



111 - EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF UPADACITINIB INDUCTION THERAPY IN PATIENTS WITH MODERATELY TO SEVERELY ACTIVE CROHN'S DISEASE: RESULTS FROM A RANDOMIZED PHASE 3 U- EXCEL STUDY

Edward V. Loftus Jr.¹, Jean-Frederic Colombel², Ana Paula Lacerda³, Laurent Peyrin-Biroulet⁴, Geert D'Haens⁵, Remo Panaccione⁶, Walter Reinisch⁷, Edouard Louis⁸, Minhu Chen⁹, Hiroshi Nakase¹⁰, Susan Greenbloom¹¹, George DuVall¹², Yuri Sánchez González³, Mohamed-Eslam F. Mohamed³, Susan Rhee³, Tian Feng³, Elena Dubcenco³ and Julián Panés¹³

¹Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science, Rochester, Minnesota, USA. ²Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ³AbbVie Inc, North Chicago, IL, USA. ⁴University Hospital of Nancy, Lorraine University, Vandoeuvre, France. ⁵Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁶University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada. ⁷Medical University of Vienna, Austria. ⁸University Hospital CHU of Liège, Belgium. ⁹The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China. ¹⁰Sapporo Medical University School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan. ¹¹Toronto Digestive Disease Associates, Woodbridge, Ontario, Canada. ¹²Christus Trinity Clinic; Tyler, TX, USA. ¹³Hospital Clinic Barcelona, IDIPABS, CIBERehd, Barcelona.

Resumen

Introduction: Here we report the results from U-EXCEL ([NCT03345849](#)), a phase 3, double-blind, placebo (PBO)-controlled trial that evaluated the efficacy and safety of UPA 45 mg (UPA45) once daily as induction therapy in patients with Crohn's disease (CD), with an inadequate response or intolerance to conventional therapies or one or more biologics.

Methods: Eligible patients (N = 526) with moderately to severely active CD, defined as average daily stool frequency (SF) ≥ 4 and/or abdominal pain score (APS) ≥ 2 , along with a Simple Endoscopic Score for CD (SES-CD) (excluding the narrowing component subscore) ≥ 6 (≥ 4 for subjects with isolated ileal disease) were randomized in a 2:1 to either UPA45 or PBO for 12 weeks (wks). Patients on baseline corticosteroids (CS) initiated a protocolized tapering beginning at wk 4. The co-primary endpoints, clinical remission (per CDAI [CDAI < 150] or per SF/APS [average daily SF ≥ 2 -point reduction from BL for patients with a BL SES-CD = 4]), were evaluated at wk 12. Safety, along with primary and key secondary clinical and endoscopic outcomes were evaluated through wk 12.

Results: BL demographics and characteristics were similar between groups; 45.4% of patients had a history of prior biologic use or failure. At wk 12, significantly more patients receiving UPA45 vs. PBO achieved the co-primary endpoints: clinical remission (per CDAI, UPA45 49.5 vs. PBO 29.1%; per SF/APS, UPA45 50.7 vs. PBO 22.2%) and endoscopic response (UPA45 45.5 vs. PBO 13.1%) ($p < 0.0001$ for all endpoints). UPA45 was superior to PBO for most of the ranked secondary endpoints including clinical remission per CDAI and SF/APS at wk 4, CS-free clinical remission per CDAI and SF/APS at wk 12, clinical response (CR-100; 100-point decrease in CDAI from BL at wk 2 and wk 12, and endoscopic remission at wk 12 ($p < 0.0001$ or $p \leq 5\%$ of patients) were acne and anaemia among

patients treated with UPA, and CD exacerbation among patients in PBO. Serious infections were 1.1% and 1.7% for UPA45 and PBO groups, respectively. Herpes zoster (2.9%) was reported in the UPA45 group, whereas an adjudicated cardiovascular event (0.6%) was reported in the PBO group. No treatment-emergent deaths, malignancies, other opportunistic infections, adjudicated gastrointestinal perforations or adjudicated thrombotic events were reported in either group.

Conclusions: UPA45 induction therapy was superior to PBO in achieving early response, including clinical remission, endoscopic response, and CS-free clinical remission during the U-EXCEL study. UPA45 was well tolerated, with no new safety risks and a safety profile comparable to previous UPA studies.