

Educación Médica



www.elsevier.es/edumed

BRIEF ORIGINAL

High-fidelity simulation in pathophysiology courses with medical students



Nancy de los Ángeles Segura-Azuara*, Irma E. Eraña-Rojas, Mildred V. López-Cabrera

Department of Medical Sciences, Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, Monterrey, Mexico

Received 23 May 2017; accepted 3 December 2017

KEYWORDS

High-fidelity simulation; Debriefing; Medical education; Pathophysiology; Rubric

Abstract

Introduction: Simulations make it possible to acquire various skills in a risk-free environment. The objective of this study was to assess medical studentsperformance in a simulation-enriched learning scenario in renal disease.

Methods: 2 renal scenarios were designed for implementation in high-fidelity simulations, using a rubric to assess small student teams' performance. Good Judgment Debriefing was used as feedback to the students, once the simulation had ended. Finally, students then completed a self-evaluation of their performance.

Results: 54 students voluntarily participated in this study. Student teams performed the best in communication, collaboration and understanding of pathophysiology skills.

Conclusions: Communication skills are of great importance in the medical field, both with healthcare team as with the patient. Students must strengthen their performance in therapy implementation and differential diagnoses before entering clinical rotations. This activity allows deep reflection and analysis of specific area performance, which can augment confidence on those where performance is high; and identify weakness areas that will lead to designing new activities to strengthen them.

© 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail address: nsegura@itesm.mx (N.A. Segura-Azuara).

^{*} Corresponding author.

356 N.A. Segura-Azuara et al.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Simulación de alta fidelidad; Debriefing; Educación médica; Fisiopatología; Rúbrica

Simulación de Alta Fidelidad en Cursos de Fisiopatología con Estudiantes de Medicina

Resumen

Introducción: La simulación permite adquirir diversas habilidades sin correr riesgos. El objetivo del estudio es evaluar el desempeño de los estudiantes de medicina dentro de un escenario de aprendizaje de enfermedades renales enriquecida con simulación.

Métodos: Se diseñaron 2 escenarios de enfermedades renales para simulaciones de alta fidelidad, usándose una rúbrica de desempeño para evaluar los equipos pequeños de alumnos. La retroalimentación se dio mediante debriefing con Buen Juicio. Finalmente, los alumnos realizaron una auto-evaluación de su desempeño.

Resultados: Los puntajes más altos se obtuvieron en comunicación, colaboración y entendimiento de la fisiopatología.

Conclusiones: Las competencias de comunicación con el paciente y el personal de salud son de gran importancia en el campo médico. Los alumnos deben mejorar su desempeño en implementar tratamiento y diagnóstico diferencial antes de entrar a sus rotaciones clínicas. Esta actividad permite una reflexión profunda y análisis del desempeño en áreas específicas, lo que puede favorecer la confianza en las áreas con alto desempeño e identificar áreas de oportunidad. © 2018 Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Background

Simulation in medical education

Worldwide medical schools have had great interest in providing learning environments from which their students can achieve skills intended to provide high quality medical attention to their patients upon graduation. During the first years of medical school, students receive a variety of theoretical courses whose information sometimes is difficult to apply in a clinical setting. Some authors mention simulators have been used effectively for learning basic sciences¹; where scenarios can significantly engage students by learning in a risk-free environment both for patients and students, strengthening collaborative work.^{2,3} Several authors^{1,4} have described simulation practices for undergraduate students, where role-playing, standardized patients, computers, videos and low or high-fidelity manneguins are used. The first two must follow a previously written script. Computers can be used to simulate arrhythmias, pulmonary function abnormalities, etc. Videos can be used to assess self- and non-self experience. Mannequins can help place a student in a specific clinical setting where he can interact in different ways with specific physiologic parameters presented to him; conferring greater recall and satisfaction by students. They must identify the most important variables in a given situation and make clinical decisions for diagnosis, treatment, effective communication with healthcare personnel or the patient, etc. Simulations are an useful and resourceful tool for learning diverse skills in a context very similar to real-life experience.

Some centers have used the mastery learning technique in which the student can repeat a given practice as many times as needed to achieve a given level of competency,⁵ especially in cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and advanced vital support; but also in clinical rotations in ObGyn, internal medicine, pediatrics and surgery.

In recent years, preclinical training has been using simulation. Complete medical history and physical exam can be addressed in a mannequin or a standardized patient, with great realism, where the student can identify changes in vital signs, cardiac and respiratory sounds, abdominal alterations, pulses, etc.

Debriefing

Among the different techniques available for structured feedback, debriefing has been widely accepted due to the satisfactory results obtained.⁶ Some authors⁶⁻⁹ describe debriefing as the process in which tutors and students reexamine a clinical situation by reflexive discussion, that allows responding to a clinical situation in a systematic and orderly way; which cam be used in combination with problem based learning (PBL). There are different types of debriefing. Good Judgment Debriefing stimulates learning the most in health area students¹⁰; and requires the tutor to have an active role in order to be able to understand the mechanisms by which students make decisions for doing or saying, therefore being able to identify areas to be reinforced or changed for better understanding of a specific content or identify actions to be done in a specific clinical situation.

The objective of this study was to assess medical students' performance in a simulation-enriched learning scenario in renal disease.

Methods

This activity had two phases: design and implementation. The design phase included planning and design of the simulated experience as well as the creation of the evaluation tools. Two clinical scenarios were designed for simulations for third year medical students related to renal diseases. Both scenarios were designed to be applied to small group

of students. The simulator was selected according to the realism required by the designed scenario. The scenario information was downloaded in the simulator by the technician prior to the implementation of the simulation.

In order to objectively assess the students' performance, an evaluation rubric was designed which included the level of performance of each student in the following criteria: acquisition of medical history, communication with healthcare team, communication with the patient, identification of abnormalities in labs and paraclinical exams, communication of these abnormalities to the patient, explaining the differential diagnosis to the patient, explaining risk factors to the patient, and starting appropriate therapy. Each criteria included specifications for grading, including number of family members included in the family medical history, the number of symptoms asked to the patient, the number of times the medical team shared information about the case (medical history, lab results, variations in vital signs, etc.) among themselves, the number of times the medical team spoke with the patient, type of language used and information explained to the patient.

All participating students were informed of the nature of the investigation and signed a written informed consent prior to participation in the study. In the second phase, implementation of the simulation, students were divided into small groups of three or four students each. After receiving a brief introduction to the clinical setting, students entered an area simulating an emergency room with a high-fidelity mannequin which showed specific vital signs alterations. They could interrogate the patient, ask for labs and paraclinical tests to complete the information necessary to make a diagnosis for the patient and install treatment, and finally explain the situation to the patient. Scenarios were run for approximately 10 min. Students were challenged with a patient who comes to the clinic with some of the diseases discussed previously in class. Students should

have a diagnosis and explain the mechanisms of the disease to the patient. Implementation took place in the Simulation Center of a private medical school in northern Mexico during the first semester 2016. During the simulation, students were evaluated by the tutor using a specific rubric designed for the scenarios. After the simulation, students participated in a Good Judgment Debriefing session, following the steps described by Maestre and Rudolph.¹⁰

Statistical analysis

Results

54 students voluntarily participated in this study. The majority of the students had had experience with high-fidelity mannequins (60%). They participated in teams in both simulations. The results of the teacher's assessment (Table 1) showed students were able to do a concise interrogation, ask about allergies, meds being taken, chief complaint; but they require to do these in an orderly fashion and systematically. Some teams assigned roles, which favored order in their performance. They were able to do well in communicating information to the patient in lay and understandable language, answering the patient's questions and keeping close eye contact with the patient at all times.

Discussion

As previously mentioned by some authors, ¹ simulation can be used effectively for teaching basic sciences, as is the present case. In this activity, students are able to apply their theoretical knowledge into a risk-free clinical setting. ^{2,3}

The students performed the best on communication skills criteria particularly among the healthcare team. This is an important skill to develop in medical students due to the

Table 1 Tutor assessment on student's performance.			
Criteria	Performance level (n = 54)		
	High	Medium	Low
Communication skills			_
Listens attentively to patient's medical history	63% (34)	35% (19)	2% (1)
Listens closely to healthcare members	93% (50)	7% (4)	0%
Uses appropriate voice tone when speaking with healthcare members	98% (53)	2% (1)	0%
Collaboration			
Accepts corrections from peers	96% (52)	4% (2)	0%
Shows respect for peer opinion	93% (50)	7% (4)	0%
Data analysis			
Makes a list of differential diagnoses	11% (6)	80% (43)	9% (5)
Orders appropriate lab work	37% (20)	63% (34)	0%
Identifies abnormalities in lab work	44% (24)	56% (30)	0%
Pathophysiology and conclusion			
Concludes a principal diagnosis	76% (41)	15% (8)	9% (5)
Explains the disease to the patient	80% (43)	13% (7)	7% (4)
Identifies the causes/risk factors for the principal diagnosis	76% (41)	0%	22% (12)
Starts appropriate therapy	9% (5)	57% (31)	33% (18)

358 N.A. Segura-Azuara et al.

interaction they will have once they enter clinical rotations, where they will have to communicate with different personnel. The way they react to the opinions of others was well the decisions taken as a team shows the level of maturity in this concept.

Choosing which lab work to perform and understanding the abnormalities present in these had a medium performance. This shows the students knew what to look for, but sometimes were not clear how to order a specific test. They need to be familiar with the most common test used in clinics, as well as the parameters included in each. Some of the students were not familiar with the normal values, but since they listened to peers in team, were able as a whole to overcome this weakness. Also some were able to articulate better all the information obtained.

Listing differential diagnoses had а medium performance. Therapy implementation had medium performance. Students had had little practice with listing differential diagnoses as well as indicating medication to patients. They sometimes knew what medication to use, but doubted about the dose. This showed an area they need to strengthen before entering clinical rotations. Identifying the principal diagnosis, explaining it to the patient and identifying the principal causes triggering or etiology of the diagnosis had a high performance. Identifying the causes that trigger the diagnosis is of significant importance, since this reflects the understanding of the pathophysiology underlying the diseases discussed in class. In this criteria, students' performance was on high level, which reflects they have learned the concepts and are able to apply them in a clinical setting, linking them to the patient's vital signs, physical exam, labs abnormalities and response to therapy in the scenario.

This type of activities allows both teachers and students to reflect upon their level of competence in the assessed areas. They can grow in confidence in what they performed highest and can focus on strengthening their weak areas. Teachers can intentionally design activities that can help students in the areas they are having the most trouble with.

From the information obtained in this study, we conclude that the incorporation of simulation in medical education has a positive impact on the development of different types of skills, depending on the simulation design. It reinforces the students' communication skills, decision-making, critical thinking and the acceptance of responsibility for one's actions as well as for the performance of the medical team. Students become aware of their own capabilities and limitations, by reflecting upon their own performance, the reasons that lead them to making these decisions as well as experiencing the consequences of them in the simulation scenario, as has been mentioned by some authors. ^{7,9,10}

We think this kind of activities can be applied to other pathophysiology courses where students learn about different diseases, even if the classical instruction has been predominantly theoretical. Students become engaged in their own learning process and help each other in achieving the goals according to the designed scenario.

Funding

We have not received funding for the present study.

Conflict of interest

The authors of this article declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

To Dr. Jorge Valdez, Dr. Silvia Olivares, Dr. Manuel Pérez and Dr. Ismael Piedra for their leadership in the development of innovative activities at Tec de Monterey School of Medicine, which favor learning in our students and for their support in applying innovative techniques for medical teaching.

To Dr. Elena Ríos and Dr. Isabel García for their support and encouragement in the use of simulation in theoretical courses. To Ing. Joel Martínez for his technical support in using the simulators with students.

References

- Rosen KR, McBride JM, Drake RL. The use of simulation in medical education to enhance students' understanding of basic sciences. Med Teach. 2009;31:842-6.
- Ker J, Bradley P. Simulation in medical education. In: Understanding medical education: evidence, theory and practice. Wiley Blackwell; 2010. p. 164–80.
- 3. Bradley P. The history of simulation in medical education and possible future directions. Med Educ. 2006;40:254–62.
- Lane JL, Slavin S, Ziv A. Simulation in medical education: a review. Simul Gaming. 2001;32:297–314.
- McGaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Cohen ER, Barsuk JH, Wayne DB. Does simulation-based medical education with deliberate practice yield better results than traditional clinical education? A meta-analytic comparative review of the evidence. Acad Med. 2011;86:706-11.
- Konia M, Yao A. Simulation a new educational paradigm? J Biomed Res. 2013;27:75–80.
- 7. Fanning RM, Gaba DM. The role of debriefing in simulation-based learning. Simul Healthc J Soc Simul Healthc. 2007;2:115–25.
- 8. Turner TL, Palazzi DL. The clinician educator's handbook; 2008. p. 1–236.
- Bustos-Álvarez J. Aprendizaje basado en problemas y simulación clínica: aprendiendo por competencias en la educación en salud. Revista Hispanoamericana de Ciencias de la Salud. 2015;1:117–20.
- Maestre JM, Rudolph JW. Teorías y estilos de debriefing: el método con buen juicio como herramienta de evaluación formativa en salud. Rev Española Cardiol. 2015;68:282-5.