Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Annals of Hepatology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.es/annalsofhepatology

Original article

SEVIER

Effects of cytomegalovirus infection on the differential diagnosis between biliary atresia and intrahepatic cholestasis in a Chinese large cohort study



Dongying Zhao^{a,1}, Xiaohui Gong^{b,1}, Yahui Li^a, Xiaoang Sun^c, Yan Chen^a, Zhaohui Deng^{c,*}, Yongjun Zhang^{a,*}

^a Department of Neonatology, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai JiaoTong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

^b Department of Neonatology, Shanghai Children's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

^c Department of Pediatric Digestive Diseases, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 July 2020 Accepted 2 November 2020 Available online 12 November 2020

Keywords: Cytomegalovirus Neonatal cholestasis Biliary atresia Diagnosis

ABSTRACT

Introduction and objectives: Differentiating biliary atresia from other causes of neonatal cholestasis is challenging, particularly when cytomegalovirus (CMV) and biliary atresia occur simultaneously. We aimed to elucidate whether CMV infection would affect the differential diagnosis of biliary atresia and intrahepatic cholestasis.

Patients and methods: This retrospective study was conducted among patients with neonatal cholestasis admitted to three tertiary hospitals between January 2010 and August 2019. The clinical characteristics, laboratory, and imaging findings were recorded. On the basis of the CMV serology results, the infants were classified into CMV-IgM(+) and CMV-IgM(-) groups. The clinical differences and diagnostic performances of routine predictors between biliary atresia and intrahepatic cholestasis were analyzed in each group. Finally, we compared the diagnostic performances of various tests in the two groups.

Results: A total of 705 patients with neonatal cholestasis were enrolled: 215 (30.5%) patients were positive for CMV-IgM, among whom 97 had biliary atresia and 118 had CMV hepatitis; 490 infants were CMV-IgM (-), among whom 240 had biliary atresia and 250 had intrahepatic cholestasis. The diagnostic performances of stool color, direct bilirubin level, γ -glutamyl transpeptidase level, abnormal gallbladder, triangular cord sign, and hepatobiliary scintigraphy between CMV hepatitis and CMV-IgM (-) biliary atresia were similar to those between CMV-IgM (-) biliary atresia and CMV-IgM (-) intrahepatic cholestasis groups.

Conclusions: Our large-scale study showed a high prevalence of CMV infection in patients with neonatal cholestasis in China. The presence of CMV infection did not affect the routine predictors to discriminate biliary atresia and intrahepatic cholestasis.

© 2020 Fundación Clínica Médica Sur, A.C. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Biliary atresia (BA) is one of the most common causes of neonatal cholestasis (NC) [1]. It is a progressive life-threatening condition that can affect the quality of life. More importantly, the prognosis of BA depends directly on timely Kasai hepatic portoenterostomy

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: zhaodongying@xinhuamed.com.cn (D. Zhao), gongxh@shchildren.com.cn (X. Gong), ligiarui@163.com (Y. Li), S745144421@163.com (X. Sun), chenyan783563@163.com (Y. Chen),

dengzhaohui@SCMC.com.cn (Z. Deng), zhangyongjun@sjtu.edu.cn (Y. Zhang).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

(ideally within the first 45 days of life) [2]. Therefore, early identification of BA can help prevent liver dysfunction or cirrhosis, thus saving lives.

Unfortunately, BA can be difficult to distinguish from other causes of neonatal cholestasis because of similar manifestations [3]. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection may be one of the most confounding factors. Previous studies have focused on the etiologic association between CMV and BA; however, the suggestion that CMV infection may trigger BA is still controversial [4]. Despite this, BA and CMV infections can coexist, and clinicians are more likely to treat neonatal cholestasis as an isolated CMV infection, because the incidence of CMV (0.2%–2.2%) is much higher than that of BA (1:15,000–18,000) [5,6]. In addition, patients with CMV-associated BA might have a delay in the referral and the optimal window of

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aohep.2020.100286

1665-2681/© 2020 Fundación Clínica Médica Sur, A.C. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Kasai procedure compared to that of BA IgM (-) patients [7,8]. To avoid this situation, we need to differentiate BA from other causes of cholestasis early, even in the presence of CMV infection.

Current studies have described the clinical differences and surgical outcomes between patients with CMV IgM (+) BA and CMV IgM (-) BA [9,10]. However, no study has shown the clinical differences between BA and non-BA cholestasis in the presence or absence of CMV. Moreover, a series of investigations, such as ultrasound and hepatobiliary scintigraphy (HBS), have been performed to differentiate BA from other causes of neonatal cholestasis. We hypothesized that CMV infection could pose further challenges for clinicians to differentiate BA from other cholestatic conditions. In addition, few studies have described the clinical features of CMVassociated cholestasis in China and globally; however, these studies had limitations, such as small sample size or single-center survey [8,9].

In this study, we reviewed the demographic, clinical, laboratory, and imaging data of NC infants from three tertiary hospitals in Shanghai, China. First, we described the prevalence of CMV infection in this large Chinese cohort. Second, we investigated the clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients with neonatal cholestasis according to the presence of CMV infection. Third, we determined whether the presence of CMV infection affected the differential diagnosis between BA and intrahepatic cholestasis (IHC). To achieve this, infants were classified on the basis of the presence or absence of CMV infection, and the clinical differences and diagnostic performances of routine predictors between BA and IHC were analyzed and compared in each group. On the basis of these findings, pediatricians might better understand the concurrence of BA and CMV infection in neonatal cholestasis so that the best possible outcomes could be attained in infants with underlying life-threatening conditions.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Study population and ethical considerations

The medical records of NC patients at three institutions, namely Xinhua Hospital (January 2010–August 2019), Shanghai Children's Medical Center (January 2016–August 2019), and Children's Hospital of Shanghai (June 2014–August 2019), were retrospectively reviewed. This study was approved by the institutional review boards of each participating institution. The requirement for written informed consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of this study.

Patients were enrolled in the study if they met the following eligibility criteria: age at first hospital admission of <100 days, gestational age of >34 weeks or birth weight of >2000 g, and direct bilirubin (DBIL) >20% of the total bilirubin (TBIL) for a TBIL \geq 85 µmol/L or DBIL > 17 µmol/L for a TBIL < 85 µmol/L [11]. The exclusion criteria were: multiple congenital malformations, missing the detection of CMV serological testing, and inability to undertake the required number of investigations or follow-up visits to establish the etiology of the NC.

2.2. Investigations and data collection

Upon admission, a relatively rapid series of investigations were performed to establish the etiology of NC. Routine investigations included demographic information, medical history, physical examination, stool color, liver function test, complete blood count, coagulation studies, blood/urine culture, viral antibodies (such as IgM and IgG of CMV and Epstein-Barr virus), hepatitis B surface antigen, blood tandem mass spectrometry, urine gas chromatography inspection, abdominal ultrasonography, and HBS. In infants who were suspected of having congenital disorders, next-generation sequencing or whole-exome sequencing was also performed. If BA could not be ruled out by the aforementioned investigations, intraoperative cholangiography (IOC) and liver biopsy were performed.

The diagnosis of BA was confirmed by IOC in combination with the histological characteristics of intraoperative liver biopsy, which showed an abnormal biliary tree, bile duct proliferation, bile plugs, moderate-to-marked ductular reaction, portal stromal edema, and periportal fibrosis [12–14]. IHC was confirmed by IOC, showing a patent biliary tree or normalized/significantly reduced bilirubin level during hospitalization or at follow-up. Infectious hepatitis was diagnosed using different viral antibodies or positive cultures. CMV hepatitis was defined as intrahepatic cholestasis with a positive CMV IgM antibody in the absence of other etiologies [15]. Metabolic or genetic disorders were confirmed by blood tandem mass spectrometry, urine gas chromatography inspection, or gene sequencing. Parenteral nutrition-associated cholestasis was defined as cholestatic patients who received parenteral nutrition for ≥ 2 weeks [16]. An infant was considered to have idiopathic neonatal hepatitis by excluding other causes of IHC after thorough history review, physical examinations, and laboratory investigations [15].

Data collection included demographic information (age at admission, birth weight, weight at admission, etc.) and clinical features (stool color, hepatomegaly, and splenomegaly). Data on serum TBIL, DBIL, alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (AKP), γ -glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), albumin, serum CMV IgM results, and parameters of conventional ultrasound (US) were also obtained from hospital records. The gallbladder was considered abnormal when absent or if it had a size <1.5 cm [17]. The triangular cord (TC) sign was defined as the presence of an abnormal triangular or tubular echogenic area in the region of the porta hepatis [18]. A positive HBS was defined as the absence of isotopes in the intestines up to 24 h [17].

2.3. Statistical analyses

For descriptive analysis, we summarized the etiologies of cholestasis. For comparison between BA and IHC groups in terms of positive and negative serology for CMV, the categorical variables were analyzed using the χ^2 or Fisher exact test, and the continuous variables were compared using Student's t-test or the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The diagnostic performance of different predictors for differentiating BA from IHC with or without CMV infection was expressed in terms of the area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, and negative predictive value. All statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.2 statistical software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina). A P-value <0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Etiologies of neonatal cholestasis and the prevalence of CMV

During the study period, a total of 705 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria for NC. A total of 337 (47.8%) infants were diagnosed as having BA, and 368 were diagnosed as having IHC. In the IHC group, 67 infants underwent diagnostic IOC that showed a patent biliary tree, while 301 recovered from cholestasis or had significantly reduced bilirubin levels during hospitalization or at follow-up. Among the 368 infants with IHC, idiopathic neonatal hepatitis was reported in 205 (55.7%) cases, and infection-associated cholestasis was identified in 130 cases (35.3%). The other IHC included parenteral nutrition-associated cholestasis (n = 12), neonatal intrahepatic cholestasis caused by citrin deficiency (n = 6),

Final diagnosis of neonatal intrahepatic cholestasis



Fig. 1. Final diagnosis of neonatal intrahepatic cholestasis (n = 368). Idiopathic neonatal hepatitis (n = 205, 55.7%) and infection-associated cholestasis (n = 130, 35.3%) were the most two common etiologies of neonatal intrahepatic cholestasis. In addition, among the 130 subjects patients with neonatal cholestasis of infectious etiologies origin, 118 infants had CMV infection, accounting for 32.1% of intrahepatic cholestasis patients and 90.8% of infection-associated cholestasis.

Alagille syndrome (n=6), tyrosinemia (n=2), mitochondrial disease (n=2), progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis (n=2), Down syndrome (n=1), alpha 1 antitrypsin deficiency (n=1), and hypopituitarism (n=1). Among the 130 patients with NC of infectious origin, 118 had CMV infection, accounting for 32.1% of IHC patients and 90.8% of infection-associated cholestasis. Sepsis (n=7), congenital syphilis (n=2), Epstein-Barr virus (n=2), and rotavirus (n=1) were other causes of infection-associated cholestasis (Fig. 1).

In total, 215 (30.5%) patients were positive for CMV-IgM, including 118 patients with CMV hepatitis and 97 with BA. A total of 490 infants had CMV-IgM (–), among whom 240 cases were BA and 250 were IHC. CMV hepatitis accounted for 32.1% of IHC infants, and positive CMV-IgM was detected in 28.8% of BA infants.

3.2. Comparison of BA and IHC groups with positive and negative serology for CMV

In this study, we further classified infants with NC into four groups to compare the clinical differences among them, namely CMV hepatitis (n = 118), IHC with CMV-IgM (-) (n = 250), BA with CMV-IgM (+) (n = 97), and BA with CMV-IgM (-) (n = 240).

Table 1 presents the differences in the clinical characteristics between patients with CMV hepatitis and those with IHC with CMV-IgM (–). We found that patients with CMV hepatitis had a higher age and weight at admission and the presence of hepatomegaly than those with IHC with CMV-IgM (–) (p < 0.05, all). There were almost no significant differences in the laboratory and imaging findings between the two groups, except for the levels of TBIL and DBIL (p < 0.001 and p = 0.039, respectively).

In Table 2, we have enumerated the differences in the findings of BA between CMV-IgM (+) and CMV-IgM (-) infants. The mean age and weight at admission were higher for CMV-IgM (+) patients than that for CMV-IgM (-) ones (p < 0.01). The median levels of ALT, AST, and AKP were significantly higher in CMV-IgM (+) infants than in CMV-IgM (-) BA infants (p < 0.05, all). However, there was no significant difference in the ultrasonography and HBS findings between the two groups (p > 0.05, all).

To clarify whether CMV infection could affect the differential diagnosis between BA and IHC groups, we further compared the clinical differences between these two groups stratified according to the CMV-IgM results (Tables 3 and 4). In the CMV-IgM positive group, clay stool was seen more often in patients with CMV-IgM (+) BA than in those with CMV hepatitis. As for liver function, the median levels of ALT, AST, AKP, TBIL, DBIL, GGT, and ALB were significantly higher in CMV-IgM (+) BA infants than those in the CMV hepatitis infants (p < 0.05, all). Additionally, the frequencies of TC sign, abnormal gallbladder, and positive findings in the HBS were significantly higher in CMV-IgM (+) BA than in those with CMV hepatitis (p < 0.01, all) (Table 3).

We found that patients with BA and CMV-IgM (-) had higher birth weight, weight at admission, age, and lower proportion of preterm and male infants than IHC with CMV-IgM (-). Additionally, we found differences in stool colour, serum DBIL, GGT, ALB levels, presence of abnormal gallbladder, TC sign, and positive hepatobiliary scintigraphy between the two groups (p < 0.05, all), which were similar to those between patients with CMV hepatitis and those with BA with CMV-IgM (+) (Table 4).

Table 1

Clinical comparison between CMV hepatitis and intrahepatic cholestasis with CMV-IgM(-).

Parameters	CMV hepatitis	IHC with CMV-IgM(-)	Р
	11=118	11=230	
Birth weight (g)	3152 ± 514	3086 ± 534	0.276
Age at admission (day)	57 ± 16	45 ± 23	<0.001
Weight at admission (g)	4769 ± 1060	4159 ± 1145	<0.001
Preterm (%)	16 (13.6%)	38 (15.2%)	0.678
Male (%)	76 (64.4%)	157 (62.8%)	0.765
Clinical measures			
Stool color (%)			0.699
Clay stool	20 (16.9%)	36 (14.4%)	
Yellow pale	31 (26.3%)	61 (24.4%)	
Yellow	67 (56.8%)	153 (61.2%)	
Hepatomegaly (%)	55 (46.6%)	89 (35.6%)	0.043
Splenomegaly (%)	26 (22.0%)	38 (15.2%)	0.106
Liver function test			
TBA (µmol/L)	108 (72, 142)	106 (71, 152)	0.819
ALT (U/L)	113 (64, 197)	114 (47, 191)	0.142
AST (U/L)	169 (101, 271)	186 (97, 297)	0.535
AKP (U/L)	532 (382, 674)	497 (332, 657)	0.184
TBIL (µmol/L)	122 (82, 166)	157 (112, 213)	<0.001
DBIL (µmol/L)	72 (45, 101)	78 (52, 113)	0.039
GGT (U/L)	117 (79, 200)	139 (81, 282)	0.160
ALB (g/L)	38 (35, 40)	38 (35, 40)	0.164
Ultrasonography findings			
Abnormal gallbladder (%)	27 (22.9%)	57 (22.8%)	0.986
Triangular cord sign (%)	2 (1.7%)	8 (3.2%)	0.407
Hepatobiliary scintigraphy ^a	· ·		
Positive (%)	31 (53.4%)	64 (66.7%)	0.102

BA: biliary atresia; IHC: intrahepatic cholestasis; TBA, total bile acid; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; AKP, alkaline phosphatase; TBIL, total bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; GGT, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALB, albumin.

Data are presented as mean \pm S.D., N (%), or median (IQR).

^a 154 cholestatic patients had undergone hepatobiliary scintigraphy including 58 CMV hepatitis and 96 IHC with CMV-IgM(-).

Table 2

Clinical comparison between biliary atresia with CMV-IgM(+) and CMV-IgM(-) groups.

Parameters	BA with CMV-IgM(+) n = 97	BA with CMV-IgM(-) n = 240	Р
Birth weight (g)	3173 ± 517	3261 ± 468	0.132
Age at admission (day)	60 ± 13	50 ± 17	<0.001
Weight at admission (g)	4990 ± 915	4668 ± 883	0.003
Preterm(days)	6 (6.2%)	11 (4.6%)	0.585
Male (%)	53 (54.6%)	121 (50.4%)	0.483
Clinical measures			
Stool color (%)			
Clay stool	46 (47.4%)	110 (45.8%)	0.663
Yellow pale	44 (45.4%)	105 (43.8%)	
Yellow	7 (7.2%)	25 (10.4%)	
Hepatomegaly (%)	43 (44.3%)	105 (43.8 %)	0.923
Splenomegaly (%)	19 (19.6%)	25 (10.4%)	0.024
Liver function test			
TBA (µmol/L)	122 (97, 150)	110 (87, 136)	0.052
ALT (U/L)	140 (101, 224)	120 (77, 192)	0.014
AST (U/L)	245 (171, 327)	198 (137, 278)	0.001
AKP (U/L)	606 (481, 746)	506 (407, 621)	<0.001
TBIL (µmol/L)	164 (143, 191)	161 (134, 196)	0.784
DBIL (µmol/L)	104 (84, 130)	99 (82, 125)	0.310
GGT (U/L)	377 (225, 749)	526 (283, 755)	0.402
ALB (g/L)	39 (37, 42)	39 (36, 41)	0.181
Ultrasonography findings			
Abnormal gallbladder (%)	63 (64.9%)	157 (65.4%)	0.935
Triangular cord sign (%)	34 (35.1%)	91(37.9%)	0.622
Hepatobiliary scintigraphy ^a			
Positive (%)	35 (97.2%)	113 (98.3%)	0.561

BA: biliary atresia; TBA, total bile acid; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; AKP, alkaline phosphatase; TBIL, total bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; GGT, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALB, albumin.

Data are presented as mean \pm S.D., N (%), or median (IQR).

^a 151 cholestatic patients had undergone hepatobiliary scintigraphy among biliary atresia patients including 36 CMV-IgM(+) and 115 CMV-IgM(-).

Table 3

Clinical comparison between CMV hepatitis and biliary atresia with CMV-IgM(+).

Parameters	CMV hepatitis	BA with CMV-IgM(+)	Р
	n=118	n = 97	
Birth weight (g)	3152 ± 514	3173 ± 517	0.769
Age at admission (day)	57 ± 16	60 ± 13	0.131
Weight at admission (g)	4769 ± 1060	4990 ± 915	0.107
Preterm (%)	16 (13.6%)	6 (6.2%)	0.076
Male (%)	76 (64.4%)	53 (54.6%)	0.146
Clinical measures			
Stool color (%)			<0.001
Clay stool	20 (16.9%)	46 (47.4%)	
Yellow pale	31 (26.3%)	44 (45.4%)	
Yellow	67 (56.8%)	7 (7.2%)	
Hepatomegaly (%)	55 (46.6%)	43 (44.3%)	0.738
Splenomegaly (%)	26 (22.0%)	19 (19.6%)	0.661
Liver function test			
TBA (μmol/L)	108 (72, 142)	122 (97, 150)	0.067
ALT (U/L)	113 (64, 197)	140 (101, 224)	0.023
AST (U/L)	169 (101, 271)	245 (171, 327)	<0.001
AKP(U/L)	532 (382, 674)	606 (481, 746)	0.005
TBIL (µmol/L)	122 (82, 166)	164 (143, 191)	<0.001
DBIL (µmol/L)	72 (45, 101)	104 (84, 130)	<0.001
GGT (U/L)	117 (79, 200)	377 (225, 749)	<0.001
ALB (g/L)	38 (35, 40)	39 (37, 42)	0.016
Ultrasonography findings			
Abnormal gallbladder (%)	27 (22.9%)	63 (64.9%)	<0.001
Triangular cord sign (%)	2 (1.7%)	34 (35.1%)	<0.001
Hepatobiliary scintigraphy ^a	• •		
Positive (%)	31 (53.4%)	35 (97.2%)	<0.001

BA: biliary atresia; TBA, total bile acid; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; AKP, alkaline phosphatase; TBIL, total bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; GGT, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALB, albumin.

Data are presented as mean \pm S.D., N (%), or median (IQR).

^a 94 cholestatic patients had undergone hepatobiliary scintigraphy including 58 CMV hepatitis and 36 biliary atresia with CMV-IgM(+).

Table 4

Clinical comparison between biliary atresia and intrahepatic cholestasis with CMV-IgM(-).

Parameters	BA with CMV-IgM(-) n = 240	IHC with CMV-IgM(-) n=250	Р
Birth weight (g)	3261 + 468	3086 + 534	<0.001
Age at admission (day)	50 + 17	45+23	0.008
Weight at admission (g)	4668 ± 883	4159 ± 1145	<0.001
Preterm (%)	11 (4.6%)	38 (15.2%)	<0.001
Male (%)	121 (50.4%)	157 (62.8%)	0.006
Clinical measures			
Stool color (%)			<0.001
Clay stool	110 (45.8%)	36 (14.4%)	
Yellow pale	105 (43.8%)	61 (24.4%)	
Yellow	25 (10.4%)	153 (61.2%)	
Hepatomegaly (%)	105 (43.8 %)	89 (35.6%)	0.065
Splenomegaly (%)	25 (10.4%)	38 (15.2%)	0.114
Liver function test			
TBA (µmol/L)	110 (87, 136)	106 (71, 152)	0.285
ALT (U/L)	120 (77, 192)	114 (47, 191)	0.050
AST (U/L)	198 (137, 278)	186 (97, 297)	0.097
AKP (U/L)	506 (407, 621)	497 (332, 657)	0.486
TBIL (µmol/L)	161 (134, 196)	157 (112, 213)	0.217
DBIL (µmol/L)	99 (82, 125)	78 (52, 113)	<0.001
GGT (U/L)	526 (283, 755)	139 (81, 282)	<0.001
ALB (g/L)	39 (36, 41)	38 (35, 40)	0.009
Ultrasonography findings			
Abnormal gallbladder (%)	157 (65.4%)	57 (22.8%)	<0.001
Triangular cord sign (%)	91(37.9%)	8 (3.2%)	<0.001
Hepatobiliary scintigraphy ^a			
Positive (%)	113 (98.3%)	64 (66.7%)	<0.001

BA: biliary atresia; IHC: intrahepatic cholestasis; TBA, total bile acid; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; AKP, alkaline phosphatase; TBIL, total bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; GGT, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, ALB, albumin.

Data are presented as mean \pm S.D., N (%), or median (IQR).

^a 211 cholestatic patients had undergone hepatobiliary scintigraphy including 115 biliary atresia with CMV-IgM(-) and 96 IHC with CMV-IgM(-).



Fig. 2. Diagnostic performances of stool color, direct bilirubin level, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase level, abnormal gallbladder, triangular cord sign, and hepatobiliary scintigraphy in infants with neonatal cholestasis with or without CMV infection. **A**) AUC for stool color, direct bilirubin level, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, abnormal gallbladder, triangular cord sign, and hepatobiliary scintigraphy were 0.772, 0.749, 0.845, 0.710, 0.667, and 0.719 respectively as compared between CMV hepatitis and biliary atresia with CMV-IgM positive groups. **B**) AUC for stool color, direct bilirubin level, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, abnormal gallbladder, triangular cord sign, and hepatobiliary scintigraphy were 0.778, 0.643, 0.817, 0.713, 0.674, and 0.658 respectively as compared between intrahepatic cholestasis and biliary atresia with CMV-IgM negative groups.

Table 5

Diagnostic performances of various predictors to distinguish biliary atresia from intrahepatic cholestasis stratified by CMV-IgM.

	AUC	95%CI	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
CMV-IgM positive (BA and CMV he	patitis)					
Stool color	0.772	0.710 - 0.826	92.8	56.8	63.8	90.5
Direct bilirubin	0.749	0.685 - 0.805	91.8	52.5	61.4	88.6
γ-Glutamyl transpeptidase	0.845	0.789 - 0.890	81.4	75.4	73.1	83.2
Abnormal gallbladder	0.710	0.645 - 0.770	65.0	77.1	70.0	72.8
Triangular sign	0.667	0.599 - 0.729	35.1	98.3	94.4	64.8
Hepatobiliary scintigraphy ^a	0.719	0.617 - 0.807	97.2	46.6	53	96.4
CMV-IgM negative (BA and IHC)						
Stool color	0.778	0.739 - 0.814	89.6	61.2	68.9	86.0
Direct bilirubin	0.643	0.599 - 0.686	75.0	54.4	61.2	69.4
γ-Glutamyl transpeptidase	0.817	0.780 - 0.851	81.9	69.7	72.5	79.8
Abnormal gallbladder	0.713	0.671 - 0.753	65.4	77.2	73.4	69.9
Triangular sign	0.674	0.630 - 0.715	37.9	96.8	91.9	61.9
Hepatobiliary scintigraphy ^b	0.658	0.590 - 0.722	98.3	33.3	63.8	94.1

BA: biliary atresia; IHC: intrahepatic cholestasis.

^a 94 cholestatic patients with CMV positive had undergone hepatobiliary scintigraphy including 58 CMV hepatitis and 36 biliary atresia with CMV-IgM(+).

^b 211 cholestatic patients had undergone hepatobiliary scintigraphy including 115 biliary atresia with CMV-lgM(–) and 96 IHC with CMV-lgM(–).

3.3. Diagnostic performances of various methods in distinguishing biliary atresia from intrahepatic cholestasis with or without CMV

As mentioned above, the differences between the CMV hepatitis and BA with CMV-IgM (+) groups were quite similar to those between the BA with CMV-IgM (-) and IHC with CMV-IgM (-) groups. The parameters with a p-value of <0.01 in both comparisons were selected to evaluate their diagnostic performances of BA, including stool color, DBIL, GGT levels, abnormal gallbladder, TC sign, and HBS.

The receiver operating characteristic curve analysis revealed that GGT was the best diagnostic indicator of BA in either the CMV-IgM positive or negative group, with an AUC of 0.817-0.845. A positive HBS finding had the highest sensitivity (97.2–98.3%) for BA, and the presence of the TC sign had the highest specificity (96.8–98.3%) in both comparisons (Fig. 2 and Table 5). Overall, the diagnostic performance of these predictors between CMV-IgM (–) BA and CMV-IgM (–) IHC groups (Table 5). We determined that the presence of CMV infection did not affect the routine predictors to discriminate BA and IHC.

4. Discussion

In this large population-scale study, positive CMV serology was found in 32.1% of IHC infants and 28.8% of BA infants. CMV infection accounted for an overwhelming proportion (90.8%) of infection-associated cholestasis. We evaluated the different clinical features of BA and IHC infants with or without CMV infection. The clinical differences and diagnostic performances of routine predictors between the two disorders in the CMV-IgM (+) group were similar to those in the CMV-IgM (-) group. The presence of CMV infection should not eliminate BA from the differential diagnosis of neonatal cholestasis.

Currently, CMV infection has been proposed as a possible etiologic agent of NC [19]. Stormon et al. and Jain et al. found 7 and 10 CMV infections in 207 and 168 NC infants in an Australian and Indian NC cohort, respectively, and CMV accounted for 38.9% and 29.4% of all infection-induced cholestasis, respectively [20,21]. In our study, we found a high prevalence of CMV infection, especially in infection-associated cholestasis. Although we tested the IgM and IgG of CMV, Epstein-Barr virus, hepatitis B surface antigen, and other pathogens, we scarcely found other viral infections other than CMV, suggesting that there is a different spectrum of infection-associated cholestasis in China. Additionally, the detection rate of CMV in BA infants was about 10% in British and German groups, which was also lower than the detection rate in our study [9,22]. A much higher rate of CMV-IgM or CMV DNA positivity of up to 48–60% in BA infants was shown in other Chinese cohorts [23–25]. It is speculated that perinatal infection with CMV might be one of the etiologies of BA in China [23]. Wang et al. assumed that a high maternal CMV seroprevalence might be ubiquitous across China, and the prevalence of congenital CMV infection was generally higher in populations with higher maternal seroprevalence [26]. Thus, the detection rate of CMV could be increased in Chinese NC and BA infants.

Our results also showed more infants with CMV-IgM (–) BA than infants with CMV hepatitis, even though CMV hepatitis is much more common among all infants [27]. Our study was a not population-based one; the enrolled institutions were tertiary care hospitals located in Shanghai, and most of the infants with CMV infection were treated in their local hospitals. Only those infants in whom BA was suspected were referred to hospitals in Shanghai for further examination. Nevertheless, our study is still useful for estimating CMV prevalence owing to the enrollment of a sizeable number of NC patients. In addition, we determined the relationship between CMV and BA on the basis of these large populations of patients with CMV infection.

By comparing CMV positive and negative patients in IHC and BA groups, we found some statistical differences in the demographic characteristics. Patients with CMV hepatitis seemed to have a higher age and weight at admission than those with IHC and CMV-IgM (-). According to a previous study, some CMV infections were acquired in the neonatal or infantile period [28]. Thus, these patients might develop clinical symptoms later in their lives and have a later referral age compared to those without CMV infection. Moreover, our results also showed that infants with CMV-IgM (+) BA were older at admission and had higher ALT and AST levels than those with CMV-IgM (-) BA. This result demonstrated a delayed referral for the subgroup with CMV-IgM (+) BA, and the elevated enzymes indicated a more serious liver cell injury and abnormal liver function in the CMV-IgM (+) BA group. Although Fischler et al. found that ongoing CMV infection had no association with survival rate with native liver after ten years of follow-up [29], Zani et al. reported that CMV IgM (+) BA showed a greater degree of inflammation, fibrosis, and a poorer outcome with reduced clearance of jaundice, native liver survival, and increased mortality [9]. Therefore, we should realize that the procedures used to differentiate BA from IHC should not be interrupted even in the presence of a positive IgM serology for CMV.

Since up to 28.8% of BA patients demonstrated serum IgM CMV positivity in this study, we had enough samples to determine whether there were any differences in identifying BA from IHC stratified by CMV infection. By comparing CMV hepatitis and BA with positive CMV-IgM, we noted higher GGT and DBIL levels, clay stool, abnormal gallbladder, TC sign, and positive finding of HBS in BA with CMV-IgM (+) than in the CMV hepatitis group. These results could also be found in patients with BA and IHC in the negative CMV-IgM group. Further analysis also demonstrated that the diagnostic performance of these predictors between CMV hepatitis and CMV-IgM (+) BA was quite similar to that in the CMV-IgM (-) BA and CMV-IgM (-) IHC groups. Thus, we speculated that the presence of CMV infection did not affect routine examinations to differentiate BA from IHC.

Moreover, to differentiate BA from IHC in the presence or absence of CMV infection, GGT had the best AUC among the diagnostic methods, and the result was similar to that of the study by Lertudomphonwanit et al. [30]. However, its specificity (75.4–69.7%) and sensitivity (81.4–81.9%) were not acceptable for clinicians to identify BA. The positive findings of the TC sign or HBS showed good specificity (96.8–98.3%) or sensitivity (97.2–98.3%), while their low sensitivity (35.1–37.9%) or specificity (46.6–33.3%) limited their use in discriminating BA. No test is currently 100% accurate; thus, all of these results should be considered compositely to solve this diagnostic challenge.

There are limitations to this study that must be considered during the interpretation of the findings. First, besides CMV antibody detection, CMV DNA using the PCR method is also regarded as a standard method for diagnosing CMV infection. Some reports assumed that CMV infection by CMV-IgM alone appeared insufficient because of the considerable number of false-negative cases [31]. Zhao et al. found that the overall positive rate of CMV DNA was 29.08%, while the positive rate of CMV-IgM was 10.40% in patients with HIV/AIDS [32]. Our reports might underestimate the exact number of CMV infections, and the universal inspection of CMV PCR testing would be proposed in later clinical practice. Second, our study was conducted in three different hospitals over a long period and hence some information bias could not be excluded. For example, various US and HBS scanners with various protocols were used by multiple radiologists. These conditions might introduce inherent disparities in the evaluation.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study is one of the large-scale descriptive studies on NC infants in China. We reinforced the different spectra of BA and CMV infections in China. The presence of CMV could not exclude the diagnosis of BA. In addition, no method was found to have adequate sensitivity and specificity to differentiate BA from IHC with or without CMV. Therefore, in the clinical approach for an infant with cholestasis, extensive investigations are still recommended for timely diagnosis and appropriate management, even if CMV-IgM is detected.AbbreviationsCMVCytomegalovirusBABiliary atresiaNC-Neonatal cholestasisHBSHepatobiliary scintigraphyDBILDirect bilirubinTBILTotal bilirubinIOCIntraoperative cholangiographyl-HCIntrahepatic cholestasisALTAlanine transaminaseASTAspartate transaminaseGGT_Y-Glutamyl transpeptidaseTCTriangular cordAUCArea under the curve

Abbreviations

		• •
7 10 /1 1 /	l'internação	017111110
	I VIIIIIEVA	
CIVIV	Cytonicgu	lovn us

- BA Biliary atresia
- NC Neonatal cholestasis
- HBS Hepatobiliary scintigraphy
- DBIL Direct bilirubin

- TBIL Total bilirubin
- IOC Intraoperative cholangiography
- IHC Intrahepatic cholestasis
- ALT Alanine transaminase
- AST Aspartate transaminase
- GGT γ -Glutamyl transpeptidase
- TC Triangular cord
- AUC Area under the curve

Funding

This work was supported by Shanghai Municipal Commission of Health and Family Planning (2016ZB0103).

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Gottesman LE, Del Vecchio MT, Aronoff SC. Etiologies of conjugated hyperbilirubinemia in infancy: a systematic review of 1692 subjects. BMC Pediatr 2015;15:192, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12887-015-0506-5.
- [2] Serinet MO, Wildhaber BE, Broue P, Lachaux A, Sarles J, Jacquemin E, et al. Impact of age at Kasai operation on its results in late childhood and adolescence: a rational basis for biliary atresia screening. Pediatrics 2009;123:1280–6, http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2008-1949.
- [3] Sira MM, Taha M, Sira AM. Common misdiagnoses of biliary atresia. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;26:1300-5, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MEG. 0000000000000198.
- [4] Saito T, Terui K, Mitsunaga T, Nakata M, Ono S, Mise N, et al. Evidence for viral infection as a causative factor of human biliary atresia. J Pediatr Surg 2015;50:1398–404, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2015.04.006.
- [5] Zhang XY, Fang F. Congenital human cytomegalovirus infection and neurologic diseases in newborns. Chin Med J (Engl) 2019;132:2109–18, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1097/CM9.00000000000404.
- [6] Shanmugam NP, Jayanthi V. Biliary atresia with cytomegalovirus. Indian Pediatr 2012;49:157–8, author reply 8.
- [7] Tarr PI, Haas JE, Christie DL, Biliary atresia, cytomegalovirus, and age at referral. Pediatrics 1996;97:828–31.
- [8] Oliveira NL, Kanawaty FR, Costa SC, Hessel G. Infection by cytomegalovirus in patients with neonatal cholestasis. Arq Gastroenterol 2002;39:132–6, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0004-28032002000200012.
- [9] Zani A, Quaglia A, Hadzic N, Zuckerman M, Davenport M. Cytomegalovirusassociated biliary atresia: an aetiological and prognostic subgroup. J Pediatr Surg 2015;50:1739–45, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2015.03.001.
- [10] Hill R, Quaglia A, Hussain M, Hadzic N, Mieli-Vergani G, Vergani D, et al. Th-17 cells infiltrate the liver in human biliary atresia and are related to surgical outcome. J Pediatr Surg 2015;50:1297–303, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg. 2015.02.005.
- [11] Feldman AG, Sokol RJ. Neonatal cholestasis: emerging molecular diagnostics and potential novel therapeutics. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;16:346–60, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41575-019-0132-z.
- [12] Dong R, Jiang J, Zhang S, Shen Z, Chen G, Huang Y, et al. Development and validation of novel diagnostic models for biliary atresia in a large cohort of chinese patients. EBioMedicine 2018;34:223–30, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. ebiom.2018.07.025.
- [13] Hartley J, Harnden A, Kelly D. Biliary atresia. BMJ 2010;340:c2383, http://dx. doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c2383.
- [14] Russo P, Magee JC, Anders RA, Bove KE, Chung C, Cummings OW, et al. Key histopathologic features of liver biopsies that distinguish biliary atresia from other causes of infantile cholestasis and their correlation with outcome: a multicenter study. Am J Surg Pathol 2016;40:1601–15, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ PAS.000000000000755.
- [15] Lee WS, Chai PF. Clinical features differentiating biliary atresia from other causes of neonatal cholestasis. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2010;39:648–54.
- [16] Orso G, Mandato C, Veropalumbo C, Cecchi N, Garzi A, Vajro P. Pediatric parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease and cholestasis: novel advances in pathomechanisms-based prevention and treatment. Dig Liver Dis 2016;48:215–22, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2015.11.003.
- [17] Kim JR, Hwang JY, Yoon HM, Jung AY, Lee JS, Kim JRS, et al. Risk estimation for biliary atresia in patients with neonatal cholestasis: development and validation of a risk score. Radiology 2018;288:262–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1148/ radiol.2018172390.
- [18] Lee HJ, Lee SM, Park WH, Choi SO. Objective criteria of triangular cord sign in biliary atresia on US scans. Radiology 2003;229:395–400, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1148/radiol.292020472.
- [19] Goel A, Chaudhari S, Sutar J, Bhonde G, Bhatnagar S, Patel V, et al. Detection of cytomegalovirus in liver tissue by polymerase chain reaction in infants with

neonatal cholestasis. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2018;37:632–6, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1097/INF.00000000001889.

- [20] Jain M, Adkar S, Waghmare C, Jain J, Jain S, Jain K, et al. Neonatal cholestasis—single centre experience in Central India. Indian J Community Med 2016;41:299–301, http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0970-0218.193331.
- [21] Stormon MO, Dorney SF, Kamath KR, O'Loughlin EV, Gaskin KJ. The changing pattern of diagnosis of infantile cholestasis. J Paediatr Child Health 2001;37:47–50, http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1754.2001.00613.x.
- [22] Rauschenfels S, Krassmann M, Al-Masri AN, Verhagen W, Leonhardt J, Kuebler JF, et al. Incidence of hepatotropic viruses in biliary atresia. Eur J Pediatr 2009;168:469–76, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00431-008-0774-2.
- [23] Xu Y, Yu J, Zhang R, Yin Y, Ye J, Tan L, et al. The perinatal infection of cytomegalovirus is an important etiology for biliary atresia in China. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 2012;51:109–13, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0009922811406264.
- [24] Shen C, Zheng S, Wang W, Xiao XM. Relationship between prognosis of biliary atresia and infection of cytomegalovirus. World J Pediatr 2008;4:123–6, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12519-008-0024-8.
- [25] Song Z, Dong R, Shen Z, Chen G, Yang Y, Zheng S. Surgical outcome and etiologic heterogeneity of infants with biliary atresia who received Kasai operation less than 60 days after birth: a retrospective study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e7267, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/md.000000000007267.
- [26] Wang S, Wang T, Zhang W, Liu X, Wang X, Wang H, et al. Cohort study on maternal cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and prevalence and clinical manifestations of congenital infection in China. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e6007, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000006007.

- [27] Parolini F, Hadzic N, Davenport M. Adjuvant therapy of cytomegalovirus IgM +ve associated biliary atresia: prima facie evidence of effect. J Pediatr Surg 2019;54:1941–5, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2018.12.014.
- [28] Patel RM, Shenvi N, Knezevic A, Hinkes M, Bugg GW, Stowell SR, et al. Observational study of cytomegalovirus from breast milk and necrotising enterocolitis. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2019, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2018-316613.
- [29] Fischler B, Svensson JF, Nemeth A. Early cytomegalovirus infection and the longterm outcome of biliary atresia. Acta Paediatr 2009;98:1600–2, http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2009.01416.x.
- [30] Lertudomphonwanit C, Mourya R, Fei L, Zhang Y, Gutta S, Yang L, et al. Largescale proteomics identifies MMP-7 as a sentinel of epithelial injury and of biliary atresia. Sci Transl Med 2017;9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed. aan8462.
- [31] Ohyama S, Fujioka K, Fukushima S, Abe S, Ashina M, Ikuta T, et al. Diagnostic value of cytomegalovirus IgM antibodies at birth in PCR-confirmed congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ iims20133239.
- [32] Zhao M, Zhuo C, Li Q, Liu L. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection in HIV/AIDS patients and diagnostic values of CMV-DNA detection across different sample types. Ann Palliat Med 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-1352.