



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Adaptation of the Hinting Task theory of the mind test to Spanish[☆]

David Gil*, Mar Fernández-Modamio, Rosario Bengochea, Marta Arrieta

Centro Hospitalario Padre Menni, Santander, Cantabria, Spain

Received 14 June 2011; accepted 30 November 2011

Available online 19 June 2012

KEYWORDS

Theory of mind;
Assessment;
Schizophrenia;
Social cognition

Abstract

Introduction: Many studies have found that patients with schizophrenia have a deficit in theory of mind. Some authors associate this deficit with the presence of symptoms, while others maintain that it can also be observed in patients in the remission phase. There is no reference test to assess theory of mind in schizophrenia, although one of the most used is the Hinting Task. The aim of the present study consists of adapting and validating, in Spanish, the 10 histories that make up this test.

Material and methods: The study was conducted on a sample of 39 control subjects and 40 patients with schizophrenia. The internal consistency and the between-observer reliability and test–retest were assessed in both sample groups. The performance of the patients and control subjects were also compared.

Results: Good reliability data was obtained in the inter-observer and test–retest in the two samples. On the other hand, the internal consistency was somewhat low for all of the 10 histories. For this reason, and starting from a previous study, a reduced version of 5 histories was prepared, which showed good internal consistency. The patients with schizophrenia obtained a significantly lower score than the control subjects in 8 out of the 10 histories.

Conclusions: The reduced Spanish version of the Hinting Task demonstrated good psychometric properties. When compared to the control group, the patients with schizophrenia had a deficit in theory of mind.

© 2011 SEP y SEPB. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Teoría de la mente;
Evaluación;
Esquizofrenia;
Cognición social

Adaptación al español de la prueba de teoría de la mente *Hinting Task*

Resumen

Introducción: Numerosos estudios han encontrado que los pacientes con esquizofrenia realizan un manejo deficitario de la teoría de la mente. Algunos autores relacionan este déficit con la presencia de sintomatología, mientras que otros mantienen que también es observable en pacientes en fase de remisión. No existe una prueba de referencia para evaluar la teoría

[☆] Please cite this article as: Gil D, et al. Adaptación al español de la prueba de teoría de la mente *Hinting Task*. Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment (Barc.). 2012;5:79–88.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: crpsantpsico1@mennisant.com (D. Gil).

de la mente en esquizofrenia, si bien una de las más utilizadas es el *Hinting Task*. El objetivo del presente estudio consiste en adaptar y validar en español las 10 historias que componen esta prueba.

Material y métodos: El estudio se ha desarrollado con una muestra de 39 sujetos control y 40 pacientes con esquizofrenia. En ambas muestras se ha valorado la consistencia interna y la fiabilidad entre observadores y test-retest. Asimismo, se ha comparado el rendimiento de pacientes y sujetos control.

Resultados: Se obtuvieron buenos datos de fiabilidad entre observadores y test-retest en las dos muestras. Por su parte, la consistencia interna resultó algo baja para el total de las 10 historias. Por este motivo, y partiendo de un estudio anterior, se elaboró una versión reducida de 5 historias, que mostró una buena consistencia interna. Los pacientes con esquizofrenia obtuvieron una puntuación significativamente menor que los sujetos control en 8 de las 10 historias.

Conclusiones: La versión reducida en español del *Hinting Task* mostró buenas propiedades psicométricas. En comparación con el grupo control, los pacientes con esquizofrenia presentaron un déficit en teoría de la mente.

© 2011 SEP y SEPB. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Social cognition is defined as the set of abilities necessary to function effectively in social interactions.¹ It has an adaptive function that allows us more flexibility in the way we act in social situations, considering the people involved and the context in which said situations might occur. Most authors agree that there are 5 specific cognitive processes that integrate social cognition: emotional processing, theory of mind, attributional style, social perception and social knowledge.²

Emotional processing is defined as the capacity to perceive, understand and appropriately manage emotions. Theory of mind is understood as the ability to characterise the mental states of other people—like their thoughts, beliefs and intentions—and to consider them in explaining or predicting their behaviour.³ Attributional style refers to the causes through which a person explains the occurrence of positive or negative events. Finally, the processes of social perception and social knowledge are closely interrelated; the former refers to the capacity to value rules and social roles and to integrate them into context, while social knowledge is defined as the capacity to apply those elements in a specific social situation—a certain context of interaction (for more detailed definitions of these processes and their relevance to schizophrenia, consult the Ruiz et al.'s² article).

Studies performed concerning social cognition in schizophrenia have been centred, above all, on evaluating emotional processing and theory of mind. The data obtained indicate that, compared to control subjects, patients with schizophrenia display impairment of these abilities, which leads to poor psychosocial functioning.^{4,5} Likewise, it seems that there is a specific deficit in identifying negative emotions, as differences in recognising positive emotions—and more specifically, perceiving happiness—were not observed between the 2 groups.⁶ On the other hand, the majority of studies carried out regarding theory of mind conclude that it is a characteristic impairment involved in schizophrenia, as it has been observed in subjects with high risk of developing psychosis,^{7,8} subjects in their first episodes,⁹ and

immediate family members who have yet to receive a psychiatric diagnosis. Thus, some authors conclude that it could be an endophenotype of the disease.^{7,10}

Nonetheless, despite the results previously mentioned, there is a current controversy over whether theory of mind deficit can be considered a stable trait of schizophrenia, or if it involves instead a variable state that improves when symptomatology has subsided, mainly positive symptoms.¹¹ In his most recent research, Frith^{12,13} tended to agree with the latter, and concluded that theory of mind task performance improves when positive symptoms subside. Safarti and Hardy-Baylé¹⁴ also support the hypothesis that theory of mind impairment is a transient state, and they relate it to symptoms of disorganisation, like incoherent speech or disorganised thought. Drury et al.¹⁵ arrived at the same conclusion in a study where they found that patients with schizophrenia showed worse theory of mind task performance than patients without schizophrenia, but only in the acute phase and not when the crisis had stabilised. Based on this conclusion, they question whether there is a real theory of mind impairment, as it might be an epiphenomenon in the acute phase of the illness. In the same vein, Pousa et al.¹⁶ found impairment only in patients with active symptomatology, while those in the remission phase demonstrated the same performance in second order tasks as control subjects.

Contrary to this idea, various authors uphold that theory of mind deficit constitutes a stable trait in patients with schizophrenia, that it is also observed in remission phases and in the absence of positive symptomatology.^{17,18} In a review performed by Brüne,¹⁹ this author includes various studies^{20–22} that provide sufficient data to consider that theory of mind deficit is independent of the chronicity or of the acute phase of the illness. In a later study, Bora et al.²³ found that patients with positive symptoms displayed greater difficulties than those without positive symptomatology in making inferences about mental states of other people. In addition, these patients performed significantly worse in theory of mind tests than control subjects. In light of these data, these authors also concluded that theory of mind impairment is present in patients in the remission

phase and is therefore a stable trait of schizophrenia. Herold et al.²¹ expanded this idea, and maintained that patients without positive symptoms only show difficulties with second order tasks (understanding irony), but they achieve good results in first order tasks (understanding metaphors). Thus, comprehension of second order theory of mind tasks becomes the stable deficit.

On the other hand, various studies have found a relation between theory of mind deficit and impairment in other neurocognitive functions (understood as they are presented in the Salvador-Carulla and Aguilar's article²⁴) like memory, executive functioning or the presence of general cognitive impairment.^{12,23,25,26} In light of these results, there are authors who conclude that it is unclear whether patients with schizophrenia display a specific theory of mind impairment or, instead, if the observed deficit in their capacity to understand and interpret the intentions of other people is the consequence of impairment of basic cognitive functions, which in turn would affect their comprehension of the materials involved in the tests used to assess theory of mind in schizophrenia. In the opinion of Bora et al.²³ maintaining and later manipulating information are abilities necessary to successfully complete theory of mind tasks. This conclusion is in agreement with that argued by McCabe,²⁷ who affirmed that patients with schizophrenia do not present problems in comprehending others' intentions in real interactions in daily life. For this author, it would be recommendable for theory of mind assessments to be carried out through observations in a natural context.

Finally, it should be pointed out that adequate theory of mind management has been related to patients' social competence and functional performance.^{18,19} Using a regression model, Roncone et al.²⁸ determined that the capacity to represent the mental states of other people was among the best predictors of good social functioning, together with recent onset of illness, good verbal fluency and low levels of positive or negative symptomatology. Based on these results, these authors concluded that it would be necessary to include theory of mind measurements in neuropsychological evaluations of patients, moving toward designing programmes that focus on this aspect and so increase the probability of good social adjustment.

Despite the growing importance that social cognition has acquired in the last few years in general—and that theory of mind has acquired in particular—there is no broad consensus concerning the most appropriate evaluative tests for this area. In 2002, the initiative Measurement and Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in Schizophrenia (MATRICS) was started. One of its objectives was to design a neuropsychological battery that would serve as a reference for evaluating deficits of cognitive characteristics in schizophrenia. To evaluate social cognition, the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test²⁹ was selected. However, of the 5 cognitive processes that make up social cognition, this test is centred on evaluating emotional processing, which does not specifically evaluate the ability to make inferences about the intentions of others, which would be more akin to theory of mind tests. With the purpose of more precisely evaluating this process, many authors have designed tests that include understanding metaphors, jokes, hints, irony, tricks, blunders or false beliefs (some of these tests are detailed in Table 1), all the abilities that

Table 1 Tests that evaluate theory of mind.

Authors	Test
Baron-Cohen ³⁰	"Sally and Anne", first order false-belief task "The ice cream man", second order false-belief task
Happé ³¹	Stories that include metaphors, sarcasm and irony
Happé and Frith ³²	"The cigarettes", first order false-belief task "The thief", second order false-belief task
Corcoran et al. ³³	Hinting Task
Baron-Cohen ³⁴	Joke comprehension
Stone et al. ³⁵	<i>Faux pas</i> comprehension (blunders)
Adolphs ³⁶	Humorous vignettes
Adachi et al. ³⁷	Metaphor and Sarcasm Scenario Test

are integrated into theory of mind. However, as Rodríguez Sosa and Touriño González³⁸ noted, the problem is that most tests lack psychometric validation and were developed by authors for their specific use. The use of these tests in our context presents an added difficulty in their validation and adaptation to Spanish.

One of the most-used tests in the references for evaluating theory of mind is the Hinting Task, a test created by Corcoran et al.³³ for their specific use in schizophrenia and with good psychometric properties.³⁹⁻⁴¹ The Hinting Task is a test that includes 10 brief stories—with the objective of reducing the interference of possible memory impairment or verbal comprehension—that the evaluator can read to the subjects as many times as needed to assure a correct understanding of them. All of the stories have 2 characters and, at the end of each story, one of the characters drops a fairly clear hint. The subject is asked what the character in the story really wanted to say with the comment he or she made. If the subject responds correctly, they receive 2 points; if not, information is added to make the hint clearer. If the subject responds correctly on this occasion, 1 point is given. An incorrect response amounts to a 0. The total test score ranges from 0 to 20.

The objective of the present study consisted of evaluating the reliability of the Spanish adaptation of the Hinting Task, as well as comparing the performance in said task of control subjects and stabilised patients of long-term evolution.

Methods

The adaptation and validation of the Hinting Task was developed in 3 phases. In the first phase, 3 professionals, specialising in mental health, independently translated the original test from English to Spanish. Each translation was compared and 1 version was selected for the test (see Appendix A). Then, a person isolated from the first group of translators performed a back-translation of the selected version. Both the Spanish version and the back-translated

Table 2 Sample characteristics.

Sociodemographic characteristics	Control subjects (n = 39)	Patients (n = 40)	Difference
Sex			
Male	17 (53.6%)	23 (57.5%)	
Female	22 (56.4%)	17 (42.5%)	
Age			
18–29	8 (20.5%)	1 (2.5%)	
30–39	21 (53.8%)	17 (42.5%)	
40–49	9 (23.1%)	11 (27.5%)	
≥50	1 (2.6%)	11 (27.5%)	
Educational level			
Primary	1 (2.6%)	16 (40%)	$\chi^2 = 18.720$
Secondary	25 (64.1%)	12 (30%)	$P < .001$
University	13 (33.3%)	12 (30%)	
Mean age	35.86 ± 7.28	42.38 ± 9.81	$t = -3.286 P = .002$
Clinical characteristics			
Age at onset of illness			25.74 ± 7.72
Years of evolution			14.26 ± 9.42
Years of evolution by group			
<5			8 (20%)
5–10			10 (25%)
>10			22 (55%)
PANSS score^a			
Positive scale (range 7–49)			11.40 ± 5.58
Negative scale (7–49)			16.45 ± 8.94
General psychopathology (16–112)			26.70 ± 8.94
Total score (30–210)			54.55 ± 14.82

^a Positive and negative syndrome scale for schizophrenia.

English version were supervised and approved by Dr. Corcoran, one of the authors of the original test.

Once this process was finished, in the second phase of the study the test was administered to 39 control subjects, workers from the Padre Menni Hospital Centre (the sample's characteristics are provided in Table 2). Internal stability was evaluated by using Cronbach's alpha coefficient, and inter-rater and test-retest reliability were assessed by using the kappa index. The 2 administrations of the test, both in this case and that of the patient sample, were carried out 15 days apart.

Once the psychometric properties of the control subjects were analysed, the Hinting Task was given to the group of patients with schizophrenia (see Table 2) and internal stability, inter-rater and test-retest reliability were assessed. Finally, scores between the control subjects and the patients with schizophrenia were compared in each of the 10 stories in the test, as well as the total score.

The patient sample was composed of 40 subjects diagnosed with schizophrenia that were referred to the Padre Menni Psychosocial Rehabilitation Centre of Santander by a psychiatrist in the Health System of Cantabria. At the moment of the study, all the patients were treated with antipsychotic medication. The clinical characteristics of the sample are specified in Table 2. As observed in the table, the entire sample is characterised by long-term evolution of the illness and a low level of symptomatology.

Both the control subjects and the patients signed an informed consent document giving their authorisation to be part of the study.

Results

In the control group, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.64. Inter-rater reliability was above 0.80 for all stories (see Table 3). In story 4, inter-rater reliability could not be assessed since both evaluators gave all subjects a 2 for this story. This also affected the calculation of test-retest reliability, as once again, all subjects scored a 2 in both the first and second administration. The test-retest reliability values for the rest of the stories are shown in Table 4. As observed in the table, the test-retest reliability measure was equal to or greater than 0.70 for all the stories.

In the group of patients with schizophrenia, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.69. In Tables 3 and 4, the inter-rater and test-retest reliability results are shown, respectively, as well as the internal stability values. As can be seen in the tables, inter-rater reliability was also more than 0.80 for all the stories, and test-retest reliability was equal to or greater than 0.70.

Finally, the comparison between control subjects and patients with schizophrenia (see Table 5) showed that –except for the first and the last stories– there were

Table 3 Inter-rater reliability.

	Control group			Patient group		
	Kappa value	Confidence interval	Standard error	Kappa value	Confidence interval	Standard error
Story 1	1		0	0.82	0.65–0.98	0.08
Story 2	1		0	0.89	0.76–1.04	0.07
Story 3	1		0	1		0
Story 4	a*			1		0
Story 5	1		0	1		0
Story 6	0.89	0.69–1.09	0.10	0.96	0.88–1.04	0.04
Story 7	1		0	0.96	0.88–1.04	0.04
Story 8	0.85	0.69–1.01	0.081	0.92	0.81–1.02	0.05
Story 9	1		0	0.96	0.88–1.04	0.04
Story 10	1		0	1		0
Total score – 10 stories	0.83	0.69–0.97	0.07	0.85	0.72–0.97	0.06
Total score – 5 stories (stories 2, 3, 6, 7 and 9)	0.91	0.78–1.03	0.06	0.94	0.85–1.02	0.04

a*: variance equal to 0.

significant differences between both samples in all the stories that compose the Hinting Task, as well as in the total test scores. In the case of the first story, it is emphasised that both control subjects and patients had low scores. In the last story, the opposite occurred. Likewise, in story 8, despite there being a significant difference between control subjects and patients, the subjects from the control group also had worse results than in the rest of the stories.

In light of the results above, and based on a previous study,²² a reduced version of the Hinting Task was selected,

composed of 5 stories. Story 6 was added to the 4 stories used in the study referenced (stories 2, 3, 7 and 9), since it had the greatest difference in the present study between control subjects and patients. The reduced version had an internal stability of 0.73 for the control subjects and 0.78 for the patients. Similarly, the 5-story version showed a high correlation with the complete test in both control subjects ($r = .751$, $P < .001$) and the patients ($r = .911$, $P < .001$).

The control subjects and the patients with schizophrenia presented significant differences regarding mean

Table 4 Test–retest reliability.

	Control group			Patient group		
	Kappa value	Confidence interval	Standard error	Kappa value	Confidence interval	Standard error
Story 1	0.72	0.52–0.93	0.10	0.75	0.49–1.01	0.13
Story 2	0.72	0.42–1.02	0.15	0.73	0.45–1.01	0.14
Story 3	1		0	0.85	0.65–1.05	0.10
Story 4	a*			0.82	0.58–1.06	0.12
Story 5	1		0	0.75	0.48–1.01	0.13
Story 6	0.77	0.46–1.08	0.16	0.80	0.59–1.01	0.11
Story 7	0.95	0.85–1.05	0.05	0.92	0.76–1.08	0.08
Story 8	0.70	0.49–0.92	0.11	0.70	0.44–0.97	0.13
Story 9	0.77	0.46–1.08	0.16	0.70	0.42–0.97	0.14
Story 10	1		0	0.82	0.57–1.06	0.12
Total score – 10 stories	0.79	0.64–0.95	0.08	0.77	0.57–0.97	0.10
Total score – 5 stories (stories 2, 3, 6, 7 and 9)	0.81	0.63–0.99	0.09	0.83	0.67–1.00	0.09
Internal stability						
	Control group			Patient group		
10-Story version	$\alpha = 0.64$			$\alpha = 0.69$		
5-Story version	$\alpha = 0.73$			$\alpha = 0.78$		

a*: variance equal to 0.

Table 5 Comparison between control subjects and patients with schizophrenia and total score in the 10-story Hinting Task.

	Control subjects (mean \pm SD)	Patients (mean \pm SD)	<i>t</i> value
Story 1	1.36 \pm 0.58	1.18 \pm 0.59	1387
Story 2	1.79 \pm 0.41	1.50 \pm 0.75	2159 ^a
Story 3	1.95 \pm 0.32	1.65 \pm 0.74	2330 ^a
Story 4	2	1.85 \pm 0.36	2590 ^a
Story 5	1.97 \pm 0.16	1.78 \pm 0.58	2081 ^a
Story 6	1.85 \pm 0.37	1.18 \pm 0.71	5250 ^b
Story 7	1.97 \pm 0.16	1.80 \pm 0.41	2504 ^a
Story 8	1.31 \pm 0.57	1 \pm 0.72	2111 ^a
Story 9	1.85 \pm 0.37	1.38 \pm 0.70	3715 ^b
Story 10	1.97 \pm 0.16	1.85 \pm 0.43	1707
Total score – 10 stories	18.03 \pm 1.39	15.15 \pm 2.83	5707 ^b
Total score – 5 stories (stories 2, 3, 6, 7 and 9)	9.41 \pm 0.85	7.50 \pm 2.02	5441 ^b

^a $P < .05$.^b $P < .001$.

age ($t = -3.286$, $df = 75$, $P = .002$) and educational level ($\chi^2 = 18.720$, $P < .001$). However, neither group showed significant differences related to age, educational level or sex in the results of the Hinting Task. In particular, in the control group, these variables were as follows: age ($F = 0.792$, $df = 3$, $P = .507$), educational level ($F = 1.376$, $df = 2$, $P = .265$) and sex ($t = 0.360$, $df = 37$, $P = .721$). In the patient group, the following values were obtained: age ($F = 0.115$, $df = 3$, $P = .951$), educational level ($F = 2.887$, $df = 2$, $P = .069$) and sex ($t = -1.186$, $df = 38$, $P = .823$).

Conclusions

Given the importance that social cognition has acquired in schizophrenia over recent years, it is useful to rely on an instrument in Spanish to be able to evaluate cognitive function as a whole, or the specific processes involved within it, like theory of mind. In this study, psychometric properties of 2 Spanish-adapted versions of the Hinting Task were analysed: 1 that included the 10 original stories and a reduced version composed of 5 stories. The 10 stories that form the test have good inter-rater and test-retest reliability data in both the control group and the patient group. However, Cronbach's alpha data suggest that the reduced version should be used instead of the complete test, because the latter had a lower internal stability index. In light of these data, the 10-story version does not seem to be an adequate measure for theory of mind evaluation, while the 5-story version does. On the other hand, it should also be considered that reducing from 10 to 5 stories might decrease the sensitivity of the test.

As indicated previously, the reduced version is composed of the stories used in the Janssen et al. study²² (stories 2, 3 7 and 9, which have also shown a significant difference in the present study between control subjects and patients with schizophrenia), plus story 6, as it demonstrated the greatest difference between both groups. The remaining 5 stories are excluded from the reduced version. Stories 1 and 10 were not included, as they did not show a significant difference between control subjects and patients with schizophrenia, consequently making them less discriminatory

for either group. Stories 4 and 8 were excluded because, despite there being significant differences, in the former the patients obtained a high mean score (1.85), while in the latter the control subjects achieved a very low mean score (1.31). Finally, including story 5 in the reduced version did not maintain internal stability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.71).

The results in respect to the sociodemographic variables in both samples indicated that neither educational level nor age significantly influenced the capacity to understand the intentions of others, confirming previous research results.¹⁷

The mean scores obtained by the control subjects and the patients with schizophrenia were similar to those found in other studies.^{26,33} Likewise, the comparison between control subjects and patients showed that the latter achieved a significantly lower total score than the control subjects in both the 10-story and 5-story versions. In agreement with previous studies,^{19,21–23,33} these results indicate that, on the whole, patients with schizophrenia display difficulties in making inferences about the mental states of other people. As noted in Table 2, patients included in the sample used were generally characterised by a low symptomatology profile, which could support the idea that theory of mind deficit is a stable trait in schizophrenia, as it is also observed in stabilised patients of long-term evolution.^{20–22} However, to confirm this conclusion, it would be necessary to perform a more detailed analysis of the results obtained, evaluating the possible difference between patients, considering their scores in the items that compose the positive scale of the PANSS. Similarly, as this is a cross-sectional study, whether the conclusion includes patients in the remission phase cannot be adequately assessed. This is also a question to be considered in future studies in order to provide more conclusive data.

It is important to emphasise that 25% of the sample (10 patients) performed similarly to the control subjects. It would be interesting to analyse these data in more detail in future studies with the objective of evaluating the differences between patients who demonstrate good performance in the Hinting Task and those who do not, and seeing which aspects may be influencing the preservation of this ability. To this end, it would be necessary to evaluate a relation between theory of mind and another series of important

variables in schizophrenia, like symptomatology, years of evolution of the illness, neuropsychological functioning and psychosocial impairment.

Finally, it would also be recommended to repeat the psychometric analyses performed with the Hinting Task with a broader sample of control subjects and patients.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that the procedures followed were in accordance with the regulations of the responsible Clinical Research Ethics Committee and in accordance with those of the World Medical Association and the Helsinki Declaration.

Confidentiality of Data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work centre on the publication of patient data and that all the patients included in the study have received sufficient information and have given their informed consent in writing to participate in that study.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the informed consent of the patients and/or subjects mentioned in the article. The author for correspondence is in possession of this document.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank philologist Sandra Peredo for her work in the back-translation of the Hinting Task.

Appendix A. Hinting Task

A.1. Instructions

I am going to read a total of 10 stories in which 2 people appear. Each story ends with 1 of the people saying something. When I have finished reading the stories, I am going to ask you questions about what the character said.

This is the first story. Listen closely.

Story	Literal Response 1 and score	Literal Response 2 and score
Long, hot trip		
Dirty bathroom		
Sweet		
Wrinkled shirt		
No cash!		
Work project		
Birthday gift		
Decorations		
Train set		
Heavy luggage		

A.2. Scoring

A.2.1. Story 1

George arrives at Angela's office after a long, hot trip on the motorway. Angela immediately begins to talk about some business ideas. George interrupts Angela to say:

"Ugh, it has been a long, hot trip on the motorway."

ASK: What does George really want to say when he says this?

A.2.1.1. Literal response. Response criteria: George wants to say "Can I have something to drink?" or "Can I take a few minutes to rest after my trip before we start talking about business?" This response would be given a 2; then move on to the next story.

If a correct response is not given with the first hint (for example, the subject only says "He wants to say exactly what he says"), then the next part of the hint is introduced:

ADD: George continues to say, "I am thirsty."

ASK: What does George want Angela to do?

A.2.1.2. Literal response. Response criteria: George wants Angela to offer him a drink. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

A.2.2. Story 2

Marissa is going to the bathroom to have a shower. Anna just had a bath. When Marissa realises that the bathroom is dirty, she calls Anna and says:

"Couldn't you find the cleaner, Anna?"

ASK: What does Marissa really want to say when she says this?

A.2.2.1. Literal response. Response criteria: Marissa wants to say "Why didn't you clean the bathroom?" or "Go and clean the bathroom now." This response would be given a 2; then move on to the next story.

If the subject does not give the correct response, ADD: Marissa continues to say, "Sometimes you're very lazy, Anna."

ASK: What does Marissa want Anna to do?

A.2.2.2. Literal response. Response criteria: Marissa wants Anna to clean the bathroom. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

A.2.3. Story 3

Jacob is going to the supermarket with his mother. They arrive at the sweets section and Jacob says:

"Look, those sweets look very good."

ASK: What does Jacob really want to say when he says this?

A.2.3.1. Literal response. Response criteria: Jacob wants to say "Please, mum, buy me some sweets." This response would be scored as a 2; then move on to the next story.

If the subject does not give the correct response, ADD: Jacob continues to say, "I'm hungry, mum."

ASK: What does Jacob want his mother to do?

A.2.3.2. Literal response. Response criteria: Jacob wants his mother to buy him some sweets. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

A.2.4. Story 4

Paul has to go to an interview and he is running late. While he is cleaning his shoes, he says to his wife, Julia:

“I want to wear this blue shirt, but it’s very wrinkled.”

ASK: What does Paul really want to say when he says this?

A.2.4.1. Literal response. Response criteria: Paul wants to say “Could you iron the shirt for me, please?” This response would be scored as a 2; then move on to the next story.

If the subject does not give the correct response, ADD: Paul continues to say, “It’s in the basket of clothes to be ironed.”

ASK: What does Paul want Julia to do?

A.2.4.2. Literal response. Response criteria: Paul wants Julia to iron his shirt. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

A.2.5. Story 5

Lucy has no money, but she wants to go out tonight. Lucy knows that David just got paid. She says to him:

“I don’t have any money; things are so expensive nowadays.”

ASK: What does Lucy really want to say when she says this?

A.2.5.1. Literal response. Response criteria: Lucy wants to say “Can you loan me some money, David? or “Would you like to take me out tonight?” This response would be scored as a 2; then move on to the next story.

If the subject does not give the correct response, ADD: Lucy continues to say, “Well, I guess I’ll have to stay in tonight.”

ASK: What does Lucy want David to do?

A.2.5.2. Literal response. Response criteria: Lucy wants David to loan her money, or to take her out. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

A.2.6. Story 6

Daniel wants to conduct a work project but Richard, his boss, has asked someone else to do it. Daniel says to him:

“What a shame. I’m not very busy at the moment.”

ASK: What does Daniel really want to say when he says this?

A.2.6.1. Literal response. Response criteria: Daniel wants to say “Please, Richard, change your mind and give the project to me.” This response would be scored as a 2; then move on to the next story.

If the subject does not give the correct response, ADD: Daniel continues to say, “This project is ideal for me.”

ASK: What does Daniel want Richard to do?

A.2.6.2. Literal response. Response criteria: Daniel wants Richard to change his mind and give him the project. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

A.2.7. Story 7

Rebecca’s birthday is coming up soon. She says to her father:

“I love animals, and especially dogs.”

ASK: What does Rebecca really want to say when she says this?

A.2.7.1. Literal response. Response criteria: Rebecca wants to say “Dad, would you buy me a dog for my birthday?” This response would be scored as a 2; then move on to the next story.

If the subject does not give the correct response, ADD: Rebecca continues to say, “Dad, will the pet store be open on my birthday?”

ASK: What does Rebecca want her father to do?

A.2.7.2. Literal response. Response criteria: Rebecca wants her father to buy her a dog for her birthday, or she wants him to buy a dog. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

A.2.8. Story 8

Beatrice and Michael moved to their new house a week ago. Beatrice has been unpacking some decorations. She says to Michael:

“Michael, did you unpack those shelves we bought?”

ASK: What does Beatrice really want to say when she says this?

A.2.8.1. Literal response. Response criteria: Beatrice wants to say “Could you put up the shelves now, please?” This response would be scored as a 2; then move on to the next story.

If the subject does not give the correct response, ADD: Beatrice continues to say, “If you want something done, you should do it yourself.”

ASK: What does Beatrice want Michael to do?

A.2.8.2. Literal response. Response criteria: Beatrice wants Michael to put up the shelves. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

A.2.9. Story 9

Jessica and Manny are playing with a train set. Jessica has the blue train and Manny has the red train. Jessica says to Manny:

“I don’t like this train.”

ASK: What does Jessica really want to say when she says this?

A.2.9.1. Literal response. Response criteria: Jessica wants to say “I want your train, and you can have mine.” This response would be scored as a 2; then move on to the next story.

If the subject does not give the correct response, ADD: Jessica continues to say, “Red is my favourite colour.”

ASK: What does Jessica want Manny to do?

A.2.9.2. Literal response. Response criteria: Jessica wants him to exchange trains. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

A.2.10. Story 10

Patricia just got off the train with 3 heavy suitcases. John is behind her. Patricia says to John:

“Wow, these suitcases are a bother.”

ASK: What does Patricia really want to say when she says this?

A.2.10.1. Literal response. Response criteria: Patricia wants to say "Could you help me with my luggage, please?" This response would be scored as a 2; the test is finished.

If the subject does not give the correct response, ADD: Patricia continues to say, "I don't know if I can manage 3 of them."

ASK: What does Patricia want John to do?

A.2.10.2. Literal response. Response criteria: Patricia wants John to help her with the suitcases. This response would receive 1 point. Any other response would be a 0.

References

- Kunda Z. Social cognition. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1999.
- Ruiz JC, García S, Fuentes I. La relevancia de la cognición social en la esquizofrenia. *Apuntes Psicol.* 2006;24:137-55.
- Premack D, Woodruff G. Chimpanzee problem-solving: a test for comprehension. *Science.* 1978;202:532-5.
- Couture SM, Penn DL, Roberts DL. The functional significance of social cognition in schizophrenia: a review. *Schizophr Bull.* 2006;32:S44-63.
- Brekke JS, Hoe M, Long J, Green MF. How neurocognition and social cognition influence functional change during community-based psychosocial rehabilitation for individuals with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 2007;33:1247-56.
- Bediou B, Franck N, Saoud M, Baudouin JY, Tiberghien G, Daléry J, et al. Effects of emotion and identity on facial affect processing in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 2005;133:149-57.
- Janssen I, Krabbendam L, Jolles J, Van Os J. Alterations in theory of mind in patients with schizophrenia and non-psychotic relatives. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2003;108:110-7.
- Chung YS, Kang DH, Shin NY, Yoo SY, Kwon SY. Deficit of theory of mind in individuals at ultra-high-risk for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 2008;99:111-8.
- Koelkebeck K, Pedersen A, Suslow T, Kueppers KA, Arolt V, Ohrmann P. Theory of mind in first-episode schizophrenia patients: correlations with cognition and personality traits. *Schizophr Res.* 2010;119:115-23.
- Irani F, Platek SM, Panyavin IS, Calkins ME, Kohler C, Siegel SJ, et al. Self-face recognition and theory of mind in patients with schizophrenia and first-degree relatives. *Schizophr Res.* 2006;88:151-60.
- Rodríguez Sosa JT, Acosta Ojeda M, Rodríguez del Rosario L. Teoría de la mente, reconocimiento facial y procesamiento emocional en esquizofrenia. *Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment.* 2011;4:28-37.
- Frith CD, Corcoran R. Exploring theory of mind in people with schizophrenia. *Psychol Med.* 1996;26:521-30.
- Pickup GJ, Frith CD. Theory of mind impairments in schizophrenia: symptomatology, severity and specificity. *Psychol Med.* 2001;31:207-20.
- Sarfati Y, Hardy-Baylé MC. How do people with schizophrenia explain the behaviour of others? A study of theory of mind and its relationship to thought and speech disorganization in schizophrenia. *Psychol Med.* 1999;29:613-20.
- Drury VM, Robinson EJ, Birchwood M. Theory of mind skills during an acute episode of psychosis and following recovery. *Psychol Med.* 1998;28:1101-12.
- Pousa E, Duño R, Brébion G, David AS, Ruíz AI, Obiols JE. Theory of mind deficits in chronic schizophrenia: evidence for state dependence. *Psychiatry Res.* 2008;158:1-10.
- Sprong M, Schothorst P, Vos E, Hox J, Van Engeland H. Theory of mind in schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2007;191:5-13.
- Duño R, Pousa E, Migueles M, Ruíz A, Langohr K, Tobeña A. Ajuste premórbido pobre vinculado al deterioro en habilidades de teoría de la mente: estudio en pacientes esquizofrénicos estabilizados. *Rev Neurol.* 2008;47:242-6.
- Brüne M. Theory of mind in schizophrenia: a review of the literature. *Schizophr Bull.* 2005;31:21-42.
- Wykes T, Hamid S, Wagstaff K. Theory of mind and executive functions in the non-psychotic siblings of patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 2001;49 Suppl:148.
- Herold R, Tényi T, Lénárd K, Trixler M. Theory of mind deficit in people with schizophrenia during remission. *Psychol Med.* 2002;32:1125-9.
- Janssen I, Krabbendam L, Jolles J, Van Os J. Alterations in theory of mind in patients with schizophrenia and non-psychotic relatives. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2003;108:110-7.
- Bora E, Gökçen S, Kayahan B, Veznedaroglu B. Deficits of social-cognitive and social-perceptual aspects of theory of mind in remitted patients with schizophrenia. Effect of residual symptoms. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 2008;196:95-9.
- Salvador-Carulla L, Aguilera F. El uso del término cognitivo en la terminología de salud. Una controversia latente. *Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment.* 2010;3:137-44.
- Greig TC, Bryson GJ, Bell MD. Theory of mind performance in schizophrenia: diagnostic, symptom, and neuropsychological correlates. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 2004;192:12-8.
- Corcoran R, Frith CD. Thematic reasoning and theory of mind. Accounting for social inference difficulties in schizophrenia. *Evol Psychol.* 2005;3:1-19.
- McCabe R, Leudar I, Antaki C. Do people with schizophrenia display theory of mind deficits in clinical interactions? *Psychol Med.* 2004;34:401-12.
- Roncione R, Falloon IR, Mazza M, De Risio A, Pollice R, Necozone S, et al. Is theory of mind in schizophrenia more strongly associated with clinical and social functioning than with neurocognitive deficits. *Psychopathology.* 2002;35:280-8.
- Mayer JD, Salovey P, Caruso DR. Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT). Toronto, Ontario: Multi-Health Systems, Inc.; 2002.
- Baron-Cohen S. The autistic child's theory of mind: a case of specific developmental delay. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 1989;30:285-97.
- Happé F. An advanced test of theory of mind: understanding of story characters' thoughts and feelings by able autistic, mentally handicapped, and normal children and adults. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1994;24:129-54.
- Happé F, Frith U. Theory of mind in autism. In: Schopler E, Mesiboy G, editors. *Learning and cognition in autism.* New York: Plenum Press; 1994.
- Corcoran R, Mercer G, Frith CD. Schizophrenia, symptomatology and social inference: investigating theory of mind in people with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 1995;17:5-13.
- Baron-Cohen S. Hey! It was just a joke! Understanding propositions and propositional attitudes by normally developing children and children with autism. *Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci.* 1997;34:174-8.
- Stone V, Baron-Cohen S, Knoght R. Frontal lobe contributions to theory of mind. *J Cogn Neurosci.* 1998;10:640-56.
- Adolphs R. Cognitive neuroscience of human social behaviour. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2003;4:165-78.
- Adachi T, Koeda T, Hirabayashi S, Maeoka Y, Shiota M, Wright EC, et al. The metaphor and sarcasm scenario test: a new instrument to help differentiate high functioning pervasive developmental disorder from attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Brain Dev.* 2004;26:301-6.
- Rodríguez Sosa JT, Touriño González R. Cognición social en la esquizofrenia: una revisión del concepto. *Archivos de psiquiatría.* 2010;73:9.

39. Corcoran R. Theory of mind in schizophrenia. In: Penn DL, Corrigan PW, editors. *Social cognition and schizophrenia*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2001. p. 149–74.
40. Greig TC, Nicholls SS, Wexler BE, Bell MD. Test–retest stability of neuropsychological testing and individual differences in variability in schizophrenia outpatients. *Psychiatry Res.* 2004;129:241–7.
41. Marjoram D, Gardner C, Burns J, Miller P, Lawrie SM, Johnstone EC. Symptomatology and social inference: a theory of mind study of schizophrenia and psychotic affective disorder. *Cogn Neuropsychiatry.* 2005;10:347–59.