



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Home-based intravenous analgesia with elastomeric pump as an outpatient procedure for pain control after anterior cruciate ligament repair[☆]

J. Villalba^{a,*}, J. Peñalver^a, P. Torner^a, M. Serra^b, J. Planell^b

^a Corporación Sanitaria Parc Taulí, Servicio de Cirugía Ortopédica y Traumatología, Hospital de Sabadell, Institut Universitari Parc Taulí, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Sabadell, Spain

^b Corporación Sanitaria Parc Taulí, Servicio de Anestesiología, Hospital de Sabadell, Institut Universitari Parc Taulí, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Sabadell, Spain

Received 14 February 2017; accepted 3 July 2017

KEYWORDS

Anterior cruciate
ligament;
Outpatient
treatment;
Elastomeric pump

Abstract

Objective: To follow up pain in the immediate postoperative period, using an elastomeric pump in anterior cruciate ligament surgery.

Material and methods: 309 patients who had undergone anterior cruciate ligament repair with bone-tendon-bone allograft. Pain control was assessed with a visual analogue scale (VAS) during the immediate postoperative period, in the postoperative care unit, in the recovery room, and after the first 24–48–72 h following home discharge. The need for rescue medication, adverse effects observed and emergency visits were also registered.

Results: 309 patients were assessed (264 males, 45 females), mean age 33 (range: 18–55). Postoperative pain was mild in 44.7% of patients, and 38.5% were pain-free. At discharge, 41.1% of patients reported mild pain and 57% were pain-free. At home, mild to moderate levels of pain were maintained and over 97% of patients presented VAS values ≤ 3 . Fewer than 3% had adverse effects, 8.7% had to use analgesic medication at some point. Pruritus occurred in less than 1% of patients receiving intravenous analgesia at home, and fewer than 2% had device-related complications.

Discussion: There is no consensus regarding the postoperative management of anterior cruciate ligament lesions, although most surgeons use multimode anaesthesia and different combinations of analgesics to reduce postoperative pain.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Villalba J, Peñalver J, Torner P, Serra M, Planell J. Analgesia intravenosa domiciliaria mediante bomba elastomérica como procedimiento ambulatorio de control del dolor en la reparación del ligamento cruzado anterior. Rev Esp Cir Ortop Traumatol. 2018;62:65–70.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jvillalba@tauli.cat (J. Villalba).



Conclusions: The use of an intravenous elastomeric pump as postoperative analgesia for anterior cruciate ligamentoplasty has yielded good results.
 © 2017 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of SECOT.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Ligamento cruzado anterior;
 Tratamiento ambulatorio;
 Bomba elastomérica

Analgesia intravenosa domiciliaria mediante bomba elastomérica como procedimiento ambulatorio de control del dolor en la reparación del ligamento cruzado anterior

Resumen

Objetivo: Hacer un seguimiento del dolor en el posoperatorio inmediato, mediante el uso de bomba elastomérica en la cirugía del ligamento cruzado anterior (LCA).

Material y métodos: Trescientos nueve pacientes intervenidos de ligamentoplastia del LCA mediante plastia autóloga de hueso-tendón-hueso. Durante el posoperatorio inmediato se realizó un seguimiento del dolor mediante escala visual analógica (EVA); tanto en la unidad de reanimación posoperatoria, como en la sala de adaptación al medio, y durante las primeras 24–48–72 h en el domicilio. Registramos también la necesidad de medicación de rescate, efectos adversos observados y visitas al servicio de urgencias.

Resultados: Se estudió a 309 pacientes (264 varones, 45 mujeres) con una edad media de 33 años (rango: 18–55). El 44,7% de los pacientes reportaron dolor posoperatorio inmediato leve y el 38,5% no tenía dolor. Al alta, el 41,1% de los pacientes reportaron dolor leve y el 57% no tenía dolor. En domicilio, se mantuvieron los valores de dolor leve/moderado, con más del 97% de los pacientes con valores EVA ≤ 3 . Se registraron efectos adversos en menos del 3% de los casos. El 8,7% de los casos tuvo que hacer uso en algún momento de medicación analgésica. Menos del 1% presentó prurito mientras llevaban la analgesia intravenosa en el domicilio y menos del 2% presentó problemas relacionados con el dispositivo.

Discusión: Actualmente, no hay consenso en cuanto al manejo posoperatorio de las lesiones del LCA, aunque la tendencia es el uso de anestesia multimodal y de sistemas para reducir el dolor posoperatorio.

Conclusiones: El uso de bomba elastomérica como procedimiento ambulatorio de control del dolor en la reparación del ligamento cruzado anterior ha reportado buenos resultados.

© 2017 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de SECOT.

Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) surgery is a common procedure, particularly in arthroscopic treatment. Good postoperative pain control is very important for good rehabilitation and satisfactory final functional outcomes. Even so, more than 60% of patients who undergo this surgery experience moderate to severe pain with conventional oral analgesic regimens,¹ such as alternating paracetamol with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, plus a rescue drug like tramadol.^{2,3}

This operation is currently increasingly being undertaken in the form of day-case surgery.^{2,4} There are many studies that have demonstrated that outpatient ACL surgery is safe and effective, and with good pain control there is no increase in the need for rehospitalisation and/or complications.^{2,5}

Most of the published articles present the circuits that these patients undergo when they receive nerve block analgesia by infusion on discharge.^{6,7}

The Spanish Association of Major Ambulatory Surgery (*Asociación Española de Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria*) (ASECMA) underwrite a decoupage, which is the first

consensus document endorsed by other medical and surgical societies, and indicate that a multidisciplinary, multimodal and individualised approach should be taken to pain.⁴ The advent of elastomeric infusers, and drug infusion pumps have proved a major advance in the administration of all sorts of drugs for the treatment of postoperative pain. Maintenance of stable plasma levels have resulted in better pain control, improved efficacy and reduced side effects compared with the administration of bolus medication.²

The objective of this study was to present the results of the pain control and complications protocol that we use in our centre, for patients who undergo arthroscopic ACL reconstruction as day cases in our department and receive postoperative pain control through a continuous intravenous infusion pump (elastomeric pumps).

Material and methods

A retrospective study was undertaken of a consecutive series from 2009 to 2015, which included 309 patients who had undergone ACL ligamentoplasty with bone-tendon-bone (BTB) autologous plasty. The BTB allograft technique was employed for reconstruction of the ACL. The anaesthetic

technique was chiefly by laryngeal mask (in 243 patients), only the initial 66 patients received spinal anaesthesia, and no locoregional block was used in any of the cases. All the patients received preoperative prophylaxis of 2 g cefazolin perfused 20 min before performing the tourniquet, which was routinely used. The femoral tunnel was prepared through the transtibial portal and the plasty was fixed to the tunnels by metal interference screws. Before closing the wound, haemostasis was performed plus infiltration of 20 cc of 0.25% levobupivacaine through the wound and the portals. Staples were used for wound closure and no drains were placed in any case. A splinted pressure bandage was placed, which was removed after one week. Partial weight bearing with crutches was allowed on discharge.

Since 2009, the great majority of arthroscopic ACL reconstructions have been performed as day cases.

Table 1 shows the inclusion and exclusion criteria for major ambulatory surgery (MAS) for ACL repair in our centre.

On arrival at the surgical outpatients department, an intravenous line was placed in the patient's non-dominant forearm, where the elastomeric pump was later attached. Approximately 20 min before surgery, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (dexketoprofen 50 mg) and a corticosteroid (dexamethasone 4/8 mg according to body weight) were administered intravenously, as preventive analgesia and as prophylaxis for nausea and vomiting, either induced by subsequent tramadol administration or the general anaesthetic itself.^{3,8}

In all cases, the elastomeric pump was started in the post-operative care unit (POCU). After immediate anaesthetic recovery, the patients were transferred to the recovery room (RR), until they were in a condition to leave the hospital. The visual analogue scale (VAS) was used to assess pain levels, in the hospital (POCU and RR), and after the first 24–48–72 h at home. The need for rescue medication,

adverse effects and visits to the emergency department were also monitored.

Elastomeric regimen 1 was used in 229 patients (74.1%) (400 mg tramadol + 250 mg dexketoprofen + 2.5 mg haloperidol in 100 cc physiological saline, for 48 h) and elastomeric regimen 2 was used in 74 patients (23.9%) (400 mg tramadol + 12 g metamizole + 2.5 mg haloperidol in 100 cc physiological saline, for 48 h). Short regimens of 24 h were only used in 6 patients (1.9%), and at the beginning of the study. These were replaced by the current 48 h regimens.

Currently regimen 1 is routinely used, unless the patient suffers from an ulcerative condition, high blood pressure with 2 or more drugs, or an allergy to dexketoprofen, when regimen 2 is used, which contains metamizole. In addition to the pump, patients were advised, when they left the surgical outpatients department, to take paracetamol 1 g every 6 h orally, a gastric protector such as 20 mg omeprazole every 24 h orally and 10 mg metoclopramide every 12 h orally if they were to experience nausea and/or vomiting. Twenty-four hours following the procedure, the home care unit contacted the patient by telephone to enquire about their general condition and pain using the VAS, onset of side effects, need for rescue analgesia or possible visit to the emergency department. If the patient presented no associated problems, the unit visited the patient at home, where they were reassessed, and the elastomeric pump was removed. At that time, the patient followed an oral regimen of 1 g paracetamol alternated with 600 mg ibuprofen orally every 4 h, plus 20 mg omeprazole every 24 h and 50 mg tramadol, if required for pain. After 72 h the patients were again contacted by telephone to assess their pain.

A week after the operation, the patients were seen by their surgeon in the outpatient clinic, immobilisation was discontinued and rehabilitation started. During this first postoperative week, the patients were allowed to fully weight bear with the help of 2 crutches.

Results

Of the 309 patients operated, 264 were male and 45 female, with a mean age of 33 years (range from 18 to 55 years).

Table 2 shows the progression of the operated patients' pain. In general, it can be observed that the majority of the patients had no, or mild pain during their hospital stay or at their home follow-up visits at 24, 48 and 72 h after surgery. A reduction of the mean pain scores from 1.4 (SD = 1.8) POCU, to 0.6 (SD = 0.9) RR. At home, the levels dropped to 0.6 (SD = 0.9) after 24 h to 0.4 (SD = 0.9) after 72 h.

Of the 309 operated patients, 27 (8.7%) had to use analgesic medication at some point, with 50 mg tramadol every 8 h.

The adverse effects recorded were the onset of postoperative nausea and vomiting, often intravenous analgesia-related, and drowsiness. **Table 3** shows the frequency of adverse effect per sex. Few side effects were observed, with no differences between the sexes. Only 10 patients (3.2%) presented nausea after 24 h and 2 (0.6%) after 48 h. Four patients (1.3%) presented vomiting after 48 h and none of the patients reported vomiting after 24 h. Four patients (1.3%) reported drowsiness after 24 h, which disappeared entirely after 48 h.

Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria for ACL repair as MAS.

Inclusion criteria

- Patients with ACL tear
- Acceptance by the patient to be operated as an outpatient
- Availability of an accessible telephone and a responsible adult for the first 24–48 postoperative hours
- Patients classified as ASA I–II and, for some less aggressive procedures, as ASA III–IV, stables and with no episodes of decompensation in the past 3 months
- Surgical and anaesthetic informed consent

Exclusion criteria

- Previous ACL plasty rupture
- Patients with cognitive dysfunction
- Drug-dependent or psychiatric patients
- Patients with no family support at home
- Patients living outside our hospital's catchment area
- Patients with complications who required hospitalisation

Table 2 Postoperative pain.

VAS	Pain in POCU and in RR										SD
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
POCU	119 (38.5%)	100 (32.4%)	26 (8.4%)	12 (3.9%)	18 (5.8%)	20 (6.5%)	12 (3.9%)	2 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1.8
RR	176 (57.0%)	97 (31.4%)	23 (7.4%)	7 (2.3%)	2 (0.6%)	3 (1.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.9
Pain at home											
VAS	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	SD
24h	156 (50.5%)	138 (44.7%)	4 (1.3%)	2 (0.6%)	2 (0.6%)	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.9
48h	162 (52.4%)	135 (43.7%)	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.3%)	5 (1.6%)	4 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.9
72h	210 (68.0%)	87 (28.2%)	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.3%)	4 (1.3%)	5 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.9

In addition, 3 patients (1.0%) presented pruritis during the administration of intravenous analgesia at home.

In terms of issues with the elastomeric device, it is worth highlighting that there was extravasation of the peripheral line in 4 (1.3%) patients, which was resolved by the home care unit. Finally, one patient burned the extension lead of their elastomeric pump with a cigarette, which had to be removed.

The visits that the patients made to our hospital's emergency department during the first week were recorded, just before the visit to their surgeon in the outpatient clinic. Of the 309 patients, 22 had to attend the emergency departments with problems associated with the bandage. Of these patients, 12 had joint effusion, and of these 8 had effusion under tension. All the cases improved when the bandage was checked and the symptoms of all the cases of effusion under tension improved after evacuation by arthrocentesis. Only one case attended the emergency department with acute surgical wound infection, which was resolved with debridement and oral antibiotic therapy.

Discussion

Good postoperative pain control is one of the keys to the success of MAS, as well as the patient's level of satisfaction. In MAS, postoperative pain, nausea and vomiting are still causes for delayed hospital discharge and readmission.⁹

Therefore, in order to introduce more complex procedures into ambulatory surgery, it is essential to improve these factors, since oral analgesia has sometimes proved insufficient.

Arthroscopic reconstructive ACL surgery is an optimal procedure to be undertaken in MAS programmes, if we can ensure good postoperative analgesia. The practice of multimodal analgesia is currently very widespread, using different analgesic techniques and thus minimising side effects.^{6,10-12}

In our hospital, the ambulatory surgery department is an easily accessed separate building, for low- and medium-complexity procedures. We opted for continuous intravenous analgesia at home because we believe that it is better suited to our social environment and health structure.² There is currently a great tendency to place perineural and peri-incisional catheters. Reviewing the literature, we found that the rate of complications, especially nerve complications, is very low, but when they do occur there is no rapid resolution.^{7,13} Nerve blocks can also be helpful in managing postoperative pain in cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery,¹⁴ although a motor block can be uncomfortable in terms of the patient's autonomy.

This analgesic procedure enabled us to provide our patients good analgesia and wellbeing. Moreover, the patients felt safe with home visits from nursing staff to support them.^{1,2,4,5}

There are studies that compare the results between surgery with admission and ambulatory surgery in surgical cruciate ligament repair, and note that there are no differences in terms of safety between the 2 techniques.^{14,15} These studies, however, are smaller series than ours and used multimodal anaesthesia systems with blocks and oral medication.^{16,17}

Table 3 Adverse effects.

		Nausea and vomiting after 24–48 h						
24 h	Female		Male		Total			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Nausea	3	0.9	7	2.2	10	3.1		
Vomiting	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
48 h	Female		Male		Total			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Nausea	0	0.0	2	0.6	2	0.6		
Vomiting	2	0.6	2	0.6	4	1.3		
Drowsiness 24–48 h								
24 h	Female		Male		Total			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Drowsiness	4	1.3	0	0.0	4	1.3		
48 h	Female		Male		Total			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Drowsiness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		

Krywulak et al.¹⁸ performed a randomised study on the degree of satisfaction among patients who were treated as outpatients and as inpatients, and noted that the patients who were not admitted to hospital had a higher degree of satisfaction. Although this was a study with very few cases, this also indicates that treatment without hospital admission is more satisfactory for patients. We confirm this in our study with far more case studies.

Other studies describe good results with elastomeric pumps. Hoenecke et al.¹⁹ reported less pain and less need for narcotics in patients operated for ACL who followed an ambulatory continuous infusion regimen of bupivacaine through the surgical wound via an elastomeric pump for the first 48 h. López Alvarez et al.²⁰ performed continuous femoral nerve blocks using bupivacaine via an elastomeric pump for the first 24 h, with good pain control. However, they described the incidence of surgical infection and motor block as complications.

Conclusions

We consider that the use of ambulatory elastomeric pumps after ACL reconstruction achieved good results on discharge, with few complications, compared to other procedures that use elastomeric pumps for direct infusion of the wound or for locoregional block. This procedure, however, requires the support of a home care unit, which some hospitals lack.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that the research was carried out according to the ethical standards set by the responsible human

experimentation committee, the World Medical Association and the Helsinki Declaration.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their centre of work regarding the publication of patient data.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the informed consent of the patients and/or subjects referred to in the article. This document is held by the corresponding author.

Conflict of interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

1. García DH, Vila IL, Barrientos FC, Curado PR, García AN, Moreno ME. Análisis coste-efectividad de la PCA postoperatoria frente a la infusión continua elastomérica de tramadol y metamizol. *Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim.* 2007;54:213–20.
2. Serra M, Vives R, Cañellas M, Planell J, Oliva JC, Colilles C, et al. Outpatient multimodal intravenous analgesia in patients undergoing day-case surgery: description of a three year experience. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2015;16:78.
3. Baverel L, Cucurullo T, Lutz C, Colombet P, Cournaeau J, Dalmay F, et al. Anesthesia and analgesia methods for outpatient anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2016;102:S251–5.
4. Rodríguez de la Torre R, Medina Madrid E, Dávila Arias ML, Pérez García A, Torres López A, Cuéllar Obispo E. Analgesia invasiva domiciliaria en el manejo del dolor postoperatorio

- en cirugía mayor ambulatoria mediante bombas elastoméricas intravenosas. *Rev Soc Esp Dolor.* 2011;18:161–70.
5. Palmer P, Ji X, Stephens J. Cost of opioid intravenous patient-controlled analgesia: results from a hospital database analysis and literature assessment. *Clin Outcomes Res CEOR.* 2014;6:311.
 6. Young A, Buvanendran A. Recent advances in multimodal analgesia. *Anesthesiol Clin.* 2012;30:91–100.
 7. Ilfeld BM. Continuous peripheral nerve blocks: a review of the published evidence. *Anesth Analg.* 2011;113:904–25.
 8. Gape S, Tramèr MR. Do we need preemptive analgesia for the treatment of postoperative pain? *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol.* 2007;21:51–63.
 9. Le TP, Gan TJ. Update on the management of postoperative nausea and vomiting and postdischarge nausea and vomiting in ambulatory surgery. *Anesthesiol Clin.* 2010;28:225–49.
 10. Elvir-Lazo OL, White PF. The role of multimodal analgesia in pain management after ambulatory surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2010;23:697–703.
 11. Elvir-Lazo OL, White PF. Postoperative pain management after ambulatory surgery: role of multimodal analgesia. *Anesthesiol Clin.* 2010;28:217–24.
 12. Armellin G, Nardacchione R, Ori C. Intra-articular sufentanil in multimodal analgesic management after outpatient arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective, randomized, double-blinded study. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg.* 2008;24:909–13.
 13. Abdallah FW, Whelan DB, Chan VW, Prasad GA, Endersby RV, Theodoropolous J, et al. Adductor canal block provides non-inferior analgesia and superior quadriceps strength compared with femoral nerve block in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Anesthesiology.* 2016;124:1053–64.
 14. Secrist ES, Freedman KB, Ciccotti MG, Mazur DW, Hammoud S. Pain management after outpatient anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Sports Med.* 2016;44:2435–47.
 15. De Beule J, Vandenneucker H, Claes S, Bellemans J. Can anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction be performed routinely in day clinic? *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2014;80:391–6.
 16. Lefevre N, Klouche S, de Pamphilis O, Devaux C, Herman S, Bohu Y. Postoperative discomfort after outpatient anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective comparative study. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2015;101:163–6.
 17. Valkering KP, van Bergen CJA, Buijze GA, Nagel PHAF, Tuinebreijer WE, Breederveld RS. Pain experience and functional outcome of inpatient versus outpatient anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, an equivalence randomized controlled trial with 12 months follow-up. *Knee.* 2015;22:111–6.
 18. Krywulak SA, Mohtadi NGH, Russell ML, Sasyniuk TM. Patient satisfaction with inpatient versus outpatient reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament: a randomized clinical trial. *Can J Surg.* 2005;48:201–6.
 19. Hoenecke HR, Pulido PA, Morris BA, Fronek J. The efficacy of continuous bupivacaine infiltration following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg.* 2002;18:854–8.
 20. López Alvarez S, Salamanca Montaña ME, Diéguez García P, García Iglesias B, Cobián Llamas JM. Continuous femoral nerve block to control postoperative pain in outpatient orthopedic surgery. *Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim.* 2007;54:227–30.