



Reprodução & Climatério

<http://www.sbrh.org.br/revista>



Review article

Outcomes of GnRH agonist triggering in assisted reproductive technology: a systematic review[☆]



Luciana Beatriz Mendes Gomes^{a,*}, Mário Henrique Bitar Siqueira^a,
Eduardo Camelo de Castro^b

^a Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Goiás, Goiânia, GO, Brazil

^b Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Goiás, Ambulatório de Infertilidade, Goiânia, GO, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 May 2016

Accepted 16 July 2016

Available online 26 November 2016

Keywords:

Gonadotropin-releasing hormone

Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome

Pregnancy rate

ABSTRACT

Objective: To make a review of studies that assessed the outcomes of GnRH agonist oocyte triggering in comparison with hCG in prevention of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome and pregnancy rates.

Methods: A systematic review of studies presented in the following database: PUBMED, Lilacs and Scielo submitted from January 2005 to October 2015. The keywords were ovulation induction, ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome and gonadotropin releasing hormone.

Results: One hundred fifty-four articles were found. From these, twelve studies were completely analyzed. Eight fulfilled the inclusion criteria and one was included after the bibliographic review of the previous ones. From these nine submitted articles, two are retrospective and the others are prospective.

Conclusion: The use of GnRH agonist for oocyte triggering was comparable with hCG and showed low frequency of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome.

© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Reprodução Humana. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Resultados da maturação oocitária final com agonistas do GnRH nos ciclos de reprodução assistida: uma revisão sistemática

RESUMO

Objetivo: Fazer uma revisão dos estudos que avaliaram os desfechos do agonista de GnRH no ovócito em comparação com hCG na prevenção da síndrome de hiperestimulação ovariana e nas taxas de gestação.

Métodos: Revisão sistemática de estudos presentes nos seguintes bancos de dados: Pubmed, Lilacs e Scielo, apresentados de janeiro de 2005 até outubro de 2015. As palavras-chave foram indução da ovulação, síndrome de hiperestimulação ovariana e hormônio liberador de gonadotropina.

Palavras-chave:

Hormônio liberador

de gonadotropina

Síndrome de hiperestimulação ovariana

Taxa de gestação

[☆] End-of-course paper presented to Medicine Course at Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Goiás as partial requirement to obtain the title of doctor. Advisor: Doctored Professor Eduardo Camelo de Castro.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: luciana.b.mg@hotmail.com (L.B. Gomes).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.recli.2016.07.003>

1413-2087/© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Reprodução Humana. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Resultados: Foram localizados 154 artigos; 12 foram integralmente analisados; oito preenchem os critérios de inclusão; um foi incluído depois da revisão bibliográfica dos estudos precedentes. Dentre esses nove artigos apresentados, dois são retrospectivos e os demais são prospectivos.

Conclusão: O uso do agonista de GnRH para a indução do ovócito foi comparável ao hCG, demonstrou baixa frequência de síndrome de hiperestimulação ovariana.

© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Reprodução Humana. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist has been used for triggering final oocyte maturation in in vitro fertilization (IVF) for a few years. The first authors to document this practice were Gonan and Casper, in 1990, obtaining in their study with eighteen patients, oocyte quality and quantity outcomes similar to hCG triggering.¹ However, It was only with the rise of GnRH antagonist protocol that its use was truly propagated in the beginning of this century.^{2,3}

The prevention of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS), fearsome iatrogenic complication of human reproduction techniques, became the main indication of this type of triggering. Several studies showed incidence's reduction, mostly because of the early induction and short duration of LH surge.^{4–6}

The aim of this study is to review the GnRH agonist triggering outcomes in comparison with hCG in the prevention of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome and in pregnancy rates.

Materials and methods

The keywords used for the construction of this article were: ovulation induction, ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome and gonadotropin releasing hormone. The following database: Pubmed, Lilacs and Scielo were analyzed. The articles published from January of 2005 to October of 2015 in Portuguese, Spanish and English were selected by two researchers independently. If disagreement a third researcher was consulted. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were based on the main systematic reviews about the subject available. The inclusion criteria were: studies using GnRH agonist (GnRHa) for triggering that described its outcomes in pregnancy rates and/or OHSS, women with age between 18 and 40 years, BMI between 18 and 35 kg/m², non-smokers and with ovarian reserve of 3 or more antral follicles. It was excluded from this review, women that had any uterine pathology or malformation.

One hundred and fifty four published articles were found considering the keywords and filters used in association. After their abstracts reading, twelve articles were selected for posterior full read. From these, nine fit the inclusion criteria. The method was described in the form of a fluxogram represented in Fig. 1. As a systematic review, approval of the local Research Ethics Committee wasn't necessary.

Results

The articles data were summed up in Table 1 for comparative analysis. From 9 selected studies, seven were prospective including randomized clinical trials and cohorts. The oldest paper is from 2005 by Kolibianakis et al. fulfilled in two human reproduction centers, one in Belgium and the other in Germany whilst the most recent is from 2014, by Decler et al. The highest population assayed was in Spain with 1171 women and the smallest in Cyprus with only 44 patients.

Discussion

The GnRH agonist use for triggering oocyte final maturation was propagated as prevention to ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome for its effect in LH surge. It has shorter half-life than hCG stimulation and decreases the vascular endothelial factor growth (VEFG1) production which is the main developer of the syndrome.⁷

Kolibianakis et al.,⁸ in his randomized clinical trial, with 106 women in two European centers found in one of them statistic significant decrease ($p = 0.012$) in pregnancy rate in the agonist use group (5.6% vs 41.7%) and a clear reduction, though not statistic significant, in the same group in the second center (2.9% vs 16.7%). Higher implantation rate was also described in the hCG group and no OHSS case was observed in neither one. Thus, no advantaged of the agonist was noted in the study.

However, the others screened studies demonstrated better results. Melo et al.⁹ made a prospective randomized cohort with 100 oocyte donors. No OHSS was found in the agonist group vs 16% in hCG group whilst the implantation, pregnancy and miscarriage rates were similar in both groups. Bodri et al.,¹⁰ in the same year, observed resembling results in his retrospective study with higher population ($n = 1171$). Thirteen cases of OHSS (2.08%) in the hCG group and none in the agonist one was found and comparable outcomes between the groups as clinical pregnancy rates: 42.4% vs 38.8% and implantation rate: 29.1% vs 25.9%.

Simanoglu et al.,¹¹ in a prospective study with oocyte donors, also found absence of the syndrome in the agonist group against 4 cases in the hCG one.

The association of final oocyte maturation with GnRH agonist and posterior freezing of oocyte and embryos (freeze-all strategy) is another method. Devroey¹² proposed the possibility of an OHSS free-clinic with this approach in an opinion article. Griesinger et al.¹³ used this strategy to evaluate




Fig. 1 – Fluxogram of the methodology used in this systematic review.

Table 1 – Outcomes described in the systematic review.

References	Year	Country	Design	Population	OHSS		Implantation Rate		Pregnancy rate	
					GnRHa	hCG	GnRHa	hCG	GnRHa	hCG
Kolibianakis et al.	2005	Belgium/Germany	Prospective	106	Absent	Absent	6.8%	22.6%	5.6%/2.9	41.7%/16.7%
Bodri et al.	2009	Spain	Retrospective	1171	Absent	13 cases	25.9%	29.1%	38.8%	42.1%
Melo et al.	2009	Spain	Prospective	100	Absent	8 cases	30.4%	27.1%	52%	58%
Sismanoglu et al.	2009	Cyprus	Prospective	44	Absent	4 cases	32.93%	3653%	6881%	69%
Griesinger et al. ^a	2011	Germany	Prospective	51	4 cases	Absent	Unquoted		Unquoted	
Tal Imbar et al.	2012	Israel	Prospective	110	Absent	Absent	36%	Unquoted	52%	Unquoted
Iliodromiti et al.	2013	Vietnam	Retrospective	620	1 case	18 cases	14.2%	16.6%	30%	30%
Humaidan et al. ^b	2013	Denmark	Prospective	384	2 cases	2 cases	35.5%	29.5%	34.6%	28.6%
Decler et al. ^b	2014	Belgium	Prospective	120	Absent	Absent	22%	34%	31.1%	44.1%

GnRHa, gonadotropin releasing hormone antagonist; hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; OHSS, ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome.

^a Study non-comparative with hCG.

^b Studies show a comparison between hCG with GnRH agonist and isolated hCG.

its results in a prospective multicenter study with OHSS high-risk women. Of 51 patients, 4 (7.9%) developed the syndrome, being one of them a severe case. Fatemi et al.¹⁴ also presented two clinical cases in United Arab Emirates and India who developed the severe case of the pathology with the use of the same approach, what demonstrates that an OHSS free-clinic is chimeric. Meanwhile, the pregnancy and live births rates were favorable with the protocol aforementioned.

Ildromiti et al.¹⁵ compared the maturation with GnRH agonist associated with luteal phase support with progesterone in patients with higher risk of OHSS ($n=363$) with patients who received conventional dose of hCG ($n=257$) in a retrospective cohort. Only one case of OHSS occurred in the agonist group against 18 cases in the control group. Clinical pregnancy and live birth rates (30% and 29.7%, respectively) were similar whilst miscarriage rate was lower in the agonist group. Tal Imbar,¹⁶ in an observational prospective study, associated the use of agonist as trigger with luteal support with progesterone and freeze-all strategies and comparing with isolated use of agonist.

Humaidan et al.¹⁷ produced a prospective randomized study also comparing the use of hCG with GnRHa and luteal support, but with use of hCG, instead of progesterone. Three hundred and eighty four women were classified in higher and lower risk of OHSS (criteria used was the presence of more than 14 ovarian follicles). In the higher risk group ($n=118$), half of the patients received GnRHa with 1500 U hCG support and the remaining received 5000 U hCG alone. In the lower risk group the support was made with two doses of hCG. Before this conduct, none cases of OHSS were observed in the higher risk using GnRHa while two cases of the syndrome in the hCG alone group occurred (1.6%) and the reverse happened in the lower risk patients; two of the agonist group developed OHSS vs none in the hCG group. This strongly indicates the use of agonist only in higher risk patients. Other results as implantation, pregnancy and miscarriage rates resembled between the groups. Ildromiti et al.,¹⁸ in the same year, through an multicenter retrospective study showed improvement of pregnancy rates with this luteal support with hCG but with OHSS occurrence (0.72%).

Decler et al.,¹⁹ in his randomized clinical trial, compared the oocyte final maturation (GnRH +5000 U hCG) with the use of hCG alone. In neither group OHSS occurred as implantation and pregnancy rates didn't show statistic differences what didn't justify the use of the combined medication.

Conclusion

The use of GnRH agonist for oocyte final maturation was comparable with hCG and some studies didn't demonstrate statistic differences in implantation and pregnancy rates. Few studies that associate hCG with GnRHa didn't demonstrate statistic differences in the rates aforementioned. The efficacy in decrease ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome also is clear when not associated with hCG. The association with freeze-all strategy promotes even higher reduction of the syndrome. However, its complete elimination remains a challenge.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Gonen Y, Balakier H, Powell W, Casper RF. Use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist to trigger follicular maturation for in vitro fertilization. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1990;71:918–22.
2. Olivennes F, Cunha-Filho JS, Fanchin R, Bouchard P, Frydman R. The use of GnRH antagonists in ovarian stimulation. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2002;8:279–90.
3. Baris ATA. A brief history of GnRH agonist triggering and directions for future research. *JFIV Reprod Med Genet.* 2015;3:e113.
4. Banker M, Garcia-Velasco J. Revisiting ovarian hyper stimulation syndrome: towards OHSS free clinic. *J Hum Reprod Sci.* 2015;8:13–7.
5. Kol S, Itskovitz-Eldor J. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist trigger: the way to eliminate ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome – a 20 year experience. *Seminars in reproductive medicine. Semin Reprod Med.* 2010;28:500–5.
6. Humaidan P, Kol S, Papanikolaou EG. GnRH agonist for triggering of final oocyte maturation: time for a change of practice. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2011;17:510–24.
7. Pietrowsky D, Szabo L, Sator M, Just A, Egarter C. Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome is correlated with a reduction of soluble VEGF receptor protein level and a higher amount of VEGF-A. *Hum Reprod.* 2012;27:196–9.
8. Kolibianakis EM, Schutze-Mosgau A, Schroer A, vanSteirteghen A, Devroey P, Diedrich K, et al. A lower ongoing pregnancy rate can be expected when GnRH agonist is used for triggering final oocyte maturation instead of HCG in patients undergoing IVF with GnRH antagonists. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;20:2887–92.
9. Melo M, Busso CE, Bellver J, Alama P, Garrido N, Meseguer N, et al. GnRH agonist versus recombinant HCG in an oocyte donation programme: a randomized, prospective, controlled, assessor-blind study. *Reprod Healthcare.* 2009;19:486–92.
10. Bodri D, Guillén J, Galindo A, Mataró D, Pujol A, Coll O. Triggering with human chorionic gonadotropin or a gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist in gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist-treated oocyte donor cycles: findings of a large retrospective cohort study. *Fertil Steril.* 2009;91:365–71.
11. Sismanoglu A, Tekin HI, Erden HF, Ciray NH, Ulug U, Bahceci M. Ovulation triggering with GnRH agonist vs. hCG in the same egg donor population undergoing donor oocyte cycles with GnRH antagonist: a prospective randomized cross-over trial. *J Assist Reprod Genet.* 2009;26:251–6.
12. Devroey P, Polyzos NK, Blockeel C. An OHSS-Free Clinic by segmentation of IVF treatment. *Hum Reprod.* 2011;26:2593–7.
13. Griesinger G, Schutz L, Bauer T, Broessner A, Frambach T, Kissler S. Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome prevention by gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist triggering of final oocyte maturation in a gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist protocol in combination with a freeze-all strategy: a prospective multicentric study. *Fertil Steril.* 2011;95:2029–33.
14. Fatemi H, Popovic-Todorovic B, Humaidan P, Kol S, Banker M, Devroey P, et al. Severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome after gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist trigger and freeze-all approach in GnRH antagonist protocol. *Fertil Steril.* 2014;1–4.

15. Iliodromiti S, Lan V, Tuong H, Tuan P, Humaidan P, Nelson S. Impact of GnRH agonist triggering and intensive luteal steroid support on live-birth rates and ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: a retrospective cohort study. *J Ovarian Res.* 2013;6:1-9.
16. Imbar T, Kol S, Lossos F, Dolah Y, Hurwitz A, Haimov-Kochma R. Reproductive outcome of fresh or frozen-thawed embryo transfer is similar in high-risk patients for ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome using GnRH agonist for final oocyte maturation and intensive luteal support. *Hum Reprod.* 2012;27:753-9.
17. Humaidan P, Polyzos NP, Alsbjerg B, Erb K, Mikkelsen AL, Elbaek HO, et al. GnRHa trigger and individualized luteal phase hCG support according to ovarian response to stimulation: two prospective randomized controlled multi-centre studies in IVF patients. *Hum Reprod.* 2013;28:2511-21.
18. Iliodromiti S, Blockeel C, Tremellen K, Flaming R, Tournaye H, Humaidan P, et al. Consistent high clinical pregnancy rates and low ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome rates in high-risk patients after GnRH agonist triggering and modified luteal support: a retrospective multicenter study. *Hum Reprod.* 2013;28:2529-36.
19. Decler W, Osmanagaoglu K, Seynhave D, Kolibianakis S, Tarlatzis B, Devroey P. Comparison of hCG triggering versus hCG in combination with a GnRH agonist: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Facts Views Vis Obstet Gynecol.* 2014;6:203-9.