





EDITORIAL

Sufferers with functional problems - patients with nothing wrong?

Enfermos con problemas funcionales ¿No tienen nada?

One of the biggest challenges facing clinicians is the huge and probably rising prevalence of functional problems. Consultations for such problems seem to dominate virtually every speciality. In gastroenterology the majority of out-patient consultations are for functional problems, such as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS).1 This is reflected in other specialities: chest pain clinics report that over half of patients seen do not have a cardiological lesion, rheumatologists carry a huge workload of patients who do not have a specifically identifiable rheumatological problem and generalists see large numbers of patients with no clearly delineated lesions, e.g. patients with tiredness or malaise. These are not inappropriate consultations - rather that we do not have conventional explanations for these genuine complaints. It has been estimated that well over 50% of all consultations in primary and secondary care in developed countries are for such problems and even higher in developing nations. This is a huge burden for any health system. In the UK these problems account for several million consultations per week. No speciality is exempt, even psychiatry having its quota of patients who do not easily fit into diagnostic categories.

Defining functional problems is a challenge. One definition refers to a variable combination of chronic or recurrent symptoms not explained by structural or biochemical abnormalities. No bodily system appears exempt; in addition to the above, musculoskeletal, respiratory and urogenital, pelvic and neurological symptoms are common. Some problems such as fibromyalgia and IBS can be categorised by clusters of symptoms. A common thread in these clusters is somatic hypersensitivity and in mental health, perhaps psychological hypersensitivity. In fibromyalgia, muscular tenderness is seen almost as a diagnostic indicator and in IBS hypersensitivity of the bowel is a delineating feature. Tiredness seems a consistent feature across these syndromes and the symptoms frequently

overlap between one kind of clustered problem and another. Frequently, the symptoms are changeable from one body system to another and between syndromes.

These problems are ill understood. Sometimes their existence as syndromes is subject to hot debate - in myalgic encephalopathy (ME) syndrome there is a history of clashes between those who support this as a specific entity and those who vehemently oppose it. ME syndrome sufferers, often through representative groups, have held that they have an identifiable diagnostic label requiring proper recognition, research and treatment. Many clinicians have found it difficult to come to terms with this view. Some feel many such syndromes have been created or exploited by the pharmaceutical industry to further interests in expensive, new products.

The overall message is that clinicians do not have an understanding of the basis of these problems and we lack what the anthropologists call convincing Explanatory Models (EMs). Working without an understandable framework makes it difficult for the clinician to understand and communicate about the problem and to find ways of dealing with it effectively. Transmitting uncertainty and not knowing quite what to do is a problem for the doctor.

The psychological component of the functional problems is ill understood and confusing. Anxiety and depression are known to be more prevalent in sufferers but are not a hallmark. Stress plays a part. And, most people with anxiety or depression do not get somatic functional symptoms. Some studies have suggested a strong background of past physical or sexual abuse. Treatment with psychotropics has some but limited value in most sufferers, suggesting that it is of some help rather than of prime value. A further feature of patients with functional problems is their high response to placebo - ranging from 20%-60% for some problems. This makes the evaluation of new therapies a challenge within the

146 Pali-Hungin A

constraints of conventional randomised, placebo controlled trials. This has restricted the development and availability of new therapies. Also, outcomes from drug trials for functional problems are notoriously difficult to interpret; in many instances patients do not experience a statistically significant improvement in specific symptoms but report an overall improvement in quality of life! In IBS, hypnotherapy and cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) have been shown to be of value.

What then, might be the brain-body link in the functional problems? Much research is being devoted to finding explanations in this field. The discovery of hormones which emanate from the brain, including the hypothalamus and which affect somatic perception and function has provided promising openings. These agents, some of which might affect, say gut motility, provide a clue to the possible mediating mechanisms from the brain to the body, but it is difficult to explain what leads to their secretion and how higher brain function might influence this. Recent research using functional MRI scanning has identified areas in the cortex which respond to painful stimulation and there are indications of fMRI changes in individuals responding to placebo. Thus, both upward and downward mechanisms are now being explored and mapped.

Nonetheless, the entire concept of the function disorders, their underlying mechanisms and the role of the mind remains intriguing. How these disorders develop, their role within the adaptive environment of the individual and how best to describe and treat them are challenges. A view reflected by some psychiatrists about the need to reach out to the "spiritual dimension" of the individual may provide a key to the better understanding of sufferers and our ability to utilise a more humanities based approach towards management.

At the same time, good communication between doctors and patients is paramount and the doctor-patient

relationship is probably at the heart of a successful management plan.

However, this is hampered if the clinician himself does not have a clear concept or model of what he is trying to treat. Trying to explain something that you yourself do not understand is not only stressful but it becomes evident to the patient that the doctor is struggling. Therefore, it is no wonder that many patients are dissatisfied with their doctors in such situations and seek repeated opinions elsewhere. Clinicians need to understand the extensive impact of, say, IBS on sufferers' daily lives and the frustrations of trying treatments with little effect. Research has confirmed that doctors' diagnostic procedures and explanatory models of IBS are often opposed to patients' own expectations. Perhaps the best approach is to see things sympathetically through the patients' eyes and to work together on possible managements.^{2,3}

References

- Casiday RE, Hungin AP, Cornford CS, de Wit NJ, Blell MT. Patients' explanatory models for irritable bowel syndrome: symptoms and treatment more important than explaining aetiology. Fam Pract. 2009; 26: 40-47.
- Casiday RE, Hungin A, Cornford CS, de Wit NJ, Blell MT. GPs' explanatory models for irritable bowel syndrome: a mismatch with patient models? Fam Pract. 2009; 26: 34-39.
- Collins J, Farrall Edwina, Turnbull Deborah A, Hetzel, David A, Holtmann Gerald, Andrews, Jane M. Do We Know What Patients Want? The Doctor-Patient Communication Gap in Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology. 2009; 7:1252- 1254.

Professor A Pali Hungin, MD

Dean of Medicine. School of Medicine and Health,
Durham University, UK
E mail: a.p.s.hungin@durham.ac.uk