

Original Article

Impact of postoperative syndromes on adverse outcomes in cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass: A retrospective study on morbidity and in-hospital mortality

Jorge Armando Zelada-Pineda^a, Rodrigo Gopar-Nieto^b, Gustavo Rojas-Velasco^c, Daniel Manzur-Sandoval^{c,*}^a Cardiology Department, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez, Ciudad de México, Mexico^b Coronary Care Unit, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez, Ciudad de México, Mexico^c Cardiovascular Critical Care Unit, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez, Ciudad de México, Mexico

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 January 2025

Accepted 18 March 2025

Available online 11 April 2025

Keywords:

Cardiac surgical procedures

Cardiopulmonary bypass

Postoperative complications

Morbidity

Mortality

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) improves survival in patients with cardiovascular diseases, but postoperative complications like postcardiotomy low cardiac output syndrome, vasoplegic syndrome and excessive bleeding contribute to high morbidity and mortality. This study examines the relationship between these complications and adverse in-hospital outcomes.

Methods: This retrospective study included 555 adult patients who underwent cardiac surgery with CPB. Postoperative complications were assessed, and their associations with outcomes like prolonged ICU stays, morbidity, and in-hospital mortality were analyzed. Logistic regression was used to identify predictors of adverse outcomes.

Results: Patients were grouped based on the number of postoperative syndromes experienced. Group 4 (three syndromes) had the highest rates of complications, including delirium, cerebrovascular events, and in-hospital mortality. Logistic regression showed that two or more postoperative syndromes were significant predictors of severe complications and mortality.

Conclusions: Multiple postoperative syndromes significantly increase the risk of adverse outcomes after cardiac surgery with CPB. Early identification and targeted management of these patients can reduce ICU time, improve recovery, and enhance long-term survival.

© 2025 Sociedad Española de Cirugía Cardiovascular y Endovascular. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Impacto de los síndromes postoperatorios en los resultados adversos de la cirugía cardíaca con circulación extracorpórea: un estudio retrospectivo sobre la morbilidad y la mortalidad intrahospitalaria

RESUMEN

Introducción: La cirugía cardíaca con circulación extracorpórea (CEC) mejora la supervivencia en pacientes con enfermedades cardiovasculares, pero las complicaciones postoperatorias como el síndrome de bajo gasto cardíaco poscardiotomía, el síndrome vasopléxico y el sangrado excesivo están asociadas a alta morbilidad y mortalidad. Este estudio analiza la relación entre estas complicaciones y los resultados adversos intrahospitalarios.

Métodos: Este estudio retrospectivo incluyó a 555 pacientes adultos que se sometieron a cirugía cardíaca con CEC. Se evaluaron las complicaciones postoperatorias y sus asociaciones con resultados como estancias prolongadas en la UCI, morbilidad y mortalidad intrahospitalaria. Se utilizó regresión logística para identificar los predictores de resultados adversos.

Resultados: Los pacientes fueron agrupados según el número de síndromes postoperatorios. El grupo 4 (3 síndromes) presentó las mayores tasas de complicaciones, incluyendo delirium, eventos cerebrovasculares y mortalidad intrahospitalaria. La regresión logística reveló que la presencia de 2 o más síndromes postoperatorios es un predictor significativo de complicaciones graves y mortalidad.

Palabras clave:

Procedimientos quirúrgicos cardíacos

Bypass cardiopulmonar

Complicaciones postoperatorias

Morbilidad

Mortalidad

Abbreviations: CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; LCOS, postcardiotomy low cardiac output syndrome; VS, vasoplegic syndrome; PAOP, pulmonary artery occlusion pressure; CVP, central venous pressure; ICU, intensive care unit.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drdanielmanzur@gmail.com (D. Manzur-Sandoval).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.circv.2025.03.005>

1134-0096/© 2025 Sociedad Española de Cirugía Cardiovascular y Endovascular. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Conclusiones: La presencia de múltiples síndromes postoperatorios aumenta significativamente el riesgo de resultados adversos después de cirugía cardíaca con CEC. La identificación temprana y un manejo adecuado de estos pacientes pueden reducir el tiempo en la UCI, mejorar la recuperación y aumentar la supervivencia a largo plazo.

© 2025 Sociedad Española de Cirugía Cardiovascular y Endovascular. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la CC BY-NC-ND licencia (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Background

Cardiac surgery, especially when performed with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), is a critical procedure designed to improve the quality of life and survival for patients with various cardiovascular diseases. Despite significant advancements in surgical techniques, postoperative complications remain a primary concern, contributing to high morbidity and mortality rates within this patient group. Common postoperative complications include postcardiotomy low cardiac output syndrome (LCOS), vasoplegic syndrome (VS) and excessive bleeding, each presenting unique challenges in terms of diagnosis and management. LCOS, which is characterized by an insufficient cardiac output to meet the metabolic demands of tissues, often results from left or right ventricular dysfunction and is closely associated with poor clinical outcomes. By definition, this entity excludes primary causes of reduced cardiac output, such as hypovolemia, obstructive shock (e.g., tamponade, pulmonary embolism), and other causes of myocardial dysfunction (e.g., myocardial infarction).^{1,2} On the other hand, VS is marked by profound vasodilation leading to hypotension, despite adequate or elevated cardiac output, and is often refractory to conventional vasopressor therapies; may be associated in up to 50% of all LCOS patients, usually with decreased or normal (at the lower limit) systemic vascular resistance, in association with a reduced cardiac index and elevated filling pressures.^{3,4} Excessive bleeding, although a common occurrence after surgery, becomes problematic when it exceeds specific thresholds, complicating recovery.^{5–8}

The pathophysiological mechanisms underlying these complications are multifactorial, involving ischemia–reperfusion injury, inflammatory responses triggered by CPB, endothelial dysfunction, and other systemic disturbances. A deeper understanding of these conditions, their incidence, and their predictors is essential for improving postoperative care and optimizing management strategies, ultimately reducing morbidity and mortality rates in patients undergoing cardiac surgery.⁹ Therefore, the identification of patients at high risk for developing these complications and the implementation of targeted therapeutic strategies are vital to enhancing recovery.

Importance

The incidence of postoperative complications following cardiac surgery with CPB can lead to prolonged stays in the intensive care unit (ICU), the need for additional interventions such as reoperation, and higher rates of in-hospital mortality.¹⁰ Early identification and prompt treatment of complications like LCOS, VS, and excessive bleeding are crucial for improving outcomes in this vulnerable patient population. This study is significant as it focuses on the relationship between postoperative syndromes and adverse in-hospital outcomes, which can guide clinical decision-making, reduce ICU time, and improve patient prognosis. The prevalence and impact of these complications warrant careful investigation to refine perioperative management protocols and

ultimately improve the long-term survival and quality of life for cardiac surgery patients.^{2,10}

Goals of investigation

This study aims to assess the prevalence of postoperative complications, specifically LCOS, VS and excessive bleeding in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB. Additionally, the study seeks to determine the association between these complications and adverse intrahospital outcomes, including in-hospital mortality.

Methods

Study design

This is a retrospective, observational, and analytical study designed to examine the prevalence and impact of postoperative complications following cardiac surgery with CPB. The study will identify the presence of LCOS, VS and excessive bleeding in patients and analyze their association with adverse clinical outcomes. The study is observational in nature, as it relies on existing data from clinical records, and it is longitudinal, capturing data during the first 24 h post-surgery. It is also analytical in that it explores the relationships between the identified complications and specific outcomes.

Study population

The study population includes all adult patients (aged >18 years) who underwent cardiac surgery with CPB and were subsequently admitted to the cardiovascular intensive care unit (ICU) in a tertiary cardiovascular center in Mexico City. This institution is a specialized center that provides comprehensive care for patients undergoing cardiac surgery, making it an appropriate setting for this investigation.

Sample size

The sample consists of all patients who underwent cardiac surgery with CPB and were admitted to the ICU during the study period, from June 2022 to December 2023. Given the retrospective nature of the study, there was no predefined sample size; all eligible patients were included for data collection.

Inclusion criteria

- Adults aged 18 years or older.
- Patients who underwent cardiac surgery with CPB.
- Patients who were admitted to the cardiovascular ICU post-surgery.

Exclusion criteria

- Patients who died during the surgical procedure.
- Patients who died during the first 12 h in the ICU after surgery.
- Patients with incomplete or missing medical records, which may lead to inaccurate or incomplete data analysis.

Data collection

Data were gathered from the hospital's patient database, which contains clinical information for all patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB. Data collection included preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative variables such as patient demographics, surgical details (type of surgery, duration of CPB), hemodynamic parameters (e.g., blood pressure, cardiac output), and outcomes (e.g., bleeding, need for inotropes, use of vasopressors, reoperation, ICU stay). Information was extracted from both electronic and physical medical records to ensure comprehensive data capture.

Definition of postoperative clinical syndromes, diagnosed upon the patient's arrival to intensive care

LCOS

- Cardiac index <2.2 L/min/m², with systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg and pulmonary artery occlusion pressure (PAOP) ≥ 16 mmHg and/or central venous pressure (CVP) ≥ 12 mmHg.
- Urine output <0.5 mL/kg/h, central venous saturation $<60\%$, lactate >3 mmol/L.
- Postoperative use of inotropes and/or ventricular assist devices for at least 12 h.
- Real or relative hypovolemia has been ruled out or managed, without predictors of fluid responsiveness.

VS

- Hypotension:
 - Systolic blood pressure <80 mmHg.
 - Mean arterial pressure <50 mmHg.
- Vasodilation:
 - Systemic vascular resistance <800 dyn/s/cm⁻⁵.
 - CVP >5 mmHg.
 - PAOP >10 mmHg.
- Cardiac index >2.2 L/min/m².
- Use of vasopressors:
 - Norepinephrine >0.3 mcg/kg/min.
- Real or relative hypovolemia has been ruled out or managed, without predictors of fluid responsiveness.

Excessive bleeding

- 300 mL in the first hour after surgery.
- 200 mL per hour for more than 2 h.
- 100 mL per hour for more than 3 h.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were assessed for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test. For normally distributed data, means with standard deviations were calculated, while non-normally distributed variables were presented as medians and interquartile ranges. Group comparisons for continuous variables were performed using the Mann–Whitney *U* test. Categorical variables were summarized as frequencies and percentages, and group differences were analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, depending on the distribution of data. Logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify independent predictors of adverse in-hospital outcomes, adjusting for potential confounders such as age and sex. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA version 14 software.

They were categorized into four groups based on the number of postoperative syndromes they experienced: Group 1 (no postoperative syndromes, $n = 399$, 71.9%), Group 2 (one postoperative syndrome, $n = 131$, 23.6%), Group 3 (two postoperative syndromes,

$n = 21$, 3.8%), and Group 4 (three postoperative syndromes, $n = 4$, 0.72%).

Ethical considerations

This study was approved by the ethics committee at the Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez. As a retrospective, non-interventional study, it adhered to ethical standards for research involving human data, with patient confidentiality maintained throughout the process. All data used in the analysis were anonymized to protect patient privacy. The study was deemed of minimal risk by the institutional review board, as it involved only the review of existing clinical records without any experimental intervention.

Results

Demographic characteristics of the study population

A total of 555 patients were included in the study. During the study period, 33 patients were excluded (26 due to incomplete or missing medical records, 4 who died during the first 12 h in the ICU after surgery and 3 who died during the surgical procedure). The cohort's average age was 57 years, with 43.2% of patients being female. The most common comorbidities observed were hypertension (41.4%), heart failure (27.2%), type 2 diabetes mellitus (22.5%), and atrial fibrillation (18%). A history of prior cardiac surgery was noted in 11.9% of patients, and 61.2% were classified as NYHA functional class II. Significant clinical and statistical differences were noted between groups regarding prior cardiac surgery (Table 1).

Surgical variables

The most common surgical procedures performed were aortic valve replacement (28.7%), coronary revascularization (15.7%), and mitral valve replacement (9.7%). The median duration of extracorporeal circulation (ECC) was 145 min, while the median time for aortic clamping was 99 min. Patients in Groups 3 and 4 had the highest EuroSCOREs, underwent more complex surgeries involving double valve replacements (mitral and aortic), and experienced longer ECC and aortic clamping times. These differences were both clinically and statistically significant (Table 2).

Hemodynamic variables and use of vasoactive agents

At 6 h post-surgery, patients in Groups 3 and 4 showed elevated lactate and prolonged capillary refill times. Additionally, they exhibited a higher need for norepinephrine, dobutamine, vasopressin, levosimendan, methylene blue, and steroids, with a tendency toward requiring higher doses of these medications, especially norepinephrine and dobutamine. By 24 h, Group 4 patients had lower cardiac indexes, higher systemic vascular resistance, and continued elevated demands for vasoactive agents, alongside persistent prolonged capillary refill times (Tables 3 and 4).

Clinical outcomes

Groups 3 and 4 exhibited significantly higher rates of postoperative complications compared to the other groups. Specifically, this group experienced the highest incidence of delirium (50%), cerebrovascular events (50%), pneumonia (25%), transfusion requirements (100%), acute kidney injury (66.67%), renal replacement therapy (19%), liver injury (28.57%), postoperative atrial fibrillation (38.1%), and mortality (33.3%). Additionally, Group 4 required longer stays in the intensive care unit (ICU), prolonged

Table 1
Demographic description of the population.

Variable	Total n = 555	Group 1 n = 399	Group 2 n = 131	Group 3 n = 21	Group 4 n = 4	p
Women, n(%)	240(43.2)	176(44.1)	52(39.7)	12(57.1)	0(0)	0.13
Men, n(%)	315(56.8)	223(55.9)	79(60.3)	9(42.86)	4(100)	
Hypertension, n(%)	230(41.4)	175(43.9)	49(37.4)	6(28.6)	0(0)	0.1
Heart failure, n(%)	151(27.2)	96(24)	46(35.1)	8(38.1)	1(25)	0.06
Diabetes, n(%)	125(22.5)	89(22.3)	31(23.7)	5(23.8)	0(0)	0.73
Atrial fibrillation, n(%)	100(18.0)	64(16)	29(22.1)	7(33.3)	0(0)	0.08
Previous cardiac surgery, n(%)	66(11.9)	41(10.3)	17(13)	7(33.3)	1(25)	0.012
Myocardial infarction, n(%)	63(11.3)	44(11)	16(12.2)	3(14.3)	0(0)	0.84
Hypothyroidism, n(%)	58(10.4)	39(9.8)	14(10.7)	5(23.8)	0(0)	0.2
Chronic kidney disease, n(%)	31(5.6)	20(5)	10(7.6)	1(4.76)	0(0.0)	0.67
Stroke, n(%)	31(5.6)	23(5.8)	5(3.8)	2(9.5)	1(25.0)	0.23
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n(%)	4(0.7)	2(0.5)	2(1.53)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0.65
NYHA functional class, n(%)						
I	76(13.7)	54(13.5)	21(16.1)	0(0)	1(25)	0.099
II	339(61.2)	249(62.4)	74(56.9)	14(66.7)	2(50)	
III	121(21.8)	83(20.8)	33(25.4)	4(19)	1(25)	
IV	18(3.2)	13(3.3)	2(1.5)	3(14.3)	0(0)	
Age (years)	57(45–65)	57(45–65)	57(45–65)	53(37–63)	50.5(33–63.6)	0.7
Weight (kg)	69(±13.5)	69.4(±13.2)	69.34(±14.25)	61.36(±12.26)	77.75(±12.12)	0.03
Height (m)	1.62(1.55–1.7)	1.62(1.55–1.7)	1.61(1.54–1.71)	1.62(1.54–1.68)	1.71(1.66–1.76)	0.37
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	26(23.4–28.8)	26.1(23.5–28.9)	26(22.8–28.9)	23.4(21.6–25.5)	26.1(23.4–29.5)	0.02

NYHA: New York Heart Association.
Median (interquartile range); mean ± standard deviation.

Table 2
Surgical characteristics.

Variable	Total n = 555	Group 1 n = 399	Group 2 n = 131	Group 3 n = 21	Group 4 n = 4	p
EuroSCORE	1.9(1.0–3.8)	1.7(1–3.2)	2.5(1.3–4.24)	3.7(1.8–11)	4.85(2.9–12.2)	<0.001
Extracorporeal circulation time (min)	145(112–187)	138(105–175)	166(133–218)	198(142–258)	239.5(188–324)	<0.001
Aortic clamping (min)	99(76–126)	93(73–120)	113(84–144)	129(107–180)	139(108.5–192.5)	<0.001
Aortic valve replacement, n(%)	159(28.7)	135(33.8)	22(16.8)	2(9.52)	0(0)	<0.001
Coronary artery bypass graft, n(%)	87(15.7)	63(15.8)	23(17.6)	1(4.8)	0(0.0)	0.39
Mitral valve replacement, n(%)	54(9.7)	42(10.53)	11(8.4)	1(4.76)	0(0)	0.66
Aortic valve replacement + mitral valve replacement, n(%)	41(7.4)	21(5.26)	15(11.45)	4(19)	1(25)	0.008
Bentall procedure, n(%)	31(5.6)	19(4.76)	9(6.87)	1(4.76)	2(50)	0.001
Mitral valve replacement + tricuspid valve replacement, n(%)	27(4.9)	15(3.76)	9(6.87)	3(14.3)	0(0)	0.09
Coronary artery bypass graft + aortic valve replacement, n(%)	23(4.1)	16(4)	6(4.58)	0(0)	1(25)	0.15

Median (interquartile range); mean ± standard deviation.

mechanical ventilation, and extended total hospitalization, as well as the highest SOFA scores at 24 and 72 h (Table 5).

Logistic regression analysis

The logistic regression model identified the presence of two or more postoperative syndromes (Groups 3 and 4) as a significant predictor for several adverse outcomes. Specifically, delirium was associated with an odds ratio (OR) of 4.38 (95% CI 1.85–10.36), cerebrovascular events with an OR of 5.71 (95% CI 1.76–18.47), transfusion requirements with an OR of 2.95 (95% CI 1.16–7.52), acute kidney injury with an OR of 4.39 (95% CI 1.9–10.16), renal replacement therapy with an OR of 3.27 (95% CI 1–10.15), and in-hospital mortality with an OR of 2.88 (95% CI 2.77–18.75). Additionally, liver injury was significantly predicted by the presence of two or more postoperative syndromes, with an OR of 3.21 (95% CI 1.28–8) (Table 6).

Discussion

This study demonstrates clear associations between postoperative syndromes and adverse outcomes in patients undergoing

cardiac surgery with CPB. A significant portion of the population either did not experience postoperative syndromes (71.9%) or experienced one (23.6%), while only a small proportion developed two (3.8%) or three or more syndromes (0.72%). The average patient age was 57 years, and comorbidities such as hypertension, heart failure, type 2 diabetes, and atrial fibrillation were common, suggesting that patients with multiple postoperative syndromes often have more complex medical histories that may contribute to the severity of their postoperative conditions.^{11,12}

Patients with more than one postoperative syndrome (Groups 3 and 4) had longer ECC and aortic clamping times, higher EuroSCOREs, and a greater incidence of complex surgeries. These factors indicate that the patients in these groups had more challenging surgical procedures, which likely predisposed them to worse outcomes.^{10,11} The elevated lactate levels and greater need for vasoactive drugs in these groups suggest more pronounced hemodynamic instability.^{2,9}

The clinical outcomes were markedly worse for patients in Group 4, who experienced the highest rates of complications, including delirium, cerebrovascular events, pneumonia, acute renal injury, and in-hospital mortality. These findings align with the idea that the number of postoperative syndromes is directly pro-

Table 3
Hemodynamic variables (6 h).

Variable	Total n = 555	Group 1 n = 399	Group 2 n = 131	Group 3 n = 21	Group 4 n = 4	p
Cardiac index (L/min/m ²)	2.1(1.6–2.57)	2.1(1.6–2.6)	1.9(1.41–2.4)	1.98(1.6–3.14)	1.5(1.4–1.8)	0.02
Central venous pressure (mmHg)	9(8–11)	9(8–11)	10(8–12)	11(9–12)	8(8–8)	0.008
Systemic vascular resistance index (dynes-s/cm ⁵ /m ²)	2527(1964–3193)	2529(1955–3154)	2563(1971–3317)	2300(1534–2593)	2879(2635–3359)	0.24
Mixed venous O ₂ saturation (%)	69(62–76)	70(64–76)	66(57–74)	65(62–74)	71(62–77)	<0.001
Arteriovenous O ₂ difference (mL/dL)	4.4(3.5–5.4)	4.2(3.5–5.3)	4.7(3.4–5.9)	4.1(3.2–5.1)	4.3(3.5–4.8)	0.15
O ₂ extraction ratio (%)	30(24–36)	29(23–35)	33(25–42)	32(26–36)	27.5(23.5–35.5)	0.002
Venous-to-arterial CO ₂ pressure difference (mmHg)	7(4.5–9)	6(4–8)	7(5–10)	7(4–10)	6.5(4–7.5)	0.05
Venous-arterial CO ₂ to arterial-venous O ₂ content difference ratio	1.47(1–2.0)	1.46(1–2)	1.5(1.1–2.2)	1.67(1.2–2.13)	1.46(0.87–1.99)	0.48
DO ₂ (mL/min/m ²)	506(392–651)	537(405–681)	454(337–578)	480(313–632)	452(347–509)	<0.001
VO ₂ (mL/min/m ²)	127(123–184)	127(123–184)	131(122–184)	130(121–160)	107(92–118)	0.009
DO ₂ /VO ₂	3.3(2.7–4.2)	3.4(2.8–4.3)	3(2.4–4)	2.9(2.7–3.8)	4.2(2.9–5.5)	<0.001
Lactate	2.5(1.75–3.95)	2.4(1.7–3.6)	2.95(2–5.1)	4(2.4–6.9)	7.8(5.3–11)	<0.001
Norepinephrine, n(%)	331(60.0)	202(51)	105(80.77)	20(95.24)	4(100.0)	<0.001
Dose of norepinephrine	0.08(0.05–0.15)	0.06(0.04–0.1)	0.11(0.07–0.2)	0.19(0.09–0.29)	0.45(0.26–0.7)	<0.001
Dobutamine, n(%)	172(31.2)	88(22.2)	68(52.31)	12(57.14)	4(100)	<0.001
Dose of dobutamine	3.6(2.6–5.0)	3.0(2–5)	5(3–7)	5(2.85–8.5)	4(2.5–6.5)	<0.001
Vasopressin, n(%)	128(23.2)	51(12.88)	63(48.46)	11(52.38)	3(75)	<0.001
Dose of vasopressin	0.05(0.03–0.06)	0.04(0.02–0.06)	0.06(0.04–0.07)	0.07(0.04–0.1)	0.08(0.02–0.13)	0.002
Levosimendan, n(%)	101(18.3)	45(11.36)	45(34.62)	8(38.1)	3(75)	<0.001
Dose of levosimendan	0.1(0.05–0.1)	0.1(0.05–0.1)	0.1(0.1–0.1)	0.1(0.085–0.16)	0.1(0.1–0.2)	0.1
Milrinone, n(%)	15(2.7)	11(2.78)	2(1.54)	1(4.76)	1(25)	0.036
Dose of milrinone	0.3(0.2–0.5)	0.3(0.25–0.5)	0.76(55–0.98)	0.5(0.5–0.5)	0.5(0.5–0.5)	0.06
Methylene blue, n(%)	19(3.4)	2(0.51)	8(6.15)	7(33.3)	2(50)	<0.001
Steroids, n(%)	17(3.1)	2(0.51)	8(6.15)	5(23.8)	2(50)	<0.001
Capillary refill time (seg)	2(2–3)	2(2–2.5)	2.5(2–3)	3(2–4)	3.5(2.5–4)	<0.001

Median (interquartil range); mean ± standard deviation.

Table 4
Hemodynamic variables (24 h).

Variable	Total n = 555	Group 1 n = 399	Group 2 n = 131	Group 3 n = 21	Group 4 n = 4	p
Cardiac index (L/min/m ²)	2.1(1.8–2.5)	2.1(1.7–2.5)	2.15(1.8–2.5)	2.3(1.7–2.9)	1.5(1.3–1.8)	0.14
Central venous pressure (mmHg)	10(9–12)	10(9–12)	10(8.5–12)	11(10–14)	12(10–13)	0.2
Systemic vascular resistance index (dynes-s/cm ⁵ /m ²)	2433(2058–3029)	2496(2121–3110)	2339(1927–2986)	2127(1620–2629)	3301(2824–3472)	0.01
Mixed venous O ₂ saturation (%)	68(63–73)	68(63–73)	69(63–73)	70(63–80)	71(69–71)	0.6
Arteriovenous O ₂ difference (mL/dL)	3.9(3.2–4.9)	4(3.3–5.0)	3.7(3.1–4.9)	3.9(2.6–4.4)	4.2(3.6–4.7)	0.12
O ₂ extraction ratio (%)	30(25–35)	30(25–36)	30(25–35)	30(20–34)	27(27–33)	0.68
Venous-to-arterial CO ₂ pressure difference (mmHg)	6(4–7)	6(4–7)	5(4–8)	5(3–7)	5(0–8)	0.75
Venous-arterial CO ₂ to arterial-venous O ₂ content difference ratio	1.4(0.9–1.8)	1.4(0.9–1.8)	1.5(1–2)	1.25(0.9–1.8)	1(0–2.1)	0.51
DO ₂ (mL/min/m ²)	481(397–585)	480(405–585)	481(378–589)	511(428–572)	456(312–460)	0.54
VO ₂ (mL/min/m ²)	128(123–175)	130(124–182)	129(122–166)	125(120–156)	125(91–126)	0.27
DO ₂ /VO ₂	3.2(2.8–4.0)	3.3(2.7–4.0)	3.4(2.8–4.0)	3.6(2.9–5.1)	3.6(3.4–3.7)	0.56
Lactate	1.9(1.5–2.6)	1.9(1.5–2.5)	2.0(1.5–2.6)	3(1.7–4.3)	1.6(1.2–3.6)	0.01
Norepinephrine, n(%)	113(20.9)	49(12.6)	50(30.76)	11(57.9)	3(100)	<0.001
Dose of norepinephrine	0.08(0.03–0.2)	0.04(0.02–0.1)	0.12(0.05–0.2)	0.19(0.05–0.23)	0.3(0.12–0.6)	<0.001
Dobutamine, n(%)	83(15.4)	33(8.5)	43(33.3)	5(26.32)	2(66.7)	<0.001
Dose of dobutamine	3(2.5–5)	3(2–4)	4.5(3–5)	9(7–9)	2.5(2–3)	<0.001
Vasopressin, n(%)	63(11.7)	19(4.9)	35(27.13)	7(36.84)	2(66.67)	<0.001
Dose of vasopressin	0.04(0.03–0.06)	0.04(0.02–0.05)	0.06(0.02–0.06)	0.08(0.06–0.09)	0.05(0.04–0.06)	0.07
Levosimendan, n(%)	71(13.15)	30(7.71)	35(27.13)	4(21)	2(66.67)	<0.001
Dose of levosimendan	0.1(±0.05)	0.09(±0.05)	0.1(±0.05)	0.15(±0.04)	0.1(±0)	0.16
Milrinone, n(%)	9(1.7)	6(1.54)	3(2.33)	0(0)	0(0)	0.86
Dose of milrinone	0.4(±0.3)	0.28(±0.23)	0.73(±0.24)	0(0)	0(0)	0.04
Methylene blue, n(%)	5(0.9)	1(0.26)	3(2.33)	1(5.26)	0(0)	0.03
Steroids, n(%)	11(2.0)	2(0.51)	5(3.88)	4(21)	0(0)	<0.001
Capillary refill time (seg)	2(2–2)	2(2–2)	2(2–2.8)	2(2–3)	3.5(3–4)	<0.001

Median (interquartil range); mean ± standard deviation.

portional to the severity and frequency of complications.^{5,6} The logistic regression analysis further substantiated this, identifying the presence of two or more postoperative syndromes as a significant predictor of severe complications.^{7,9}

Study limitations

This study was conducted at a single medical center and should be replicated at other centers to assess the reproducibility of our

Table 5
Outcomes.

Variable	Total n = 555	Group 1 n = 399	Group 2 n = 131	Group 3 n = 21	Group 4 n = 4	p
Delirium, n(%)	69(12.5)	37(9.3)	23(17.7)	7(33.3)	2(50.0)	0.001
Stroke, n(%)	21(3.8)	13(3.27)	4(3)	2(9.52)	2(50)	<0.001
In-hospital pneumonia, n(%)	57(10.3)	32(8)	21(16.15)	3(14.3)	1(25)	0.04
Mediastinitis, n(%)	24(4.3)	16(4)	8(6.15)	0(0)	0(0.0)	0.52
Transfusion, n(%)	292(52.8)	179(45)	94(72.3)	15(71.4)	4(100)	<0.001
Acute kidney injury, n(%)	168(30.4)	92(23.1)	60(46.15)	14(66.67)	2(50)	<0.001
Renal replacement therapy, n(%)	33(6.0)	16(4)	13(10)	4(19)	0(0)	0.005
Liver injury, n(%)	64(11.57)	35(8.8)	22(16.92)	6(28.57)	1(25)	0.004
Post surgical atrial fibrillation, n(%)	92(16.64)	56(14)	27(20.77)	8(38.1)	1(25)	0.014
Mortality, n(%)	34(6.1)	12(3)	15(11.54)	2(33.3)	0(0)	<0.001
Days in intensive care unit	3(2–4)	2(2–3)	4(3–5)	4(3–6)	8.5(7.5–9.5)	<0.001
Days with mechanical ventilation	1(1–1)	1(1–1)	1(1–2)	1(2–3)	4(1–7.5)	<0.001
Total hospitalization time	10(7–19)	9(7–17)	14(9–25)	14(11–29)	30(27.5–32.5)	<0.001
SOFA score at 24 h	5(3–7)	4(3–6)	6(4–8)	8(5.5–9)	8.5(7.5–9.5)	<0.001
SOFA score at 72 h	3(2–5)	3(2–5)	4(2–6)	6(3–7)	8(7–9)	<0.001

Median (interquartil range); mean ± standard deviation.

Table 6
Logistic regression for the prediction of outcomes according to the presence of two or more postsurgical syndromes.

Variable	OR	95% CI	p
Delirium	4.38	1.85–10.36	<0.001
Stroke	5.71	1.76–18.47	<0.001
Transfusion	2.95	1.16–7.52	0.02
Acute kidney injury	4.39	1.9–10.16	<0.001
Renal replacement therapy	3.27	1–10.15	0.04
Liver injury	3.21	1.28–8	0.01
Mortality	2.88	2.77–18.75	<0.001

results. In addition, study outcomes should be interpreted with caution due to the small sample size and because the inclusive study design leads to significant variability in the evaluated variables, including types of procedures performed, CPB and cross-clamp times, and procedure urgency, among others.

Conclusions

The study’s findings highlight the significant association between multiple postoperative syndromes and increased morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with ECC. Patients with a higher burden of postoperative syndromes are at greater risk for severe complications, including delirium, renal injury, and intrahospital mortality. These results underscore the importance of tailored, intensive postoperative management for patients with multiple syndromes to optimize recovery and reduce the incidence of adverse outcomes.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

JAZP: original draft writing, review, and editing; RGN: methodology, analysis; GRV: review; DMS: original idea, methodology, analysis, original draft writing, review, and editing.

Ethical approval

The local institutional research and ethics committees (Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez) waived approval for this study.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data availability

To all the staff of the Cardiovascular Critical Care Unit of the Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez.

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author [DMS].

References

- Reyes-Sánchez ME, Carrillo-Rojas JA, Hernández-Mercado MA, Amaro-Camacho JA, Herrera-Garza EH, López Pineda DM, et al. Guía de la práctica clínica basada en la evidencia para el manejo posquirúrgico del paciente llevado a cirugía cardíaca. Arch Cardiol Mex. 2011;81(S2):30–40. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.acmx.2011.03.004>.
- Pérez Vela JL, Jiménez Rivera JJ, Alcalá Llorente M, González de Marcos B, Torrado H, García Laborda C, et al. Low cardiac output syndrome in the postoperative period of cardiac surgery. Profile, differences in clinical course and prognosis. The ESAGA study. Med Intensiva. 2018;42:159–67. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medin.2017.07.001>.
- Orozco Vinasco DM, Triana Schoonewolff CA, Orozco Vinasco AC. Vasoplegic syndrome in cardiac surgery: definitions, pathophysiology, diagnostic approach and management. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim. 2019;66:277–87. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.redar.2019.05.002>.
- Gilbert M, Lema G. Cirugía cardíaca con circulación extracorpórea: síndrome vasopléjico y vasopresina. Rev Med Chil. 2011;139:368–72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0034-98872011000300011>.
- Felipe A, Navarro P, Santos FP, Hernández González A, Carlos A, Marrero H, et al. Valoración diagnóstica y consideraciones terapéuticas del sangrado excesivo en el posoperatorio inmediato de cirugía cardiovascular. Rev Cardiovasc Mex. 2020;31:149–59. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcm.2020.02.007>.
- Arévalo-Espinoza S, Izaguirre-Ávila R, Herrera-Alarcón V, Cerón-Díaz U, Bucio-Reta E, Ruiz-Goytortua M. Hemorragia mayor de lo habitual. Arch Cardiol Mex. 2011;81(S2):24–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.acmx.2011.03.004>.
- Pérez-Manjarrez A, García-Cruz E, Gopar-Nieto R, Jiménez-Rodríguez GM, Lazcano-Díaz E, Rojas-Velasco G, et al. Usefulness of the velocity-time integral of the left ventricular outflow tract variability index to predict fluid responsiveness in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Echo Res Pract. 2023;10:1–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/ERP-22-0137>.
- Maizel J, Airapetian N, Lorne E, Tribouilloy C, Massy Z, Slama M. Diagnosis of central hypovolemia by using passive leg raising. Intensive Care Med. 2007;33:1133–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-007-0604-1>.
- Mesa JEF, Kenia D, García MP, Cordero ÁMP, Elizabeth D, Vázquez D, et al. Predictores de bajo gasto cardíaco perioperatorio en pacientes operados de cirugía cardíaca valvular. CorSalud. 2018;10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.46853/10.4.1027>.

10. Rodríguez-Hernández A, García-Torres M, Reta EB, Baranda-Tovar FM. Analysis of mortality and hospital stay in cardiac surgery in Mexico 2015: data from the National Cardiology Institute. Arch Cardiol Mex. 2018;88:397–402, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.acmx.2018.01.004>.
11. Wong CWY, Yu DSF, Li PWC, Chan BS. The prognostic impacts of frailty on clinical and patient-reported outcomes in patients undergoing coronary artery or valvular surgeries/procedures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Res Rev. 2023;85:101887, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2023.101887>.
12. Mendiburu R. Síndrome vasopléjico en cirugía cardíaca. Rev Urug Cardiol. 2020;35:379–84, <http://dx.doi.org/10.29180/2591-4734.v35n5a2>.