

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Exercise-induced bronchospasm in obese adolescents

W.A. Lopes^a, R.B. Radominski^b, N.A. Rosário Filho^c, N. Leite^{d,*}

^aDepartment of Physical Education, Faculdade Guairacá, Brazil ^bAmbulatory of Paediatric Endocrinology, Clinical Hospital of the Federal University of Paraná, Brazil ^cAmbulatory of Paediatric Pulmonology, Clinical Hospital of the Federal University of Paraná, Brazil ^dDepartment of Physical Education, Federal University of Paraná, Brazil

Received 20 January 2009; accepted 2 March 2009 Available online 23 September 2009

KEYWORDS	Abstract
Adolescent; Asthma;	Background: Assess the frequency and severity of exercise-induced bronchospasm (EIB) in obese adolescents.
Exercise induced- bronchospasm; Excess weight; Obesity	<i>Methods:</i> A cross-sectional descriptive study involving 80 adolescents of both genders, aged 10–16 years-old, divided into four groups according to clinical history of asthma and/ or allergic rhinitis and body mass index as follows: asthmatic obese (n = 18); asthmatic non-obese (n = 21); obese non-asthmatic (n = 26); and healthy individuals (n = 15). An exercise bronchoprovocation test was used for EIB diagnosis, considered positive when the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV ₁) decreased \geq 15% in relation to pre-exercise FEV ₁ . Maximum percent fall in FEV ₁ (MF%FEV ₁) and area above the curve (AAC ₀₋₃₀)
	were calculated to evaluate EIB severity and recovery. <i>Results:</i> No significant difference was found in EIB frequency between asthmatic obese (50.0%) and asthmatic non-obese (38.0%) individuals or between obese non-asthmatics (11.5%) and healthy individuals (6.7%). However, the MF%FEV ₁ and AAC ₀₋₃₀ were significantly greater in the asthmatic obese group compared to the asthmatic non-obese (37.7% and 455 vs 24.5% and 214, p<0.03). <i>Conclusions:</i> Obesity did not contribute to increased EIB frequency in asthmatics and non-
	asthmatics. However, obesity did contribute to increased EIB severity and recovery among asthmatics. © 2009 SEICAP. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Obesity is a public health problem in the majority of developed countries,¹ as well as in Brazil.² Excess weight is considered a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, type II diabetes and certain types of cancer.³

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: neivaleite@gmail.com (N. Leite).

0301-0546/ $\$ - see front matter @ 2009 SEICAP. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.aller.2009.03.001

Currently, obesity has been associated with chronic respiratory problems, such as asthma.⁴ Excessive accumulation of adipose tissue in the central region, particularly around the intrathoracic wall, can alter pulmonary mechanics, leading to an increase in contractibility and bronchial smooth muscle responsiveness. Besides that, cellular adipose produces inflammatory mediators, which, at high levels, can modify the response of the respiratory vias (airways).⁵

The majority of studies investigating the association between obesity and asthma use respiratory symptoms for the clinical diagnosis of asthma.^{6,7} This procedure compromises the results, since many individuals are classified as asthmatic, even though no evidence of bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) is confirmed.⁸ As a direct assessment of asthma, BHR is a useful measure for understanding the relationship between these two diseases, but the assessment of BHR in obese individuals demonstrates conflicting results. Urger et al.¹² found a greater frequency of EIB in obese children and adolescents with no prior history of asthma. In contrast, other studies involving children and adolescents presenting positive⁹ or negative for asthma,^{10,11} identified no differences in EIB frequency between obese and non-obese individuals.

Excess weight is associated with asthma severity, suggesting that obese asthmatic individuals present greater exacerbations during asthma crises and greater use of medication compared to non-obese asthmatics.^{13,14} EIB severity among obese individuals, using parameters such as the MF%FEV₁ and the AAC was not investigated. The purpose of the present study was to assess the frequency and severity of EIB in obese adolescents with or without prior clinical history of asthma.

Subjects and methods

A cross-sectional descriptive study composed of 80 individuals of both genders, aged 10 to 16 years-old, conveniently selected among those attending the Obesity Outpatient Service of the Endocrinology Unit and the Paediatric Allergy Outpatient Service of the Clinics Hospital, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), Curitiba, Brazil, and public schools in close proximity to the hospital.

The participants were assigned to one of four groups, according to their body mass index (BMI) and clinical history of asthma and/or allergic rhinitis: obese asthmatics (n = 18), composed of individuals presenting a BMI >85° percentile and asthma and/or allergic rhinitis; non-obese asthmatics (n = 26), presenting a BMI between the 5° and 85° percentile with asthma and/or allergic rhinitis; obese non-asthmatics (n = 18), presenting a BMI >85° percentile with asthma and/or allergic rhinitis; obese non-asthmatics (n = 18), presenting a BMI >85° percentile without asthma or allergic rhinitis; and healthy individuals, presenting a BMI between the 5° and 85° percentile without asthma or allergic rhinitis. The number of individuals in each group was calculated to achieve a power of 0.8, considering a difference of 5% as significant.¹⁸

The BMI was used to classify obesity, according to the scoring system proposed by Conde and Monteiro.¹⁵ Asthma diagnosis followed the recommendations of the 3rd Brazilian Consensus on Asthma Management (2002)¹⁶ and allergic

rhinitis, according to the orientations of the 2nd Brazilian Consensus on Rhinitis (2006).¹⁷

BHR was assessed by the exercise bronchoprovocation test. The pulmonary function parameter measured was FEV₁, before and after the exercise, at 3, 5, 10, 15 and 30 min. The physical exercise was done on a treadmill (Series 2000 Treadmill, Marquette, USA), for a period of 8 min, at an intensity equal to or greater than 85% of the Maximum Cardiac Frequency, obtained in a prior ergometric test. Treadmill velocity was estimated by the equation: V (mph) = $1.16+0.02 \times$ stature (cm) and inclination varied between 10 and 15% (Sano et al., 1988), with readjustments made by the investigator until the individual achieved the target cardiac frequency.

Cardiac frequency was monitored by frequencimeter (Polar A1, Polar Eletro, Finland). Subjects were tested in the afternoon, between 2 and 5 pm, and the environment was controlled, with temperature maintained between 20 and 25 °C and air humidity less than 50%. The participants were oriented to not ingest coffee, tea and soft drinks containing caffeine for two hours prior to the test; to suspend the use of short and long-term action bronchodilators 12 hours prior to testing and short and long-term action antihistamines 48 hours and 5 days, respectively, prior to testing. To carry out the test, the participant should not have presented clinical symptomology compatible with viral infection (cold or flu) in the four weeks prior to testing nor be having an asthma crisis; i.e., demonstrating FEV_1 values \geq 80% than predicted and a FEV₁/FVC ratio of \geq 75%.¹⁹ The predicted FEV₁ values were those determined by Polgar and Promodhat.20

For EIB diagnosis, calculation of the percentage reduction in post-exercise FEV₁ values in relation to the pre-exercise values was used, as determined by the formula: $\text{%VEF}_1 = (\text{VEF}_{1\text{pre-exercise}} - \text{VEF}_{1\text{post-exercise}} / \text{VEF}_{1\text{pre-exercise}}) \times 100$. A reduction equal to or greater than 15% was considered positive for EIB.

To assess the pattern and severity of EIB, the MF%FEV₁ and the AAC₀₋₃₀ were calculated. Severity was classified according to that proposed by Morton and Fitch,²¹ who considered a reduction in FEV₁ between 10 and 24% of the pre-exercise value as mild; between 25 and 39% as moderate; and equal to or above 40% as severe.

Ethical approval

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research on Humans of the Clinics Hospital of the Federal University of Paraná, under the protocol n°. CEP/HC 765.184/2003-11, in accordance with resolution 196/96. All the participants were evaluated by a multidisciplinary team after acquiring the Term of Free Informed Consent from their parents or guardians.

Statistical analyses

Sample characterization data were expressed as the median and interquartile interval. The continuous variables were compared by the Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests. EIB frequency was expressed as a percentage and 95% confidence interval. The categorical variables were

	Asthmatic obese $(n = 18)$ Mean \pm SD	Asthmatic non- obese (n = 21) Mean±SD	Obese non- asthmatic (n = 26) Mean±SD	Healthy (n = 15) Mean \pm SD	p
Age (years) Stature (cm) Weight (kg) BMI (kg.m ⁻²)	$\begin{array}{c} 12.0 \pm 1.5 \\ 157.0 \pm 8.7 \\ 71.6 \pm 14.2 \\ 28.9 \pm 4.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.7 \pm 1.7 \\ 158.5 \pm 9.6 \\ 46.5 \pm 9.6^{\dagger} \\ 18.4 \pm 2.0^{\dagger} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.6 \pm 1.6 \\ 160.4 \pm 7.7 \\ 77.3 \pm 17.3^{\$} \\ 29.9 \pm 5.9^{\$} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.5{\pm}2.1\\ 157.0{\pm}11.7\\ 46.8{\pm}9.9^{\ddagger}\\ 18.7{\pm}2.2^{\ddagger}\end{array}$	NS NS 0.0000 0.0000
FEV ₁ (L) FEV ₁ (pred %)	2.83±0.7 95±11	2.95±0.8 96±10	2.99±0.6 94±14	2.88 ± 0.8 94 \pm 12	NS NS

 Table 1
 Anthropometric characteristics and pulmonary function of the groups

 $BMI = body mass index; FEV_1 = forced expiratory volume in one second.$

[†]Asthmatic obese × asthmatic non-obese; [‡]obese non-asthmatic × healthy; [§] asthmatic non-obese × obese non-asthmatic. Kuskall–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests.

Table 2Frequency of exercise-induced bronchospasm, intensity of FEV_1 reduction and area above curve in the groupsstudied

	Asthmatic obese (n = 18)	Asthmatic non-obese (n = 21)	Obese non-asthmatic $(n = 26)$	Healthy $(n = 15)$	р
EIB (%) 95%CI MF/FEV ₁ (%) Mean±SD	50 (45–55) 37.7±18.5	38 (34–43) 24.5±8.3 [†]	11.5 (11–12) [§] 19.5±4.4	6.7 (3.4–10) –	0.01 0.02
AAC_{0-30} (%.min) Mean \pm SD	455 <u>+</u> 469	$214\pm275^{\dagger}$	62±239 [§]	54 <u>+</u> 191	0.03

 $EIB = exercise-induced bronchospasm; MF/FEV_1 = \%$ maximum fall in FE V₁; AAC₀₋₃₀ = area above curve. [†]Asthmatic obese × asthmatic non-obese; [‡]obese non-asthmatic × healthy; [§] asthmatic non-obese × obese non-asthmatic. Fisher Exact test. Kuskall–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests.

compared by the Fisher Exact test.²² Analysis was performed using Statistica software, version 6.0, with a level of significance set at $\alpha = 5\%$.

Results

Initial characteristics of the groups

Table 1 presents the anthropometric characteristics and initial pulmonary function of the groups studied. Weight and BMI were significantly greater in the obese asthmatic and obese non-asthmatic groups, due to the presence of excess weight and obesity. Initial pulmonary function, as measured by FEV_1 , capacity (litres), and predicted percentage were similar among all the groups.

EIB prevalence among the groups

Table 2 presents the EIB frequency in all four groups. No significant difference occurred in EIB frequency between the obese asthmatic and non-obese asthmatic groups and between the obese non-asthmatics and healthy groups.

EIB severity and recovery period among the groups

The EIB pattern and severity indicators, $MF\%FEV_1$ and AAC_{0-30} , were significantly greater in the obese asthmatic group compared to the non-obese asthmatic group. No significant difference occurred between the obese non-asthmatics and healthy groups (Table 2).

Discussion

The presence of excess weight is associated with numerous physiological changes that mediate the relation between obesity and asthma.

Obese individuals present systemic inflammation, with increased proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, such as interleukine-6, leptin, interleukine-18 and tumour necrosis factor, which are involved in the etiology of non-atopic conditions like cardiovascular disease, diabetes and, potentially, asthma.^{4,5}

Obesity is not clearly associated with allergy. However, excess weight increases the non-eosinophilic inflammatory process, which amplifies the risk of non-atopic asthma. Both FEV₁ and FVC values are frequently reduced in obese adults,²³ although in children and adolescents these values are similar between obese and non-obese individuals.²⁴ In the present study, all the groups presented similar FEV₁

values, above 90% of the predicted value for age and stature.

The effects of obesity can also be measured by alterations in respiratory function, given that weight increase has been prospectively associated with bronchial hyperresponsiveness in both asthmatic and non-asthmatic children.⁷ Studies assessing EIB in obese and non-obese children and adolescents have found conflicting results.^{9–12} Ulger et al.¹² found a significantly greater EIB frequency among obese individuals with no clinical history of asthma compared to nonobese individuals (31.6% versus 3.3%, p = 0.003). Other studies found no difference in EIB prevalence between obese and non-obese individuals.^{9,10}

In the present work, EIB prevalence was significantly greater among obese and non-obese asthmatics in comparison with obese and non-obese non-asthmatics (50.0% and 38.0% versus 11.5% and 6.7%, p < 0.05). However, when comparing the obese and non-obese asthmatic groups (50.0% versus 38.0%) and the obese and non-obese non-asthmatic groups (11.5% versus 6.7%), no significant differences were observed.

The higher EIB frequency found in the obese and nonobese asthmatic groups is related to the presence of asthma, given that EIB prevalence is higher among asthmatics than in the general population and varies between 40 and 90%.²⁵ In this study, the presence of excess weight, both in the asthmatic and non-asthmatic groups, did not contribute to increased EIB frequencies. These results corroborate the majority of studies that observed no difference in EIB frequency between obese and non-obese individuals.^{9,10}

In contrast, excess weight is associated with greater asthma severity, given that obese individuals present greater exacerbation of asthma crises and greater requirement for medication than non-obese individuals.^{13,14} EIB severity has rarely been investigated and was measured by MF%FEV₁ and the AAC₀₋₃₀.

Kaplan and Montana⁹ observed a significantly greater MF%FEV₁ in non-asthmatic obese children compared to nonobese (10.4% versus 4.1%, p<0.05). Del Rio-Navarro et al.¹¹ found a significantly greater MF%FEV₁ in asthmatic obese children compared to non-obese (17.4% versus 9.0%, p<0.05). In this study, the MF%FEV₁ was significantly greater in asthmatic obese adolescents in comparison with nonobese (37.7 versus 24.5, p = 0.01).

EIB research on obese adolescents by the AAC presented no results regarding EIB severity. This parameter represents a prolonged EIB crisis, since it relates MF%FEV₁ and EIB recovery time.²⁶ The present study found a significantly greater AAC₀₋₃₀ among asthmatic obese adolescents in comparison to non-obese (455.0 versus 214.0, p = 0.01). This result demonstrates a different EIB pattern among obese and non-obese asthmatics, revealing that besides presenting a greater severity in an EIB crisis, obese asthmatics can present difficulties in the recovery of normal pulmonary function; thus limiting their participation in physical and sporting activities.

In summary, the presence of excess weight in the present study did not increase exercise-induced bronchospasm frequency among asthmatic and non-asthmatic adolescents; however, excess weight in asthmatics significantly contributed to an increase in exercise-induced bronchospasm severity and recovery period. Further studies are required to assess the effects of weight loss on exercise-induced bronchospasm severity and recovery period in obese asthmatic adolescents.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Exercise and Sports Research Centre of the Physical Education Department, the UFPR Sports and Physical Education Centre, the Association of Friends of the Clinics Hospital and the City Government of Curitiba.

References

- 1. Livingstone B. Epidemiology of childhood obesity in Europe. Eur J Pediatr. 2000;159:S14–34.
- Oliveira CL, Fisberg M. Obesidade na infância e adolescência: uma verdadeira epidemia. Arq Bras Endrocrinol Metab. 2003;47: 107–8.
- 3. Kiess W, Galler A, Reich A, Müller G, Kapellen T, Deutscher J. Clinical aspects of obesity in childhood and adolescence. Obes Rev. 2001;2:29–36.
- 4. Shore SA, Johnston RA. Obesity and asthma. Pharmcol Ther. 2006;110:83–102.
- Poulain M, et al. The effect of obesity on chronic respiratory diseases: pathophysiology and therapeutic strategies. CMAJ. 2006;174:1293–9.
- Ford EA. The epidemiology of obesity and asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2005;115:897–909.
- 7. Chinn S. Obesity and asthma in children. Thorax. 2006;56: 845–50.
- Schachter LM, Salome CM, Peat JK, Woolcock AJ. Obesity is a risk for asthma and wheeze but not airway hyperresponsiveness. Thorax. 2001;56:4–8.
- Kaplan TA, Montana E. Exercise-induced bronchospasm in nonasthmatic obese children. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 1993;32: 220–5.
- Gokbel H, Atas S. Exercise-induced bronchospasm in nonasthmatic obese and non-obese boys. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 1999;39:361–4.
- 11. Río-Navarro BE, Cisneros-Rivera MG, Berber-Eslava A, Espínola-Reyna G, Sienra-Monge JJL. Exercise-induced bronchospasm in asthmatic and non-asthmatic obese children. Allergol et Immunopathol. 2000;28:5–11.
- 12. Ülger Z, Demir E, Tanaç R. et al. The effect of childhood obesity on respiratory function tests and airway hyperresponsiveness. Turkish J Pediatr. 2006;48:43–50.
- 13. Belamarich PF, et al. Do obese inner-city children with asthma have more symptoms than non-obese children with asthma? Pediatrics. 2000;106:1436–41.
- Cassol VE, Rizzato TM, Teche SP, Basso FdF, Horakata VN, Maldonado M, et al. Prevalência e gravidade da asma em adolescentes e sua relação com o Índice de Massa Corporal. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2005;81:305–9.
- Conde WL, Monteiro CA. Valores críticos do índice de massa corporal para classificação do estado nutricional de crianças e adolescentes brasileiros. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2006;82:266–72.
- 16. Sociedade Brasileira de Pneumologia e Tisiologia. III Consenso Brasileiro de Manejo da Asma. J Pneumol. 2002;28.
- Associação Brasileira Alergia e Imunologia. Il Consenso Brasileiro Sobre Rinites. Rev Bras Alerg Imunopatol. 2006;29:30–58.
- Thomas JR, Nelson JK. Research methods in physical activity. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics; 2006.

- 19. Sociedade Brasileira de Pneumologia e Tisiologia. Diretrizes para teste de função pulmonar. J Pneumol. 2002;28.
- 20. Polgar C, Promodhat V. Pulmonary function testing in children: techniques and standards. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co.; 1991.
- 21. Morton AR, Fith KD. Asthma. En: Skinner JS, editor. Exercise Testing and Exercise Prescription for Special Cases. Baltimore: Lippincort Williams & Wilkins; 2005.
- 22. Altman DG. Practical statistics for medical research. New York: Chapman and Hall; 1991.
- 23. Jenkins Sc, Moxham J. The effects of mild obesity on lung function. Respir Med. 1991;85:309–11.
- 24. Boran P, Tokuc G, Pisgin B, Oktem S, Yegin Z, Bostan O. Impact of obesity on ventilatory function. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2007;83: 171–6.
- 25. Mcfadden Jr ER, Gilbert IA. Current concepts: exercise-induced asthma. New Eng J Med. 1994;330:1362–7.
- 26. Milgrom H, Taussig LM. Keeping children with exercise-induced asthma active. Pediatrics. 1999;104:1–5.