



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The association between vitamin D status and metabolic syndrome and its components among female teachers residing in Yazd city



Ziba Mehri^{a,b}, Amin Salehi-Abargouei^{a,b}, Simin Shahvazi^{a,b}, Morteza Samadi^{c,d,e}, Fateme Zare^e, Azadeh Nadjarzadeh^{a,b,*}

^a Nutrition and Food Security Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

^b Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

^c Recurrent Abortion Research Center, Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

^d Reproductive Immunology Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

^e Research Center for Food Hygiene and Safety, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

Received 22 November 2018; accepted 7 February 2019

KEYWORDS

25(OH)D₃;
Metabolic syndrome;
Female;
Yazd

Abstract

Background: Studies trying to find the association between vitamin D status and metabolic syndrome (MetS) have led to inconsistent results, and community-based data for individuals living in the Middle East are limited.

Objectives: To find out if MetS and its components are associated with vitamin D status among female teachers residing in Yazd city during winter 2015.

Materials and methods: A total of 276 female teachers (case group, $n = 124$ and control group, $n = 152$) aged 20–60 years were included. Weight, height, waist circumference, blood pressure, daily energy intake, physical activity, serum 25 hydroxy vitamin D (25(OH)D₃), fasting blood glucose, triglycerides and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels were assessed. Logistic regression was used to examine the odds ratio of MetS according to vitamin D status.

Results: Mean serum 25(OH)D₃ was 32.79 ± 18.62 ng/ml and 33.73 ± 20.20 , in females with and without MetS, respectively ($P > 0.142$). Compared to those with 25(OH)D₃ of <20 ng/ml, the odds ratio for MetS was 1.01 (95% CI: 0.48–2.13) and 0.95 (95% CI: 0.56–1.60) for those with serum 25(OH)D₃ levels of 20–29 ng/ml and ≥ 30 ng/ml, respectively (P trend = 0.84). The association remained insignificant after adjusting for potential confounders. Furthermore, vitamin D status was not associated with MetS components ($P > 0.05$).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: azadehnajazadeh@gmail.com (A. Nadjarzadeh).

PALABRAS CLAVE

25(OH)D₃;
Síndrome metabólico;
Mujeres;
Yazd

Conclusion: Although several studies have claimed the association between vitamin D status and MetS, we could not find a similar connection in a sample of Iranian female teachers. Prospective studies are needed to determine the possible effect of vitamin D in the development of MetS, particularly in the Yazd province.

© 2019 SEEN y SED. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Resumen

Antecedentes: Los estudios en busca de una asociación entre el estado de vitamina D y el síndrome metabólico (SM) han dado resultados no concluyentes, y los datos sobre comunidades de personas residentes en Oriente Próximo son limitados.

Objetivos: Averiguar si existe asociación entre el SM y sus componentes y el estado de vitamina D en profesoras residentes en la ciudad de Yazd durante el invierno de 2015.

Materiales y métodos: Se incluyó a un total de 276 profesoras (grupos de casos, n = 124 y grupo de control, n = 152) de 20-60 años de edad. Se determinaron el peso, la talla, el perímetro de la cintura, la presión arterial, la ingesta diaria de energía, la actividad física y los niveles de 25-hidroxivitamina D (25(OH)D₃), glucosa en ayunas, triglicéridos y colesterol de las proteínas de alta densidad (C-HDL). Se utilizó regresión logística para determinar la razón de probabilidades de SM en función del estado de vitamina D.

Resultados: La concentración sérica media de 25(OH)D₃ era de 32,79 ± 18,62 ng/ml y 33,73 ± 20,20 en las mujeres con y sin SM, respectivamente (P > 0,142). En comparación con las que tenían <20 ng/ml de 25(OH)D₃, la razón de probabilidades de SM era 1,01 (IC al 95%, 0,48–2,13) y 0,95 (IC al 95%, 0,56–1,60) en las que tenían valores de 20-29 ng/ml y ≥30 ng/ml, respectivamente (tendencia de P = 0,84). La asociación seguía siendo no significativa después del ajuste por posibles factores de confusión. Además, el estado de vitamina D no se asociaba con los componentes del SM (P > 0,05).

Conclusión: Aunque varios estudios han informado de una asociación entre el estado de la vitamina D y el SM, no pudimos hallar una relación similar en una muestra de profesoras iraníes. Se necesitan estudios prospectivos para determinar el posible efecto de la vitamina D en el desarrollo del SM, especialmente en la provincia de Yazd.

© 2019 SEEN y SED. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS), an aggregation in a range of metabolic abnormalities, including central obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia and insulin resistance, is now an increasing health problem.¹ It is supposed that the prevalence of MetS ranges between 20% and 30% among adult population.² It has been claimed that 22.9% adults in the United States suffer from MetS.³ In 2009, Delavari and co-workers estimated that more than 11 million Iranian were affected by MetS.⁴ In the last population-based survey conducted in Yazd province (center of Iran) MetS was common and identified in 62.2% of women.⁵ MetS has been associated with low-grade inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, plasma hypercoagulability and diseases like type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, gout and atherosclerosis and therefore increases the risk of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs).¹

Several genetic and environmental factors have been mentioned for MetS. Diet is one of its important determinants. Recent studies have revealed that vitamin D deficiency might play a role in the pathogenesis of MetS.⁶ The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency has been reported

to range from 30 to 93%, in different regions.⁷ In Iran, vitamin D deficiency is highly prevalent among adult population⁸ as vitamin D deficiency was seen in 75.1% of women and 72.1% of men, in a sample of Iranian adults.⁹ Shakiba et al.¹⁰ reported that 60% of female secondary school students in Yazd are vitamin D deficient. The possible association between vitamin D status and MetS might be because of the relationship between this vitamin and energy expenditure¹¹ and insulin resistance.¹² Data from a recent review show that hypovitaminosis D is related to changes in insulin secretion, glucose intolerance and T2DM, either directly (by VDR activation) or indirectly (by regulation of calcium metabolism, calcemic hormones and inflammatory cytokines).¹³ A positive association has also been reported between vitamin D deficiency and increased risk for hypertension.¹⁴

Although the majority of studies^{15,16} have found a significant negative relationship between vitamin D and the presence of metabolic syndrome or its components, there are some studies that do not support this relationship.^{17,18} A recently published meta-analysis revealed an inverse relationship between 25(OH)D₃ concentration and metabolic syndrome in cross-sectional studies, but not in longitudinal studies.¹⁹ Both vitamin D deficiency and MetS are highly

prevalent in the Middle East,²⁰ but limited and inconsistent data are published regarding the relationship between hypovitaminosis D and MetS. For instance, Salekzamani and colleagues found no difference in circulating 25(OH)D₃ concentrations or vitamin D status between Iranian women with and without MetS.²¹ The same results were shown for the serum 25(OH)D₃ concentration and obesity in adults. In that study, Saneei et al. revealed that serum vitamin D status is associated with body mass index (BMI), except for women living in developing countries.²² In the present study, we tried to assess the association between vitamin D status and MetS controlling for several factors in a case-control investigation conducted among female teachers residing in Yazd city.

Materials and methods

Subjects

The present study is a case-control study in which its participants were selected from a cross-sectional study conducted by Shahvazi et al.²³ The detailed methodology of that cross-sectional study is described elsewhere. In brief, 450 female teachers aged 20–60 yr were selected by multi-stage stratified cluster sampling technique from elementary, guidance and high schools in Yazd city. Anthropometric and blood pressure measurements were conducted at the start of visiting participants. Data about participants' age, marital status, education, chronic diseases (including CVDs and T2DM), number of deliveries, physical activity [international physical activity questionnaire], usual dietary intake in the past year [food frequency questionnaire (FFQ)] and their husband's education and job were gathered using a self-reported questionnaire. After the first visit, the participants were requested to donate 5 ml of venous blood after an overnight (about 12 h) fast. Fasting blood glucose (FBG), serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglyceride (TG) levels were assessed to define MetS and for those with enough residual blood ($n=350$), their serum samples were aliquoted in two tubes and kept at -70°C for further analyses. Ethical consideration: All subjects were fully aware of the study design and objectives and signed a written informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the research council of Nutrition and Food Security Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

Anthropometric assessments

Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated portable digital scale, (SECA, model no: 813; Seca GmbH, Hamburg, Germany), while participants were minimally clothed and barefoot. Standing height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm using a non-stretchable plastic tape measure mounted on a flat wall while the participant's shoulders were in a normal state and their head was fixed at Frankfort horizontal plane. Waist circumference was recorded to the nearest 0.5 cm by a measuring tape at the umbilical level in standing position and after expiration.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated dividing weight in kilogrammes by height square in meters (kg/m^2).

Blood pressure measurements

Systolic blood pressure and diastolic BP were measured two times for each participant on the upper right arm in a seated position, after 5 min rest, using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer (ALP k2-Japan) and the mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure was recorded. Systolic blood pressure was defined as the first detectable sound (Korotkoff phase 1) and the diastolic blood pressure was defined as the disappearance of Korotkoff sound (Korotkoff phase 5).

Laboratory assessments

After about 12 h overnight fast venous blood samples (5 ml) were drawn for all participants. (FBG), (TGs) and serum concentrations of HDL-C, was measured by "Technicon RA1000" method by a glucose oxidase kit (Pars Azmoon Inc., Tehran, Iran). In cases where the serum triglyceride level was less than 400 mg/dL low density lipoprotein cholesterol was calculated using the Friedwald formula. All samples were analyzed when internal quality control met the acceptable criteria. For FBG, inter- and intra-assay coefficients for variability (CV) were both less than 2.2%. Inter-assay coefficients for variability (CV) were 1.8% for HDL-C and 1.6% for TG, respectively.

Description of the metabolic syndrome

There are different definitions of Mets. Nevertheless, the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP) definition is one of the most commonly used definitions of MetS. In the current study MetS was defined based on the criteria of the NCEP. Participants with the presence of three or more of the following components were categorized as having MetS:

(i) abdominal obesity ≥ 88 cm; (ii) elevated BP: systolic blood pressure ≥ 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 85 mmHg; (iii) low HDL-C level (<50 mg/dL); (iv) elevated serum TG (>150 mg/dL); (v) elevated FBG (>100 mg/dL).²⁴ Participants currently on treatment with antihypertensive drugs, a lipid-lowering drug, and those with a history of diabetes were also considered to meet the criteria for high blood pressure, high triglyceride, and high fasting blood sugar, respectively.

Assessment of vitamin D status

Serum 25(OH)D₃ concentration was determined using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA kit) (EQ 6411-9601, lot E 120203 BL; EUROIMMUN AG, Lübeck, Germany) and an ELISA plate reader (model Stat Fax[®] 2100; Awareness Technology Inc., Palm City, FL, USA). The intra- and inter-assay coefficients for variability (CV) were 2.4–4.4% and 5.9–8.2%, respectively. In this study, participants were stratified based on serum concentration of 25(OH)D₃ as (1) sufficiency, level ≥ 30 ng/mL; (2) insufficiency, level 20–29.9 ng/mL; and (3) deficiency: level <20 ng/mL.²⁵

Dietary intakes

Information about daily energy intake was assessed via a validated 178-item self-administered semi-quantitative multiple-choice questionnaire (FFQ), developed and modified based on a previously validated 168-item food frequency questionnaire for Tehran lipid and glucose study (TLGS).²⁶ There were also ten Yazd specific native food items that were frequently consumed in the region, while these food items were not included in TLGS FFQ. Therefore, the questionnaire was a 178-item FFQ. The 168-item FFQ used in TLGS was designed to be open-ended in its original form; therefore, it was modified to a multiple-choice questionnaire in Yazd nutrition study (YNS). Participants answered to the two questions about each food item: (1) the frequency of food consumption (number of times per month, week or day the food was eaten) in the last year and (2) amount of food that was in each time. To increase precision and accuracy of estimates, we attempted to give the portion size of foods as a unit with the same perception for all people. Participants were asked to report their frequency of all foods intake based on ten multiple choice frequency response categories varying from "never or less than once a month" to "10 or more times per day". The amount of food eaten in each time was asked using questions with five predefined choices which were different for each food item. Participants also asked to answer a separate multiple-choice questionnaire about their supplement use. Daily intake of macro- and micro-nutrients were derived using Nutritionist IV software (First Databank Inc., Hearst Corp., San Bruno, CA, USA).

Physical activity

The validated Iranian version of the short-form International Physical Activity Questionnaire was used to estimate levels of physical activity on average weekdays.²⁷ The information of this questionnaire was converted to metabolic equivalent hours per week (MET-h/wk) and participants were placed into two categories based on the median of reported physical activity: sedentary and active.

Economic status

Economic status was assessed by using nine self-administered questions. The questionnaire items were: number of family members, husband's occupation, the head of household (husband/herself/other family members), house ownership (owner/tenant), house type (apartment/house), number of bedrooms in the house, car ownership (yes/no), number of cars owned by the family, family income per month. Participants' answer to these questioned were summed to calculate an overall wealth score for each subject. Participants were categorized into low, middle and high economic status based on tertiles of the overall summed score.

Assessment of other covariates

Data about participants' age (20–50 years/over 50 years), marital status (single/married), participants'

education (college/Bachelor degree/Master degree or higher), numbers of deliveries (none/one/two/three or more), menstruation status (yes/no), family history of cardiovascular diseases (yes/no), family history of diabetes (yes/no), medication use and disease or medical condition and also husbands' education (high school/college or Bachelor degree/Master degree or higher) were also collected using a self-administered questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

Independent sample Student's *t*-test and Chi-square test were used to compare quantitative and categorical parameters between case and control groups, respectively. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean $\pm$ SD unless otherwise explained. Binary logistic regression was incorporated to investigate the relationship between serum 25(OH)D status and the likelihood of MetS in crude and several multivariable adjusted models. In model 1, the association was adjusted for age and energy intake. Participants' (BMI), Education (College and lower/Bachelor/Master degree and higher), Number of deliveries (none, one child, 2 children, 3 or more children), Wealth score (low, middle, high), marital status (single/married), Menstruation status (no/yes), physical activity (sedentary/active), oral contraceptive use (yes/no), Lifestyle change in previous year (yes/no), Calcium supplementation, Omega 3 supplementation, Vitamin D supplementation, Multivitamin supplementation, history of chronic diseases (including CVDs and T2DM) and Husband's education were further adjusted in Model 2. The Family history of CVDs and T2DM were additionally adjusted in model 3. The correlation between serum vitamin D levels and MetS components (including BMI, waist circumference, BP, FBG, serum HDL-C and TG) was assessed using Pearson correlation test. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). *P* values less than 0.05 were assumed as statistically significant.

Results

In total, 124 participants with MetS based on NCEP/ATPIII criteria and 152 participants without MetS were included in the current study. Participants were aged 43 ± 7.60 y and 38.40 ± 7.46 y in the case and control groups, respectively and the difference was not statistically significant ($P=0.07$). Mean serum 25(OH)D₃ was 32.79 ± 18.62 ng/ml and 33.73 ± 20.20 , in participants without and with MetS, respectively. No statistically significant differences in mean serum 25(OH)D₃ was observed between groups ($P=0.142$). The participants with MetS had higher levels of serum FBS ($P<0.001$), cholesterol ($P<0.005$) and triglycerides ($P<0.001$) and LDL-C ($P<0.012$) compared to those without MetS. In the case and control groups, 22.6 and 7.2% of participants, respectively, were post-menopausal, respectively, and this difference was statistically significant ($P<0.001$). Furthermore, multiple deliveries, recent lifestyle change and history of chronic diseases (including CVDs and diabetes mellitus) were more prevalent in the case group ($P<0.05$). Of the total 33.0% (32.3% for MetS group and 33.6% for

Table 1 General characteristics of female teachers aged 20–60 years with and without metabolic syndrome as well as total population.

Variables	Case group (n = 124)	Control group (n = 152)	Total	P value ^c
Age (year)	43.68 (7.60) ^a	38.40 (7.46)	40.77 (7.95)	0.842
Weight (kg)	74.53 (12.38)	66.84 (10.12)	70.29 (11.81)	0.219
BMI (kg/m ²) ^b	29.83 (4.42)	26.53 (3.80)	28.01 (4.40)	0.277
25 OH vitamin D ₃ (ng/ml)	33.73 (20.20)	32.79 (18.62)	33.28 (19.32)	0.142
Waist circumference (cm)	99.22 (9.00)	90.62 (9.69)	94.48 (10.30)	0.099
Hip circumference (cm)	111.35 (9.48)	105.67 (7.72)	108.22 (9.00)	0.232
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	121.23 (12.72)	106.41 (10.95)	113.07 (13.99)	0.068
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	84.48 (10.47)	73.62 (9.75)	78.50 (11.43)	0.554
Serum FBG (mg/dL)	107.67 (25.22)	93.96 (12.37)	100.12 (20.38)	<0.001
Serum cholesterol (mg/dL)	188.94 (37.47)	175.25 (28.18)	181.40 (33.33)	0.005
Serum TG (mg/dL)	177.03 (87.49)	107.09 (40.16)	138.51 (74.33)	<0.001
Serum (HDL-C) (mg/dL)	51.90 (8.04)	52.70 (7.66)	52.34 (7.83)	0.439
Serum (LDL-C) (mg/dL)	103.34 (29.72)	100.77 (23.40)	101.92 (26.41)	0.012
Education (%)				
College and lower	25.2	17.8	21.1	0.109
Bachelor	67.5	68.4	68.0	
Master degree and higher	7.3	13.8	10.9	
Husband's education (%)				
College and lower	38.7	26.9	32.2	0.052
Bachelor	50.4	53.8	52.3	
Master degree and higher	10.9	19.3	15.5	
Number of deliveries (%)				
None	1.6	13.8	8.4	<0.001
One	17.1	21.1	19.3	
Two	38.2	47.4	43.3	
Three or more	43.1	17.8	29.1	
Wealth score (%)				
Low income	25.8	36.2	31.5	0.182
Middle income	33.9	28.9	31.2	
High income	40.3	34.9	37.3	
Marriage status (married) (%)	91.1	94.1	92.7	0.337
Being menopause (%)	22.6	7.2	14.1	<0.001
Physical activity (%)				
Sedentary	79.5	74.0	76.5	0.287
Low to high active	20.5	26.0	23.5	
Oral contraceptive use (%)	1.6	5.9	4.0	0.069
Major lifestyle change in previous year (%)	28.2	10.7	18.7	<0.001
Omega 3 supplement use (%)	8.9	7.9	8.4	0.767
Calcium supplement use (%)	21.0	15.9	18.2	0.278
Vitamin D supplement use (%)	75.4	80.5	78.2	0.309
Multivitamin supplement use (%)	11.5	7.9	9.5	0.323
Family history of CVDs (%)	36.1	25.8	30.4	0.068
Family history of diabetes (%)	44.3	37.8	40.7	0.285
Personal history of chronic diseases ^d	60.5	32.2	44.9	<0.001
Vitamin D status (%)				
Deficient (<20 ng/ml)	32.3	33.6	33.0	0.974
Insufficient (20–29.9 ng/ml)	12.9	12.5	12.7	
Sufficient (≥30 ng/ml)	54.8	53.9	54.3	

^a Values are presented as mean (SD) unless indicated.

^b BMI: body mass index; 25 OH Vitamin D₃: 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃; FBG: fasting blood glucose; TG: triglyceride; HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; CVDs: cardiovascular diseases.

^c Independent samples Student's *t* test for continuous variables; Chi-square test for categorical variables.

^d Dyslipidemia, hypertension, hyperglycemia, myocardial infarction, stroke, polycystic ovary syndrome, fatty liver, multiple sclerosis, breast cancer, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer and uterine cancer.

without MetS group) had $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3 < 20 \text{ ng/ml}$, with no significant difference between groups. There were no significant differences in the weight, BMI, hip circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, serum HDL-C, education, husband's education, wealth score, physical activity, vitamin D supplement use of participants with and without MetS. The general and clinical characteristics of participants with and without MetS are shown in Table 1.

All components of MetS were significantly more prevalent in the case group when compared to the control group (Table 2). In All components of MetS except Low HDL-C the groups had statistically significant differences.

MetS

Age and energy-adjusted amounts of dietary food and nutrients intake are presented in Table 3. Our analysis revealed that those with MetS consumed more fruits, processed meat, white meat, low-fat dairy products, total carbohydrate, total sugar, vitamins B1, B2, B6, B12, C, magnesium and Iron and less amounts of vegetables, whole grains, refined grains, red meat, high fat dairy products, nuts and legumes as well as total energy, total fat, saturated fat, mono- and polyunsaturated fat, cholesterol, total protein, vitamins B3, B9, D, calcium, sodium and phosphorus compared to healthy participants (Table 3).

As shown in Table 3, mean daily intake of vitamin D was significantly lower for those with MetS compared to those without MetS ($P < 0.001$).

MetS

The association between vitamin D status and the likelihood of MetS both in all the population and those participants who did not use vitamin D supplements regularly is illustrated in Table 4. Although the chance of MetS was higher in vitamin D-deficient participants the association was not significant in crude or multivariable adjusted models. The situation did not change after excluding those who had a regular consumption of vitamin D supplements (Table 4).

$25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$

Vitamin D deficiency was associated with the likelihood of MetS components neither in total population nor in those without regular vitamin D supplement use (Table 5).

A negative correlation was found between serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ and waist circumference, hip circumference, BMI and serum TG levels, while the correlation was positive for serum FBG, cholesterol, HDL-C and LDL-C; however, none of them was statistically significant (Table 6).

Discussion

The present study revealed that serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ levels are not associated with MetS or its components among female teachers residing in Yazd city, central province of Iran. Results of previous studies trying to explore the association between serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ levels and MetS are contradictory. Although the majority of studies have reported the relationship between serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ levels and MetS,^{28,29} our

finding is in agreement with most of the studies conducted in Iran.²¹ The narrow range of serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ might possibly mask any significant relationships between vitamin D and MetS among our study population. There are numerous factors with a large influence on vitamin D status in our study population including socio-cultural, religious, sedentary activity, unhealthy dietary patterns, less consumption of fortified food and beverage with vitamin D, use of sun protection factors, and increasing body mass.³⁰ People living in sunny countries are estimated to be more deficient than other countries because of inadequate exposure to sunlight in the knowledge that they are receiving most of it.³¹ Regarding the dietary intakes, significant lower intake was observed for vitamin D in the MetS group, compared to the controls. The finding for vitamin D intake is in agreement with the majority of published work^{15,32} but a study conducted in Japan reported intake of the vitamin was somewhat similar for those with and without MetS.³³

Results from the Nurses' Health Study in 2006 showed that both vitamin D and calcium intake were associated with lower risk of type 2 diabetes.³⁴ Findings from the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study in the US suggest an inverse relationship between the dietary plus supplemental vitamin D intake and the development of incident MetS over 20 y of follow-up in young adults.³⁵ Liu et al. analyzed data from the Women's Health Study to examine the association between calcium and vitamin D intake and the risk of metabolic syndrome. Results from this cohort showed a low prevalence of MetS components in the highest quintile of combined calcium and vitamin D intake than in those in the lowest quintile.³⁶

It has been proposed that vitamin D might be one of the potential contributors to MetS,¹⁵ regarding the relationship of vitamin D with insulin resistance,¹² its immune-modulating and anti-inflammatory effects.³⁷ Insufficient vitamin D status may alter insulin secretion and sensitivity due to changes in intracellular calcium. The indirect effect of vitamin D in pancreatic B-cells mediated by the intra- and extracellular calcium flow, given that insulin action on fat and muscle tissues depends on calcium.³⁸ Indeed, there seems to be a link between calcium level of these tissues and peripheral insulin resistance.¹² Inadequate vitamin D status and associated increased PTH might be mainly because of the high percentage of body fat in obese individuals, leading to decreased insulin secretion, the high sensation of hunger and low-energy expenditure.³⁹ There are several mechanisms by which vitamin D deficiency could increase the risk of hypertension.¹⁴ First, hypovitaminosis D directly suppresses the expression of the renin gene,⁴⁰ second, $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ could be converted into $1, 25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ by vitamin D receptors in smooth muscle and endothelial cells.⁴¹ Finally, secondary hyperparathyroidism related to vitamin D deficiency stimulates myocyte hypertrophy.⁴²

Serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ level is the valid marker of measuring vitamin D status. Although the cut-offpoints for adequate vitamin D status is still very much a subject for debate, we chose levels equal or higher than $30 \mu\text{g/ml}$ as Vit D adequacy.²⁵ This concentration has been associated with optimal health outcomes apart from the least likelihood of bone fracture.⁴³ Our study found that around 67% of participants had serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ greater than or equal to

Table 2 The prevalence of metabolic syndrome components in female teachers aged 20–60 years with and without metabolic syndrome as well as total population.

Variables	Cases with MetS (n = 124)	Controls without MetS (n = 152)	Total	P value ^g
Abdominal obesity (%) ^a	96.8 ^c	59.2	210 (76.1%)	<0.001
HBP (%) ^{b,c}	71.0	10.5	104 (37.7%)	<0.001
High FBG (%) ^d	66.1	7.2	93 (33.7%)	<0.001
High TG (%) ^e	68.5	13.8	106 (38.4%)	<0.001
Low HDL-C (%) ^f	54.8	38.8	127 (46.0%)	0.008

^a Abdominal obesity classification for women ≥ 88 cm.^b HBP: high blood pressure; FBG: fasting blood glucose; TG: triglyceride; low HDL-C: HDL-cholesterol.^c HBP classification for women $\geq 130/\geq 85$ mmHg.^d High FBG classification for women ≥ 100 mg/dL.^e High TG classification for women ≥ 150 mg/dL.^f Low HDL-C classification for women < 50 mg/dL.^g Chi-square test.**Table 3** Age and energy-adjusted dietary intakes in female teachers aged 20–60 years with and without Metabolic syndrome.

Variables	Cases with MetS (n = 81)	Controls without MetS (n = 164)	P value
Vegetables (g/day)	250.03 (36.65) ^a	255.40 (25.02)	<0.001
Fruits (g/day)	653.77 (62.47)	628.89 (42.64)	<0.001
Whole grains (g/day)	15.92 (8.79)	19.35 (6.00)	0.694
Refined grains (g/day)	243.80 (19.51)	245.95 (13.32)	<0.001
Red meat (g/day)	33.97 (3.80)	39.02 (2.60)	<0.001
Processed meat (g/day)	7.15 (1.38)	6.39 (0.94)	0.012
White meat (g/day)	49.22 (5.82)	47.98 (3.97)	<0.001
Low fat dairy products (g/day)	107.64 (16.92)	97.53 (11.55)	<0.001
High fat dairy products (g/day)	88.02 (16.79)	108.73 (11.46)	<0.001
Nuts (g/day)	17.22 (3.79)	19.47 (2.59)	<0.001
Legumes (g/day)	26.40 (3.13)	28.09 (2.13)	<0.001
Nutrients			
Total energy intake^b (kcal/day)^a	2038.86 (129.48)	2176.86 (88.46)	0.007
Total carbohydrate (g/day)	318.76 (6.15)	307.69 (4.20)	<0.001
Total sugar (g/day)	113.37 (7.02)	109.70 (4.79)	<0.001
Total fat (g/day)	59.04 (2.18)	63.49 (1.49)	<0.001
Saturated fat (g/day)	20.72 (0.99)	22.21 (0.68)	<0.001
Monounsaturated fat (g/day)	20.49 (1.22)	23.66 (0.83)	<0.001
Polyunsaturated fat (g/day)	16.25 (1.07)	16.91 (0.73)	<0.001
Cholesterol (mg/day)	228.32 (15.10)	234.64 (10.31)	<0.001
Total proteins (g/day)	64.77 (2.13)	66.32 (1.46)	<0.001
Vitamin B1 (mg/day)	1.90 (0.48)	1.89 (0.03)	<0.001
Vitamin B2 (mg/day)	1.73 (0.06)	1.73 (0.04)	<0.001
Vitamin B3 (mg/day)	18.61 (0.54)	18.75 (0.37)	<0.001
Vitamin B6 (mg/day)	2.01 (0.11)	1.96 (0.07)	<0.001
Vitamin B9 (μg/day)	380.32 (23.48)	384.86 (16.03)	<0.001
Vitamin B12 (mg/day)	6.27 (0.44)	6.17 (0.30)	<0.001
Vitamin C (mg/day)	246.79 (20.38)	238.56 (13.91)	<0.001
Vitamin D (μg/day)	0.97 (0.25)	1.17 (0.17)	<0.001
Calcium (mg/day)	828.15 (39.74)	828.82 (27.13)	<0.001
Magnesium (mg/day)	276.32 (9.67)	269.81 (6.60)	<0.001
Iron (mg/day)	15.73 (0.45)	15.47 (0.31)	<0.001
Sodium (mg/day)	2771.56 (265.15)	3427.30 (180.97)	<0.001
Phosphorus (mg/day)	1179.54 (44.07)	1191.06 (30.08)	<0.001

^a Age and energy standardized mean(SE) values.^b Adjusted for age.

Table 4 Crude and adjusted ORs (95% CI) for metabolic syndrome according to vitamin D status in female teachers.

	Sufficient 25(OH)D $\geq$ 30 ng/ml	Insufficient 25(OH)D 20–<30 ng/ml	Deficient 25(OH)D <20 ng/ml	P trend
<i>All participants vitamin D status^a</i>				
Crud Model	1.00 (reference)	0.95 (0.56–1.60)	1.01 (0.48–2.13)	0.84
Model I ^b	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (0.58–1.72)	1.07 (0.49–2.29)	0.77
Model II ^c	1.00 (reference)	1.09 (0.56–2.12)	1.49 (0.57–3.87)	0.67
Model III ^d	1.00 (reference)	0.98 (0.49–1.94)	1.23 (0.46–3.32)	0.47
<i>Participants without vitamin D supplement use</i>				
Crud Model	1.00 (reference)	1.04 (0.46–2.37)	1.11 (0.61–2.01)	0.73
Model I ^b	1.00 (reference)	1.06 (0.46–2.48)	1/16 (0.63–2.13)	0.80
Model II ^c	1.00 (reference)	1.78 (0.63–5.07)	1.40 (0.66–2.99)	0.57
Model III ^d	1.00 (reference)	1.43 (0.48–4.26)	1.30 (0.60–2.83)	0.76

^a Vitamin D status as assessed using Endocrine Society criteria for classification of 25(OH)D₃ concentrations.

^b Adjusted for age and energy intake.

^c Further adjusted for education (College and lower, bachelor, Master degree and higher), Husband's education (Diploma and lower, College or Bachelor, Master degree and higher), Number of delivery (none, one child, 2 children, 3 or more children), Wealth score (low, middle, high), marriage (single/married), Menstruation (no/yes), Physical activity (sedent/active), OCP_use (yes/no), Lifestyle change (yes/no), Calcium supplementation, Omega 3 supplementation, Vitamin D supplementation, Multivitamin supplementation.

^d Adjusted for family history of CVD (yes/no) and diabetes (yes/no) in addition to variables included in Model II.

20 µg/ml, while 76.1% of them were overweight or obese. Our finding is consistent with a study conducted in the US.⁴⁴ Overweight and obese individuals are at greater risk for vitamin D deficiency.⁴⁵ It is not well-known if low vitamin D deficiency of obese is as a result of less sun exposure because of seclusion and maximal clothing or related to low bioavailability due to increased distribution of vitamin D to adipose tissue.⁴⁶

Based on our study, 32.3% of participants with MetS and 33.6% of participants without MetS were vitamin D deficient. Results show that mean serum 25(OH)D₃ concentration is 33.28 ng/ml. We also found a low prevalence (12.7%) of marginal vitamin D deficiency. Mean daily vitamin D intake of participants was lower than the recommended dietary reference intake (15 µg). The occurrence of vitamin D deficiency in our study population is lower than that in other provinces of Iran, including 98.4% of participants with MetS and 88.3% of participants without MetS for adults aged >20 years in Qazvin, 86% of participants with MetS and 89% participants without MetS for women aged 30–50 years in Tehran, 80.7% of participants with MetS and 79% of participants without MetS for adults aged >30 years in Mashhad and 40% of study participant for both gender and various age groups in a study conducted in five large city of Iran.^{21,32,47,48} It is even lower than that showed for adult of other countries, such as China (55.9%), Japan (40.8%), 72% of obese adults with MetS and 69% of obese adults without MetS in Turkey, (34.8%) for adult females in Saudi Arabia,^{33,45,49,50} while it is higher than that reported for adults in Jordan (31.7%).¹⁷

This study has a number of limitations that should be considered. The present study was cross-sectional in its design; therefore the causal relationship cannot be inferred from its results. Furthermore, the collection of samples was

performed in different seasons. Additionally, only a single measurement of 25(OH)D₃ was taken and only women was investigated, but it must pointed out that obesity and MetS are highly prevalent among women than in men in Iran.⁵¹ One of the strengths of our study is integrity and homogeneity of our studied sample which to a large extent reduced the possibility of the efficacy of unknown confounders on our findings. Another one is an assessment of current dietary intakes, last but not least, in the multivariate analysis, adjustment for a large number of potential confounding was taken into account as well as crucial and baseline variables.

Conclusion

In conclusion, it seems that serum vitamin D level is not associated with MetS and its components in female teachers living in central Iran, while vitamin intake was significantly lower in participants with MetS compared to healthy participants. Further prospective studies with larger sample size including participants from the general population would help to generalize these results.

Authorship

ZM and AN conceived the study. ASA, SS, ZM and AN carried out the recruitment of participants. MS and FZ conducted the laboratory analyses. ASA and ZM conducted the statistical analyses. ZM wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the conception, design and drafting of the manuscript. The authors would also like to thank all teachers involved in the study, without their collaboration the authors could not have accomplished the present study.

Table 5 Crude and adjusted ORs (95% CI) for components of metabolic syndrome, according to plasma 25(OH)D₃ status in female teachers aged 20–60 years without and with Metabolic syndrome, Yazd, Iran, 2015 (n = 276).

	Vitamin D status ^a			P trend
	Sufficient	Insufficient	Deficient	
	25(OH)D ≥30 ng/ml	25(OH)D 20–<30 ng/ml	25(OH)D <20 ng/ml	
Total participants				
High-FBG ^{b,c}				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	1.15 (0.53–2.46)	0.91 (0.52–1.58)	0.77
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	1.16 (0.54–2.53)	0.96 (0.55–1.69)	0.81
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	1.26 (0.50–3.22)	1.07 (0.54–2.11)	0.98
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	1.02 (0.37–2.83)	0.91 (0.45–1.87)	0.70
High-TG ^g				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	0.92 (0.44–1.95)	0.65 (0.37–1.12)	0.12
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	0.96 (0.44–2.08)	0.70 (0.39–1.23)	1.38
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	1.44 (0.55–3.76)	0.65 (0.33–1.32)	0.11
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	1.14 (0.43–3.04)	0.62 (0.31–1.27)	0.08
Low-HDL-C ^h				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	1.40 (0.57–3.45)	1.45 (0.78–2.70)	0.23
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	1.55 (0.64–3.72)	1.51 (0.82–2.80)	0.17
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	1.59 (0.75–3.39)	1.38 (0.81–2.36)	0.21
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	1.46 (0.70–3.06)	1.41 (0.84–2.39)	0.18
High-WC ⁱ				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	1.15 (0.63–2.12)	0.98 (0.40–2.39)	0.63
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	0.84 (0.36–2.00)	0.89 (0.48–1.65)	0.60
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	0.11 (0.17–.72)	0.83 (0.23–301)	0.36
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	0.09 (0.14–0.65)	0.71 (0.19–2.63)	0.35
High-BP ^j				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	1.26 (0.60–2.66)	0.96 (0.56–1.64)	0.92
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	1.33 (0.61–2.90)	1.01 (0.57–1.79)	0.83
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	1.84 (0.72–4.72)	1.12 (0.57–2.21)	0.79
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	1.73 (0.63–4.73)	1.16 (0.57–2.36)	0.74
Without Vit D supplementation				
High-FBS				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	1.14 (0.49–2.66)	0.93 (0.50–1.74)	0.84
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	1.15 (0.49–2.72)	0.97 (0.52–1.83)	0.88
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	1.79 (0.64–4.98)	1.29 (0.60–2.79)	0.52
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	1.76 (0.57–5.48)	1.21 (0.54–2.73)	0.75
High-TG				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	1.11 (0.48–2.55)	0.93 (0.51–1.72)	0.84
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	1.13 (0.48–2.67)	1.02 (0.55–1.92)	0.89
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	1.88 (0.78–5.18)	1.02 (0.48–2.16)	0.87
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	1.47 (0.51–4.22)	0.96 (0.44–2.07)	0.78
Low-HDL-C				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	1.6 (0.71–3.62)	1.52 (0.84–2.75)	0.16
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	1.86 (0.80–4.33)	1.53 (0.83–2.81)	0.18
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	1.67 (0.63–4.41)	1.70 (0.85–3.42)	0.67
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	1.4 (0.52–3.87)	1.54 (0.76–3.13)	0.11
High-Wist				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	0.92 (0.36–2.31)	0.80 (0.41–1.54)	0.50
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	0.88 (0.34–2.27)	0.82 (0.42–1.59)	0.47
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	0.22 (0.03–1.58)	0.98 (0.27–3.63)	0.45
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	0.19 (0.02–1.55)	0.83 (0.22–3.20)	0.41
High-BP				
Crude	1.00 (reference)	1.11 (0.48–2.55)	0.99 (0.54–1.81)	0.98
Model I ^d	1.00 (reference)	1.09 (0.46–2.61)	1.03 (0.55–1.94)	0.89
Model II ^e	1.00 (reference)	1.51 (0.53–4.26)	1.23 (0.57–2.69)	0.88
Model III ^f	1.00 (reference)	1.33 (0.43–4.15)	1.27 (0.56–2.92)	0.86

^a Vitamin D status as assessed using Endocrine Society criteria for classification of 25(OH)D₃ concentrations.^b 25(OH)D₃: 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃; FBG: fasting blood glucose; TG: triglyceride; HDL-C: high density lipoprotein; WC: waist circumference; BP: blood pressure.^c High-FBG classification for women ≥ 100 mg/dL.^d Adjusted for age, energy.^e Further adjusted for education (College and lower, bachelor, Master degree and higher), Husband's education (Diploma and lower, College or Bachelor, Master degree and higher), Number of delivery (none, one child, 2 children, 3 or more children), Wealth score (low, middle, high), marriage (single/married), Menstruation (no/yes), Physical activity (sedent/active), OCP_use (yes/no), Lifestyle change (yes/no), Calcium supplementation, Omega 3 supplementation, Vitamin D supplementation, Multivitamin supplementation.^f Additionally adjusted for family history of CVDs (yes/no) and diabetes (yes/no).^g High-TG classification for women ≥ 150 mg/dL.^h Low-HDL-C classification for women < 50 mg/dL.ⁱ High-Wist classification for women ≥ 88 cm.^j High-BP classification for women ≥ 130/≥ 85 mmHg.

Table 6 Correlation coefficients between serum 25(OH)D₃ levels and the components of Metabolic syndrome and other related biomarkers in female teachers in total population.^a

	Serum vitamin D concentration (Total Population) ^a		Serum vitamin D concentration (participants without vitamin supplementation)	
	<i>r</i>	<i>P</i> ^c	<i>r</i>	<i>P</i> ^c
Waist circumference (cm)	−0.07	0.27	−0.66	0.34
Hip circumference (cm)	−0.00	0.95	−0.06	0.41
BMI (kg/m ²) ^b	−0.00	0.97	−0.04	0.54
SBP (mmHg)	0.02	0.75	−0.02	0.75
DBP (mmHg)	0.05	0.37	0.02	0.71
FBG (mg/dL)	0.15	0.80	0.10	0.14
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	0.05	0.40	0.03	0.62
TG (mg/dL)	−0.00	0.95	−0.08	0.26
HDL-C (mg/dL)	0.07	0.25	0.09	0.19
LDL-C (mg/dL)	0.06	0.36	0.06	0.41

^a Vitamin D concentration as assessed using Endocrine Society criteria for classification of 25(OH)D₃ concentrations.

^b BMI: body mass index; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; FBG: fasting blood glucose; TG: triglyceride; LDL-C: LDL-cholesterol; HDL-C: HDL-cholesterol.

^c Pearson correlation test.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgements

The present study was derived from a dissertation for Master's degree in public health nutrition. This study was funded by the international campus of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran. The authors would like to thank all teachers involved in the study.

References

- Eckel RH, Alberti K, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. *The Lancet*. 2010;375:181–3.
- Grundy SM. Metabolic syndrome pandemic. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2008;28:629–36.
- Beltrán-Sánchez H, Harhay MO, Harhay MM, McElligott S. Prevalence and trends of metabolic syndrome in the adult US population 1999–2010. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62:697–703.
- Delavari A, Forouzanfar MH, Alikhani S, Sharifian A, Kelishadi R. First nationwide study of the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and optimal cutoff points of waist circumference in the Middle East. *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32:1092–7.
- Sadrbafghi S, Salari M, Rafiee M, Namayandeh S, Abdoli A, Karimi M. Prevalence and criteria of metabolic syndrome in an urban population: Yazd Healthy Heart Project. *TUMJ*. 2006;64:90–6.
- Kayaniyil S, Vieth R, Harris SB, Retnakaran R, Knight JA, Gerstein HC, et al. Association of 25(OH)D and PTH with metabolic syndrome and its traditional and nontraditional components. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;96:168–75.
- Hashemipour S, Larijani B, Adibi H, Javadi E, Sedaghat M, Pajouhi M, et al. Vitamin D deficiency and causative factors in the population of Tehran. *BMC Public Health*. 2004;4:1.
- Hossein-Nezhad A, Khoshniat N, Maghbooli M, Karimi Z, Mirzaei F, Hosseini A, et al. Relationship between serum vitamin D concentration and metabolic syndrome among Iranian adults population. *DARU J Pharm Sci*. 2015;1–5.
- Moradzadeh K, Larijani B, Keshkar A, Hossein-Nezhad A, Rajabian R, Nabipour I, et al. Normative values of vitamin D among Iranian population: a population based study. *Int J Osteopor Metab Disord*. 2008;1:8–15.
- Shakiba M, Nafei Z, Lotfi MH, Shajari A. Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among female students in secondary guidance school in Yazd City. *Acta Med Iran*. 2009;47:209–14.
- Teegarden D, White KM, Lyle RM, Zemel MB, Loan MD, Matkovic V, et al. Calcium and dairy product modulation of lipid utilization and energy expenditure. *Obesity*. 2008;16:1566–72.
- Pittas AG, Lau J, Hu FB, Dawson-Hughes B. The role of vitamin D and calcium in type 2 diabetes. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007;92:2017–29.
- Pittas AG, Chung M, Trikalinos T, Mitri J, Brendel M, Patel K, et al. Systematic review: vitamin D and cardiometabolic outcomes. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;152:307–14.
- Kendrick J, Targher G, Smits G, Chonchol M. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D deficiency is independently associated with cardiovascular disease in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Atherosclerosis*. 2009;205:255–60.
- Bea JW, Jurutka PW, Hibler EA, Lance P, Martinez ME, Roe DJ, et al. Concentrations of the vitamin D metabolite 1,25(OH)₂D and odds of metabolic syndrome and its components. *Metabolism*. 2015;64:447–59.
- Gagnon C, Lu ZX, Magliano DJ, Dunstan DW, Shaw JE, Zimmet PZ, et al. Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D is associated with increased risk of the development of the metabolic syndrome at five years: results from a national, population-based prospective study (The Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study: AusDiab). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012;97:1953–61.
- Khader YS, Batieha A, Jaddou H, Batieha Z, El-Khateeb M, Ajlouni K. Relationship between 25-hydroxyvitamin D and metabolic syndrome among Jordanian adults. *Nutr Res Pract*. 2011;5:132–9.
- Hjeltneseth J, Hofso D, Aasheim ET, Jenssen T, Moan J, Hager H, et al. Parathyroid hormone, but not vitamin D, is associated with the metabolic syndrome in morbidly obese women and men: a cross-sectional study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2009;8:7.

19. Ju SY, Jeong HS, Kim DH. Blood vitamin D status and metabolic syndrome in the general adult population: a dose-response meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;99:1053–63.
20. Larijani B, Moayyeri A, Keshtkar AA, Hossein-Nezhad A, Soltani A, Bahrami A, et al. Peak bone mass of Iranian population: the Iranian multicenter osteoporosis study. *J Clin Densitometry.* 2006;9:367–74.
21. Salekzamani S, Neyestani TR, Alavi-Majd H, Houshiarrad A, Kalayi A, Shariatzadeh N, et al. Is vitamin D status a determining factor for metabolic syndrome? A case-control study. *Diab Metab Syndr Obes.* 2011;4:205–12.
22. Saneei P, Salehi-Abargouei A, Esmailzadeh A. Serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels in relation to body mass index: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* 2013;14:393–404.
23. Shahvazi S, Nadjarzadeh A, Mehri Z, Salehi-Abargouei A. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in adult females: comparison between Iranian national definition and currently used international criteria. *J Nutr Food Secur.* 2016;1:49–62.
24. Panel NCEPNE. Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation.* 2002;106:3143.
25. Scharla S. Prevalence of subclinical vitamin D deficiency in different European countries. *Osteopor Int.* 1998;8:S007–12.
26. Mirmiran P, Esfahani FH, Mehrabi Y, Hedayati M, Azizi F. Reliability and relative validity of an FFQ for nutrients in the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2010;13:654–62.
27. Moghaddam MB, Aghdam FB, Jafarabadi MA, Allahverdipour H, Nikookheslat SD, Safarpour S. The Iranian Version of International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) in Iran: content and construct validity, factor structure, internal consistency and stability. *World Appl Sci J.* 2012;18:1073–80.
28. Kim MK, Il Kang M, Won Oh K, Kwon HS, Lee JH, Lee WC, et al. The association of serum vitamin D level with presence of metabolic syndrome and hypertension in middle-aged Korean subjects. *Clin Endocrinol.* 2010;73:330–8.
29. Maki KC, Rubin MR, Wong LG, McManus JF, Jensen CD, Marshall JW, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D is independently associated with high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and the metabolic syndrome in men and women. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2009;3:289–96.
30. Christie FT, Mason L. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding vitamin D deficiency among female students in Saudi Arabia: a qualitative exploration. *Int J Rheum Dis.* 2011;14:e22–9.
31. Laleye LC, Kerkadi AH, Wasesa AA, Rao MV, Aboubacar A. Assessment of vitamin D and vitamin A intake by female students at the United Arab Emirates University based on self-reported dietary and selected fortified food consumption. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.* 2011;62:370–6.
32. Ghanei L, Ziaee A, Rostami P, Oveisi S, Esmailzadehha N, Kazemifar AM, et al. Association of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and vitamin D dietary intake with metabolic syndrome: a case-control study. *J Res Health Sci.* 2014;15:32–6.
33. Akter S, Eguchi M, Kurotani K, Kochi T, Ito R, Kuwahara K, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and metabolic syndrome in a Japanese working population: the Furukawa Nutrition and Health Study. *Nutrition.* 2016.
34. Pittas AG, Dawson-Hughes B, Li T, Van Dam RM, Willett WC, Manson JE, et al. Vitamin D and calcium intake in relation to type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care.* 2006;29:6–650.
35. Fung GJ, Steffen LM, Zhou X, Harnack L, Tang W, Lutsey PL, et al. Vitamin D intake is inversely related to risk of developing metabolic syndrome in African American and white men and women over 20 y: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2012;96:9–24.
36. Liu S, Song Y, Ford ES, Manson JE, Buring JE, Ridker PM. Dietary calcium, vitamin D, and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in middle-aged and older US women. *Diabetes Care.* 2005;28:2926–32.
37. Holick MF. The vitamin D epidemic and its health consequences. *J Nutr.* 2005;135:485–2739S.
38. Zemel MB. Mechanisms of dairy modulation of adiposity. *J Nutr.* 2003;133:65–252S.
39. Fadda GZ, Akmal M, Lipson L, Massry S. Direct effect of parathyroid hormone on insulin secretion from pancreatic islets. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 1990;258:E84–97S.
40. Xiang W, Kong J, Chen S, Cao L-P, Qiao G, Zheng W, et al. Cardiac hypertrophy in vitamin D receptor knockout mice: role of the systemic and cardiac renin-angiotensin systems. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2005;288:E32–12S.
41. Somjen D, Weisman Y, Kohen F, Gayer B, Limor R, Sharon O, et al. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D3-1 α -hydroxylase is expressed in human vascular smooth muscle cells and is upregulated by parathyroid hormone and estrogenic compounds. *Circulation.* 2005;111:1666–71.
42. Anderson JL, Vanwoerkom RC, Horne BD, Bair TL, May HT, Lappé DL, et al. Parathyroid hormone, vitamin D, renal dysfunction, and cardiovascular disease: dependent or independent risk factors? *Am Heart J.* 2011;162, 331.e2–339.e2.
43. Tangpricha V, Pearce EN, Chen TC, Holick MF. Vitamin D insufficiency among free-living healthy young adults. *Am J Med.* 2002;112:659.
44. Ginde AA, Liu MC, Camargo CA. Demographic differences and trends of vitamin D insufficiency in the US population, 1988–2004. *Arch Int Med.* 2009;169:626–32.
45. Karatas S, Hekimsoy Z, Dinc G, Onur E, Ozmen B. Vitamin D levels in overweight/obese adults with and without metabolic syndrome. *J Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;3:47–56.
46. Compston JE, Vedi S, Ledger JE, Webb A, Gazet J-C, Pilkington T. Vitamin D status and bone histomorphometry in gross obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1981;34:2359–63.
47. Bonakdaran S, Ghayourmobarhan M, fakhraei F. Vitamin D status and its relationship with metabolic syndrome in Mashhad Iran. *Med J Mashhad Univ Med Sci.* 2015;58:88–95.
48. Heshmat R, Mohammad K, Majdzadeh S, Forouzanfar M, Bahrami A, Ranjbar Omrani G. Vitamin D deficiency in Iran: a multicenter study among different urban areas. *Iran J Public Health.* 2008;37.
49. Yu S, Fang H, Han J, Cheng X, Xia L, Li S, et al. The high prevalence of hypovitaminosis D in China: a multicenter vitamin D status survey. *Medicine.* 2015;94:e585.
50. Alsuwaidi AO, Farag YM, Al Sayyari AA, Mousa DH, Alhejaili FF, Al-Harib AS, et al. Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in Saudi adults. *Saudi Med J.* 2013;34:814–8.
51. Kelishadi R, Gharipour M, Sadri G, Tavasoli A, Amani A. Cardiovascular disease risk factors, metabolic syndrome and obesity in an Iranian population. *EMHJ.* 2008.