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ABSTRACT

Alloplastic temporomandibular joint replacement (TMJ TJR) presents unique problems due to the integral and multifaceted roles this joint plays within the stomatognathic system to establish and maintain appropriate mandibular function and form. The TMJ not only acts as a secondary mandibular growth center pre-puberty, but is also crucial in maintaining proper mastication, speech, airway support and deglutition. Further, these essential life functions place the TMJ under more cyclical loading and unloading than any other body joint over a lifetime. Therefore, when TMJ TJR is indicated the device chosen must be able to provide long-term mandibular function and form outcomes.

End-stage TMJ pathology accompanied by physiological function and anatomical form distortions dictates the need for replacement. Due to the complex nature of joint related masticatory muscle functional and anatomical associations, it is unreasonable to expect an autogenous reconstructed TMJ or an alloplastic replaced TMJ can be returned to “normal” pre-morbid function. Therefore, as is understood with any orthopaedic joint replacement, patient and surgeon must agree and accept that there will always be some functional disability involved with any reconstructed or replaced TMJ.

Further, in the multiply operated, anatomically distorted patients, chronic neuropathic centrally mediated pain will always be a major component of their disability. Therefore, it is imperative that surgeon and patient understand that the primary goal of any TMJ TJR is the restoration mandibular function and form and that any pain relief must be considered of only secondary benefit.

This paper will discuss the role of custom TMJ TJR devices have in the management of severe and debilitating TMJ disorders.
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Papel de la prótesis hecha a medida a medida para la sustitución de la articulación temporomandibular

RESUMEN

La sustitución alloplástica de la articulación temporomandibular plantea problemas exclusivos debido al papel esencial y multifacético que esta articulación desempeña en el sistema estomatognático para establecer y mantener la función y forma mandibular apropiadas. La
articular temporomandibular no sólo actúa como un centro prepuberal del crecimiento mandibular secundario sino que también es decisiva en el mantenimiento de la masticación, el habla, soporte de las vías respiratorias y deglución apropiadas. Además, estas funciones vitales esenciales producen en la articulación una mayor carga y descarga cíclicas que en cualquier otra articulación corporal durante la vida. Por consiguiente, cuando está indizada una sustitución aloplástica de la articulación, el dispositivo elegido debe ser capaz de proporcionar desenlaces favorables de la funcionalidad y forma mandibular a largo plazo. La patología terminal de la articulación, acompañada de distorsiones de la funcionalidad fisiológica y de la forma anatómica, dicta la necesidad de su sustitución. Debido a la naturaleza compleja de las asociaciones funcionales y anatómicas de la articulación relacionadas con los músculos de la masticación, no es razonable esperar que la reconstrucción autóloga de la articulación o una sustitución aloplástica puedan restablecer la función premórbida «normal». Por consiguiente, como se entiende con cualquier sustitución ortopédica de una articulación, el cirujano y el paciente deben estar de acuerdo (y aceptar) que, en la reconstrucción o sustitución de la articulación, siempre estará presente cierto grado de discapacidad funcional.

Por otra parte, en pacientes con una distorsión anatómica por múltiples intervenciones, el dolor neuropático crónico, mediado centralmente, siempre será un importante componente de su discapacidad. Por esta razón, es indispensable que el cirujano y el paciente entiendan que el objetivo primario de cualquier sustitución de la articulación es el restablecimiento de la funcionalidad y forma mandibular y que el alivio del dolor debe considerarse tan sólo un beneficio secundario.

En este artículo, se describirá el papel que desempeñan los dispositivos hechos a medida para la sustitución de la articulación temporomandibular en el manejo de las enfermedades graves y debilitantes de la articulación.
Recurrence fibrosis and/or bony ankylosis not responsive to other modalities of treatment

The traditional management of TMJ complete bony ankylosis and re-ankylosis has been gap arthroplasty with autogenous soft or hard tissue graft reconstruction, or alloplastic hemiarthroplasty replacement. While autogenous grafting techniques can provide mandibular form, mandibular function is typically delayed. Autogenous graft mobility during healing will compromise the graft’s incorporation into the host bone and soft tissue environment or compromise the graft’s nascent blood supply. It has been demonstrated that early mandibular mobility leads to graft/host interface failure.

For the patient with re-ankylosis, placing autogenous bone into an area where reactive or heterotopic bone is forming intuitively makes no sense. Orthopaedic surgeons opt for total alloplastic joint reconstruction in similar situations in other joints. Therefore, in light of biologic factors and the orthopaedic experience, TJR should be considered the management option of choice for TMJ ankylosis and re-ankylosis.

Custom TMJ TJR device components are designed and manufactured for each specific case and clinical situation from a protocol CT scan generated stereolithographic model with reported mean dimensional accuracy of 97.9%. Therefore, in ankylosis or re-ankylosis cases, the surgeon must in a first stage procedure free the ankylosis by creating an appropriate gap (2–2.5 cm), place an anatomical spacer to prevent the reformation of tissue and/or bone; and place the patient in maxillomandibular fixation (MMF) to prevent movement of the spacer or change in occlusion before protocol CT scan is made. The device is then designed and manufactured over the next 6 weeks.

During a second stage procedure, the spacer is removed, custom TMJ TJR fossa and ramus components are fixated, autogenous abdominal fat grafts are placed around the articulation, and the patient begins immediate active post-operative physical therapy. Pearce et al. described the use of pre-operatively developed templates to obviate the 2 staged protocol described above. However, it remains the author’s opinion that to achieve the benefit of longevity provided by the custom TMJ TJR device in ankylosis cases, the best component-to-bone interface of the components will be achieved and assured by using the 2 staged approach. The concern about maintaining MMF between stages is moot in ankylosis since these patients from the start could not open their mouths before the first stage of this procedure.

Failed tissue grafts (bone and soft tissue)

Autogenous tissue grafting success requires that the host site have a rich vascular bed. Unfortunately, the scar tissue always encountered in the multiply operated patient and many end-stage disease TMJ cases does not provide an environment conducive to the predictable outcomes for free, or even the occasional vascularized, autogenous tissue graft. Marx reported that capillaries can penetrate a maximum thickness of 180–220 μ of tissue, whereas, scar tissue surrounding previously operated bone averages 440 μ in thickness. This may account for the clinical observation that free autogenous tissue TMJ reconstructions using cartilage, costochondral and sternoclavicular grafts often fail in cases of multiply operated patients or those with extreme anatomical architectural discrepancies resulting from end-stage pathology. Therefore, as with the ankylosis and re-ankylosis cases, custom TMJ TJR should be considered in the management of cases where failed tissue grafts are encountered.

Failed alloplastic joint reconstruction

Due to the osteolysis that occurs around past failed and particulated TMJ alloplastic materials and the resultant host bone architectural discrepancies created, it is difficult to adapt and stably fixate autogenous tissue or stock TMJ TJR device components to the distorted anatomical host bone of either the temporal glenoid fossa or mandibular ramus. Further, the foreign body giant cell reactions which accompany failed or failing devices provide a poor environment for successful outcomes with an autogenous graft. Henry and Wolford confirm this as they reported that custom TMJ TJR provided more consistently predictable outcomes than did reconstruction with autogenous tissue in such cases.

Loss of vertical mandibular height and/or occlusal relationship due to bony resorption, trauma, developmental abnormalities, or pathologic lesions

Loss of posterior mandibular vertical dimension due to developmental abnormalities, pathology, or traumatic injury all result in inconsistencies in both mandibular function and form. The later manifested as either an anterior (bilateral) or lateral (unilateral) apertognathia. After proper diagnosis of the etiology, correction of these maxillomandibular form and functional disorders should be directed to the site of the pathology – the TMJ.

Custom TMJ TJR rather than osteotomy or autogenous tissue reconstruction or stock TMJ TJR should be considered in light of these the nature of the pathology, the patient’s prior local surgical history and the state of the host bone architecture in these complex cases. Westermark et al. reported successfully managing large, complex mandibular defects involving the TMJ using a patient-fitted (custom) TMJ TJR system.

Relative contraindications to alloplastic TMJ TJR

The literature considers the following to be relative contraindications to alloplastic TMJ TJR:

Age of the patient

Since alloplastic TMJ TJR devices themselves have no inherent growth potential, the benefits of their use in growing patients over autogenous tissue must be considered carefully before their utilization in such cases. However, recent literature suggests that further investigation into the use of custom TMJ TJR in the growing patient may be justified in cases of re-ankylosis
following unpredictable growth or failed autogenous tissue reconstruction.  

Mental status and competency of the patient

Is the patient psychologically prepared to cope with the permanent loss of a body part with the understanding that revision and/or replacement surgery in the future may be required? Does the patient have unrealistic expectations of complete relief of pain and normal jaw function after TMJ TJR? Is the patient willing and/or able to do the post-implantation physical therapy required to obtain maximum functional benefit from the procedure? Many of the multiply operated, functionless TMJ patients require pre-replacement referral to psychological and/or pain, and/or drug addiction counseling programs for them to accept the limitations of TMJ TJR to resolve their chronic pain/drug dependence issues.

Uncontrolled systemic disease

As with any form of an alloplastic implant – dental, orthopaedic or TMJ – once the potentially compromising systemic disease process has been controlled and the risk/benefit ratio is defined for the individual patient, TMJ TJR can proceed and should be monitored closely.

Active infection at the implantation site

Introduction of any alloplastic device into an infected or contaminated area can lead to failure of the device to stabilize in the host environment, ultimately resulting in its failure and loss. While this is true of all alloplasts, it is of particular concern with implants that have a planned long-term function under loading, such as with dental implants and TMJ TJR devices.

Documented allergy to the materials that are used in the devices to be implanted

Documented allergy to the biomaterials commonly used to manufacture TMJ TJR devices – commercially pure titanium, titanium alloy, cobalt–chrome–molybdenum alloy, ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene – is rare. Although 12–15% of the population can be sensitive to the nickel alloy in cobalt–chrome–molybdenum TJR components, far fewer reports of such allergic reactions have been reported in the orthopaedic literature. 21 Patients with documented allergy to the component metals should not be exposed to that material in any new implanted device.

Discussion

There are two types of alloplastic TMJ TJR devices available, stock or “off the shelf” devices which the surgeon must make fit and custom TMJ TJR devices which are made to fit.

In the only report in the refereed oral and maxillofacial surgery literature that compares stock and custom TMJ TJR systems, the authors concluded that patients implanted with the study custom TMJ TJR had statistically significant better outcomes in both the subjective and objective domains than did those implanted with the study stock TMJ TJR devices. 31

Why use a custom TMJ TJR device?

Utilizing criteria established for successful TJR by orthopaedists, an argument can be developed for the superiority of custom TMJ TJR devices 32:

The components of any TJR system must be stable in situ from implantation

All implanted alloplastic devices, be they dental implants, orthopaedic or TMJ TJR devices, depend on the principle of osseointegration of the fixation components (screws, in the case of orthopaedic and TMJ TJR devices) for their ultimate stability and longevity. Osseointegration implies the direct incorporation of the fixation components with the host bone without the preliminary phase of fibrous tissue ingrowth. 33 The requirements for osseointegration are essentially the same as for primary fracture healing – the transmission of forces from the implant to the bone and vice versa must occur without relative motion or without intermittent loading. 32

The most important principle in TMJ TJR surgery must be the stability of the device components at implantation. In orthopaedic surgery, some TJR devices can be initially stabilized by press-fitting or cementation into the cancellous shaft of the host long bone. However, the anatomy of the TMJ itself, mandibular ramus and the temporal glenoid fossa do not allow those options. Therefore, initial fixation and stabilization of all present TMJ TJR device fossa and ramus/condyle components must be provided by screws (Fig. 1).

Compounding the stability and fixation issues is the fact that most patients presenting with indications for TMJ TJR have distorted anatomy, the result of either numerous failed prior surgical interventions, material or device failures, or by primary or secondary end-stage joint pathology (i.e., rheumatoid arthritis). This makes it extremely difficult to effect a long-term stable reconstruction with stock TMJ TJR device components.

All stock TMJ TJR devices require the surgeon to “make the components fit”. To do this these devices commonly require precious host bone be removed at implantation, or often one or both components must be bent to fit, shimmed with bone or alloplastic cement in order to develop some reasonable component-to-host bone interface or “fit”. Such maneuvers can lead to component material fatigue overload which may promote early material failure with functional loading.

More concerning is that any of these alterations that result in inadequate component-to-host bone contact can result in micromotion of the stock device components which may lead to suboptimal screw fixation osseointegration. Micromotion leads to the formation of a fibrous connective tissue interface between the altered TMJ TJR component and the host bone resulting in early loosening of the screw fixation. This can lead to component mobility and potential early catastrophic or certain future premature device failure (Fig. 2).

Custom TMJ TJR devices are “made to fit”. The components can be designed and manufactured to conform and manage
Fig. 1 – Custom TMJ TJR fossa and ramal components screw fixated (TMJ Concepts, Ventura, CA). Commercially pure titanium (CP Ti) mesh fossa backing for UHMWPE articulating surface with posterior stop; wrought alloyed titanium (Ti–6Al–4V) ramus component and wrought Co–Cr–Mb articulating condylar head.

any unique anatomical situation (Fig. 3). At implantation, neither the components nor the host bone require alteration, augmentation or supplementation to achieve initial overall component-to-host bone stability maximizing the prospect for fixation screw osseointegration. This results in screw fixation that secures the custom TMJ TJR device components to the host bone, mitigating the potential for micromotion and is responsible for the long-term reported stability and function of custom TMJ replacement devices.34–37

The materials from which patient-fitted (custom) TMJ TJR devices are manufactured are biocompatible and able to withstand the forces of mandibular function

In 1960, Sir John Charnley reported the use of a total alloplastic prosthetic hip replacement system utilizing an ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE)

Fig. 2 – Failed bilateral metal-on-metal stock TMJ TJR device 1-year post-implantation. Note poor adaptation of both ramal components, loose screws and fractured left fossa.

Fig. 3 – Custom TMJ TJR components (TMJ Concepts, Ventura CA) demonstrating the variability of anatomical architecture resulting in different component designs within the same patient.
polymer acetabular cup articulating with a stainless steel femoral head component, both of which were cemented to place with polymethylmethacrylate.\textsuperscript{28} Modifications of this device utilizing titanium, titanium alloy and cobalt-chromium-molybdenum have become the gold standard for low friction orthopaedic TJR surgery to date.\textsuperscript{21} The custom TMJ TJR device with the most published studies in refereed literature is manufactured using commercially pure titanium (CP Ti) as the fossa backing for UHMWPE articulating surface; wrought alloyed titanium (Ti–6Al–4V) for the ramus component and wrought Co–Cr–Mb for the articulating condylar head (Fig. 1).

**TJR devices must be designed to withstand the loads delivered over the full range of function for each patient’s specific clinical and anatomical situation**

The advantage a custom TMJ TJR device affords, besides stability of fit at implantation and composition materials, is that the device components are specifically designed to manage the loads posed in any clinical situation.

Stock TMJ TJR systems in which there are multiple “make fit” choices either thin cast Co–Cr fossa or all UHMWPE fossa components as well as cast Cr–Co ramus/condyle components can pose multiple pitfalls. Metal-on-metal geometry to be successful in orthopaedic TJR can only be applied to a TJR hip where tightly constrained radial clearances of less than 200 μm between the metal acetabular cup and metal femoral head are mandatory or wear related metal participation will lead to metallosis, osteolysis, loosening, and micromotion leading to device failure.\textsuperscript{39–42}

Metal-on-metal devices would never be designed for knee TJR because of that joint’s functional anatomy is not constrained as is the hip joint. The same should be true of the TMJ, whose functional anatomy is also not constrained, even after TJR. Stresses and strains directly or eccentrically vectored against an incomplete or inadequate component-to-bone interface or unstable thin cast Co–Cr fossa by the metal condylar head of a stock TMJ TJR system will lead to micromotion, component screw loosening and/or fossa component thin cast metal fatigue and fracture (Fig. 2).

Stock TMJ TJR devices in which a UHMWPE flange is utilized to fixate the fossa component with screws to the temporal bone and zygomatic arch offers the potential for problems that can lead to loosening of the stock fossa fixation screws, increased micromotion under load and eventual failure of the component and the device.

Hallab\textsuperscript{43} enumerated the reasons why an un-backed all-UHMWPE fossa component is not favored in orthopaedics, especially when placed against host bone:

1. Increased back-side wear (component-to-host bone) under function
2. Poor surface for bone fixation (hydrophobic UHMWPE vs. hydrophilic bone)
3. Decreased bone remodeling on surface of the UHMWPE
4. No macro-texturing to enhance short and long-term bone attachment strength

5) Can lead to increased potential for biofilm infection (due to decreased cell attachment)
6) Increased chance of “cold flow” and UHMWPE fracture.
7) Less control over host bone side implant orientation due to greater likelihood of osteolysis on the host bone side over time.
8) Poor surface for cementing and will likely results in high wear and micromotion.

Lastly, since all present stock and a metal-on-metal custom TMJ TJR fossa component do not have a posterior stop, the potential for posterior dislocation of the device condylar head exists. If not perfectly aligned in the center of the stock fossa both medio-lateral and antero-poterior, posterior displacement of these condylar heads can impinge on the auditory canal resulting in pain, malocclusion or infection should a pressure related perforation of the cartilaginous auditory canal occur. This should be of particular concern when using any TMJ TJR device that does not have a posterior fossa component stop for orthognathic cases while setting the condyle into “centric” during mandibular procedures, and especially in combination with counterclockwise mandibular rotation procedures (Fig. 4). Custom TMJ TJR fossa components are designed and manufactured with fossa component posterior stops to accommodate such situations.

Outcome data from custom TMJ TJR devices reveal few device failures to date attributable to the inability of these devices to withstand standard loads when the appropriate geometry and materials are used.\textsuperscript{34–37} For example, the center of rotation of the condyle of a patient-fitted (custom) TMJ TJR device can be moved vertically to accommodate closure of the open bite deformity seen in the rheumatoid patient\textsuperscript{16–19}.  

---

*Fig. 4 - Lack of posterior fossa component stop resulting in posterior displacement of condylar head of a stock metal-on-all UHMWPE TMJ TJR device after bi-maxillary orthognathic surgery.*
the ramus component can be shaped to accommodate the amount of host mandibular bone available. This ability to vary the design to deal with the available anatomy leads to a more predictable result under the expected loads delivered in each complex situation. Custom TMJ TJR devices can be designed to provide maximum screw fixation for initial stability by avoiding the inferior alveolar neurovascular bundle thereby eliminating the potential damage to this structure during screw placement. Also, because the components are custom made, the proper screw length can be pre-determined and prescribed for the surgeon eliminating the time consuming and often frustrating intraoperative "screw hole probing" in an attempt to determine proper bi-cortical fixation screw lengths. More importantly, knowing the proper screw lengths prevents the use of screws that are too long, the medial tips of which can irritate the temporalis and/or the medial pterygoid muscle during mandibular function causing post-implantation functional muscular pain.

The implantation surgery must be performed for the proper indications and aseptically

As with any management option, outcomes are only predictable when what is done is done for the right reason, at the right time, for the right patient, the right way, and with the right device.

Schmalzried and Brown report that the major causes of orthopaedic TJR failures are the result of surgical technique or limitations of the TJR device to be able to deal with the anatomical situation presented for replacement. The utilization of a patient-fitted (custom) TMJ TJR device would mitigate both issues.

Questions and concerns

The following issues are often raised concerning custom TMJ TJR devices and require further clarification and discussion:

Custom TMJ TJR devices are expensive

First, TMJ TJR devices are thought to be more costly than autogenous tissue. Consider the extended surgical time, personnel and resources required to complete an autogenous TMJ reconstruction. Add to that the potential for increased morbidity associated with harvesting autogenous tissue and the increased length of hospital stay should donor site complications occur. Compare that to TMJ TJR for the same case. The cost of the later in time, personnel would be far less overall and since there is no secondary donor site surgery, the potential for such complications are negligible.

Secondly, custom TMJ TJR devices, since they are "made to fit," require less surgical time than do stock devices that the surgeon has to "make fit". Also, depending often on location and factors often out of the control of the manufacturer, custom TMJ TJR devices are generally equal or slightly less in price than stock devices.

Material wear and long-term stability and survivability of TMJ TJR devices

There is no argument that because TMJ TJR is a biomechanical rather than a biological solution to severe, end-stage TMJ pathology, future for revision surgery to remove scar tissue from the articulating components of the implant or even replacement of the implant over time due to material wear and/or failure may be required.

However, material wear and long-term stability and survivability of custom TMJ TJR devices concerns are be mitigated by the use of proper biomaterials and design configurations to decrease material wear and increase device longevity under functional loading as described above.

Post-operative physical therapy issues

Since the components of a custom TMJ TJR device interface so well with the host bone and the fixation is stable from the time of implantation of the components, mandibular function can begin immediately after implantation. This is considered an essential component of rehabilitation in orthopaedic TJR because muscle function has been compromised over time in such cases. Salter in his work on Continuous Passive Motion (CPM) after orthopaedic joint surgery has shown the importance of this concept to the long-term functional results of joint surgery.

Potential adverse outcomes

Just as with any surgical procedure, adverse outcomes or complications may occur during or following implantation of any
TMJ TJR device requiring further management. Complications may be related to or influenced by the patient’s previous surgical history or prior medical conditions. The most common complications seen with custom TMJ TJR devices include but are not limited to:

**Continued or increased pain levels or worsening of other present TMJ symptoms**

It has been reported that as the number of prior TMJ surgeries increases, the lower the subjective outcomes improvement measures. However, objective outcomes and quality of life measures are reported improved in long-term follow-up. There is also a report of similar subjective, objective and quality of life findings in patients previously exposed to failed alloplastic materials (Proplast-Teflon and silicone rubber). Further, the presence of comorbid conditions in patients with temporomandibular joint disorders (TMD) also may explain why 50% of patients seeking care for TMD pain, some of whom were multiply operated and/or exposed to failed materials or devices, still report experiencing pain five years later, and 20% of patients experience long-term disability from chronic pain.

**Infection**

Fortunately post-implantation TMJ TJR infections are rare. When they occur, they are typically superficial and are resolved simply using appropriate antibiotic and minor surgical management. However, the orthopaedic literature reports a 1–2% incidence of biofilm infections in orthopaedic implants. Management of biofilm infections of total joint devices involves removal and remake/repassage of the device components, placement of an appropriate antibiotic spacer in the area of the device, long-term antibiotic management and reimplantation of the new device once all signs of infection have resolved.

Levent et al. developed a prospective study to examine the significance of 5 variables commonly associated with the potential for surgical site infections (SSI) after knee TJR: (1) classic risk-factors (e.g. diabetes, rheumatoid disease); (2) incomplete pre-operative skin preparation; (3) Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) positive patient; (4) peri-operative antibiotic usage; (5) duration of surgery in 364 consecutive patients. After a 1 year median follow-up, they report a 1.4% SSI rate and of the 5 variables only peri-operative antibiotic usage and duration of surgery demonstrated significance.

Since custom TMJ TJR components are “made to fit” manipulation and implantation time will be less than stock TMJ TJR components that the surgeon must “make fit” thereby potentially lessening the potential for post implantation infection.

**Heterotopic bone formation**

Heterotopic bone formation is the presence of bone in soft tissue surrounding an alloplastic joint replacement where bone normally does not exist and leads to decreased joint mobility and pain. Imaging is used to distinguish it from other diagnostic possibilities. As treatment or prophylaxis, either a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, such as indomethacin, a diphosphonate, such as ethane-1-hydroxy-1, 1-diphosphate, or local radiation therapy have recommended. Surgical resection is used to preserve joint mobility; however, heterotopic bone formation is likely to recur and possibly progress, therefore it is recommended that an autogenous fat graft be packed around the articulation of TMJ replacement devices to decrease this potential.

**Conclusion**

The modern practice of orthopaedic surgery would be impossible without the availability of alloplastic TJR devices; therefore TMJ TJR devices should likewise have a definite place in the armamentarium of the oral and maxillofacial reconstructive surgeon for the management of the severely degenerated, anatomically distorted, functionless TMJ patient. As has been well documented in the orthopaedic and TMJ literature, the potential for an increase in the quality of life these patients gain post TJR is an important consideration.

Custom TMJ TJR devices, because of their design, materials from which they are manufactured, their inherent stability appear to provide improved long-term successful outcomes for patients with end-stage anatomical TMJ disease.
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