



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Analysis of *Escherichia coli* isolated from minced meat: Implications for public health in Tierra del Fuego, Argentina



Elisa Crespi ^{a,*}, Bárbara Ghiglione^{b,d}, Ximena Blanco Crivelli^a, Cecilia Cundon^a, Roque Figueroa Espinosa^{b,d}, Pedro Penzotti^b, Ezequiel Nuske^b, Alicia Broglio^a, Luis Ambros^c, María Valeria Rumi^a, Gabriela Albarellos^c, José Di Conza^{b,d}, Adriana Bentancor^a

^a Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Instituto de Investigaciones en Epidemiología Veterinaria, Microbiología, Argentina

^b Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Farmacia y Bioquímica, Instituto de Investigaciones en Bacteriología y Virología Molecular (IBaViM), Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina

^c Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Farmacología, Argentina

^d CONICET (Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas), Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Received 9 December 2024; accepted 13 May 2025

Available online 19 June 2025

KEYWORDS

Escherichia coli;
Multidrug-resistance;
Virulence;
Tierra del Fuego

Abstract *Escherichia coli* contamination in minced meat poses a significant public health concern and may serve as a reservoir for antimicrobial-resistant strains. This study investigated the presence of *E. coli* resistant to ciprofloxacin and/or gentamicin in minced meat samples from Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. Resistant *E. coli* isolates were identified using MALDI-TOF. A total of 109 samples were analyzed, yielding 97 *E. coli* isolates (23 from AMC-CIP and 74 from AMC-GEN). Twenty-three isolates carried the ant(2'') gene, ten the ant(3'') gene, and one the blaCTX-M gene. Resistance was observed to gentamicin (6/25), streptomycin (1/25), ceftazidime (1/25), and cefotaxime (4/25). Eleven isolates carried the aggR gene, and another three were identified as biofilm producers. Although phenotypic resistance was low, we detected genetic potential for resistance which, combined with biofilm formation, represents a risk to food safety.

© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Asociación Argentina de Microbiología. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ecrespi@fvvet.uba.ar (E. Crespi).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Escherichia coli;
Multirresistencia;
Virulencia;
Tierra del Fuego

Análisis de *Escherichia coli* aislada de carne picada: implicaciones para la salud pública en Tierra del Fuego, Argentina

Resumen La contaminación de la carne picada por *Escherichia coli* tiene un fuerte impacto en la salud pública y podría ser una fuente de cepas con resistencia a antimicrobianos. Este trabajo analizó la presencia de aislamientos de *E. coli* resistentes a ciprofloxacina, a gentamicina o a ambos en muestras de carne picada de Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. Los aislamientos resistentes se identificaron mediante MALDI-TOF. Se estudiaron 109 muestras y se obtuvieron 97 aislamientos totales de *E. coli* (23 desarrollados en AMC-CIP y 74 de AMC-GEN). Veintitrés aislamientos presentaron el gen *ant(2'')*, 10 el gen *ant(3'')* y uno el gen *blaCTX-M*. Se observó resistencia a gentamicina (6/25), estreptomicina (1/25), ceftazidima (1/25) y cefotaxima (4/25). Once aislamientos portaron el gen *aggR* y otros tres fueron productores de biofilm. Aunque la resistencia fenotípica fue baja, identificamos el potencial genético de resistencia, que, junto con la formación de biofilm, representa un riesgo para la seguridad alimentaria.

© 2025 Los Autores. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de Asociación Argentina de Microbiología. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Food borne diseases have become an important public health concern worldwide, affecting both developed and underdeveloped countries. The World Health Organization (WHO) has identified more than 200 diseases that may result from the consumption of food contaminated with bacteria, viruses, parasites or chemical substances such as heavy metals. Foodborne diseases can generate a wide range of pathologies, with gastrointestinal issues being the most frequent¹⁰.

There are different ways in which food and water can become contaminated with microorganisms and/or their toxins. Food can get contaminated at different points in the production chain, including the environment where animals are raised and plants are harvested. Contamination also occurs frequently during the production process such as transportation, processing, handling, or as a result of cross-contamination from individuals who prepare the food¹².

The main source of infection for many foodborne pathogens are animals raised for food production (cattle, sheep, chickens, pigs, among others)^{1,14}. Microorganisms, mainly foodborne bacteria, are the main threat to food safety, causing human infections or poisoning after the consumption of products of animal origin contaminated with these microorganisms or their toxins¹³. *Escherichia coli* is a member of the normal gut microbiota, but some strains carry virulence genes and are classified as either enteric or extra intestinal pathogens, depending on their virulence genes⁹.

Poor practices in slaughterhouses can pose a risk to human health, either through contamination or the generation of hazardous effluents. Safe practices minimize carcass contamination with feces, and hygienic handling of foods at abattoirs and during food production can reduce contamination⁴⁵.

E. coli is frequently used as a sentinel microorganism for antimicrobial resistance in animal husbandry due to its abundance in healthy individuals and its ability to demonstrate the selective pressure exerted by antibiotic use on Gram-negative enteric bacteria. Resistance mecha-

nisms present in *E. coli* can spread to humans through direct contact, the consumption of meat, or indirectly through the environment³⁴. This resistance is normally acquired through horizontal gene transfer with other bacteria, which may belong to different genera. Additionally, resistance can also emerge due to genetic mutations. Multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria often possess a repertoire of resistance genes, facilitated by the presence of integrons and gene cassettes that enable them to uptake and collect antibiotic resistance genes.³²

One of the most commonly reported resistance mechanisms to β -lactam antibiotics among *Enterobacteriales* is the production of β -lactamases, enzymes that hydrolyze β -lactam antibiotics. Extended spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) efficiently hydrolyze third and fourth-generation cephalosporins, along with monobactams. The most prevalent ESBLs worldwide are the CTX-M-family, which are inhibited by compounds such as clavulanic acid and tazobactam¹¹.

The emergence of CTX-M was considered one of the major causes of superbugs resistant to multiple transferable antibiotics, particularly in *E. coli*. Furthermore, CTX-M-producing *E. coli* often displays resistance to multiple classes of antimicrobials, such as fluoroquinolones, sulfonamides, aminoglycosides, chloramphenicol, trimethoprim, and tetracyclines³.

The monitoring of *E. coli* resistance includes the evaluation of susceptibility to antimicrobials that are important in human and veterinary medicine, as well as those commonly employed for epidemiological purposes. Some of these antimicrobials are included in the list of critically important categories (third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins, carbapenems, fluoroquinolones, polymyxins) for human medicine developed by the World Health Organization. Additionally, this monitoring extends to other high-priority agents, such as aminoglycosides, macrolides, and tetracyclines. The objective of this study is to comprehensively assess the virulence characteristics, resistance profiles, and genetic markers present in *E. coli* isolated

from minced meat obtained from butcher shops in Tierra del Fuego, Argentina.

Materials and methods

All butcher shops in the three towns of the island of Tierra del Fuego (TDF) were georeferenced. A 500-gram sample of ground meat was purchased from each market over the course of a one-week sampling period conducted in spring 2019. The samples were collected in sterile bags to avoid cross-contamination and were immediately frozen for air transport to the laboratory in cooled boxes. Each sample was thawed at 4 °C overnight at the Microbiology Laboratory of the Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad de Buenos Aires.

Detection of antimicrobial drug residues in the meat matrix

A proportion of the meat samples, randomly selected from the study group was analyzed for the detection of cephalexin, enrofloxacin, and streptomycin. The detection of traces of these antibiotics was performed on 20 g of the meat samples. The residues of cephalexin were determined using a High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) method²⁷, while the enzyme immunoassay using the Ridascreen® kit was utilized for the remaining analyses.

Sample analysis

A total of 109 minced samples were analyzed. Portions of each sample (65 g) were stomached for 2 min in 585 ml of tryptic soy broth (TSB) and incubated at 37 °C overnight under aerobic conditions. Next, 0.1 ml of the broth was cultured on MacConkey Agar (MCA) supplemented with antimicrobials. We used MCA supplemented containing 1 µg/ml ciprofloxacin (MCA-CIP)⁵⁷, MCA supplemented with 4 µg/ml gentamicin (MCA-GEN)³¹ and MCA without antimicrobials, as a control. The plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C and assessed qualitatively for the presence or absence of *E. coli* colonies with typical morphology. The number of colonies grown on MCA-CIP and MCA-GEN was recorded. Up to five colonies from positive cultures were selected for further studies and stored in TSB plus glycerol (20%) at –20 °C. Catalase, oxidase and glucose fermentation tests were used for the presumptive identification of *E. coli* from the suspected isolates obtained on both media. All the isolates were then identified by matrix assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF MS).

Each isolate was suspended in 200 µl of milliQ water and heated at 100 °C for 10 min. The supernatant was used for the screening of antimicrobial resistance genes, virulence markers of diarrheagenic strains, and additional virulence factors. Moreover, the genetic diversity of the different *E. coli* recovered from a single sample was evaluated by random amplified polymorphic DNA PCR (RAPD).

Antibiotic resistance characterization

Antimicrobial resistance genes for aminoglycosides (*ant(2'')*, *ant(3'')*, *aph(3')-Vla*, *aac(6')-Ib*, *acc(3)-IIa*, *-IIc*, *-IId*, *-Ile*, *rmtB*)⁶, plasmid mediated quinolone resistance-PMQR (*qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrD*, *qnrS*, *aac(6')-Ib-cr*)⁷ and ESBL, such as *bla_{CTX-M}*-group³⁰, were searched for in those isolates identified as *E. coli*. *bla_{CTX-M}*-group 2 amplicons were sequenced.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed on those isolates testing positive for the targeted resistance genes using the disk diffusion method in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2023) guidelines. The antimicrobial agents assessed were amoxicillin–clavulanic acid 20/10 µg (AMC), cefotaxime 30 µg (CTX), ceftazidime 30 µg (CAZ), imipenem (IMI), streptomycin (STR), amikacin (AKN) and gentamicin 10 µg (GEN). Commercial antibiotic disks were acquired from Britania S.A.® (Argentina).

Random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) genotyping

Genetic diversity of the different *E. coli* recovered from a single sample with the same antibiotic resistance profile was evaluated by random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) using the M13 RAPD primer. The isolates were grown in TSB, and total DNA was obtained by boiling at 100 °C for 10 min. A 1:10 dilution of the boiled lysate was used as template. Pattern bands were visually analyzed in agarose gel 1%. Similarity in the amplification profile was used to select the number of strains for the following assays.

Virulence profile

The presence of virulence markers of *E. coli* pathovars were analyzed by PCR: *stx1/stx2* for Shiga toxin-producer *E. coli* (STEC)³⁸, *eae* for enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC)³⁸, *aaiC*² and *aagR*⁵⁴ for enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC), *invE* for enteroinvasive *E. coli* (EIEC)¹⁶, *elt* and *estA* for enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC)¹⁶ and *daaE* for diffuse aggregation *E. coli* (DAEC)⁵³. Primers for the detection of genetic markers are shown in Table S1.

Moreover, several virulence factors including *attA*³³, *iha*⁴⁸, *lpf*⁵¹, *ecpA*¹⁵, *toxB*⁵⁰, and *efaC*¹⁷ related to putative adhesins were searched.

Serotypes

All isolates were serotyped using agglutination assays with rabbit antisera: 187 sera were used against somatic antigens (O), and 53 sera were used against flagellar antigens (H) at the Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM).

Biofilm assay

Biofilm production was assessed using a quantitative 96-well plate assay following the protocol outlined by Vélez et al.⁵². Briefly, each strain was inoculated into a tube containing 5 ml of Luria Bertani (LB) broth and incubated at

Table 1 Isolate recovery on MAC-CIP and MAC-GEN media from 105 minced meat samples.

	MAC-CIP	MAC-GEN	Total
Samples with growth	26	41	66
Isolates analyzed	110	156	266
Positive samples with <i>E. coli</i>	5	21	22*
<i>E. coli</i> by MALDI-TOF	23	74	97

MAC-CIP: MacConkey Agar with ciprofloxacin; MAC-GEN: MacConkey Agar with gentamicin.

* Four samples showed development of *E. coli* with both antimicrobials.

37°C overnight with agitation. The cultures were subsequently diluted until reaching a turbidity equivalent to 0.5 on the McFarland scale. Then, 10 µl of each dilution was seeded into 190 µl of LB supplemented with sodium chloride in triplicate wells and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. In the subsequent step, the media was refreshed, replacing it with LB containing glucose. Following a total of 48 h of incubation, the microplates were washed with distilled water, fixed with 200 µl of methanol for 15 min, and stained with a 0.1% aqueous solution of crystal violet (200 µl for 30 min). After rinsing with water and drying for an hour at room temperature, the adhered dye was eluted with 200 µl of ethanol under agitation. Absorbance was measured at 570 nm (OD₅₇₀). The results were interpreted by correcting the mean of the three OD₅₇₀ measurements for each strain with respect to the cut-off value (OD_c), which was set at 3 standard deviations above the mean OD₅₇₀ measurements of a negative control strain (non-biofilm producer, QC148 EHEC). The corrected value enabled the classification of the strains as non-biofilm producers (OD ≤ OD_c), weak biofilm producers (OD_c < OD ≤ 2 OD_c), moderate biofilm producers (2 OD_c < OD ≤ 4 OD_c), and strong biofilm producers (OD > 4 OD_c). The assay was performed in triplicate.

Results

Presence of antimicrobial drug residues in the meat matrix

The cephalixin residue was detected at concentrations below 100 µg/kg in 42 of the minced meat samples analyzed (38.5%), while streptomycin residues were observed at levels below 20 µg/kg in 81 minced meat samples (74.3%). Additionally, enrofloxacin residues were detected at concentrations below 10 µg/kg in 78 of the samples studied (71.6%). These findings provide valuable information on the minimal contamination of the minced meat with the tested antibiotics and, consequently, the low exposure risks associated with these drugs.

Sample screening

A total of 109 samples were analyzed in this study. Of these, four did not yield any colony growth on any of the culture media. Among the 105 samples that showed growth on MCA, 26 yielded colonies on MCA-CIP and 41 on MCA-GEN (Table 1). Colony counts on media supplemented with

antibiotics ranged from 1×10^3 CFU/g to uncountable levels (Table S2).

Based on the selection criterion of five colonies per sample for subsequent confirmation, this number was not achieved in all cases. From MCA-CIP, *E. coli* was confirmed by MALDI-TOF in 23 of the 110 isolates, corresponding to five of the 26 samples. Additionally, *E. coli* was confirmed by MALDI-TOF in 74 of the 156 isolates obtained from MCA-GEN, representing 21 of the 41 samples.

The remaining isolates, 87 of the 110 from MCA-CIP and 82 of the 156 from MCA-GEN, were presumptively selected, but were later identified as other taxa.

Further examination of these strains revealed the presence of several other bacterial taxa, with the most prevalent ones being *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (13%). Other identified species were *Raoultella ornithinolytica* (4.7%), *Citrobacter braakii* (4.1%), *Enterobacter bungandensis* (4.1%), *Leclercia adecarboxilata* (3.5%), *Citrobacter freundii* (3.5%), *Pseudomonas fulva* (2.9%), *Myroides injenensis* (2.9%), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (2.9%), *Providencia rettgeri* (2.9%), *Proteus hauseri* (1.18%) and 0.6% for each of the following species: *Serratia marcescens*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Hafnia alvei*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterobacter asburiae* and *Enterococcus hirae*, highlighting the diversity of bacterial communities within these samples.

In summary, a total of 266 isolates were successfully recovered from the samples tested with both antimicrobials (Table 1). Among these isolates, 97 were conclusively identified as *E. coli* through MALDI-TOF identification (Table S2).

Antibiotic resistance determination

Genetic analysis of *E. coli* isolates revealed interesting insights into antibiotic resistance determinants. Specifically, 25 of 97 *E. coli* isolates were found to carry antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes (Table 2): 23 strains recovered from 4 different meat samples (A, B, C, D) were positive for *ant(2'')-la* gene, while 10 harbored the *ant(3'')-la* gene (belonging to the meat samples D, E, F). Remarkably, eight of these isolates exhibited the co-occurrence of both aminoglycoside resistance markers (sample D). In a subset of four isolates recovered from the same sample (D), the presence of the *bla*_{CTX-M} group 2 gene was also detected, pointing to the potential for multidrug resistance. Sequencing confirmed the presence of the *bla*_{CTX-M-2} allelic variant in these four isolates, which also harbored both aminoglycoside resistance genes.

All the isolates showed negative amplification results for the remaining aminoglycoside resistance genes. Interestingly, none of the *E. coli* isolates in our study exhibited the presence of PMQR determinants, suggesting alternative mechanisms of quinolone resistance within this population.

The results of the antimicrobial susceptibility tests for *E. coli* isolates that tested positive for the genetic markers are shown in Table 3. Furthermore, complete information for each isolate is presented in Table S3. An excellent congruence was observed between the detected genes and the corresponding resistance profiles. All the *bla*_{CTX-M-2}-positive isolates were non-susceptible to AMC, CTX (4/4) and CAZ (3/4). In addition, 14 of 25 isolates exhibited phenotypic

Table 2 Resistance markers, virulence profile, and serotyping of isolates.

Meat sample (isolates)	<i>ant</i> (2'')	<i>ant</i> (3'')	<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M-2}	RAPD profile	<i>aggR</i>	<i>lpf</i>	<i>ecpA</i>	<i>efaC</i>	Serotype	Biofilm formation
A (5)	+	—	—	II	+	+	—	—	O18ac:H21	Non-producer
B1 (3)	+	—	—	IV	+	—	+	+	ONT:H—	Non-producer
B2 (2)	+	—	—	V	+	—	+	+	ONT:H—	Non-producer
B3 (1)	+	—	—	VI	—	—	+	—	O23:H8	Moderate producer
C (4)	+	—	—	VII	—	+	—	—	O164:H40	Non-producer
D1 (1)	+	+	+	VIII	—	+	+	+	O130:H25	Low producer
D2 (3)	+	+	+	VIII	—	—	+	+	O130:H25	Non-producer
D3 (4)	+	+	—	VIII	—	—	+	+	O130:H25	Non-producer
E (1)	—	+	—	I	+	+	—	—	ONT:H—	Non-producer
F (1)	—	+	—	III	—	+	+	—	ONT:H—	Moderate producer

Note: B and D samples showed different profiles (B1–B3; D1–D3).

Table 3 Antimicrobial susceptibility test of 25 *E. coli* isolates harboring resistance genes.

Antimicrobial Agents	S	I	R
Streptomycin	24	0	1
Amikacin	25	0	0
Gentamicin	11	8	6
Imipenem	25	0	0
Ceftazidime	22	2	1
Amoxicillin–clavulanic	21	4	0
Cefotaxime	21	0	4

S: susceptible; I, intermediate; R, resistant.

resistance to GEN. As expected, none of them were resistant to IMI or AKN. The susceptibility results of the isolates with the resistance genes are shown in Table S3.

RAPD genotyping

The 25 AMR-positive *E. coli* isolates which belong to 6 different samples (A–F) were genotyped by RAPD PCR²⁰. This analysis revealed 8 different profiles and even one of them showed diversity in AMR gene content (sample D). In addition, differences in the virulence profiles were observed among isolates from the same sample (B or D), despite having the same profile according to RAPD (Table 2). Only one group of isolates belonging to a single RAPD profile (pattern VIII, sample D) was strictly associated with all three genetic markers of resistance.

Virulence profile and biofilm formation

Regarding the virulence profile, all strains tested negative for diarrheagenic pathovars such as STEC, EPEC, ETEC, EIEC, DAEC. However, eleven isolates showed evidence of the *aggR* gene associated with the EAEC pathovar⁵⁴. Furthermore, among these EAEC strains, five were positive for both the *ecpA* and *efaC* genes, while the other six were *lpf*-positive (Table 2). The remaining fourteen *aggR*-negative isolates exhibited putative virulence genes, including *lpf*, *ecpA* and *efaC*, whereas *attA*, *iha* and *tox*B were not detected in any of the 25 isolated AMR-positive *E. coli*. On the other hand, three of these isolates were classified as biofilm formers (1

low and 2 moderate producer); the remaining isolates were categorized as “non-producers” (Table 2).

Serotypes

Isolates of each RAPD, virulence, or resistance marker pattern were serotyped (Table 2). The 25 isolates belonged to 5 different serotypes (one per sample): O18ac:H21 (A), O23:H8 (B3), O164:H40 (C), O130:H25 (D1, D2, D3). Additionally, isolates of four patterns were identified as ONT:H— (B1, B2, E, F).

Discussion

Ground meat poses a significant risk because surface contamination with *E. coli* can spread throughout the entire product mass²⁹. Contamination data can vary significantly across the Argentinean territory. The southern province of TDF has a subpolar oceanic climate with cold temperatures throughout the year, averaging 5–7 °C annually. On the island of TDF, two slaughterhouses process local bovine and sheep production. Imported meat from the mainland is allowed only as vacuum-packed, boneless whole cuts, which are not used for grinding. As a result, the degree to which the population is exposed to antimicrobial residues used in animal production or resistant *E. coli* in ground meat is particularly unknown. Cephalixin, enrofloxacin and streptomycin were found in low but detectable concentrations, compared to Greece, where the highest median concentrations were detected in bovine muscles for streptomycin and quinolones at 182.10 µg/kg and 50.78 µg/kg, respectively⁴⁷. Despite this fact, the concentration of these antibiotics is below the MRL (maximum residue limits) allowed by the Argentine food code⁵; however, their residues can still contribute to the development of antimicrobial resistance in the gut microbiota, which is a significant threat to public health^{23,45}.

Sakar et al.⁴⁴ conducted a study in Tennessee, USA, where they quantified residues of tetracycline, sulfonamide, and erythromycin in beef marketed as “antibiotic-free” at farmers’ markets. They found that all beef samples contained residues of these antibiotics. The median concentration of tetracycline residue was 51.75 µg/kg, while the median concentrations for sulfonamide and erythromycin residues were 3.50 µg/kg and 3.67 µg/kg, respectively. The

chain of events that leads to the dissemination of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) can be identified as effective causal complexes. One of the primary microorganisms associated with AMR is *E. coli*. Our work represents the first record of AMR genes circulating within the meat supply chain and highlights the presence of antimicrobial drug residues in the meat matrix of the region. Although the identification of other taxa in samples subjected to antibiotic pressure lies beyond the scope of this study, it is important to highlight that such microorganisms may serve as reservoirs or vectors for antimicrobial resistance and/or virulence genes. Their potential dissemination via food chains and environmental routes represents a concern for public health and warrants further investigation²⁶.

In this study, the antibiotic resistance patterns of *E. coli* revealed that 24% (6/25) of isolates were resistant to gentamicin, with an additional 32% showing intermediate sensitivity. Resistance to streptomycin was found in 4% of isolates, while 16% exhibited intermediate resistance to amoxicillin–clavulanic acid. Notably, 16% of isolates were resistant to cefotaxime, 4% to ceftazidime, and 8% showed intermediate resistance. All isolates were sensitive to amikacin and imipenem. In contrast, a study by Dsani⁸ on raw meat reported high susceptibility rates for gentamicin (97%) and ciprofloxacin (92%), but noted that 17% of the samples were resistant to cefuroxime, with the *bla_{TEM}* gene detected in 4% of cases. Kassem et al.¹⁹ revealed that 1.7% of *E. coli* isolates from raw minced meat in Lebanon were resistant to cefotaxime and 2.5% to gentamicin. Additionally, 5.8% were resistant to kanamycin and 30% to streptomycin, although all isolates remained sensitive to amoxicillin–clavulanic acid and imipenem. Lastly, Rahman⁴¹ found 100% resistance to ciprofloxacin and gentamicin in *E. coli* isolates from meat.

In our genetic analysis, we identified the *ant*(2'')-Ia and *ant*(3'')-Ia genes, which encode resistance to aminoglycosides. The nucleotidyltransferases ANT(2'') and ANT(3'') are the enzymes most commonly associated with aminoglycoside resistance in Gram-negative bacteria⁴⁰.

A study by Xiao and Hu⁵⁶ demonstrated that *E. coli* exhibited high resistance to gentamicin, streptomycin, and etimicin, while resistance to amikacin and isepamicin was low. The predominant resistance mechanism identified was the aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme AAC(3)-I. Lee et al.²⁵ investigated the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in *E. coli* from retail meats in California, finding that 30.48% and 16.9% of isolates were resistant to gentamicin and streptomycin, respectively. Nine genes encoding various acetyltransferases, nucleotidyltransferases, or phosphotransferases were responsible for this resistance profile, with the most frequently observed being *aph*(3'')-Ib, *aph*(6)-Id, *aac*(3)-VIa, and *ant*(3'')-Ia²⁵.

Due to its reproducibility, cost effectiveness, speed, and practicality, the RAPD method genotyping, especially when dealing with many isolates, has been described as a great tool for evaluating clonal diversity of *E. coli* isolates³⁶. We used M13 RAPD genotyping to understand the genetic diversity and relatedness of isolates belonging to the same sample with at least one resistance gene. This technique was successful in amplifying different sized products for all isolates. Ndegwa et al.³⁵, found highly similar RAPD profiles in some strains belonging to some serogroups, whereas

other serogroups generated a higher diversity in the generated RAPD pattern. Our results showed an identical profile in *E. coli* O130:H25 isolates, even when this serogroup was associated with diversity in resistance or virulence markers, resulting in three diverse patterns. According to Ndegwa et al.³⁵, the ONT groups showed high diversity by RAPD, which could be associated with intrinsic difference among strains with ONT, because it was not a formal serogroup. Some strains previously identified as ONT could be later assigned to a specific serogroup. Thakur et al.⁴⁹ identified 30% of diarrheagenic *E. coli* isolates as ONT. Interestingly, untypeable isolates belonged to various categories of DEC pathotypes⁴⁹. In contrast to these reports, two of our isolates did not carry genetic markers of DEC, whereas the other five carried EAEC markers. *E. coli* serogroups have been largely associated with identifying clonal variants of DEC pathotypes rather than providing precise identification^{4,18}. Although in some cases RAPD was able to discriminate among *E. coli* based on serogroups, in those with higher diversity most of the clustering was based on the presence of a specific gene combination. In Argentina, genetic diversity has been reported within the same M13 RAPD pattern and STEC serogroup isolated from meat, even in isolates sharing the same combination of virulence genes²². Our results highlight the previous unreported diversity of *E. coli* harboring resistance and virulence markers from meat in TDF. These data are important for determining their significance as public health pathogens.

An isolate from O18ac:H21 was reported from cattle in Mexico⁴² but carrying a *stx2* virulence profile. In our study, the isolate was *aggR* positive, and highlighted the potential of the serotype to host a phage carrying the *stx2* toxin. Moreover, serogroup O18ac was associated with hybrid ExPEC/EAEC and the ST131 clone carrying its own set of acquired resistance and virulence genes⁴³.

The mere presence of virulence genes does not mean that the strain is pathogenic; however, *E. coli* O23 strains were observed in isolates from organs after *Psittacidae* necropsy²¹. In our study, this O23 isolate came from meat samples, and the animals in the slaughterhouse were expected to be healthy. Anyway, their relationship to virulence and resistance genes allows us to suspect their potential risk.

We found 3 isolates classified as low to moderate biofilm producers, identified as O23, O130, and ONT serotypes. Although biofilm production in this group of bacteria may be associated with antibiotic resistance, these strains exhibited antimicrobial resistance genes that explain this resistance. Our results align with those of Pellegrini et al.³⁹, who isolated *E. coli* strains from 10 horticultural farms and observed moderate and low biofilm production, without being able to associate this characteristic with antimicrobial resistance, even though all the isolates tested exhibited biofilm production. The importance of this phenotypic characteristic should be considered in strains found in their natural environment²⁸.

This resistance could be the result of the indiscriminate use of these antibiotics in animal production, which exerts selective pressure that favors the proliferation of resistant bacteria²⁴. Resistance to CIP and GEN limits the therapeutic options available to treat the infections caused by these

strains, highlighting the importance of prudent antibiotic management.

Transferable resistance mechanisms, such as extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBL) and aminoglycoside resistance genes, were detected in several isolates. The detection of *bla*_{CTX-M-2} in some isolates is particularly alarming since this enzyme confers resistance to multiple classes of antibiotics, including third and fourth generation cephalosporins. These resistance mechanisms can be transferred horizontally between bacteria, facilitating the spread of resistance in different environments, including human and animal. Continued surveillance and control of antimicrobial resistance are essential to address this threat from a “One Health” approach^{37,55}.

E. coli is a key indicator of food hygiene, and its monitoring in meat samples points to the potential presence of antimicrobial-resistant strains capable of causing infections in humans, encompassing resistance profile.

Food handlers can be asymptomatic carriers of *E. coli* with varying antimicrobial resistance (AMR) profiles, posing a significant risk of spreading the microorganism through improper practices⁴⁶. Across the entire food chain (whether industrial, small merchants or households) food handlers play a crucial role in food preparation. Poor manufacturing practices, often influenced by cultural factors and inadequate risk perception, exacerbate this issue. Ensuring food safety through good manufacturing practices (GMP) and proper training of food handlers, including butchers, is essential in preventing foodborne diseases (FBD). Detecting and evaluating risk parameters is crucial for identifying critical action points. Failure to address them can result in widespread contamination and severe public health consequences.

Funding

The present study was supported by PIDAE 2018-2019, UBA-CyT 2020 and 2022, Secretaria de Políticas Universitarias-Universidad de Buenos Aires.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the technical staff for their work in carrying out this work.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version available at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2025.05.001>.

References

- Bell C, Kyriakides A. Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. In: Blackburn C, McClure PJ, editors. Foodborne pathogens: hazards risk analysis and control. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Woodhead Publishing; 2002. p. 544.
- Boisen N, Scheutz F, Rasko DA, Redman JC, Persson S, Simon J, Kotloff KL, Levine MM, Sow S, Tamboura B, Toure A, Malle D, Panchalingam S, Krogfelt KA, Nataro JP. Genomic characterization of enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* from children in Mali. J Infect Dis. 2012;205:431–44, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir757> 33.
- Bonnet R. Growing group of extended-spectrum β -lactamases: the CTX-M enzymes. Agents Chemother. 2004;48:1–14.
- Cho SH, Shin HH, Choi YH. Enteric bacteria isolated from acute diarrheal patients in the Republic of Korea between the year 2004 and 2006. J Microbiol. 2008;46:325.
- Boletín Oficial República Argentina – Servicio Nacional de Sanidad y Calidad Agroalimentaria. Productos Veterinarios – Resolución 559/2011. Available from: <https://www.boletinoficial.gob.ar/detalleAviso/primera/55584/20110826> [accessed 13 Mar 2025].
- Costello SE, Deshpande LM, Davis AP, Mendes RE, Castanheira M. Aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme and 16S ribosomal RNA methyltransferase genes among a global collection of Gram-negative isolates. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2019;16:278–85, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2018.10.020>.
- Cruz GR, Radice M, Sennati S, Gutkind G, Di Conza JA. Prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants among oxyiminocephalosporin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in Argentina. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2013;108:924–7.
- Dsani E, Afari EA, Danso-Appiah A, Kenu E, Kaburi B, Egyir B. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular detection of extended spectrum β -lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from raw meat in Greater Accra region, Ghana. BMC Microbiol. 2020;20:253.
- Donnenberg M. *Escherichia coli*: pathotypes and principles of pathogenesis. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press; 2013.
- Gourama H. Foodborne pathogens. In: Demirci A, Feng H, Krishnamurthy K, editors. Food safety engineering. Food engineering series. Cham: Springer; 2020.
- Gutkind G, Di Conza J, Power P, Radice M. β -Lactamase-mediated resistance. A biochemical, epidemiological and genetic overview. Curr Pharm Des. 2013;19:164–208.
- Hall G, Vally H, Kirk M. Foodborne illnesses: overview. International Encyclopedia of Public Health; 2008. p. 638–53.
- Hemalata VB, Virupakshaiah DBM. Isolation and identification of food borne pathogens from spoiled food samples. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2016;5:1017–25.
- Heredia, Garcia. Animals as sources of food-borne pathogens: a review. Anim Nutr. 2018;4:250–5.
- Hernandes RT, Velsko I, Sampaio SCF, Elias WP, Robins-Browne RM, Gomes TAT, Giro JA. Fimbrial adhesins produced by atypical enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;23:8391–9.
- Itoh F, Ogino T, Itoh F, Watanabe H. Differentiation and detection of pathogenic determinants among diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* by polymerase chain reaction using mixed primers. Jpn J Clin Med. 1992;50:343–7.
- Ju W, Shen J, Toro M, Zhao S, Meng J. Distribution of pathogenicity islands OI-122, OI-43/48, and OI-57 and a high-pathogenicity island in Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013;79:3406–12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03661-12>.
- Kaper JB, Nataro JP, Mobley HL. Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2004;2:123–40.
- Kassem II, Nasser NA, Salibi J. Prevalence and loads of fecal pollution indicators and the antibiotic resistance phenotypes of *Escherichia coli* in raw minced beef in Lebanon. Foods (Basel, Switzerland). 2020;9:1543, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/foods9111543>.

20. Kim JY, Kim SH, Kwon NH, Bae WK, Lim JY, Koo HC, Kim JM, Noh KM, Jung WK, Park KT. Isolation and identification of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 using different detection methods and molecular determination by multiplex PCR and RAPD. *J Vet Sci*. 2005;6:7–19.
21. Knöbl T, Saidenberg A, Moreno AM, Gomes T, Vieira MA, Leite DS, Blanco J, Ferreira AJP. Serogroups and virulence genes of *Escherichia coli* isolated from psittacine birds. *Pesqui Vet Bras*. 2011;31:916–92.
22. Krüger A, Padola NL, Parma AE, Lucchesi PMA. Intraserotype diversity among Argentinian verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* detected by random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis. *J Med Microbiol*. 2006;55:545–9.
23. Larsson DG, Flach CF. Antibiotic resistance in the environment. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2022;20:257–69.
24. Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, Zaidi AKM, Wertheim HFL, Sumpradit N, Vlieghe E, Hara GL, Gould IM, Goossens H, Greko C, So AD, Bigdeli M, Tomson G, Woodhouse W, Ombaka E, Peralta AQ, Qamar FN, Mir F, Kariuki S, Bhutta ZA, Coates A, Bergstrom R, Wright GD, Brown ED, Cars O. Antibiotic resistance. The need for global solutions. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2013;13:1057–98.
25. Lee KY, Lavelle K, Huang A, Atwill ER, Pitesky M, Li X. Assessment of prevalence and diversity of antimicrobial resistant *Escherichia coli* from retail meats in Southern California. *Antibiotics* (Basel). 2023;12:782, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12040782>.
26. Lekshmi M, Ammini P, Kumar S, Varela MF. The food production environment and the development of antimicrobial resistance in human pathogens of animal origin. *Microorganisms*. 2017;5:11.
27. Leroy P, Decolin D, Serge N, Archimbault P, Nicolas A. Residue determination of two coadministered antibacterial agents cephalaxin and colistin in calf tissues using high performance liquid chromatography and microbiological methods. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*. 1989;7:1837–46.
28. López S, Zea S, Gómez León J. Evaluación in vitro de la formación de biopelículas de bacterias marinas del Caribe colombiano. *Boletín de Investigaciones Marinas y Costeras - INVEMAR*. 2019;48:71–93, <http://dx.doi.org/10.25268/bimc.invemar.2019.48.2.767>.
29. Llorente P, Barnech L, Lrino K. Characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from ground beef collected in different socioeconomic strata markets in Buenos Aires, Argentina. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:795104.
30. Marchisio ML, Liebreiz KI, Méndez E. Molecular epidemiology of cefotaxime-resistant but ceftazidime-susceptible Enterobacteriales and evaluation of the in vitro bactericidal activity of ceftazidime and cefepime. *Braz J Microbiol*. 2021;52:1853–63, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s42770-021-00574-4>.
31. McGuckin M, Pyle JA, Abrutyn E. Screening for resistant gram-negative bacteria with gentamicin-MacConkey agar. *Am J Infect Control*. 1980;8:85–6.
32. McMillan EA, Gupta SK, Williams LE, Jové, Hiott LM, Woodley TA, Barrett JB, Jackson CR, Wasilenko JL, Simmons M, Tillman GE, McClelland M, Frye JG. Antimicrobial resistance genes cassettes, and plasmids present in salmonella enterica associated with United States Food Animals. *Front Microbiol*. 2019;17:832, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00832>.
33. Mohamed JA, Huang DB, Jiang ZD, DuPont HL, Nataro JP, Belkind-Gerson J, Okhuysen PC. Association of putative enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* virulence genes and biofilm production in isolates from travelers to developing countries. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2007;45:121–6, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01128-06>.
34. Nguyen VT, Carrique Mas JJ, Ngo TH, Ho HM, Ha TT, Campbell JI, Nguyen TN, Hoang NN, Pham VM, Wagenaar JA, Hardon A, Thai QH, Schultsz C. Prevalence and risk factors for carriage of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli* on household and small-scale chicken farms in the Mekong Delta of Vietnam. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70:2144–52.
35. Ndegwa E, O'Brien D, Matthew K, Wang Z, Kim J. Shiga toxin subtypes, serogroups, phylogroups RAPD genotypic diversity, and select virulence markers of Shiga-toxigenic *Escherichia coli* strains from goats in mid-Atlantic US. *Microorganisms*. 2022;10:1842, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10091842>.
36. Nielsen KL, Godfrey PA, Stegger M, Andersen PS, Feldgarden M, Frimodt-Møller N. Selection of unique *Escherichia coli* clones by random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD): evaluation by whole genome sequencing. *J Microbiol Methods*. 2014;103:101–3.
37. O'Neill J. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations the review on antimicrobial resistance; 2016. p. 10–84.
38. Paton AW, Paton JC. Direct detection and characterization of Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* by multiplex PCR for stx1, stx2, eae, ehxA, and saa. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2002;40:271–4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.1.271-274.2002> 32.
39. Pellegrini MC, Okada E, González Pasayo RA, Ponce AG. Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* strains in horticultural farms from Argentina: antibiotic resistance, biofilm formation, and phylogenetic affiliation. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. 2022;29:23225–36, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-17523-1>.
40. Ramirez MS, Tolmasky ME. Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. *Drug Resist Updat*. 2010;13:151–71.
41. Rahman MA, Rahman AKMA, Islam MA, Alam MM. Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated from milk, beef and chicken meat in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J Vet Med*. 2017;15:141–6.
42. Reyes Rodríguez NE, Barba León J, Navarro Ocaña A, Vega Sánchez V, Gómez De Anda FR, Talavera González JM, Talavera Rojas M. Serotipos y aislamientos de *Escherichia coli* productora del subtipo Stx2 de la toxina Shiga provenientes de canales y heces de ganado bovino. *Rev Mex Cien Pecu*. 2020;11:1030–44, <http://dx.doi.org/10.22319/rmcp.v11i4.5049>.
43. Santona A, Sumban JJ, Fiamma M, Deligios M, Taviani E, Simbine SE, Zimba T, Sacarlal J, Rubino S, Paglietti B. High-risk lineages among extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* from extraintestinal infections in Maputo Central Hospital, Mozambique. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2022;60:106649, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2022.106649>.
44. Sarkar S, Souza MJ, Martin Jimenez T, Abouelkhair MA, Kania SA, Okafor CC. Tetracycline, sulfonamide, and erythromycin residues in beef, eggs, and honey sold as "antibiotic-free" products in East Tennessee (USA) farmers' markets. *Vet Sci*. 2023;10:243.
45. Serwecińska L. Antimicrobials and antibiotic-resistant bacteria: a risk to the environment and to public health. *Water*. 2020;12:3313.
46. Sofos JN. Challenges to meat safety in the 21st century. *Meat Sci*. 2008;78:3–13.
47. Stavroulaki A, Tzatzarakis MN, Karzi V, Katsikantami I, Renieri E, Vakonaki E, Avgenaki M, Alegakis A, Stan M, Kavalakis M. Antibiotics in raw meat samples: estimation of dietary exposure and risk assessment. *Toxics*. 2022;10:456, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/toxics10080456>.
48. Tarr PI, Bilge SS, Vary JC. Iha: a novel *Escherichia coli* O157 adherence-conferring molecule encoded on a recently acquired chromosomal island of conserved structure. *Infect Immun*. 2000;68:1400–7.
49. Thakur N, Jain S, Changotra H, Shrivastava R, Kumar Y, Grover N, Vashist J. Molecular characterization of diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* pathotypes: association of virulent genes, serogroups, and antibiotic resistance among

- moderate-to-severe diarrhea patients. J Clin Lab Anal. 2018;32:e22388, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcla.22388>.
50. Tatsuno I, Hobie M, Abe H. *toxB* gene on pO157 of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 is required for full epithelial cell adherence phenotype. Infect Immun. 2001;69:6660–9.
51. Torres AG, López-Sánchez GN, Milflores-Flores L. Ler and H-NS regulators controlling expression of the long polar fimbriae of *Escherichia coli* O157. J Bacteriol. 2007;189:5916–28.
52. Vélez MV, Colello R, Etcheverría S, Etcheverría AI, Padola NL. Biofilm formation by LEE-negative Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains. Microb Pathog. 2021;157:105006.
53. Vidal M, Kruger C, Duran R, Lagos R, Levine M, Prado V, Toro C, Vidal R. Single PCR assay to identify simultaneously the six categories of diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* associated with enteric infections. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:5362–5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.10.5362-5365.2005>.
54. Wieler LH, Semmler T, Eichhorn I, Antao EM, Kinnemann B, Geue L, Karch H, Guenther S, Bethe A. No evidence of the Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* O104:H4 outbreak strain o enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) found in cattle faeces in northern Germany, the hotspot of the 2011. HUS outbreak area. Gut Pathogens. 2011;3:17, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1757-4749-3-17> 34.
55. Woolhouse M, Ward M, van Bunnik B, Farrar J. Antimicrobial resistance in humans, livestock and the wider environment. Philos Trans R Soc Lond Ser B Biol Sci. 2015;370:20140083.
56. Yonghong X, Yunjian H. The major aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme AAC(3)-II found in *Escherichia coli* determines a significant disparity in its resistance to gentamicin and amikacin in China. Microb Drug Resist. 2012;18:42–6.
57. Zembower TR, Maxwell KM, Nadler RB, Cashy J, Scheetz MH, Qi C, Schaeffer AJ. Evaluation of targeted antimicrobial prophylaxis for transrectal ultrasound guided prostate biopsy: a prospective cohort trial. BMC Infect Dis. 2017;17:401, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2470-1> [PMID: 28592230; PMCID: PMC5463462].