

Benzodiazepine use in Sao Paulo, Brazil

Angela Maria Campanha , I,II,* Beatriz Ravagnani, Igor André Milhorança, I,III Márcio Antonini Bernik, Maria Carmen Viana, Yuan-Pang Wang, Laura Helena Andrade

¹ Nucleo de Epidemiologia Psiquiatrica (LIM-23), Departamento e Instituto de Psiquiatria, Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, SP, BR. ^{III} Departamento de Farmacia, Universidade Estadual de Maringa, Maringa, PR, BR. ^{III} Instituto de Matematica e Estatistica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, SP, BR. ^{IV} Programa de Ansiedade, Departamento e Instituto de Psiquiatria, Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, SP, BR. ^V Departamento de Medicina Social, Programa de Pos-Graduacao em Saude Coletiva, Centro de Estudos e Pesquisa em Epidemiologia Psiquiatrica (CEPEP), Universidade Federal do Espirito Santo, Vitoria, ES, BR.

Campanha AM, Ravagnani B, Milhorança IA, Bernik MA, Viana MC, Wang YP, et al. Benzodiazepine use in Sao Paulo, Brazil. Clinics. 2020;75:e1610 *Corresponding author. E-mail: amcampanha@uem.br

OBJECTIVES: To report the prevalence and factors associated with the use of benzodiazepines in the general population and those with a mental health condition in the metropolitan area of São Paulo, Brazil.

METHODS: 5,037 individuals from the Sao Paulo Megacity Mental Health Survey data were interviewed using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview, designed to generate DSM-IV diagnoses. Additionally, participants were asked if they had taken any medication in the previous 12 months for the treatment of any mental health condition.

RESULTS: The prevalence of benzodiazepine use ranged from 3.6% in the general population to 7.8% among subjects with a mental health condition. Benzodiazepine use was more prevalent in subjects that had been diagnosed with a mood disorder as opposed to an anxiety disorder (14.7% vs. 8.1%, respectively). Subjects that had been diagnosed with a panic disorder (33.7%) or bipolar I/II (23.3%) reported the highest use. Individuals aged ≥ 50 years (11.1%), those with two or more disorders (11.2%), those with moderate or severe disorders (10%), and those that used psychiatric services (29.8%) also reported higher use.

CONCLUSION: These findings give an overview of the use of benzodiazepines in the general population, which will be useful in the public health domain. Benzodiazepine use was higher in those with a mental health condition, with people that had a mood disorder being the most vulnerable. Furthermore, females and the elderly had high benzodiazepine use, so careful management in these groups is required.

KEYWORDS: Psychiatry; Pharmacy; Psychotropic Drugs; Hypnotics and Sedatives; Benzodiazepines.

■ INTRODUCTION

Since its introduction in the early 60s (1), benzodiazepines (BZDs) have been the most prescribed psychotropic medication worldwide (2), despite their various therapeutic and side effects (2,3). Therapeutic indications for the use of BZDs are diverse and include the treatment of seizures (4), alcohol and barbiturate withdrawal symptoms (5), psychomotor agitation (6), insomnia and other sleep disorders (7), panic disorders (8), social phobia, generalized anxiety disorder (9), and as an adjunctive treatment for both depression and mania (10). Common side effects of BZD are drowsiness associated with incoordination or ataxia, which may lead to car accidents, problems with operating machinery, and, especially among the elderly, falls (11). Memory impairments that are

Copyright © 2020 **CLINICS** – This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, provided the original work is properly cited.

No potential conflict of interest was reported.

Received for publication on October 29, 2019. Accepted for publication on April 2, 2020

DOI: 10.6061/clinics/2020/e1610

potentially non-reversible have also been observed (3,12). Long-term use of BZDs is related to physical dependence. Discontinuation from chronic BZD use can result in withdrawal syndrome, particularly among the elderly (10). Withdrawal symptoms that have been reported include anxiety, sleep disturbance, irritability, a hand tremor, and rarely, more severe conditions such as seizures and psychosis (13).

Current guidelines such as the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (14) recommend that BZD should be used at the lowest possible dose for the shortest period possible. There are considerable evidence-based concerns regarding the serious adverse consequences of BZD use, such as falls (14), risk of suicide, abuse, dependence (10), and risk of Alzheimer's disease (15). In a series of pharmacoepidemiological studies conducted by the World Mental Health Survey Initiative (WMHS) (16,17), the use of psychotropic agents was evaluated in the general population. In addition, if a respondent had been diagnosed with a psychiatric disorder in the 12 months preceding the survey, this was recorded (18,19). The observed prevalence of BZD use in the general population ranged between 3.2% and 18.6% (Table 1). These rates were even higher among individuals that had been diagnosed with a psychiatric disorder, with a range between 9.2% and 41.9%. Generally, the prevalence of BZD use was higher among subjects with a mood disorder as opposed to an anxiety disorder.



 Table 1 - Pharmacoepidemiological studies conducted within the World Mental Health survey initiative and other studies in South

 America

						Prevalenc	e of use
Reference	Location	Period	Names of studies	Sample	Age	General population	12-month diagnosis
Alonso et al. (25)	Europe	2001-2003	ESEMeD ^a	21,425	≥18	9.8%	25.5%
Bruffaertes et al. (16)	Belgium	2001-2002	ESEMeD ^a	2,419	≥18	12.3%	25.5%
Codony et al. (18)	Spain	2001-2002	ESEMeD ^a	5,473	≥18	11.4%	32.7%
Campanha et al. (20)	Brazil	2005-2007	SPMHS ^b	2,935	≥18	3.6%	7.8%
Gasquet et al. (17)	France	2001-2003	ESEMeD ^a	2,894	≥18	18.6%	41.9%
Grinshpoon et al. (19)	Israel	2003-2004	INHS ^c	4,859	≥21	3.2%	9.2%
Other studies in South America							
Rojas et al. (21)	Chile	1996-1998		3,870	16-64	04%	-
Quintana et al. (22)	Rio de Janeiro	2007-2008		1,208	≥15	1.6%	3.4%
Quintana et al. (23)	São Paulo	2007		2,536	15-75	2.7%	7.1%

High consumption of BZD was also observed among females and older people (16,17,18,19,20). However, methodological diversity hampered a direct comparison of the rate of BZD use among participant countries of the WMHS Initiative.

Several studies on BZD use have been conducted in the non-developed regions. In Chile, the estimated prevalence of BZD use in the general population was 4% (21) (Table 1). Few studies have been conducted on the prevalence of BZD use in Brazil (20). The relationship between BZD use and mental health disorders in the general population has rarely been investigated (22). The reported prevalence of BZD use over one month in the general population was 2% and 3% in Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo, respectively (23). Among individuals who had been diagnosed with a mental health disorder, the one-month prevalence of BZD use was lower in Rio de Janeiro than in São Paulo (3.4% vs. 7.1%, respectively). However, the methodological differences regarding the period investigated, sample characteristics, and data collection preclude any direct comparisons being made (20). There is a lack of knowledge regarding the use of BZDs over a period longer than 12-months, its monotherapy or polypharmacy patterns, the prevalence of BZD use in specific mental health disorders, and the impact of BZD use on symptom severity, comorbidities, health insurance coverage, and health service use.

Given the scarcity of epidemiological data, we aimed to report the prevalence of BZD use in a representative sample of the general population and those with a mental health condition (diagnosed in the last 12 months) in São Paulo, Brazil. Information about monotherapy and the combined use of BZDs along with its relationship to symptom severity, comorbidities, health insurance coverage, and health service use are also discussed.

■ METHODS

São Paulo Megacity Mental Health Survey

Data for this report were sourced from the São Paulo Megacity Mental Health Survey (SPMHS). The SPMHS is the Brazilian segment of the World Mental Health Survey Initiative, coordinated by the World Health Organization and Harvard University. It was conducted in more than 28 research centers around the world. The SPMHS is a cross-sectional, population-based study. It was designed to estimate the prevalence of mental health disorders, mental health services, and psychotropic drug utilization in a representative sample of the general population. By design,

individuals over 18 years old, living in the São Paulo metropolitan area were interviewed by trained lay interviewers (24).

Sample

A sample of 5,037 individuals (response rate: 81.3%) were assessed using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI), which generates DSM-IV diagnoses. We report on a subsample of 2,935 subjects who were submitted to a more extended version of the interview, which included questions on psychotropic drug use (24).

Data collection

Participants were asked about prescription medicines that they had used in the previous 12 months for emotional issues, nerves, mental health, substance use, energy, concentration, sleep, or stress. According to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) index 2018 (https://www.whocc.no/atc_ddd_index/), the medicines focused on in this report were anxiolytics (alprazolam, bromazepam, clobazam, chlordiazepoxide, cloxazolam, diazepam, and lorazepam), hypnotics and sedatives (chloral hydrate, flunitrazepam midazolam, zolpidem), and antiepileptics (clonazepam). The term "benzodiazepines" (BZDs) will be used henceforth to refer to all the above medicines.

Data analysis

The data analysis examined both the prevalence of BZD use in the general population and among individuals who had been diagnosed with a mental health disorder. Diagnostic categories included in the analysis were anxiety, mood, substance use, and impulse-control disorders. Other clinical information included in the analysis was related to comorbidities and symptom severity.

Socio-demographic information collected included age, sex, education, family income, marital status, and employment status. Information about service use and health insurance was also analyzed.

The factors associated with BZD use were explored through a logistic regression analysis. The data analysis was performed using Statistical Analysis System (SAS).

■ RESULTS

The prevalence of BZD use in the general population in the previous year was 3.6%. Diazepam (1.3%) and clonazepam (0.8%) were the most frequently used BZDs. Females used



BZDs more often than males (5.5% vs. 1.6%). The use of BZD was also higher among subjects aged over 65, compared to those aged 50-64 and 18-24 years (7.8% vs. 6.1% vs. 1.8%, respectively) (Table 2).

The use of BZD monotherapy was reported in 1.8% of the sample. Antidepressants (1.4%) were the most commonly used psychiatric medication in combination with BZD (Table 3).

Table 4 presents the correlates of BZD use according to the socio-demographic variables, psychiatric diagnoses, comorbidities, symptom severity, use of health services, and the possession of private health insurance coverage.

The use of BZD was higher in those aged between 35-49 years (10.2% vs. 4.7%; OR=2.3; 95%CI=1.1-4.7), and over 50 years (11.1% vs. 4.7%; OR=2.6; 95%CI=1.2-5.3), than those between 18-34 years (4.7%). The use of BZDs was also higher among homemakers, retired subjects, and the unemployed compared to employed individuals (11.8% vs. 10.1% vs. 5.9%, respectively (Table 4).

Concerning psychiatric disorders, individuals diagnosed with a mood disorder (14.7%; OR=5.7; 95%CI=2.5-13), anxiety disorder (8.1%; OR=3.5; 95% CI=1.6-7.8), or substance use disorder (7.9%; OR=2.9; 95%CI=1.5-5.7) were more likely to use BZD than those without these disorders (Table 4).

Psychiatric comorbidities and symptom severity also play a role in the use of BZDs. Although individuals who had been diagnosed with two or more disorders used more BZDs than those with a single diagnosis (11.2% vs. 5.6%; OR=2.1, 95%CI=1.3-3.5), the likelihood of using BZD was lower in the adjusted model 2 (OR=0.4; 95%CI=0.2-0.9). The likelihood of BZD use was higher among patients with disorders that were considered to be serious or moderate than among those with a mild disorder (10.0% vs. 3.7%; OR = 2.8; 95%CI=1.7-4.8) (Table 4).

There was a trend (p = 0.0505) of higher BZD use among individuals who had health insurance coverage than those

Table 2 - Prevalence of benzodiazepine use in the previous 12 months in the general population according to sex and age. São Paulo Megacity Mental Health Survey (N=2935).

Sex		N (%)	SE	<i>p</i> -value
Total		162 (3.6)	0.5	0.0004
	Female (N=1697)	122 (5.5)	0.9	
	Male (N=1238)	40 (1.6)	0.3	
Age				0.0687
	18-24 (N=406)	09 (1.8)	0.9	
	25-34 (N=684)	18 (2.6)	0.7	
	35-49 (N=1,026)	68 (3.4)	0.6	
	50-64 (N=590)	48 (6.1)	1.4	
	≥65 (N=229)	19 (7.8)	3.5	

Weighted proportions.

who did not (10.7% vs. 6.1%; OR=1.9; 95%CI=1.0-3.4). Remarkably, BZD use among individuals who reported using psychiatric services was almost 30 times higher than those who did not (29.8% vs. 1.3%; OR=25.0; 95%CI=13.7-45.6) (Table 4).

BZD use among subjects who had been diagnosed with a mental health disorder was 7.8%. Among the diagnostic classes, mood disorders displayed the highest prevalence of BZD use (14.7%). Participants who had been diagnosed with a panic disorder or bipolar disorder (33.7% and 23.3%, respectively) reported using BZD the most (Table 5).

The likelihood of BZD use was also higher among those with obsessive-compulsive disorder (OR=7.0; 95%CI=1.6-30.0), drug abuse (OR=8.2; 95%CI=1.9-36.4), drug dependence (OR=9.3, 95%CI=1.5-58.8), impulse control disorders (OR=5.6, 95%CI=1.1-27.7), and attention deficit disorder (OR=17.5, 95% CI=2.1-146.8) (Table 5).

Subjects that had not been diagnosed with a mental health disorder reported infrequent BZD use (1.9%). This prevalence was much higher among females than males (OR=13.0; 95%CI=4.1-41.3) (Table 5).

Considering the number of psychotropics used, 3% of subjects that had been diagnosed with a mental health disorder reported using BZD as a monotherapy. This was most frequent in those who had been diagnosed with attention deficit disorder (10.1%). The mean frequency of monotherapy was 2.9% for anxiety disorders, and 9.8% for panic disorders. Lower rates of BZD use were observed among individuals with mood (4%), bipolar I/II (4.5%) or major depressive disorders (4%) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The 12-month prevalence of BZD use in the São Paulo metropolitan area was 3.6%. This rate is similar to that reported in a survey conducted in Rio de Janeiro (22). Similarly, in Chile, about 4% of individuals reported using hypnotics and anxiolytics (21). Conversely, the reported prevalence of BZD use in European countries (9.8% (25), 12.3% (16), 5.5% (19)), and the United States of America (5.2% (26)) is higher.

Even though the methodologies used were different, several studies have reported higher BZD use in Brazil previously. In 1979, the reported use of BZDs in São Paulo was 8.8% (27). Additionally, in 1993, 8.0% used tranquilizers and 1.2% used hypnotics (28). Recent studies have shown that the prevalence of use has indeed decreased to 1.6% and 2.7%, respectively, in Rio de Janeiro (22) and São Paulo (23).

The higher prevalence of BZD use among females may be due to females having a higher rate of mental health disorders, such as anxiety, major depression, and dysthymia (24). This sex difference persists even among individuals with a

Table 3 - Prevalence of monotherapy and combined use of benzodiazepines in the previous 12 months in the general population by sex. São Paulo Megacity Mental Health Survey (N=2935).

	N (%)	SE	OR (95% CI) female/male	X ²	<i>p</i> -value
Benzodiazepines ^a	162 (3.6)	0.5	3.7 (2.0-6.7)	18.2	< 0.0001
Monotherapy ^b	65 (1.8)	0.4	3.6 (2.0-6.5)	18.5	< 0.0001
Combined use ^c					
Benzodiazepines + antidepressant	79 (1.4)	0.2	6.2 (2.3-16.7)	13.3	0.0003
Benzodiazepines + antipsychotics	11 (0.2)	0.1	11.3 (2.7-48.0)	10.9	0.001
Benzodiazepines + mood stabilizer	20 (0.4)	0.1	1.5 (0.5-5.2)	0.5	0.4904

Weighted proportions. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. ^aAt least one psychotropic drug. ^bOnly benzodiazepines. ^cAny benzodiazepine drug plus another psychiatric medication. Sex comparison: males were used as the reference group.



Table 4 - Correlates of benzodiazepine use in the previous 12 months with sociodemographic variables, mental health disorders, disorder severity, comorbidities, use of health services, and the existence of private health insurance coverage. São Paulo Megacity Mental Health Survey (N=1,271).

			Model 1		Model	2
Variable	Total sample	N (%)	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Sex						
Female	836	85 (8.7)	1.5 (0.7-3.2)	0.3563		
Male	435	32 (6.2)	1			
Age (years)				0.0418		
18-34	481	20 (4.7)	1			
35-49	472	56 (10.2)	2.3 (1.1-4.7)	0.0197		
≥ 50	318	41 (11.1)	2.6 (1.2-5.3)	0.0127		
Education (years)				0.4799		
Low (0-4)	346	36 (9.5)	1.3 (0.7-2.4)	0.421		
Low-average (5-8)	330	28 (6.8)	0.9 (0.5-1.8)	0.7639		
High-average/high (≥9)	595	53 (7.5)	1			
amily income				0.3014		
Low (≤0,5)	344	18 (5.5)	0.5 (0.2-1.0)	0.0641		
Low-average (0.5-1.0)	344	34 (7.5)	0.7 (0.37-1.2)	0.1714		
High-average (1.0-2.0)	292	30 (7.6)	0.7 (0.4-1.3)	0.2091		
High (>2.0)	291	35 (10.9)	1 1			
Marital status						
Married/cohabiting	777	80 (8.7)	1			
Previously married/Never married	494	37 (6.7)	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	0.2566		
Employment status		` '	, ,	0.0058		
Employed/student	729	58 (5.9)	1			
Homemaker/retired	331	42 (11.8)	2.2 (1.4-3.5)	0.0014		
Unemployed	211	17 (10.1)	1,8 (0.8-4.4)	0.1839		
Anxiety disorder		,	, , ,			
No	435	28 (7.3)	1		1	
Yes	836	89 (8.1)	1.1 (0.7-1.7)	0.585	3.5 (1.6-7.8)	0.0019
Mood disorder		,	,		,	
No	704	35 (3.2)	1		1	
Yes	567	82 (14.7)	5.2 (2.6-10.3)	< 0.0001	5.7 (2.5-13.0)	< 0.0001
SUD ^a		(,	(,		(=,	
No	1108	104 (7.8)	1		1	
Yes	163	13 (7.9)	1.0 (0.5-2.1)	0.984	2.9 (1.5-5.7)	0.0019
CD ^b		.5 ()	(0.5 2)	0.50.	2.5 (,	0.0015
No	1080	101 (8.2)	1			
Yes	191	16 (5.8)	0.7 (0.4-1.3)	0.2634		
Comorbidity	.51	(5.0)	o., (o. 1.5)	5.2051		
No	731	48 (5.6)	1		1	
Yes	540	69 (11.2)	2.1 (1.3-3.5)	0.0035	0.4 (0.2-1.0)	0.0255
Severity	310	05 (11.2)	2.1 (1.3 3.3)	0.0055	0.1 (0.2 1.0)	0.0233
Mild	397	19 (3.7)	1			
Serious/Moderate	874	98 (10.0)	2.8 (1.7-4.8)	0.0001		
Service use	5/4	30 (10.0)	2.0 (1.7 4.0)	0.0001		
No	935	15 (1.3)	1		1	
Yes	336	102 (29.8)	31.2 (19,3-50.4)	< 0.0001	25.0 (13.7-45.6)	< 0.0001
Health insurance	330	102 (23.0)	31.2 (13,3-30.4)	< 0.0001	23.0 (13.7-43.0)	< 0.0001
No	798	56 (6.1)	1			
Yes	473		1.9 (1.0-3.4)	0.0505		
163	4/3	61 (10.7)	1.5 (1.0-3.4)	0.0505		

Weighted proportions. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. ^aSubstance use disorders. ^bImpulse control disorders. Model 1: crude. Model 2: All variables were analyzed together.

psychiatric diagnosis and among those without any psychiatric diagnosis. This suggests that other factors might be involved. Accordingly, the higher use of psychotropic drugs by females could also be explained by treatment-seeking behavior and lower alcohol and psychotropic drug use (25).

People working at home and those with low social functioning, such as retirees and the unemployed, also reported higher BZD use. This is in line with previous reports in Europe (29). In the current study, the use of psychiatric services increased the chance of using BZDs by 30%. Seeking help for emotional problems appears to be associated with the use of BZDs (29).

A surprising finding is the higher use of BZD among those subjects who had been diagnosed with a mood disorder

compared to those with an anxiety disorder (14.7% vs. 8.1%, respectively), even regarding monotherapy (4.0% vs. 2.9%). However, this finding has been reported in a number of studies that have used a similar methodology (16). Sometimes, the use of BZDs among subjects with mood disorders has been comparable (16) or higher than the use of antidepressants (18,29). The non-specific effects of BZD appear to be less harmful than first-line antidepressants, which has prompted some clinicians to prefer BZD (10). In France, the use of hypnotics and anxiolytics was similar for those with depression or an anxiety disorder (43.4% vs. 42.5%). This finding reflects the challenges in diagnosing and managing mood disorders in primary care (17).



Table 5 - Twelve-month prevalence of benzodiazepine use according to the DSM-IV/WMH-CIDI diagnosis by sex. Results from the São Paulo Megacity, São Paulo, Brazil (N=1,271).

				At least one BZD				Exclusi	Exclusive use	
Mental health disorder	Total	(%) N	SE	OR (95% CI)	² X	p-value	(%) N	SE	OR (95% CI)	
Anxiety Disorders										
Panic disorder	61	17 (33.7)	6.8	1.7 (0.3-10.4)	0.4	0.542	04 (9.8)	4.2	0.4 (0.0-3.8)	
Generalized anxiety disorder	128	08 (8.4)	3.6	0.5 (0.1-3.3)	9.0	0.4391	03 (4.3)	3.1	0.1 (0.0-1.9)	
Specific phobia	471	54 (7.7)	1.3	0.8 (0.3-2.3)	0.2	0.6757	19 (2.8)	0.7	0.8 (0.2-3.4)	
Social phobia	174	23 (10.4)	3.1	2.3 (0.6-9.8)	1.3	0.25	05 (2.1)	1.1	0.8 (0.1-5.2)	
Agoraphobia without panic	88	16 (13.5)	3.3	9.9 (0.9-104.6)	3.6	0.0573	04 (3.9)	2.2	2.3 (0.2-26.5)	
Post-traumatic stress disorder	80	10 (6.6)	2.5	0.7 (0.1-4.7)	0.18	0.6714	03 (2.5)	1.6	0.1 (0-0.8)	
Obsessive-compulsive disorder	155	19 (8.8)	2.5	7.0 (1.6-30.0)	8.9	0.0094	04 (1.4)	0.8	0.4 (0.0-3.2)	
Adult separation anxiety	95	12 (12.6)	4.3		,		04 (4.2)	2.4	1	
Any anxiety disorder	836	89 (8.1)	1.0	1.1 (0.5-2,52)	0.0	0.8466	31 (2.9)	9.0	0.6 (0.2-1.5)	
Mood Disorders										
Major depressive disorder	488	62 (13.4)	2.2	1.4 (0.4-4.28)	0.3	0.5879	17 (4.0)	1.0	0.9 (0.2-4.0)	
Dysthymia	62	09 (11.8)	5.6	7.5 (0.5-104.2)	2.2	0.1349	02 (2.7)	1.9	ı	
Bipolar I and II disorders	73	19 (23.3)	5.5	1.4 (0.5-4.1)	0.4	0.5106	04 (4.5)	2.8	2.3 (0.5-10.7)	
Any mood disorder	292	82 (14.7)	2.3	1.3 (0.5-3.6)	0.3	0.6112	21 (4.0)	1.0	1.1 (0.3-4.1)	
Substance Use Disorders										
Alcohol abuse	134	10 (7.8)	3.1	2.0 (0.5-8.05)	6.0	0.3492	04 (2.8)	1.7	0.9 (0.1-11.9)	
Alcohol dependence	64	07 (13.2)	6.3	2.1 (0.4-9.5)	8.0	0.3614	02 (3.9)	3.1		
Drug abuse	31	03 (6.6)	3.6	8.2 (1.9-36.4)	7.8	0.0054	01 (2.4)	2.2	1	
Drug dependence	21	03 (11.7)	7.4	9.3 (1.5-58.8)	5.6	0.0176	01 (2.6)	5.6	ı	
Any substance use disorder	163	13 (7.9)	2.5	3.6 (0.9-15.1)	3.1	0.0763	04 (2.2)	1.3	0.8 (0.1-10.3)	
Impulse-control Disorders										
Attention deficit disorder	45	07 (13.3)	0.9	17.5 (2.1-146.8)	86.9	0.0083	03 (10.1)	5.8	11.3 (1.4-90.6)	
Oppositional-defiant disorder	20	01 (3.8)	3.8	•	,	•				
Conduct disorder	17	03 (15.9)	9.3	ı	,	•	01 (8.4)	8.0	ı	
Intermittent explosive disorder	137	10 (4.6)	1.5	4.1 (0.7-23.3)	5.6	0.1096	03 (1.2)	0.7	2.3 (0.2-30.9)	
Any impulse-control disorder	191	16 (5.8)	1,7	5.6 (1.1-27.7)	4.4	0.0352	06 (2.9)	1.1	4.2 (0.5-37.6)	
Any 12-month Disorder										
Any	1271	117 (7.8)	8.0	1.5 (0.7-3.2)	6.0	0.3563	40 (2.7)	0.4	0.8 (0.4-1.8)	
0 Disorders	1664	45 (1.9)	9.0	13.0 (4.1-41.3)	19.0	< 0.0001	25 (1.4)	9.0	20.9 (5.4-81.9)	
1 Disorder	731	48 (5.6)	6.0	1.2 (0.5-3.0)	0.1	0.7294	20 (2.2)	0.5	0.6 (0.2-1.8)	
2 Disorders	262	19 (6.1)	1.0	1.2 (0.2-6. 3)	0.1	0.8004	08 (2.6)	1.0	1.4 (0.2-9.8)	
3 + Disorders	278	50 (16.3)	3.0	2.3 (0.9-6.1)	3.0	0.0836	12 (4.6)	1.4	1.1 (0.2-5.2)	
Severity										
Serious	465	77 (15.3)	2.1	1.3 (0.6-2.9)	0.4	0.5226		,		
Moderate	409	21 (4.2)	1.1	2.2 (0.4-10.9)	6.0	0.3438	•	,	1	
Mild	397	19 (3.7)	0.8	3.4 (0.8-14.4)	2.7	0.0999			,	
None	1664	45 (1.9)	9.0	13.0 (4.1-41.3)	19.0	< 0.0001	•	1	•	

Weighted proportions. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. Sex comparison: males were used as the reference group.



There was also increased use of BZDs in patients with more severe psychiatric disorders. One explanation for this could be the prescribing habits of clinicians. Usually, clinicians might include an adjunctive medication, such as BZD, for non-responders to treat residual symptoms such as insomnia and anxiety.

BZD is not considered to be the first-line treatment for most anxiety disorders, such as generalized anxiety disorder, phobias, and post-traumatic stress disorder, with antidepressants and antiepileptic drugs, usually prescribed (14). Nevertheless, the use of BZDs was also higher (18,25,29) or similar to the use of antidepressants among individuals with an anxiety disorder (16). It appears that in Brazil, patients are not receiving the most appropriate treatment option (22) because the use of BZD as a monotherapy was higher than that of other classes of psychotropic medications among subjects who had been diagnosed with an anxiety disorder. General practitioners issued 46.9% of the BZD prescriptions (28). Other specialists, such as cardiologists (15.3%) and neurologists (4.5%), issued more tranquilizer prescriptions than psychiatrists (11.7%).

The reported higher use of BZD in the elderly is in line with the patterns observed in most studies conducted in the United States of America (26), Canada (30), and Europe (25). In a systematic review (31) on inappropriate prescriptions for long-term BZD use and analogous non-BZD z-drugs, psychological dependence, absence of social support, ignorance about treatment options, withdrawal symptoms, and unfamiliarity with the potential side effects were the main drivers that perpetuate their use. Additionally, previous use was one of the main factors associated with the likelihood of BZD use among older patients (32). People from older cohorts that have been extensively exposed to BZD in their youth may become addicted (32), and become chronic users (32). Other factors included chronic illness, stress, pain, and insomnia (26). The higher BZD use in older cohorts is concerning due to older individuals being more at risk of falls (33,34,35), associated with healthcare utilization and decline in functional status (36). The causative effect of BZD on the risk of dementia is also a major concern (37). The literature suggests that long-term exposure to BZD is associated with an increased risk of Alzheimer's disease (38,15), without stringent confirmation (39).

Taken together, our data and the existing literature must be urgently reviewed by governments, policymakers, and medical societies. There is some consensus that BZD should be discontinued in subjects aged 65 years or older. The most recommended deprescribing strategy for long-term BZD and Z-drug use is pharmacologic interventions. Multidisciplinary reduction of BZD and Z-drug exposure with the addition of alternative pharmacological therapies, psychological therapies (anxiety management, stress management, and psychotherapy), mixed programs (psychological therapy, gradual dose reduction, and usual care), and psychological education are some of the recommended approaches. These interventions present numerous, heterogeneous, and poorly described results, suggesting that studies are needed on how to best deprescribe BZD and Z-drugs in the future (40).

CONCLUSION

According to the findings in the current study, the use of hypnotics and sedatives, which are mostly composed of BZD, has been declining over the last few decades in Brazil.

Those that were older, female, or had lower social functioning tended to have higher BZD use. Subjects diagnosed with a mood disorder were more likely to use BZDs than those with an anxiety disorder. Individuals with disorders that were considered to be moderate or severe, those that used psychiatric services, and those with health insurance coverage tended to have higher BZD use. It is a public health challenge to find a surrogate for BZD and manage the existing chronic users.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The São Paulo Megacity Mental Health Survey was funded by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (São Paulo Research Foundation; FAPESP 03/00204-3), and the Brazilian Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (National Council for Scientific and Technological Development; CNPq 307623/2013-0) supported Dr. L. H. Andrade and Dr. Maria Carmen Viana (CNPq 314218/2018-1). The São Paulo Megacity Mental Health Survey was conducted in conjunction with the World Health Organization World Mental Health Survey Initiative. The main coordination center activities at Harvard University were supported by the United States National Institutes of Mental Health (R01-MH070884), John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, the Pfizer Foundation, and the US Public Health Service (R13-MH066849, R01-MH069864, and R01-DA016558) as well as by the Fogarty International Center (FIRCA R03-TW006481), the Pan American Health Organization, Eli Lilly and Company Foundation, Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, GlaxoSmithKline, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and the Shire. A complete list of the World Mental Health publications can be found at http://www.hcp.med.harvard.edu/wmh/. The current study received financial support from the Araucária Foundation for the Support of Scientific and Technological Development in the State of Paraná (01/2009-457/2010-17975, Angela Maria Campanha was the recipient of the scholarship for doctoral thesis), the Programa de Excelência Acadêmica (PROEX, Academic Excellence Program) of the Brazilian Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES, Office for the Advancement of Higher Education), and Dr Maria Carmen Viana received support for instrument development from the Fundo de Apoio à Ciência e Tecnologia de Vitória (FACITEC, Vitória [Municipal] Fund for the Support of Science and Technology; 002/2003. None of the sponsors had any role in the design, analysis, interpretation of results, or preparation of this paper.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Campanha AM was responsible for the conception, draft, statistics, critical intellectual contribution. Ravagnani B was responsible for the draft and critical intellectual contribution. Milhorança IA was responsible for the statistics and critical intellectual contribution. Bernik MA was responsible for the conception, draft and critical intellectual contribution. Viana MC was responsible for the data acquisition and critical intellectual contribution. Wang YP was responsible for the draft and critical intellectual contribution. Andrade LA was responsible for the conception, data acquisition, statistics and critical intellectual contribution.

■ REFERENCES

- 1. Lader M. History of benzodiazepine dependence. J Subst Abuse Treat. 1991;8(1-2):53-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/0740-5472(91)90027-8
- Michelini S, Cassano GB, Frare F, Perugi G. Long-term use of benzodiazepines: tolerance, dependence and clinical problems in anxiety and mood disorders. Pharmacopsychiatry. 1996;29(4):127-34. https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-2007-979558
- Gorenstein C, Bernik MA, Pompéia S, Marcourakis T. Impairment of performance associated with long-term use of benzodiazepines. J Psychopharmacol. 1995;9(4):313-8. https://doi.org/10.1177/02698811950090 0404
- Wolf P. Acute drug administration in epilepsy: a review. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2011;17(5):442-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-5949.2010.00167.x
- Amato L, Minozzi S, Vecchi S, Davoli M. Benzodiazepines for alcohol withdrawal. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;(3):CD005063.
- Wilson MP, Pepper D, Currier GW, Holloman GH Jr, Feifel D. The psychopharmacology of agitation: consensus statement of the American



- association for emergency psychiatry project Beta psychopharmacology workgroup. West J Emerg Med. 2012;13(1):26-34. https://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2011.9.6866
- Schutte-Rodin S, Broch L, Buysse D, Dorsey C, Sateia M. Clinical guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic insomnia in adults. J Clin Sleep Med. 2008;4(5):487-504. https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.27286
- Stein MB, Goin MK, Pollack MH, Roy-Byrne P, Sareen J, Simon NM, et al. Practice guidelines for the treatment of patients with panic disorder. 2nd ed. Washington (DC): American Psychiatric Association Work Group on Panic Disorder; 2009.
- Katzman MA, Bleau P, Blier P, Chokka P, Kjernisted K, Van Ameringen M, et al. Canadian clinical practice guidelines for the management of anxiety, posttraumatic stress, and obsessive-compulsive disorders. BMC Psychiatry. 2014;14 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S1. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-14-S1-S1
- Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, Parikh SV, Schaffer A, Bond DJ, Frey BN, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) 2018 guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord. 2018; 20(2):97-170. https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12609
- Aronson JK. Meyler's Side effects of drug: the international encyclopedia of adverse drug reactions and interactions. 15th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2006.
- Curran HV, Barrow S, Weingartner H, Lader M, Bernik M. Encoding, remembering and awareness in lorazepam-induced amnesia. Psychopharmacology. 1995;122(2):187-93. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02246094
- 13. Pétursson H. The benzodiazepine withdrawal syndrome. Addiction. 1994;89(11):1455-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1994.tb03743.x
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Generalised anxiety disorder and panic disorder in adults: management. London: National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. 2011. [Cited Apr 6th, 2017]. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg113
- Tapiainen V, Taipale H, Tanskanen A, Tiihonen J, Hartikainen S, Tolppanen AM. The risk of Alzheimer's disease associated with benzodiazepines and related drugs: a nested case-control study. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2018;138(2):91-100. https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12909
- Bruffaerts R, Bonnewyn A, Van Oyen H, Demarest S, Demyttenaere K. Consommation de médicaments psychotropes dans la population belge: Résultats de l'European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) [Psychotropic drug use in the Belgian non-institutionalized population]. Rev Med Liege. 2005;60(3):181-8.
 Gasquet I, Nègre-Pagès L, Fourrier A, Nachbaur G, El-Hasnaoui A,
- 17. Gasquet I, Nègre-Pagès L, Fourrier A, Nachbaur G, El-Hasnaoui A, Kovess V, et al. Usage des psychotropes et troubles psychiatriques en France: résultats de l'étude épidémiologique ESEMeD/MHEDEA 2000/(ESEMeD) en population générale [Psychotropic drug use and mental psychiatric disorders in France; results of the general population ESEMeD/MHEDEA 2000 epidemiological study]. Encephale. 2005;31(2): 195-206. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0013-7006(05)82386-3
- Codony M, Alonso J, Almansa J, Vilagut G, Domingo A, Pinto-Meza A, et al. Uso de fármacos psicotrópicos en España. Resultados del estudio ESEMeD-España [Psychotropic medications use in Spain. Results of the ESEMeD-Spain study]. Actas Esp Psiquiatr. 2007;35 Suppl 2:29-36.
- Grinshpoon A, Marom E, Weizman A, Ponizovsky AM. Psychotropic drug use in Israel: results from the national health survey. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry. 2007;9(5):356-63. https://doi.org/10.4088/ PCC.v09n0504
- Campanha AM, Siu ER, Milhorança IA, Viana MC, Wang YP, Andrade LH. Use of psychotropic medications in São Paulo Metropolitan Area, Brazil: pattern of healthcare provision to general population. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2015;24(11):1207-14. https://doi.org/10.1002/ pds.3826
- Rojas G, Fritsch R, Gaete J, González I, Araya R. Use of psychotropic medication in Santiago, Chile. J Mental Health. 2005;14(4):407-14. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638230500195221
- Quintana MI, Andreoli SB, Moreira FG, Ribeiro WS, Feijo MM, Bressan RA, et al. Epidemiology of psychotropic drug use in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: gaps in mental illness treatments. PLoS One. 2013;8(5):e62270. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062270

- 23. Quintana MI, Andreoli SB, Peluffo MP, Ribeiro WS, Feijo MM, Bressan RA, et al. Psychotropic Drug Use in São Paulo, Brazil-An Epidemiological Survey. PLoS One. 2015;10(8):e0135059. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135059
- 24. Andrade LH, Wang YP, Andreoni S, Silveira CM, Alexandrino-Silva C, Siu ER, et al. Mental disorders in megacities: findings from the São Paulo megacity mental health survey, brazil. PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e31879. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031879
- Alonso J, Angermeyer MC, Bernert S, Bruffaerts R, Brugha TS, Bryson H, et al. Psychotropic drug utilization in Europe: results from the European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl. 2004;(420):55-64.
- Olfson M, King M, Schoenbaum M. Benzodiazepine use in the United States. JAMA Psychiatry. 2015;72(2):136-42. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2014.1763
- 27. Tancredi FB. Aspectos Epidemiológicos do Consumo de Medicamentos Psicotrópicos pela População de Adultos do Distrito de São Paulo [dissertation]. São Paulo, Brazil: Faculdade de Saúde Pública, Universidade de São Paulo; 1979.
- Mari JJ, Almeida-Filho N, Coutinho E, Andreoli SB, Miranda CT, Streiner D. The epidemiology of psychotropic use in the city of São Paulo. Psychol Med. 1993;23(2):467-74. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700028555
- Demyttenaere K, Bonnewyn A, Bruffaerts R, De Girolamo G, Gasquet I, Kovess V, et al. Clinical factors influencing the prescription of antidepressants and benzodiazepines: results from the European study of the epidemiology of mental disorders (ESEMeD). J Affect Disord. 2008; 110(1-2):84-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2008.01.011
- Cunningham CM, Hanley GE, Morgan S. Patterns in the use of benzodiazepines in British Columbia: examining the impact of increasing research and guideline cautions against long-term use. Health Policy. 2010;97(2-3):122-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2010.03.008
- Sirdifield C, Chipchase SY, Owen S, Siriwardena AN. A Systematic Review and Meta-Synthesis of Patients' Experiences and Perceptions of Seeking and Using Benzodiazepines and Z-Drugs: Towards Safer Prescribing. Patient. 2017;10(1):1-15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40271-016-0182-z
- Neutel CI. The epidemiology of long-term benzodiazepine use. Int Rev Psychiatry. 2005;17(3):189-97. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540260500071863
- By the American Geriatrics Society 2015 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society 2015 Updated Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015;63(11):2227-46. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.13702
- de Jong MR, Van der Elst M, Hartholt KA. Drug-related falls in older patients: implicated drugs, consequences, and possible prevention strategies. Ther Adv Drug Saf. 2013;4(4):147-54. https://doi.org/10.1177/20 42098613486829
- Neutel CI, Perry S, Maxwell C. Medication use and risk of falls. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2002;11(2):97-104. https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.686
- 36. Luijendijk HJ, Tiermeier H, Hofman A, Heeringa J, Stricker BH. Determinants of chronic benzodiazepine use in the elderly: a longitudinal study. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2008;65(4):593-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2007.03060.x
- Billioti de Gage S, Bégaud B, Bazin F, Verdoux H, Dartigues JF, Pérès K, et al. Benzodiazepine use and risk of dementia: prospective population based study. Version 2. BMJ. 2012;345:e6231. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmj.e6231
- Billioti de Gage S, Moride Y, Ducruet T, Kurth T, Verdoux H, Tournier M. et al. Benzodiazepine use and risk of Alzheimer's disease: case-control study. Version 2. BMJ. 2014;349:g5205. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g5205
- Gray SL, Dublin S, Yu O, Walker R, Anderson M, Hubbard RA, et al. Benzodiazepine use and risk of incident dementia or cognitive decline: prospective population based study. Version 2. BMJ. 2016;352:i90. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i90
 Pollmann AS, Murphy AL, Bergman JC, Gardner DM. Deprescribing
- Pollmann AS, Murphy AL, Bergman JC, Gardner DM. Deprescribing benzodiazepines and Z-drugs in community-dwelling adults: a scoping review. BMC Pharmacol Toxicol. 2015;16:19. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40360-015-0019-8