

## Psychiatry and the new compensation scale for motor vehicle accidents<sup>☆</sup>



### La psiquiatría y el nuevo baremo de tráfico

Dear Editor,

We read the Letter to the Editor by Guija et al. on the valuation of post-traumatic psychiatric disease.<sup>1</sup> We share their accurate opinions on the limitations of the current psychiatric chapter on for the valuation of the damages and prejudice causes to people by traffic accidents (commonly known as the "compensation scale" [CS]). The aim of this letter is to complement their input with our previous work in this field, and to comment on the project for the new CS which is planned to come into force on 1 January 2016.

In 2008 the Legal Medicine Institute of Catalonia published recommendations to facilitate, unify and adapt application of the CS; in the chapter on "Psychiatric Syndromes"<sup>2</sup> we find the same difficulties that are pointed out by Guija et al.<sup>1</sup>: terminology that does not agree with diagnostic manuals, the lack of clear methodology for the estimation of each consequence and to evaluate its intensity, as well as ranges of scores that are unsuitable or insufficient. The solution we offered was, to summarise:

- Always refer to the current editions of diagnostic manuals to add to the reliability of the expert valuation.
- Use analogous valuations when this is necessary due to the small number of items included in the CS.
- When setting the score take into account the number and intensity of symptoms, the type of treatment and possible functional repercussions.

The Legal Medicine Institute of Catalonia subsequently issued specific recommendations on post-traumatic stress disorder or adaptive disorders.<sup>3,4</sup> On the other hand, the valuation of psychiatric after-effects is always topical within the field of forensic medicine.<sup>5,6</sup>

The Government recently passed the Projected Law on Reform of the CS,<sup>7</sup> in which the table of sequelae includes a chapter on the nervous system divided into 2 parts of unequal length denominated "Neurology" and "Psychiatry". The first of these covers motor and sensory sequelae in somewhat more than 7 pages, together with cognitive disorders and neuropsychological harm. The part on "Psychiatry", in turn, takes up somewhat less than one page and includes items that already exist in the current CS, with some modifications (Table 1). We believe that the most outstanding new features are:

- Score ranges are considerably broadened for sequelae of post-traumatic stress (currently 1–3 points; planned:

1–15) as well as for permanent mood disorders (PMD; currently 5–10 points; planned: 4–25), although the criteria for application of the same are restricted. Moreover, the mere existence of emotional precedents without any other specification makes it obligatory to consider PMD as aggravations.

- Explicit criteria are introduced to establish the severity of sequelae deriving from post-traumatic stress and PMD, and for the latter this will depend on the number of DSM-5 or CIE-10 criteria fulfilled by the victim. However, in the above-mentioned restrictive tendency psychiatric hospital admission seems to be necessary for application of the upper range of the PMD score (16–25 points).
- Post-concussion syndrome and organic personality disorder, which are classified under "Psychiatric Syndromes" in the current CS, are now included under the new heading of "Neurology". Organic personality disorder is combined under a single heading with frontal syndrome and alteration of the integrated higher brain function. All of this should not hinder the proper psychopathological evaluation of victims with craneoencephalic trauma.<sup>8</sup>
- As is the case for other serious sequelae, there is an additional condition of need for help by a third person in cases of major severe chronic depression and in the aggravation or destabilisation of non-traumatic dementia (table 2.C.2 of the new CS project). Nevertheless, there is no provision for compensation for future psychiatric care (table 2.C.1 of the new CS project).

Thus definitively interesting changes are made, while a desire to restrict the consideration of psychiatric sequelae in the most severe cases is also perceptible, even though amendments with the purpose of modifying this last aspect have been presented.<sup>9</sup> To conclude, we believe that the relationship between traffic accidents and mental health problems<sup>10</sup> means that the psychiatric section of the CS will often have to be used for expert evaluations, so that recommendations such as those by de Guija et al.<sup>1</sup> or those of our group<sup>2–4</sup> will still be useful to ensure that it is used in the best possible way.

## References

1. Guija JA, Medina A, Giner L, Lledó C, Marín A, Arechederra JJ, et al. Un nuevo método de valoración de la enfermedad psiquiátrica postraumática. Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment (Barc). 2015, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rpsm.2015.03.002>.
2. Idiáquez I, Xifró A. Síndromes psiquiátricos. In: Puig L (coord.). Protocol de l'Institut de Medicina Legal de Catalunya del barem de la Llei 34/2003, de 4 de novembre, de modificació i adaptació a la normativa comunitària de la legislació d'assegurances privades. Barcelona: Centre d'Estudis Jurídics i Formació Especialitzada; 2008. p. 34–45. Available in: [http://justicia.gencat.cat/ca/ambits/formacio\\_reicerca\\_documentacio/biblioteca\\_i\\_publicacions/publicacion/manuals\\_i\\_documents/](http://justicia.gencat.cat/ca/ambits/formacio_reerca_documentacio/biblioteca_i_publicacions/publicacion/manuals_i_documents/).
3. Cuquerella A, Martí G, Pérez-Bouton MP, Samanes JL, Taranilla AM. Valoración del trastorno por estrés postraumático como secuela dentro del ámbito médico forense. In: Puig L (coord.). Controversias en valoración del daño corporal, Instituto de Medicina Legal de Cataluña. Barcelona: Centre d'Estudis

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Xifró A, Bertomeu A, Idiáquez I, Puig L. La psiquiatría y el nuevo baremo de tráfico. Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment (Barc). 2016;9:231–233.

**Table 1** The "Psychiatric" section of the project for the new compensation scale<sup>7</sup> (left) compared with the current compensation scale<sup>2</sup> (right).

New compensation scale project		Current compensation scale	
Items	Points	Items	Points
<b>Neurotic disorders</b>		<b>Neurotic disorders</b>	
<i>Sequelae due to post-traumatic stress</i>		<i>Due to post-traumatic stress</i>	1-3
It is indispensable that the symptoms arise as a result of an exceptionally threatening or catastrophic traffic accident causing serious or mortal injuries, and in which the victim has been directly involved.			
Continuous diagnosis, treatment and follow-up by a psychiatric specialist must exist. For diagnosis the criteria of the DSM-5 or CIE-10 must be fulfilled. Likewise, to establish the sequelae it is necessary, after achieving stabilisation of the phobic anxiety symptoms, that a psychiatric medical report be prepared on patient state, indicating the intensity of the symptoms and repercussion on their social relationships.			
The criteria used to determine the degrees of the sequelae will be based on the periodicity of the symptoms and their severity			
Mild	1-2		
Sporadic minor manifestations			
Moderate	3-5		
Frequent phenomena of evocation, avoidance and hyperactivation			
Severe	6-15		
Recurring and invasive symptom of the intrusive type. Behaviour of systematic avoidance, involving a severe phobic syndrome. A state of hypervigilance in connection with the stimuli that recall the trauma, which may be accompanied by depression and dissociative disorders. Suicidal thoughts.			
<i>Other neurotic disorders</i>	1-5	<i>The same text</i>	1-5
<b>Permanent mood disorders</b>		<b>Mood disorder</b>	
In cases of severe post-traumatic lesions with complex and long-term treatments and major sequelae, there may be a permanent psychic state consisting of persistent mood alterations. This section rules out those cases in which there was a history of emotional pathology, which will be considered to be the aggravation of a previous condition			
<i>Chronic major depression</i>		<i>Reactive depression</i>	5-10
Mild	4-10		
The syndrome must fulfil at least 4 criteria of the 9 in the DSM-5 or 3 of the 7 in the CIE-10. Frequent medical follow-up is required by a specialist, with specific therapy.			
Moderate	11-15		
The syndrome must fulfil at least 5 criteria of the 9 in the DSM-5 or 4 of the 7 in the CIE-10El. Continuous medical follow-up by a specialist is required with specific treatment with or without hospitalisation in a psychiatric centre.			
Severe	16-25		
The syndrome must fulfil at least 7 criteria of the 9 in the DSM-5 or 5 of the 7 in the CIE-10El. Continuous medical follow-up by a specialist is required with specific treatment and with hospitalisation in a psychiatric centre.			
<i>Dysthymia</i>	1-3		
Sporadic and intermittent medical treatment is required, according to DSM-5 or CIE-10 criteria			
<b>Aggravations</b>		<b>Aggravations</b>	
<i>Aggravation or destabilisation of non-traumatic dementia (including senile dementia)</i>	1-25	<i>Identical text</i>	5-25
<i>The aggravation or destabilisation of other mental disorders</i>	1-10	<i>Identical text</i>	1-10

- Jurídics i Formació Especialitzada; 2014. p. 134–52. Available in: [http://justicia.gencat.cat/ca/ambits/formacio\\_reicerca\\_documentacio/controversies-en-valoracio-del-dany-personal](http://justicia.gencat.cat/ca/ambits/formacio_reerca_documentacio/controversies-en-valoracio-del-dany-personal).
4. Idiáquez I, Bernal X, López J, Rebollo MC. Trastorno adaptativo en el ámbito de la valoración del daño corporal. In: Puig L (coord.). *Controversias en valoración del daño corporal*, Instituto de Medicina Legal de Cataluña. Barcelona: Centre d'Estudis Jurídics i Formació Especialitzada; 2014. p. 153–62. Available in: [http://justicia.gencat.cat/ca/ambits/formacio\\_reicerca\\_documentacio/controversies-en-valoracio-del-dany-personal](http://justicia.gencat.cat/ca/ambits/formacio_reicerca_documentacio/controversies-en-valoracio-del-dany-personal).
  5. Casado-Blanco M, Castellano-Arroyo M. Trastorno por estrés postraumático en la práctica médico-legal. *Rev Esp Med Legal*. 2013;39:12–8.
  6. Vicente-Herrero MT, Torres Alberich JI, Ramírez Iñiguez de la Torre MV, Terradillos García MJ, López-González AA, Aguilar Jiménez E. Trastorno de estrés postraumático y trabajo. Criterios de valoración en incapacidad y discapacidad. *Rev Esp Med Legal*. 2014;40:150–60.
  7. El Gobierno modifica el baremo de daños y perjuicios causados en accidentes de circulación [página en internet]. Madrid: Ministerio de Justicia; 2015. Available in: <http://www.mjusticia.gob.es/cs/Satellite/Portal/es/gabinete-comunicacion/noticias-ministerio/gobierno-modifica-baremo> [Updated 15.04.15; cited 06.07.15].
  8. Castaño Monsalve B, Bernabeu Guitart M, López R, Bulbena Vilasar A, Quemada JL. Perfil psicopatológico de pacientes con traumatismo craneoencefálico evaluados mediante el Inventario Neuropsiquiátrico. *Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment (Barc)*. 2012;5:160–6.
  9. Boletín Oficial de las Cortes Generales. Enmiendas e índice de enmiendas al articulado del Proyecto de Ley de reforma del Sistema para la valoración de los daños y perjuicios causados a las personas en accidentes de circulación. Congreso de los Diputados. X Legislatura. Serie A. Núm 143-2, de 29 de junio de 2015; p. 1–144.
  10. Bryant RA, O'Donnell ML, Creamer M, McFarlane AC, Clark CR, Silove D. The psychiatric sequelae of traumatic injury. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010;167:312–20.

Alexandre Xifró <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Antonia Bertomeu <sup>a</sup>, Itziar Idiáquez <sup>a</sup>, Lluïsa Puig <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Secció de Psiquiatria, Servei de Clínica Medicoforense, Institut de Medicina Legal de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Departament de Salut Pública, Facultat de Medicina, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Secció de Valoració del Dany Corporal, Servei de Clínica Medicoforense, Institut de Medicina Legal de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [alexandre.xifro@xij.gencat.cat](mailto:alexandre.xifro@xij.gencat.cat) (A. Xifró).

2173-5050/

© 2015 SEP y SEPB. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

## Comments on differences of gender dysphoria between children and teenagers<sup>☆</sup>



### Comentarios sobre las diferencias de disforia de identidad de género entre niños y adolescentes

Dear Editor,

In connection with the paper by Sánchez Lorenzo et al.<sup>1</sup> on psycho-medical care in cases of gender identity dysphoria during adolescence that was recently published in your journal, we would like to comment on certain key points in this information, centring on the differences between gender identity dysphoria in children as opposed to teenagers that were not raised in the paper.

As the work describes, the development of gender dysphoria in these children and teenagers is variable and uncertain, given that only a few cases will be transsexual in adult life. It is therefore prudent to distinguish between children pre- and post-puberty. In the stage before puberty, prospective and retrospective follow-up studies report a persistence of gender dysphoria into adult age in from 12% to

27% of cases.<sup>2,3</sup> Nevertheless, this datum contrasts with the fact that gender dysphoria rarely changes or disappears in those teenagers whose gender dysphoria commenced during childhood and remained stable following puberty.<sup>4,5</sup> In fact, de Vries et al.,<sup>6</sup> in a longitudinal prospective study of 70 young people with gender dysphoria who had been treated using hormonal suppression, observed that all of them achieved diagnostic stability and progression towards crossed hormone therapy.

Due to all of the above factors, the transition period from pre- to post-puberty is of key importance for teenagers to become aware of whether the gender dysphoria they presented during childhood will persist or cease. A study by Steensma et al.<sup>7</sup> states that from the ages of 10 to 13 years old teenagers were aware of whether their gender dysphoria would remain stable or cease.

Another difference between children and teenagers with gender dysphoria lies in the proportion of sexes in each age group. In clinical terms, in children under the age of 12 years old with gender dysphoria the proportion of men to women varies from 6:1 to 3:1.<sup>8</sup> In teenagers over the age of 12 years old with gender dysphoria the proportion of men to women stands at close to 1:1.<sup>9</sup>

To conclude, we agree with the authors that the evolution of gender identity dysphoria in these children and teenagers is variable and uncertain, that this is a complex clinical entity, that it requires a correct response to the demand expressed by the patient, and that this response must be given by a multidisciplinary team (endocrinology, surgery and mental health). Likewise, we consider it to be important to take into account the differences between indi-

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Basterra Gortari V, Ruiz Ruiz R. Comentarios sobre las diferencias de disforia de identidad de género entre niños y adolescentes. *Rev Psiquiatr Salud Mental (Barc)*. 2016;9:233–234.