- 11. Boutross-Tadross O, Saleh R, Asa SL. Follicular variant papillary thyroid carcinoma arising in struma ovarii. Endocr Pathol. 2007;18:182–6.
- De Simone CP, Lele SM, Modesitt SC. Malignant struma ovarii: a case report and analysis of cases reported in the literature with focus on survival and I¹³¹ therapy. Gynecol Oncol. 2003;89:543-8.

Wilfredo Guanipa Sierra^{a,*}, Pablo Fernández Catalina^a, Mónica Álvarez Martínez^b y Rosa Figueroa López^c

^a Servicio de Endocrinología, Complejo Hospitalario de Pontevedra, Pontevedra, España

A fifty-one year old woman with raised testosterone concentration

Mujer de 51 años con concentración sérica de testosterona elevada

Testosterone is the hormone responsible for secondary sexual characteristics in men, in whom the serum concentration is 10 times higher than in women. A raised testosterone concentration in women may be due to various diseases, such as polycystic ovary syndrome, congenital adrenal hyperplasia, and adrenal or ovarian tumors, among other ovarian or adrenal disorders.

In the absence of clinical symptoms, a testosterone concentration above the reference interval may be due to interference in the immunoassay¹⁻⁴.

Case report

A 51-year-old woman who started her menopause a year previously, in good general health and not on any medication, had goiter as the only medical history of interest, for which periodical thyroid hormone monitoring was performed. In december 2008, her testosterone concentration was studied fortuitously, with a value of 5.47 ng/mL (table 1) (reference interval 0.2-0.8 ng/mL). The testosterone level was measured in an UniCel DxI 800 autoanalyzer (Beckman Coulter[®], CA USA), an automated chemiluminescence immunoassay system. To confirm this value, the analysis was repeated and the same result was obtained. A new sample was requested, which was processed in the same autoanalyzer. A testosterone concentration of 5.76 ng/mL was found (table 1). The concentration of other androgens and hypophyseal hormones was studied for a possible subclinical disorder. The results obtained were as follows: androstenedione 1.1 ng/mL (0.4-4.5); dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEA-S) 900 ng/mL (700-3,900); sex hormone binding globulin 40 nmol/L (11-124); 170H-progesterone 0.67 ng/mL (<4); cortisol 13.4 µg/dL (8-25); thyroid stimulating hormone 2.4 µU/mL (0.3-4.8); FSH 61.9 mU/mL (42-126); luteinizing hormone 31.9 mU/mL (11-50); prolactin 4.7 ng/mL (6.0-29.9).

To rule out possible interferences in the immunoassay, serial dilutions⁵ were performed with the Access Testosterone Calibrator 0, at 1:1; 1:2; 1:4 and 1:8, with the following

^b Servicio de Anatomía Patológica, Complejo Hospitalario de Pontevedra, Pontevedra, España

^c Servicio de Radiología, Complejo Hospitalario de Pontevedra, Pontevedra, España

* Autor para correspondencia. *Correo electrónico*: wguanipa@gmail.com (W. Guanipa Sierra). doi:10.1016/j.endonu.2010.07.003

results: 5.62 ng/mL; 4.97 ng/mL; 4.96 ng/mL and 4 ng/mL respectively (table 1).

To rule out any possible artefacts in the chemiluminescence system, various parameters (TSH, FT4, AFP, CEA, cortisol and testosterone) were determined in the same autoanalyzer. All the results, except those for testosterone, fell within the reference interval.

Alternatively, the sample was processed in the Modular E170 (Roche[®] Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim), an automated electrochemiluminescence immunoassay system. A testosterone concentration of 0.30 ng/mL was found (reference interval 0.06-0.82 ng/mL) (table 1). The sample was also processed by radioimmunoassay in the solid phase, Coat-A-Count Testosterone Total (Siemens[®], CA USA), and a concentration of 0.26 ng/mL was found (0.04 -0.62) (table 1).

Finally, extraction with diethyl ether was performed, prior to immunoassay², and the testosterone concentration was measured in the same autoanalyzer. A result of 0.28 ng/mL (table 1) was found.

In view of the absence of clinical symptoms compatible with hyperandrogenism, a raised testosterone concentration in a woman when other androgen concentrations are normal suggests interference in the immunoassay used^{6,7}.

Method used	First sample	Second sample
Chemiluminescence Immunoassay UniCef Dxl 800 (Beckman Coulter)		
Direct ¹ / ₂ Dilution ¹ / ₄ Dilution 1/8 Dilution Extraction [*]	5.47	5.76 (1) 4.97 4.96 4.0 0.28
Electrochemiluminescence Immunoassay (Roche)		0.30 (2)
Radioimmunoassay (Coat-A-Count Testosterone)		0.26 (3)

Reference values (1): 0.2-0.8; (2): 0.06-0.82; (3): 0.04-0.62 ng/mL.

* Previous extraction using ethyl ether.

Direct immunoassay of testosterone in women is subject to various types of interference, which tend to result in overestimation of the true concentration⁸. Cross-reactions due to drugs are common, but not in our patient since she was not taking any medication. DHEA-S, when found at high concentrations, may produce interference due to cross-reaction in the testosterone analysis⁹. The analysis performed in our patient showed normal values of this hormone.

The interference was eliminated by extraction with diethyl ether prior to the analysis, demonstrating that the cause was a hydrosoluble substance that may provoke a cross-reaction in some competitive immunoassays^{2,3}.

The reference method for determining testosterone and other steroids is liquid chromatography followed by mass spectrometry¹⁰. Improved specificity of these methods will lead to more reliable and meaningful testosterone results in female patients. Until these methods are available in clinical laboratories, both laboratorians and clinicians should be aware of the possibility of interference in immunoassays.

Conclusions: When unusual steroid results are found in immunoassays, we recommend the following: (a) the use of a different method to process the sample and (b) extraction with ethyl ether before immunoassay. The latter is useful to eliminate interference by hydrophilic substances and is essential when a raised testosterone concentration is found in a woman.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Javier Lugo for testosterone determination using the Roche Diagnostics autoanalyzer.

Bibliografía

1. Ismail Y, Ismail AA, Ismail AAA. Erroneos laboratory results: what clinicians need to know. Clin Med. 2007;7:357-61.

- Heald AH, Butterworth A, Kane JW, Borzomato J, Taylor NF, Layton T, et al. Investigation into possible causes of interference in serum testosterone measurement in women. Ann Clin Biochem. 2006;43:189–95.
- Klee GG. Interferences in hormone immunoassays. Clin Lab Med. 2004;24:1–18.
- Kane J, Middle J, Cawood M. Measurement of serum testosterone in women; what should we do? Ann Clin Biochem. 2007;44:5–15.
- Ismail AAA. On detecting interference from endogenous antibodies in immunoassays by doubling dilutions test. Clin Chem. 2007;45:851-4.
- Hancock S, Rachel A, Still RA, Fielding AM, Doran JF, Stephens JW. A rare cause of Cushing's syndrome demonstrates analytical discrepancies between the Roche E170 and Bayer Centaur testosterone assays. Ann Clin Biochem. 2008;45: 328–30.
- 7. Herold DA, Fitzgerald RL. Immunoassays for testosterone in women: better than a guess? Clin Chem. 2003;49:1250–1.
- Rosner W, Auchus RJ, Azziz R, Sluss PM, Raff H. Position statement: Utility, limitations, and pitfalls in measuring testosterone: an Endocrine Society position statement. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007;92:405–13.
- Middle JG. Dehydroepiandrostenedione sulphate interferes in many direct immunoassays for testosterone. Ann Clin Biochem. 2007;44:173–7.
- Soldin SJ, Soldin OP. Steroid hormone analysis by tandem mass spectrometry. Clin Chem. 2009;55:1061–6.

Noel Hernández^a, Montserrat Mauri^{b,*}, Rocío Alfayate^a, María Eugenia Torregrosa^b, Virtudes Chinchilla^a

 ^a Laboratorio de hormonas, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, España
^b Servicio Análisis Clínicos, Hospital Marina Baixa, Villajoyosa, Alicante, España

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* mauri_mon@gva.es (M. Mauri). doi:10.1016/j.endonu.2010.10.006