



Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Effects of mindfulness-based interventions on cognitive impairment in patients with cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis” [Int J Clin Health Psychol. 2025 Apr-Jun;25(2):100576]



Shuqin Jiang^a, Yaoyao Sun^b, Lixiang Yu^{c,d}, Xinjie Hu^a, Jie Li^{a,e,f,*}

^a Department of Social Medicine and Health Management, School of Public Health, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China

^b Peking University Sixth Hospital, Peking University Institute of Mental Health, NHC Key Laboratory of Mental Health (Peking University), National Clinical Research Center for Mental Disorders (Peking University Sixth Hospital), Beijing, China

^c Department of Breast Surgery, The Second Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China

^d Institute of Translational Medicine of Breast Disease Prevention and Treatment, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China

^e NHC Key Lab of Health Economics and Policy Research (Shandong University), Jinan, China

^f Center for Health Management and Policy Research, Shandong University (Shandong Provincial Key New Think Tank), Jinan, China

The authors sincerely regret to inform readers of the following errors identified in the published article:

1. On page 1, in the abstract, fourth line from the bottom: The context should be “ $P_{within-group}=0.303$ ”, not “ $P_{between-group}=0.303$ ”.
2. On page 5, in Table 1, under the “Education” column for “Duval et al., 2022”, the term “bachelor’s degress” should be “bachelor’s degrees”, and for “Johns et al., 2016”, the term “College degress” should be “College degrees”.
3. On page 8, in the lower part of Figure 2, which displays the between-group effects of mindfulness interventions on subjective cognitive function at follow-up, the headings should be “Experimental” and “Control”, rather than “Follow up” and “Baseline”.
4. On page 9, in Table 2, under “Additional home practices” in the “Within-group, pre-post, all studies” section (third line from the bottom): The value in the “k” column for the “No” subgroup should be 8, not 89.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2025.100576>.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Social Medicine and Health Management, School of Public Health, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China


E-mail address: lijie2@sdu.edu.cn (J. Li).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2025.100599>


Available online 24 June 2025

1697-2600/© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Study	Experimental			Control		
	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD
VanderGucht et al, 2020	12	-41.33	11.7100	14	-48.11	18.4100
Duval et al, 2022	30	82.48	27.6700	30	80.04	26.1800
Milbury et al, 2013	18	73.60	20.8000	22	69.30	21.8000
Jang SH et al, 2016	11	64.01	15.6100	12	52.75	21.3400
Liu T et al, 2019	57	69.56	13.9700	52	61.81	19.8600
Bo Yue et al, 2023	30	68.18	11.2900	30	59.50	10.5900
Peng L et al, 2022	28	79.76	9.4700	29	70.69	13.1000
Johns et al, 2016	34	64.64	15.3900	35	52.28	14.9000
Vadiraaja et al, 2009	42	90.57	15.8800	33	80.77	24.1000
Chen W et al, 2017	30	75.00	18.8000	30	64.50	11.7000
Cheng J et al, 2022	51	101.33	13.6900	51	86.41	12.1600
Shan M et al, 2014	38	95.18	8.5800	42	83.33	16.8700
Ding G et al, 2021	43	68.90	9.1000	43	64.10	7.2000
Ding M et al, 2018	64	75.14	8.1200	64	68.45	7.2500
Guo C et al, 2023	50	73.87	6.5700	50	61.16	6.0400
Hao M et al, 2019	62	94.72	8.5500	61	83.51	17.0300
Zhu L et al, 2023	60	62.43	7.4100	60	55.62	7.5800
Zou Y et al, 2020	23	76.50	7.8600	23	60.90	6.5600
Random effects model	683			681		
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 70.5\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.1647$, $p < 0.0001$						



Study	Experimental			Control		
	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD
VanderGucht et al, 2020	12	-41.42	13.1700	13	-45.77	20.2300
Duval et al, 2022	30	78.20	32.3400	30	88.00	24.7700
Milbury et al, 2013	18	79.90	18.2000	23	76.20	20.6000
Liu T et al, 2019	53	77.81	16.3000	49	70.62	18.2500
Peng L et al, 2022	28	77.98	13.6500	29	67.82	16.6300
Johns et al, 2016	33	64.83	14.4800	35	55.21	17.5900
Shan M et al, 2014	38	95.61	7.4400	42	84.92	16.3800
Random effects model	212			221		
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 54.9\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0874$, $p = 0.0385$						



The authors apologize for any inconvenience caused.