BRAZILIAN JOURNAL OF MICROBIOLOGY



http://www.bjmicrobiol.com.br/



Short communication

Shigatoxigenic and atypical enteropathogenic Escherichia coli in fish for human consumption



Marita Vedovelli Cardozo^{a,*}, Clarissa Araújo Borges^a, Lívia Gerbasi Beraldo^a, Renato Pariz Maluta^b, Andressa Souza Pollo^a, Mariana Monezi Borzi^a, Luis Fernando dos Santos^c, Subhashinie Kariyawasam^d, Fernando Antônio de Ávila^a

^a Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias, Jaboticabal, SP, Brazil

^b Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Instituto de Biologia, Campinas, SP, Brazil

^c Instituto Adolfo Lutz, Centro de Bacteriologia, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^d Pennsylvania State University, College of Agricultural, State College, PA, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 September 2017 Accepted 28 February 2018 Available online 21 May 2018 Associate Editor: Beatriz Cabilio Guth

Keywords: EPEC Fish MLST STEC Virulence genes

ABSTRACT

Shigatoxigenic and enteropathogenic *Escherichia* coli with virulence and multidrug resistance profile were isolated from Nile tilapia. This study finding is of great importance to public health because they help understand this pathogen epidemiology in fish and demonstrate how these animals can transmit *E*. coli related diseases to humans.

© 2018 Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Escherichia coli (E. coli) is not a natural inhabitant of the fish microbiota, nevertheless, it can be isolated from these animals gut due to its presence in contaminated aquatic environments.¹ It is worth noticing that this microorganism have pathogenic strains standing out as emerging zoonotic potential, as well as shigatoxigenic (STEC) and enteropathogenic (EPEC) *E. coli.* STEC strains produce the shiga toxin (Stx), which is its main virulence factor. There are two classes of shiga toxin, Stx1 and Stx2, with the last one presenting seven subtypes.² The EPEC may be either typical or

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: maritavedovelli@yahoo.com.br (M.V. Cardozo).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2018.02.013

atypical, with the atypical strains do not carrying virulence factor that encodes the bundle-forming pilus (bfp), but it carries the *eae* gene, that is located at the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE), which is a pathogenicity island, that promote attaching and effacing lesions (A/E). The ability to induce A/E lesions is mediated by genes located on the LEE, as well as additional ones that are outside of it.³

Several studies have analyzed STEC and EPEC, and their virulence in humans,⁴ cattle,⁵ sheep,⁶ pigs,⁷ and buffaloes.⁸ However, only a few studies have analyzed the presence of STEC and EPEC in fish^{9,10} and, of these, none has detected presence of adhesion and ESBL genes. In addition, none has performed the stx2 subtyping in STEC strains from fish. In this regard, this pioneer study aimed to compare the prevalence

^{1517-8382/© 2018} Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

of STEC and EPEC strains in intensively farmed fish and freeliving fish; as well as to detect their virulence and antibiotic resistant profile and analyze their genetic similarity looking for how these fishes contribute to humans infections.

The Ethics Committee on Animal Use (CEUA) approved this study under the protocol number 04076/14. Primers used are described in Table 1. The samples were collected from the fish species *Oreochromis niloticus*, from six different fish farms and three ranches located at northeast region of Sao Paulo state. A total of 472 samples were collected. Three hundred and seventy three (373) samples were obtained from fish farm animals and of these, 275 were from stools, 80 from muscles and 18 from the nurseries water. The other 99 samples were obtained from free-living fish, these been 90 from stools and nine from the river water. Samples were transferred to tubes containing BHI broth (Brain Heart Infusion) and after an incubation period, the DNA were extracted by thermal lysis according to Borges.⁷

Screening for the detection of STEC and EPEC strain were based on the, stx1, stx2 and *eae* genes detection by multiplex PCR.⁷ When one of these genes were detected, individual colonies from each sample were tested by PCR to isolate STEC and EPEC strains according to the protocol available at www.apzec.ca/en/APZEC/Protocols/pdfs/ECL_PCR_Protocol.pdf. This methodology is in accordance to the OIE Reference Laboratory for *Escherichia* coli (EcL – Faculté de Médecine Véterinaire, Université de Montréal). Isolates were further submitted to another PCR to detect others virulence genes as follow: *bfpA*, *ehxA*, *saa*, *iha*, toxB, *efa*1, lpfA_{O113}, lpfA_{O157/OI-141}, lpfA_{O157/OI-154}, *astA* and *paa* genes. The Stx2 variants analysis was performed by stx2 subtyping according to Scheutz.²

The antimicrobial susceptibility test was performed using the disc diffusion method.³⁰ The antimicrobials chosen were the ones most used in fish farming and which are important for the detection of resistance genes dissemination. In this regard, drugs tested were ampicillin ($10 \mu g$), cephalothin ($30 \mu g$), streptomycin ($10 \mu g$), gentamicin ($10 \mu g$), ciprofloxacin ($5 \mu g$), chloramphenicol ($30 \mu g$), tetracycline ($30 \mu g$), nitrofurantoin ($300 \mu g$), nalidixic acid ($30 \mu g$), sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim ($25 \mu g$), ceftriaxone ($30 \mu g$), cefoxitin ($30 \mu g$), kanamycin ($30 \mu g$), norfloxacin ($10 \mu g$), enrofloxacin ($5 \mu g$) (Oxoid). In addition, *E. coli* isolates were screened for extendedspectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) genes for the $bla_{\rm CTX-M}$ genotype groups 1, 2, 8, 9 and 25, the $bla_{\rm TEM}$, and the $bla_{\rm SHV}$.¹¹

Phylogenetic E. coli groups' classification was performed according to the methodology proposed by Clermont.¹² Serotyping was performed at the E. coli Reference Center (EcRc) at Pennsylvania State University. The O somatic antigen were determinate by agglutination plates, also the PCR-RFLP of *fliC* gene, which encodes flagella, were performed to determine the H flagella antigen. Somatic antigens used were O1 to O187, with the exception of O31, O47, O67, O72, O94, O122 and the flagellar antigens used were H1 to H49, except H17, since these serogroups still not have been designated.

The isolates were also characterized by PFGE pattern of the PulseNet protocol as described by Ribot.¹³ Briefly, the chromosomal DNA was digested with Xba1 and the electrophoresis conditions were an initial time of 2.2 s and an end time of 54.2 s in a gradient of 6V and the gels were electrophoresed for 21 h. The fragment similarities were compared using the Dice coefficient and the dendrogram was constructed by neighbor-joining grouping using BioNumerics software (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). MLST was performed following the Achtmans's scheme (http://mlst.ucc.ie/mlst/dbs/Ecoli), through the sequencing of the PCR amplification products of the *adk*, *fumC*, *gyrB*, *icd*, *mdh*, *purA*, and *recA* genes. The generated sequences were trimmed and analyzed by the Phred/Phrap/Consed software package.

All the results are shown in Fig. 1. Of the 373 analyzed samples from the fish farm, one (0.2%), from stools, tested positive for a STEC related gene (isolate 125F5). Of the 99 free-living fish analyzed samples, six (6%), also from stools, were positive for at least one of the STEC or EPEC related genes (isolates 6F8, 9F8, 10F8, 12F8, 24F8 and 30F8). In addition, all six isolates were collected from the same location, and the stx1, stx2 and *eae* genes were detected. None of the muscle or water samples tested were positive for the STEC or EPEC markers investigated. Isolates from the fish farms were positive for *ehxA*, *lpfA*₀₁₁₃ and *saa* virulence genes. Also, strains from the free-living fish presented *astA*, *ehxA*, *lpfA*₀₁₁₃, *saa*, *efa*1 and *paa* genes. Regarding Stx2 toxin variants, the subtypes stx2a, stx2c and stx2d were observed at the same isolate.

100	Isolate*	stx	eae I	lpfAO113	ehxA	saa	efa1	paa	astA	Phylogeny	Serotype†	stx2	ST‡	CC§	Resistence
		1, 2		+						B1	O39:H14	а	301	165	AMP, CFL, TET
	30F8			+					+		ONT:HNT		2607		AMP, CFL
1 1100011010	125F5	2		+	+	+				B1	ONT:H18	a,c,d	3884		all ^{II} , except SUT ¹
	6F8	2		+						B1	O55:HNT	a,d	446	446	AMP, CFL
1	10F8			+						A	ONT:H36		942		AMP, CFL, TET
	24F8	1	+	+	+		+	+		B1	O116:HNT		16	29	AMP, CFL, TET
	12F8	2	+	+	+	+				B1	O116:H36	a,d	58	155	none

* F5: fecal sample from fish farm animal; F8: fecal sample from wild animal; 10F8 is the only aEPEC strain and the others, STEC strains.

† NT: nontypeable

‡ ST: Sequence type from MLST

§ CC: Complement from MLST ST

I all: AMP-Ampicillin, CFL-Cephalothin, EST-Streptomycin, GEN-gentamicin, CIP-Ciprofloxacin, CLO - Chloramphenicol, TET - Tetracycline, NIT-Nitrofurantoin,

NAL - Nalidixic Acid, CRO - Ceftriaxone, CFO - Cefoxitin, KAN - kanamycin, NOR- Norfloxacin, ENO - Enrofloxacin.

¶ SUT-sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim

Fig. 1 – A dendrogram representing the genetic similarity relationship and virulence indicators in STEC and aEPEC isolates from fish.

Primers	it the primers used in PCR reactions. Sequences	Size	Referen
	· ·		
stx ₁ -F	AGAGCGATGTTACGGTTTG	388	32
stx ₁ -R	TTGCCCCCAGAGTGGATG	007	00
stx ₂ -F	TGGGTTTTTCTTCGGTATC	807	32
stx ₂ -R eae -F	GACATTCTGGTTGACTCTCTT AGGCTTCGTCACAGTTG	570	32
eae -F eae -R	CCATCGTCACCAGAGGA	370	32
bfpA - F	GGAAGTCAAAATTCATGGGGGTAT	300	33
bfpA -R	GGAATCAGACGCAGACTGGTAGT	300	55
ehxA -F	GGTGCAGCAGAAAAAGTTGTA G	340	34
zhxA -R	TCTCGCCTGATAGTGTTTGGT A	510	51
saa- F	CGTGATGAACAGGCTATTGC	119	17
saa -R	ATGGACATGCCTGTGGCAAC		
iha -F	CAGTTCAGTTTCGCATTCACC	1305	35
ha -R	GTATGGCTCTGATGCGATG		
toxB -F	ATACCTACCTGCTCTGGATTGA	602	36
toxB -R	TTCTTACCTGATCTGATGCAGC		
efa1- F	GAGACTGCCAGAGAAAG	479	37
efa1-R	GGTATTGTTGCATGTTCAG		
pfA ₀₁₁₃ - F	ATGAAGCGTAATATTATAG	573	38
pfAO113- R	TTATTTCTTATATTCGAC		
pfA _{0157/0I-141} -F	CTGCGCATTGCCGTAAC	412	39
<i>p</i> fA _{0157/0I-141} -R	ATTTACAGGCGAGATCGTG		
<i>pfA</i> _{0157/0I-154-} F	GCAGGTCACCTACAGGCGGC	525	34
<i>pfA</i> _{0157/0I-154-} R	CTGCGAGTCGGCGTTAGCTG		
astA - F	TCGGATGCCATCAACACAGT	125	24
astA-R	GTCGCGAGTGACGGCTTTGTAG		
paa -F	ATGAGGAACATAATGGCAGG	360	23
paa-R	TCTGGTCAGGTCGTCAATAC		
CTX-M group 1- F	TTAGGAARTGTGCCGCTGYA	668	11
CTX-M group 1- R	CGATATCGTTGGTGGTRCCAT		
CTX-M group 2 - F	CGTTAACGGCACGATGAC	404	11
CTX-M group 2 - R	CGATATCGTTGGTGGTRCCAT		
CTX-M group 8/25 F	AACRCRCAGACGCTCTAC	326	11
CTX-M group 8/25R	TCGAGCCGGAASGTGTYAT		
CTX-M group 9 - F	TCAAGCCTGCCGATCTGGT	561	11
CTX-M group 9 - R	TGATTCTCGCCGCTGAAG		
TEM - F	CATTTCCGTGTCGCCCTTATTC	800	11
ГЕМ - R	CATTTCCGTGTCGCCCTTATTC		
SHV - F	AGCCGCTTGAGCAAATTAAAC	713	11
SHV - R	ATCCCGCAGATAAATCACCAC	a 17	
stx2a-F	GCGATACTGRGBACTGTGGCC	347	2
stx2a-R	GCCACCTTCACTGTGAATGTG	054	0
stx2b-F	AAATATGAAGAAGATATTTGTAGCGGC	251	2
stx2b-R	CAGCAAATCCTGAACCTGACG	177	0
stx2c-F	GAAAGTCACAGTTTTTATATACAACGGGTA	177	2
stx2c-R		179	2
stx2d-F	AAARTCACAGTCTTTATATACAACGGGTG	179	2
stx2d-R	TTYCCGGCCACTTTTACTGTG	411	2
stx2e-F stx2e-R	CGGAGTTACGGGGAGAGGC CTTCCTGACACCTTCACAGTAAAGGT	411	2
stx2f-F	TGGGCGTCATTCACTGGTTG	424	2
stx2f-R	TAATGGCCGCCCTGTCTCC	424	2
stx2g-F	CACCGGGTAGTTATATTTCTGTGGATATC	573	2
stx2g-F stx2g-R	GATGGCAATTCAGAATAACCGCT	375	2
adk-F	ATTCTGCTTGGCGCTCCGGG	583	а
adk-R	CCGTCAACTTTCGCGTATTT	COC	
uuk-K fumC-F	TCACAGGTCGCCAGCGCTTC	806	а
umC-F fumC-R	GTACGCAGCGAAAAAGATTC	000	
итс-к gyrB-F	TCGGCGACACGGATGACGGC	911	а
	ATCAGGCCTTCACGCGCATC	511	
gyrB-R icd-F	ATGGAAAGTAAAGTAGTTGTTCCGGCACA	878	а
ICU 1	TI GOLUNU ILUNU ILUNI LUU LUUGUGGAGA	0/0	

Table 1 – (Continue	2d)					
Primers	Sequences	Size	Reference			
mdh-F	ATGAAAGTCGCAGTCCTCGGCGCTGCTGGCGG	932	а			
mdh-R	TTAACGAACTCCTGCCCCAGAGCGATATCTTTCTT					
purA-F	CGCGCTGATGAAAGAGATGA	816	а			
purA-R	CATACGGTAAGCCACGCAGA					
recA	CGCATTCGCTTTACCCTGACC	780	а			
recA	AGCGTGAAGGTAAAACCTGTG					
^a http://mlst.warwick.ac.uk/mlst/dbs/Ecoli/documents/primersColi_html.						

From the 15 antimicrobial drugs tested, the isolates originated from the fish farm animals were resistant to 14 of them, while the isolates from the free-living fish were resistant to three antimicrobials and no ESBL genes were found. All of the STEC strains belong to group B1 and the aEPEC strain to group A as in accord with the classification of Clermont.¹² From seven isolates analyzed by serotyping, three were nontypeable for the O antigen, and three isolates were nontypeable for the H antigen. Thus, the groups detected were O55, O39, O116, H14, H18 and H36; and their serotype is shown in Fig. 1. Seven isolates possessed a heterogeneous profile, by PFGE analysis, and seven distinct sequence types (STs) with four clonal groups (CCs) detected by the MLST technique.

Although STEC and aEPEC strains isolated from fish are not natural inhabitants of its microbiota, these strains can colonize the fish through a contaminated environment of which they live.¹ In both establishments that these positive strains were isolated, presence of cattle were observed around the nurseries and rivers. It is important to notice that bovine is considered the main reservoir of pathogenic *E.* coli.²⁶

Moreover, in this study, all muscle and water samples were negative for the presence of STEC or EPEC. This result should not be taken lightly, because the samples were collected by dissecting the animal using aseptic conditions so that muscles samples were carefully separated from the intestinal content, which it does not occur at the fishermen or slaughterhouses daily practice. Commonly, a cut is made between the anus and the fish' head, releasing all of its intestinal contents and, in the process, contaminating the muscle. In this regard, according to Kim,³¹ pathogenic *E. coli* can enter the human food chain mainly through food contamination. Moreover, none of the water sample tested positive for STEC or EPEC and this was associated with the large water flow at the nurseries and rivers.

These pathogens have already detected in Brazil^{4,6–8,14}; and in other countries such as United States,¹⁵ Argentina,¹⁶ Netherlands,²⁶ Iran,¹⁸ Tunisia,¹⁹ and Australia.²⁰ These studies, as well as the present one, are fundamental to understand these pathogens epidemiology, since they have great importance in animal and public health.

In this study, one STEC strain with *eae* gene also contained several other genes, *efa1*, *ehxA*, *lpfA*₀₁₁₃ and *paa*, which have been associated with cases of diarrhea.^{21,22} The presence of *saa* gene was shown to be closely related to the presence of the *ehxA* gene in STEC strains devoid of *eae* gene, regardless of their serotype.^{4,23} In an STEC isolated, the presence of astA gene, which is important for pathogenesis of diarrhea and plays a key role in this strain' virulence,²⁴ was observed.

Also, the lpfA_{O113} gene was shown in previous reports to have a high prevalence in STEC isolated from different animals.⁴ Regarding Stx2 toxin variants, the stx2a, stx2c and stx2d subtypes were observed in the same isolate; this combination is very unusual and makes this strain fairly unique and with aggravated virulence. The presence of stx2a, stx2c and stx2d subtype are often associated with the hemorrhagic colitis and with hemolytic uremic syndrome.²

The quinolones, tetracyclines, aminoglycosides and amphenicols are the most commonly used antimicrobials in fish farming²⁵ and thus, it may explain the multiresistance observed in the fish farm isolates. All of the tested quinolones, tetracyclines, aminoglycosides and amphenicols were ineffective. Although it was observed multiresistance, no ESBL genes were found. The ESBL would confer an even greater risk for the raw fish consuming population, because these bacteria's can produce an enzyme that are able to hydrolyze the beta lactam ring of penicillins, cephalosporins and aztreonam, thus conferring resistance to these antimicrobials.¹¹ However, this multiresistance profile shows a phenotypic response from the isolates, and due to the selective pressure originated from the abusive use of broad-spectrum cephalosporins, according to data obtained in the present study, ESBL strains can emerge rapidly, as it is already observed in other animal productions.

According to the phylogenetic results of this study, it is confirmed that tropical populations can harbor strains of group A and B1 preferentially, which may be one of the factors that could explain tropical countries higher diarrhea frequency.²⁷ Although much is reported about the O157 strains, non-O157 STEC strains are the most prevalent in animals and food. For this reason, chances of humans becoming infected by these strains are large, indicating the importance of this serogroups to public health.⁵ Therefore, the O116 serogroup observed in the present study is relevant due to the fact that it is often associated with severe human disease. Furthermore, the strain with the flagelar antigen H18 which contains the stx2 but not the eae gene, has also shown frequent association with infections in animals.²⁸ Moreover, the ONT: H18 serotype in eae negative and saa positive strains, similarly to the ones in this study, has previously been detected in pathogenic E. coli in cattle,²⁹ thus emphasizing the fact that these animals were, likely, the source of this pathogen infection in fish.

The genetic diversity analysis showed that, although the isolates belonged to the same bacterial species, they had genetic diversities that were highlighted though the PFGE technique. The same was observed with the MSLT data, indicating that although they had a common ancestral origin, the transference of genetic information, though time, made this isolates very diverse, thus explaining their distinct phylogenetic classification.

Ours results shows that fish can harbor an important combination of Stx2 subtypes and putative adhesions genes. Also, it draws attention to the fact that the indiscriminate use of antibiotics in fish farming has the potential to endanger consumer health through the dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes. And finally, it highlights the role of STEC and aEPEC as foodborne pathogens in fish for human consumption.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank FAPESP for all research support granted (2011/07358-2 and 2011/15050-8).

REFERENCES

- 1. Guzmán MC, Bistoni MA, Tamagnini LM, et al. Recovery of Escherichia coli in fresh water fish, Jenynsia multidentata and Bryconamericus iheringi. Water Res. 2004;38:2368–2374.
- Scheutz F, Teel LD, Beutin L, et al. Multicenter evaluation of a sequence-based protocol for subtyping shiga toxins and standardizing Stx nomenclature. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50:2951–2963.
- Nguyen RN, Taylor LS, Tauschek M, et al. Atypical enteropathogenic Escherichia coli infection and prolonged diarrhea in children. Emerging Infect Dis. 2006;12:597–603.
- Cergole-Novella MC, Nishimura LS, Dos Santos LF, et al. Distribution of virulence profiles related to new toxins and putative adhesins in shiga toxin – producing Escherichia coli isolated from diverse sources in Brazil. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2007;274:329–334.
- Brusa V, Restovich V, Galli L, et al. Isolation and characterization of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli from beef carcasses, cuts and trimmings of abattoirs in Argentina. PLOS ONE. 2017;12(8):e0183248.
- Maluta RP, Fairbrother JM, Stella AE, et al. Potentially pathogenic Escherichia coli in healthy, pasture-raised sheep on farms and at the abattoir in Brazil. Vet Microbiol. 2013;169:89–95.
- 7. Borges CA, Beraldo LG, Maluta RP, et al. Shiga toxigenic and atypical enteropathogenic Escherichia coli in the feces and carcasses of slaughtered pigs. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2012;9:1119–1125.
- 8. Beraldo LG, Borges CA, Maluta RP, et al. Detection of Shiga toxigenic (STEC) and enteropathogenic (EPEC) Escherichia coli in dairy buffalo. Vet Microbiol. 2014;170:162–166.
- 9. Manna SK, Das R, Manna C. Microbiological quality of finfish and shellfish with special reference to shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia* coli O157. *J* Food Sci. 2008;73:283–286.
- Ribeiro LF, Barbosa MMC, Rezende Pinto F, et al. Shiga toxigenic and enteropathogenic Escherichia coli in water and fish from pay-to-fish ponds. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2016;62:216–220.
- 11. Dallenne CA, Da Costa D, Decré C, et al. Development of a set of multiplex PCR assays for the detection of genes encoding important β -lactamases in Enterobacteriaceae. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65:490–495.
- Clermont O, Christenson JK, Denamur E, et al. The Clermont Escherichia coli phylo-typing method revisited: improvement of specificity and detection of new phylo-groups. Environ Microbiol Rep. 2013;5:58–65.

- Ribot EM, Fair MA, Gautom R, et al. Standardization of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocols for the subtyping of Escherichia coli O157:H7, Salmonella, and Shigella for PulseNet. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2006;3:59–67.
- Lascowski KM, Guth BE, Martins FH, et al. Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in drinking water supplies of north Paraná State, Brazil. J Appl Microbiol. 2013;114:1230–1239.
- Herman KM, Hall AJ, Gould LH. Outbreaks attributed to fresh leafy vegetables, United States, 1973–2012. Epidemiol Infect. 2015;143:3011–3021.
- Bessone FA, Bessone G, Marini S, et al. Presence and characterization of Escherichia coli virulence genes isolated from diseased pigs in the central region of Argentina. Vet World. 2017;10:939–945.
- Paton AW, Paton JC. Multiplex PCR for direct detection of Shiga toxigenic Escherichia coli strains producing the novel subtilase cytotoxin. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:2944–2947.
- Miri ST, Dashti A, Mostaan S, et al. Identification of different Escherichia coli pathotypes in north and north-west provinces of Iran. Iran J Microbiol. 2017;9:33–37.
- **19.** Bessalah S, Fairbrother JM, Salhi I, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular characterization of virulence genes, phylogenetic groups of *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrheic and healthy camel-calves in Tunisia. *Comp Immunol* Microbiol Infect Dis. 2016;49:1–7.
- McPherson M, Kirk MD, Raupach J, et al. Economic costs of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli infection in Australia. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2011;8:55–62.
- Afset J, Bruant G, Brousseau R, et al. Identification of virulence genes linked with diarrhea due to atypical Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli by DNA microarray analysis and PCR. J Clin Microbiol. 2006;44:3703–3711.
- 22. Narimatsu H, Ogata K, Makino Y, et al. Distribution of non-locus of enterocyte effacement pathogenic island-related genes in Escherichia coli carrying eae from patients with diarrhea and healthy indi-viduals in Japan. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:4107–4114.
- 23. Savarino SJ, Fasano A, Watson J, et al. Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli heat-stable enterotoxin 1 represents another subfamily of E. coli heat-stable toxin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1993;90:3093–3097.
- 24. Ngeleka M, Pritchard J, Appleyard G, et al. Isolation and association of *Escherichia coli* AIDA-I/STb, rather than EAST1 pathotype, with diarrhea in piglets and antibiotic sensitivity of isolates. *J Vet Diagn Invest*. 2003;15:242–252.
- 25. Rigos G, Troisi GM. Antibacterial agents in Maditerranean finfish farming: a sinopsis of drug pharmacokinetics in important euryhaline fish species and possible environmental implications. *Rev Fish Biol Fish*. 2005;15: 53–55.
- 26. Mughini-Gras L, van Pelt W, van der Voort M, et al. Attribution of Human Infections with Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli (STEC) to Livestock Sources and Identification of Source-Specific Risk Factors, The Netherlands (2010–2014). Zoonoses Public Health; 2017.
- Escobar-Páramo P, Grenet K, Menac'h AL, et al. Large-scale population structure of human commensal Escherichia coli isolates. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004;70:5698–5700.
- 28. Eklund M, Scheutz F, Siitonen A. Clinical isolates of non-O157 shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli: serotypes, virulence characteristics, and molecular profiles of strains of the same serotype. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39:2829–2834.
- 29. Aidar-Ugrinovkch L, Blanco J, Blanco M, et al. Serotypes, virulence genes, and intimin types of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) and enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) isolated from calves in São Paulo, Brazil. Int J Food Microbiol. 2007;115:297–306.

- CLSI Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests. CLSI Document MO2-A10. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2009.
- Kim NH, Cho TJ, Rhee MS. Current interventions for controlling pathogenic Escherichia coli. Adv Appl Microbiol. 2017;100:1–47.
- 32. China B, Pirson V, Mainil J. Typing of bovine attaching and effacing Escherichia coli by multiplex in vitro amplification of virulence-associated genes. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1996;62:3462–3465.
- Vidal R, Vidal M, Lagos R, et al. Multiplex PCR for diagnosis of enteric infections associated with diarrheagenic Escherichia coli. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42:1787–1789.
- 34. Toma C, Martinez Espinosa E, Song T, et al. Distribution of putative adhesins in different seropathotypes of shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42:4937–4946.
- **35.** Schmidt H, Zhang WL, Hemmrich U, et al. Identification and characterization of a novel genomic island integrated at *selC* in Locus of Enterocyte Effacement-negative, Shiga

toxin-producing Escherichia coli. Infect Immun. 2001;69:6863–6873.

- 36. Tarr CL, Large TM, Moeller CL, et al. Molecular characterization of a serotype O121:H19 clone, a distinct Shiga toxin-producing clone of pathogenic Escherichia coli. Infect Immun. 2001;70:6853–6859.
- 37. Nicholls L, Grant T, Robins-Browne R. Identification of a novel genetic locus that is required for in vitro adhesion of a clinical isolate of enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli to epithelial cells. Mol Microbiol. 2000;35:275–288.
- Doughty S, Sloan J, Bennett-Wood V, et al. Identification of a novel fimbrial gene cluster related to long polar fimbriae in locus of enterocyte effacement-negative strains of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli. Infect Immun. 2001;70:6761–6769.
- **39.** Szalo IM, Goffaux F, Pirson V, et al. Presence in bovine enteropathogenic (EPEC) and enterohaemorrhagic (EHEC) Escherichia coli of genes encoding for putative adhesins of human EHEC strains. Res Microbiol. 2002;153:653–658.