thromboembolic prophylaxis - Follow-up tests: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Blood work, including CRP and procalcitonin 	Nursing Anesthesia Surgery
Second day post-op (hospital ward)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Progression of diet (puréed foods, yogurt) - Mobilization: progressive walking - Withdrawal of epidural catheter after confirmation of correct coagulation state - Confirm good pain control (intravenous and oral analgesia) - Stimulate deep breathing and the use of incentive spirometer - Thromboembolic prophylaxis - Mashed foods - Mobilization: progressive walking - Confirm good pain control: oral analgesia - Stimulate deep breathing and the use of incentive spirometer - Thromboembolic prophylaxis - Follow-up tests: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Blood work, including CRP and procalcitonin 	Nursing Anesthesia Surgery
Third day post-op (hospital ward)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mashed foods - Mobilization: progressive walking - Confirm good pain control: oral analgesia - Stimulate deep breathing and the use of incentive spirometer - Thromboembolic prophylaxis - Follow-up tests: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Blood work, including CRP and procalcitonin 	Nursing Surgery

<p>Fourth day post-op (hospital ward)</p> <p>Discharge</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assessment of possible discharge if the following criteria are met: no surgical complications, no fever, pain controlled with oral analgesia, complete walking, correct oral tolerance and patient acceptance - Bland diet - Mobilization: progressive walking - Confirm good pain control: oral analgesia - Stimulate deep breathing and the use of incentive spirometer - Thromboembolic prophylaxis - Discharge documentation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Informational paperwork with post-discharge recommendations - Dietary recommendations and education - Patient satisfaction questionnaire 	<p>Nursing</p> <p>Surgery</p>
--	---	-------------------------------

Addendum 2. Multimodal Rehabilitation Workgroup in Esophagogastric Surgery of the Spanish Multimodal Rehabilitation Group

María Asunción Acosta Mérida, María Dolores Alonso Herreros, Rosario Aparicio Sánchez, Laura Armañanzas Ruiz, Carmen Balagué Ponz, Helena Benito Naverac, José A. Casimiro Pérez, José María Calvo Vecino, Vanessa Concepción Martín, Roberto de la Plaza Llamas, Marta de Vega Irañeta, Carlos J. Díaz Lara, Ismael Diez del Val, María del Lluch Escudero Pallardó, Mónica García Aparicio, Francisca García-Moreno Nisa, Lorena Gómez Diago, María Luz Herrero Bogajo, Yolanda López Revuelta, Rafael López Pardo, Ezequiel Martí-Bonmatí, Javier Martín Ramiro, José Martínez Guillén, Luis Enrique Muñoz Alameda, Inmaculada Navarro García, Ana Cristina Navarro Gonzalo, Julia Ocón Bretón, María Posada González, Pablo Priego Jiménez, María Quiles Guerola, Elizabeth Redondo Villahoz, Mário Ribeiro Gonçalves, Javier Riera Castellano, Elena Romera Barba, David Ruíz de Angulo, Jesús Salas Martínez, Cristina Sancho Moya, Amparo Valverde Martínez, Ramón Vilallonga Puy, Camilo Zapata Syro, Jorge Zárate Gómez.

REFE RENCES

1. Kehlet H. Fast track surgery: realidades y dificultades. *Cir Esp.* 2006;80:187-8.
2. Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Multimodal strategies to improve surgical outcome. *Am J Surg.* 2002;183:630-41.
3. <http://portal.guiasalud.es/contenidos/iframes/documentos/opbe/2015-07/ViaClinica-RICA.pdf>.
4. Anderson AD, McNaught CE, MacFie J, Tring I, Barker P, Mitchell CJ. Randomized clinical trial of multimodal optimization and standard perioperative surgical care. *Br J Surg.* 2003;90:1497-504.
5. Delaney CP, Fazio VW, Senagore AJ, Robinson B, Halverson AL, Remzi FH. 'Fast track' postoperative management protocol for patients with high co-morbidity undergoing complex abdominal and pelvic colorectal surgery. *Br J Surg.* 2001;88:1533-8.
6. Kehlet H, Mogensen T. Hospital stay of 2 days after open sigmoidectomy with a multimodal rehabilitation programme. *Br J Surg.* 1999;86:227-30.
7. Stephen AE, Berger DL. Shortened length of stay and hospital cost reduction with implementation of an accelerated clinical care pathway after elective colon resection. *Surgery.* 2003;133:277-82.
8. Gemmill EH, Humes DJ, Catton JA. Systematic review of enhanced recovery after gastro-oesophageal cancer surgery. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 2015;97:173-9.
9. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ.* 2008;336:924-6.
10. Mortensen K, Nilsson M, Slim K, Schäfer M, Mariette C, Braga M, et al. Consensus guidelines for enhanced recovery after gastrectomy: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations. *Br J Surg.* 2014;101:1209-29.
11. Chan Z, Kan C, Lee P, Chan I, Lam J. A systematic review of qualitative studies: patients' experiences of preoperative communication. *J Clin Nurs.* 2011;21:812-24.
12. Fukuda Y, Yamamoto K, Hirao M, Nishikawa K, Maeda S, Haraguchi N, et al. Prevalence of malnutrition among gastric cancer patients undergoing gastrectomy and optimal preoperative nutritional support for preventing surgical site infections. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2015;22:S776-85.
13. Sakurai K, Ohira M, Tamura T, Toyokawa TI, Amano RP, Kubo N, et al. Predictive potential of preoperative nutritional status in long-term outcome projections for patients with gastric cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2016;23:525-33.
14. Stratton RJ, Hackston A, Longmore D, Dixon R, Price S, Stroud M, et al. Malnutrition in hospital outpatients and inpatients: prevalence, concurrent validity and ease of use of the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST) for adults. *Br J Nutr.* 2004;92:798-808.
15. Alvarez J, Muñoz D, Planas M. Documento de consenso. *Nutr Hosp.* 2008;1:13-48.
16. Klek S, Szybinski P, Szczepanek K. Perioperative inmunonutrition in surgical cáncer patients: a summary of a decade of research. *World J Surg.* 2014;38:803-12.
17. Bisbe E, Basora M. Algoritmo para el tratamiento de la anemia preoperatoria. *Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim.* 2015;62:S27-34.
18. Xue L, Chen XL, Wei-Han Z, Yang K, Chen XZ, Zhang B, et al. Impact of perioperative blood transfusion on postoperative complications and prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma patients with different preoperative hemoglobin value. *Gastroenterol Res Pract.* 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/6470857>.
19. Tonnesen H, Kehlet H. Preoperative alcoholism and postoperative morbidity. *Br J Surg.* 1999;86:869-74.
20. Tonnesen H, Rosenberg J, Nielsen HJ, Tring I, Barker P, Mitchell CJ, et al. Effect of preoperative abstinence on poor postoperative outcome in alcohol misusers: randomized controlled trial. *BMJ.* 1999;318:1311-6 [in French].
21. Carli F, Charlebois P, Stein B, Feldman L, Zavorsky G, Kim DJ, et al. Randomized clinical trial of prehabilitation in colorectal surgery. *Br J Surg.* 2010;97:1187-97.

22. Brady M, Kinn S, Stuart P. Preoperative fasting for adults to prevent perioperative complications. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2003; CD004423.
23. Smith I, Kranke P, Murat I, Smith A, O'Sullivan G, Søreide E, et al. Perioperative fasting in adults and children: guidelines from the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2011;28:556–69.
24. Svanfeldt M, Thorell A, Hause J, Soop M, Rooyackers O, Nygren J, et al. Randomized clinical trial of the effect of preoperative oral carbohydrate treatment on postoperative whole-body protein and glucose kinetics. *Br J Surg*. 2007;94:1342–50.
25. Yuill KA, Richardson RA, Davidson HI, Garden OJ, Parks RW. The administration of an oral carbohydrate-containing fluid prior to major elective upper-gastrointestinal surgery preserves skeletal muscle mass postoperatively – a randomised clinical trial. *Clin Nutr*. 2005;24:32–7.
26. Uchiyama K, Takifuji K, Tani M, Ueno M, Kawai M, Ozawa S, et al. Prevention of postoperative infections by administration of antimicrobial agents immediately before surgery for patients with gastrointestinal cancers. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 2007;54:1487–93.
27. Steinberg JP, Braun BI, Hellinger WC, Kusek L, Bozikis MR, Bush AJ, et al. Timing of antimicrobial prophylaxis and the risk of surgical site infections: results from the Trial to Reduce Antimicrobial Prophylaxis Errors. *Ann Surg*. 2009;250:10–6.
28. Mismetti P, Laporte S, Darmon JY, Buchmüller A, Decousus H. Meta-analysis of low molecular weight heparin in the prevention of venous thromboembolism in general surgery. *Br J Surg*. 2001;88:913–30.
29. Lyman GH, Bohlike K, Khorana AA, Kuderer NM, Lee AY, Arcelus JI, et al. Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis and treatment in patients with cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update 2014. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33:654–6.
30. Punjasawadwong Y, Phongchiewboon A, Bunchungmongkol N. Bispectral index for improving anaesthetic delivery and postoperative recovery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014;6:CD003843.
31. Murphy GS, Brull SJ. Residual neuromuscular block: lessons unlearned. Part I. Definitions, incidence, and adverse physiologic effects of residual neuromuscular block. *Anesth Analg*. 2010;111:120–8.
32. Butterly A, Bittner EA, George E, Sandberg WS, Eikermann M, Schmidt U. Postoperative residual curarization from intermediate-acting neuromuscular blocking agents delays recovery room discharge. *Br J Anaesth*. 2010;105:304–9.
33. Claudius C, Viby-Mogensen J. Acceleromyography for use in scientific and clinical practice: a systematic review of the evidence. *Anesthesiology*. 2008;108:1117–40.
34. Scott NB, James K, Murphy M, Kehlet H. Continuous thoracic epidural analgesia versus combined spinal/thoracic epidural analgesia on pain, pulmonary function, and the metabolic response following colonic resection. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1996;40:691–6.
35. Apfel C, Roewer N. Risk assessment of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Int Anaesthesiol Clin*. 2003;41:13–32.
36. Apfel CC, Korttila K, Abdalla M, Kerger H, Turan A, Vedder I, et al. A factorial trial of six interventions for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350:2441–51.
37. Tramèr M, Moore A, McQuay H. Omitting nitrous oxide in general anaesthesia: metaanalysis of intraoperative awareness and postoperative emesis in randomized controlled trials. *Br J Anaesth*. 1996;76:186–93.
38. Apfel CC, Kranke P, Katz MH, Goepfert C, Papenfuss T, Rauch S, et al. Volatile anaesthetics may be the main cause of early but not delayed postoperative vomiting: a randomized controlled trial of factorial design. *Br J Anaesth*. 2002;88:659–68.
39. Roberts GW, Bekker TB, Carlsen HH, Moffar CH, Slattery PJ, McClure AF. Postoperative nausea and vomiting are strongly influenced by postoperative opioid use in a dose related manner. *Anesth Analg*. 2005;101:1343–8.
40. Jørgensen H, Wetterslev J, Mørinche S, Dahl JB. Epidural local anaesthetics versus opioid-based analgesic regimens on postoperative gastrointestinal paralysis, PONV and pain after abdominal surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2000;4: CD001893.
41. Esnaola NF, Cole DJ. Perioperative normothermia during major surgery: is it important? *Adv Surg*. 2011;45:249–63.
42. Moslemi-Kebria M, El-Nashar SA, Aletti GD, Cliby WA. Intraoperative hypothermia during cytoreductive surgery for ovarian cancer and perioperative morbidity. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;119:590–6.
43. Zou ZH, Zhao LY, Mou TY, Hu YF, Yu J, Liu H, et al. Laparoscopic vs open D2 gastrectomy for locally advanced gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20:16750–64.
44. Mao T, Fang W, Gu Z, Guo X, Ji C, Chen W. Comparison of perioperative outcomes between open and minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal cancer. *Thorac Cancer*. 2015;6:303–6.
45. Wang Z, Chen J, Su K, Dong Z. Abdominal drainage versus no drainage post-gastrectomy for gastric cancer (Review). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;5:CD008788.
46. Álvarez R, Molina H, Torres O, Cancino A. Total gastrectomy with or without abdominal drains. A prospective randomized trial. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig*. 2005;97:562–9.
47. Kim J, Lee J, Hyung WJ, Vheong JH, Chen J, Choi SH, et al. Gastric cancer surgery without drains: a prospective randomized trial. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2004;8:727–32.
48. Cheatham ML, Chapman WC, Key SP, Sawyers JL. A meta-analysis of selective versus routine nasogastric decompression after elective laparotomy. *Ann Surg*. 1995;221:469–76.
49. Nelson R, Edwards S, Tse B. Prophylactic nasogastric decompression after abdominal surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007. CD004929.
50. Yang Z, Zheng Q, Wang Z. Meta-analysis of the need for nasogastric or nasojejunal decompression after gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Br J Surg*. 2008;95:809–16.
51. Restrepo RD, Wettstein R, Wittnebel L, Tracy M. Incentive spirometry: 2011. *Respir Care*. 2011;56:1600–4.
52. Kim JW, Kim WS, Cheong JH, Guo X, Ji C, Chen W, et al. Safety and efficacy of fast-track surgery in laparoscopic distal gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a randomized clinical trial. *World J Surg*. 2012;36:2879–87.
53. Pędziwiart M, Matłok M, Kisialewski M, Major P, Migaczewski M, Budzyński W, et al. Enhanced recovery (ERAS) protocol in patients undergoing laparoscopic total gastrectomy. *Wideochir Inne Tech Maloinwazyjne*. 2014;9:252–7.
54. Yamada T, Hayashi T, Cho H, Yoshikawa T, Taniguchi H, Fukushima R, et al. Usefulness of enhanced recovery after surgery protocol as compared with conventional perioperative care in gastric surgery. *Gastric Cancer*. 2012;15:34–41.
55. Chen Hu J, Xin Jiang L, Cai L, Tao Zheng H, Juan Hu S, Bing Chen H, et al. Preliminary experience of fast-track surgery combined with laparoscopy-assisted radical distal gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2012;16:1830–9.
56. Wang D, Kong Y, Zhong B, Zhou X, Zhou Y. Fast-track surgery improves postoperative recovery in patients with gastric cancer: a randomized comparison with conventional postoperative care. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2010;14:620–7.

57. Wang G, Jiang ZW, Xu J, Gong JF, Bao Y, Xie LF. Fast-track rehabilitation program vs conventional care after colorectal resection: a randomized clinical trial. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2011;17:671–6.
58. Song JX, Tu XH, Wang B, Lin C, Zhang ZZ, Lin LY, et al. Fast track rehabilitation after gastric cancer resection: experience with 80 consecutive cases. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2014;14:147.
59. Abdikarim I, Cao XY, Li SZ, Zhao YQ, Taupyk Y, Yang Q. Enhanced recovery after surgery with laparoscopic radical gastrectomy for stomach carcinomas. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2015;21:13339–44.
60. Ronco M, Iona L, Fabbro C, Bulfone G, Pales A. A Patient education outcomes in surgery: a systematic review from 2004 to 2010. *Int J Evid Based Healthc.* 2010;10:309–23.
61. Kruzik N. Benefits of preoperative education for adult elective surgery patients. *AORN J.* 2009;90:381–7.
62. Kiyohara LY, Kayano LK, Oliveira LM, Yamamoto MU, Inagaki MM, Ogawa NY, et al. Surgery information reduces anxiety in the pre-operative period. *Rev Hosp Clin Fac Med Sao Paulo.* 2004;59:51–6.
63. Jiang ZW, Li JS, Wang ZM, Li N, Liu XX, Li WY, et al. The safety and efficiency of fast track surgery in gastric cancer patients undergoing D2 gastrectomy. *Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi.* 2007;45:1314–7 [In Chinese].