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Adrenal Surgery in Spain: Final Results of a National Survey


Abstract

Introduction: The indications for adrenalectomy could be changing by the availability of laparoscopy and the growing detection of incidentalomas. The Endocrine Surgery Section of the Spanish Association of Surgeons conducted a survey to analyse the current indications for adrenalectomy and their results in Spanish surgical departments.

Material and methods: Data were gathered as regards the type of hospital and department, volume of procedures, localisation studies and pre-surgical preparations, indications, surgical approach, and results in terms of morbidity and hospital stay. The results of the centres were compared as regards their volume of activity using the Mann–Whitney Test for the quantitative variables and chi squared for the qualitative ones.

Results: Thirty-six centres completed the questionnaire and 301 adrenalectomies were reported to be performed in 2008. Most frequent indications were pheochromocytoma (25.2%), non-functioning adenoma (16.2%), aldosteronoma (15.9%), Cushing adenoma (11.2%), metastasis (10.3%), myelolipoma (5.6%), and carcinoma (4.9%).

Laparoscopic adrenalectomy was performed in 83.7% of cases (6.7% required conversion to laparotomy). The mean hospital stay was 3.9 days for laparoscopic adrenalectomy and 7.4 days for laparotomy. High-volume units (more than 10 per year) used more frequently the laparoscopic approach (P=.019), and had a shorter overall hospital stay (P<.0001). Laparoscopic adrenalectomy was also associated with a shorter hospital stay (P<.0001).
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Conclusions: Laparoscopy for adrenalectomy has become the standard practice in Spain, with good results in terms of morbidity and hospital stay. High volume centres have better results as regards the use of minimally invasive surgery and hospital stay.

Cirugía suprarrenal en España: resultados finales de una encuesta nacional

R E S U M E N

Introducción: Dada la disponibilidad del abordaje laparoscópico y la creciente detección de incidentalomas, las indicaciones de suprarrenalecctomía pueden estar cambiando. La Sección de Cirugía Endocrina de la Asociación Española de Cirujanos diseñó una encuesta para analizar las indicaciones actuales de la suprarrenalecctomía y sus resultados en los servicios de cirugía españoles.

Material y métodos: Se recogieron datos respecto al tipo de hospital y servicio, volumen de procedimientos, estudios de localización y preparación preoperatoria, indicaciones, técnica quirúrgica, instrumental utilizado, y resultados en términos de morbidad y estancia hospitalaria. Se compararon los resultados de los centros en función de su volumen de actividad, utilizando las pruebas de Mann-Whitney para variables cuantitativas y de la chi al cuadrado para las cualitativas.

Resultados: Treinta y seis centros cumplimentaron el cuestionario e incluyeron 301 suprarrenalectomías realizadas en 2008. Las lesiones extirpadas más frecuentes fueron feocromocitoma (25,2%), adenoma no funcionante (16,2%), aldosteronoma (15,9%), adenoma de Cushing (11,2%), metástasis (10,3%), mielolipoma (5,6%) y carcinoma (4,9%).

Se realizó un abordaje laparoscópico en el 83,7% de casos (el 6,7% requirió conversión a laparotomía). La estancia media hospitalaria fue de 3,9 días para suprarrenalecctomía laparoscópica y 7,4 para la laparotómica. En las unidades con un número elevado de casos intervenidos (más de 10 por año) se observó una mayor proporción de pacientes tratados por laparoscopia (p = 0,019), con una menor estancia global (p < 0,001), así como en los que se realizó una suprarrenalecctomía laparoscópica (p < 0,001).

Conclusiones: En España, el abordaje laparoscópico para la suprarrenalecctomía es la norma, con buenos resultados en términos de morbidad y estancia hospitalaria. Los centros de volumen alto tienen mejores resultados en relación con el uso de cirugía mínimamente invasiva y la estancia hospitalaria.

Introduction

Adrenalectomy is a surgical procedure performed with increasing frequency, as shown by large US series analysing hospital discharge data since the 1980s.1–3 This can be explained by two related circumstances: firstly, the increasing detection of adrenal injury (whose prevalence is currently estimated at about 4%–7%) due to the widespread use and higher resolution of computed tomography; secondly, the widespread availability of laparoscopic equipment and professionals with experience in this surgical approach, increasing the likelihood that doctors recommend adrenalectomy to their patients.4 Currently, the procedure has a low mortality rate (<1%) and morbidity of 8%–19% in large cohorts.4–6

The amount of hospital activity has been positively associated with better postoperative outcomes after the implementation of various techniques, including thyroidectomy, parathyroidectomy, bypass surgery, aortic valve replacement, repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm, bariatric surgery, pancreaticoduodenectomy, oesophagectomy and colorectal cancer surgery.7–14 In the specific field of adrenal pathology, various US and European articles have also analysed the volume factor, whereby a lower rate of postoperative complications and hospital stay after adrenalectomy is found when it is performed by a surgeon and a hospital with a high volume of surgical activity.1–3,15

The endocrine surgery section of the Spanish Association of Surgeons (Asociación Española de Cirujanos, AEC) prepared a survey in 2009 to assess the current status of adrenal surgery in Spain regarding indications, techniques and results. It was expected to find out the relationship between the volume of hospital activity and morbidity, mortality and hospital stay after the procedure. A preliminary analysis of the results using data from a small number of hospitals was published in the official European Society of Endocrine Surgeons publication.16 The final results of this study are shown here.
Material and Methods

A prospective multicentre study analysed the 31 items in a survey prepared by the endocrine surgery section of the AEC regarding the type, size and ownership of the hospital, the type of unit where the adrenal surgery was performed, the department to which it belonged and the number of surgeons practising the technique in that unit. Other topics on which information was requested were: location testing, preoperative preparation, preoperative hospital stay, the total volume of procedures performed in 2008, the pathology types operated upon, the surgical approach and contraindications considered absolute or relative for the laparoscopic approach. Information was also sought on the technical details of the procedure: the number of ports used in endoscopic surgery, the type of laparoscope and haemostatic material used, the use of drains, the availability of laparoscopic ultrasound and robotic technology. Finally, the results for laparotomy conversion rates, morbidity, urgent re-operations, mortality and postoperative and overall hospital stay were requested. Complications were studied in aggregate, and classified into general, intra-abdominal and abdominal wall complications.

The survey questionnaire, with a cover letter, was emailed by the AEC secretariat to all its surgeons in July 2009. Two months later, a follow-up email was sent to the endocrine surgery section members. Data from the returned questionnaires were entered onto an Access 2003 database, and responses were accepted until December 2010. After excluding duplicate responses from the same unit, the data were exported to a SPSS version 15.0 file for statistical analysis.

To analyse the results according to activity volume, two groups were defined: high-volume centres (with 10 or more procedures in 2008) and low-volume centres (9 or less adrenalectomies performed in that year). The data from these subgroups were compared regarding the type of surgical approach (laparoscopy or laparotomy), the proportion of malignant tumours (primary or metastatic) in those lesions intervened and conversion rates to laparotomy. Other data analysed were the mortality rate, postoperative complications, urgent re-operations and hospital stay.

Statistical Analysis

The chi-squared and Mann–Whitney U tests were used for differences between groups in categorical and continuous variables, respectively. All tests were two-tailed, and statistical significance was P<.05.

Results

A total of 36 surgeons answered the questionnaire from different hospitals, 35 (97%) of whom belonged to public centres. The response rate was 10.4% of the 334 Spanish public hospitals registered in 2008.17 Table 1 shows the different features of the hospitals and units, with all belonging to the general and digestive surgery departments. Of the 9 high-volume hospitals, 8 (89%) had over 500 beds and one between 250 and 500 beds.

Table 2 summarises the degree of use of different preoperative location techniques, with the most widely used being axial tomography. All units carried an alpha-blockade followed by a beta-blockade for the preoperative preparation of pheochromocytoma, with 28 centres (77%) using phenoxybenzamine and the remaining 8 (22%) using doxazosin as the alpha-blocker. Calcium antagonists were considered as an alternative in 3 hospitals (8.3%). The average preoperative hospital stay for this preparation was 2.7, range 0–10, standard deviation [SD] 2. The preoperative hospital stay for the rest of pathologies, however, was 0.7 days (range 0–2, SD 0).

There were 301 adrenalectomies performed in these 36 centres in 2008, with an average of 8.3 interventions per centre (range 2–25, SD 5). High-volume centres performed 135 procedures, with an average of 15 per hospital (range 10–25, SD 5); while low-volume centres performed 166 adrenalectomies, with an average of 6.1 (range 2–9, SD 2).

The most frequent indication (160 cases, 53.1% of all patients) was hormonal hypersecretion, followed by an adrenal incidentaloma (64 cases, 21.2%), suspicion of malignancy (51 patients, 16.9%) and adrenal mass with compressive symptoms (26 cases). The histological diagnoses of the excised lesions are shown in Table 3.
Adrenalectomy was performed through a laparoscopic transperitoneal lateral approach in 252 cases (83.7%), while 2 hospitals (5%) had not then implemented the laparoscopic approach. The incision of choice for laparotomic adrenalectomy was subcostal (72% of units), followed by midline laparotomy (22%) and the posterior approach (6%). Table 4 shows the opinion of surgeons regarding the different conditions that might preclude the laparoscopic approach. The maximum size of the endoscopically resectable adrenal lesion varied according to the different units and was defined in 16 of them: it was 15 cm in 1 case, 12 cm in another, 10 cm in 7 units, 8 cm in 4 and 6 cm in 3 units.

Table 5 shows some technical details of the procedures. The indications collected by the various units for partial adrenalectomy included bilateral pheochromocytoma, adrenal cysts and hyperaldosteronism or Cushing syndrome adenomas. Indications for bilateral adrenalectomy were hypophyseal Cushing’s disease, bilateral adrenal hyperplasia, pheochromocytoma and bilateral metastases.

Table 6 shows the results based on the volume of activity of surgical units. It can be seen that the percentage of patients operated upon laparoscopically was significantly higher in units with a high number of cases. There were 17 patients in the series (6.7%) that required conversion to laparotomy: 8 cases of pheochromocytoma, 2 of primary aldosteronism, 2 of bilateral adrenal hyperplasia, 2 myelolipomas, 1 adenoma, 1 metastases and 1 adrenal carcinoma. The causes were haemorrhage in 8 patients, difficulty in identifying the structures in 4 cases, anaesthetic problems in 2, size of the lesion in 2, and invasion of adjacent structures (adrenal carcinoma with inferior vena cava invasion) in the last patient.

There were no statistically significant differences in the activity volume for hospital morbidity. After classifying them into general, intra-abdominal and lesion complications, there was no statistically significant difference either after comparing units according to their volume. General complications affected 10 patients (3.3%): with 4 cases of pneumonia, 2 decompensation of previous heart conditions, 2 urinary tract infections, 1 deep vein thrombosis and 1 multiple organ dysfunction syndrome after colorectal anastomosis dehiscence which led to the patient’s death on the 15th postoperative day.

Wound complications included 4 cases of infection, 2 hernias and 1 evisceration. The most common intra-abdominal complications was haematoma in the resection bed (7 cases). There were 2 cases of sigmoid ischaemia and persistent lymphatic leakage, and one case of postoperative ileus, intra-abdominal abscess, duodenal perforation, pancreatic fistula, splenic laceration requiring splenectomy and, finally, a dehiscence of colonic anastomosis performed after segmental resection of splenic flexure, which was needed for the complete removal of an adrenal carcinoma. The latter patient and 6 others (2.3% of the total) required re-operation: there were 3 intra-abdominal haematomas, 1 ischaemia of the sigmoid colon and the cases of duodenal perforation and evisceration. As shown in Table 6, the overall average hospital stay for laparoscopic adrenalectomy was significantly lower in high-volume centres.

### Table 2 – Use of Different Location Techniques in the Participating Units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Always No. (%)</th>
<th>Generally No. (%)</th>
<th>Occasionally No. (%)</th>
<th>Never No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial tomography</td>
<td>32 (88)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>12 (33)</td>
<td>12 (33)</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>15 (41)</td>
<td>18 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scintigraphy</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>23 (634)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>25 (69)</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No.: number of units that perform the technique in question; PET: positron emission tomography.

### Table 3 – Diagnostic Pathology of The Excised Lesions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Lesion</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pheochromocytoma</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-functioning adenoma</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosteronoma (Conn syndrome)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cushing syndrome due to adrenal adenoma</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal metastases</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelolipoma</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal carcinoma</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal hyperplasia</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyst</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemangioma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganglioneuroma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypophysial Cushing’s disease</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myxofibrosarcoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiomyoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphangiomma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal haemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In recent years, several studies have shown an increase in the frequency of adrenalectomies performed. This is probably due to the increased detection of asymptomatic adrenal masses in imaging studies performed for other reasons, and to the widespread use of laparoscopy, which is a low morbidity approach accepted by physicians and patients. These studies conclude that the volume of activity in the surgical unit is an important factor in their results, as in other procedures and conditions. Given that only retrospective series of individual units were published in Spain, the endocrine surgery section of the AEC designed a questionnaire to determine the current state of adrenal surgery in Spain. The objective was to find out which centres, departments and surgeons performed this technique and to analyse details related to diagnosis, preoperative treatment, the surgical procedure and its results. Moreover, these data could be used as a basis for a Spanish adrenal...
surgery registry, similar to those developed by the Association for the laparoscopic surgery of the liver, pancreas, stomach and oesophagus, as well as for single-port laparoscopic surgery.

It was distributed electronically through a secure Internet site, and the low response rate may have been influenced by not using the conventional post. Other digestive surgery surveys published recently had higher response rates: 55% in the Canadian national survey for laparoscopic colorectal surgery, 61% in the US survey on the multimodal treatment of colorectal cancer, and 92% in a Saudi Arabia survey on the laparoscopic treatment of acute cholecystitis. Another reason for the low response rate may have been the low incidence of adrenal surgery, which is usually performed in specialised endocrine surgery or advanced laparoscopy units.

In addition, many surgeons may have not received the survey due to not belonging to the AEC or due to not updating their email address. Finally, the survey was not sent to the specialist urology departments, which also routinely perform this technique. They perform up to 28% of such procedures in North America, according to the Park et al. study.

In our study, adrenal surgery in Spain is usually performed by a small number of surgeons in endocrine surgery units in large hospitals. Due to the low incidence of these processes, only 50% of patients were operated in units that perform 10 or more procedures per year, which is similar to data from North American and European records. The results for the preoperative location techniques used and types of pathology intervened were also similar to these series. The pattern is fairly uniform in the responses for the preoperative preparation of pheochromocytoma. The alpha-blocker used is usually phenoxybenzamine, although doxazosin is beginning to be used in Spain, possibly due to its greater availability.

Furthermore, this allows preoperative preparation to be done at home and leads to less hypotension in the postoperative period.

The laparoscopic approach was the norm, with usage figures (84%) higher than in previous studies, although some hospitals have not yet implemented the procedure. Spanish surgeons do not consider this approach to be contraindicated by previous upper abdominal surgery or a diagnosis of adrenal metastasis. However, half of them would contraindicate it for large lesions and a diagnosis of adrenal carcinoma. The nil use of the posterior or lateral retroperitoneal endoscopic approach is noteworthy, with good results in the Essen University series published by Walz et al. This is probably influenced by the speciality of the surgeons surveyed, who were not accustomed to this approach.

Our results show low rates for the following: conversion to laparotomy (6.7%), overall morbidity (8.9%), urgent re-operation (2.3%) and mortality (0.3%), as well as a hospital stay of 4.9 days, which is similar to data published in North American and European studies. As with other surgical fields and previous multicentre studies that describe the results of adrenal surgery, the influence of the volume of activity of the centre can be observed. Thus, the laparoscopic approach is used with greater frequency and shorter hospital stay in units with a higher number of cases.

The low response rate is a major limitation of our study; and indeed may have led to a response bias, with surgeons working in specialist units most likely to perform minimally invasive surgery responding more readily. However, we believe the findings are consistent with those obtained from similar studies, with the data coming from a representative sample of the Spanish surgical community giving value to the
results. Moreover, this is the first European approach to this problem, apart from a Danish study. 15 We believe that this initiative needs to be increasingly communicated to Spanish surgical groups, and to be used as a basis for the implementation of a national adrenal surgery registry, under the AEC.

In conclusion, our data show that adrenal surgery is performed in Spain in hospitals of varying complexity. The laparoscopic approach is standard, with good results for morbidity and hospital stay. As in previous studies, the volume of activity in the unit is a factor impacting on the results. High volume centres have carried out laparoscopic adrenalectomy more frequently and with shorter hospital stays.
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