

CIRUGÍA ESPAÑOLA



www.elsevier.es/cirugia

Special article



Dieter Morales-García,^{a,1,*} Fernando Docobo Durantez,^{b,1} JM^a Capitán Vallvey,^{c,1} Juan Manuel Suarez Grau,^{d,1} Xavier Serra Aracil,^{e,2} M^a Eugenia Campo Cimarras,^{f,1} Salustiano González Vinagre,^{g,1} Luis Antonio Hidalgo Grau,^{h,1} JM^a Puigcercos Fusté,^{i,1} Zoraida Valera Sánchez,^{j,1} Vicente Vega Ruiz,^{k,1} Cristóbal Zaragoza Fernández^{l,1}

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 29 December 2022
Accepted 21 February 2023
Available online 23 October 2023

Keywords: Ambulatory surgery Medical education Surgical residency Continuing education

ABSTRACT

The implementation and generalized use of Ambulatory Surgery worldwide is currently a clear reality. Its progressive growth is expected in the short term, but this globalization can also negatively affect the education and training of future doctors, as well as those who are being trained now, if it is not standardized and regulated, since a significant part of the management of the most common pathology that could be performed in Ambulatory Surgery is completed outside the training circuits of hospitals where resident doctors are trained

© 2023 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

^a Hospital Clínico Universitario Virgen de la Victoria, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga, IBIMA, Málaga, Spain

^b Comité Científico, Asociación Andaluza de Cirujanos, Sevilla, Spain

^cHospital Universitario de Jaén, Jaén, Spain

^d Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain

^e Hospital Universitario Parc Tauli, Sabadell, Spain

^f Hospital Txagorritxu, Vitoria, Spain

^gHospital Universitario de Santiago, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

^h Hospital de Mataró, Barcelona, Spain

ⁱ Hospital Dos de Mayo, Barcelona, Spain

^jHospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Sevilla, Spain

^k Hospital Universitario Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain

¹Hospital General Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain

^{*} Please cite this article as: Morales-García D, Durantez FD, Capitán Vallvey JM, Suarez Grau JM, Serra Aracil X, Eugenia Campo Cimarras M, et al. Formacion en cirugia mayor ambulatoria. Una asignatura pendiente en nuestro pais. Cir Esp. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp. 2023.02.025

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: dms11@me.com (D. Morales-García).

¹ Sección de Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria. Asociación Española de Cirujanos.

² Sección de Formación Postgraduada. Asociación Española de Cirujanos.

Formacion en cirugia mayor ambulatoria. Una asignatura pendiente en nuestro pais

RESUMEN

Palabras clave: Cirugía mayor ambulatoria Educación médica Residencia quirúrgica Formación continuada La implantación y generalización a nivel mundial de la Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria es una realidad patente en la actualidad y se espera un crecimiento progresivo de la misma a corto plazo, pero esta globalización también puede afectar de forma negativa a la docencia y el entrenamiento de los futuros médicos y aquellos que están en formación, si no se estandariza y regula, ya que una parte importante de la gestión de la patología más frecuente subsidiaria de ser realizada en Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria, acaba fuera de los circuitos del hospital donde el médico residente se está formando.

© 2023 AEC. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Ambulatory Surgery (AS) is defined by the International Association for Ambulatory Surgery (IAAS)¹ as a surgical procedure or operation where the patient is discharged on the same day of surgery, excluding office procedures as well as minor and outpatient surgery. AS is the surgical method of choice for more than 85% of pathologies treated by a surgery department. Over time, and with scientific evidence, AS has clearly been shown to be a safe, effective and efficient system to resolve the processes included in a surgery unit portfolio.^{2–6}

A correctly functioning healthcare system is based on 2 pillars, and both are necessary for its own existence and survival: guaranteed funding, and proper training of the healthcare professionals who sustain it.⁷

What is clear is that it is necessary to prioritize clinical management units that have a high rate of activity in ambulatory surgery and demonstrate their efficiency and effectiveness on a daily basis. In many cases, this was done during the most critical phase of the SARS-CoV-2 virus pandemic, and these units were quick and agile in understanding that the pandemic itself also presented a great opportunity for change and improvement, realizing that a correctly implemented AS regimen avoid traps and shortcuts that generate longer hospital stays and higher costs. 9

Training in AS is equally important since it represents the pillar of quality patient care. However, while training seems more defined in other areas of surgery, only abdominal wall surgery programs refer to specific training in AS. ¹⁰ Meanwhile, no references are made to such education in other areas, and current surgical training programs ¹¹ only provide AS training in certain countries. ¹² Occasionally, regulated AS training programs are organized with other specialties, such as anesthesia, ¹³ but these result in confusion and lack of knowledge about what AS training is and should be like, ¹⁴ particularly in our setting. We must not forget that training should be prioritized as a strategic line at any level of care. Likewise, such training should be generalized both regionally and nationally.

Thus, it seems timely for the Ambulatory Surgery and Postgraduate Training Divisions of the Spanish Association of Surgeons (Asociación Española de Cirujanos, or AEC) to provide a series of proposals and reflections about how to train our surgeons in order to treat patients with the highest levels of guaranteed safety in ambulatory surgery, and how hospital administrators can promote and encourage the development of training programs.

Idiosyncrasies of training in ambulatory surgery. What makes AS training different?

Training in AS entails a series of connotations that clearly make it different from training in other areas of surgery.

First of all, the classic concept of the doctor-patient relationship is fragmented because the patient is discharged on the day of surgery. Therefore, there is no daily follow-up on the ward, although of course the patient is followed up while at home. This should not pose a problem, since the work overload often means that it is not possible for the doctor who initially treats the patient in the consultation and adds the patient to the waiting list to be present throughout the entire process.

In addition, the great advantage for students and resident doctors is the possibility to directly care for and monitor patients from surgery until discharge.

If we consider AS unit types at different hospitals, this represents a handicap for rotating residents because satellite units are outside the hospital area, and they may feel that other training activities will be missed. Paradoxically, their training is more likely to benefit since they are generally independent clinical management units with better structured organization, where resident doctors and medical students will be able to benefit from pre- and postoperative consultations. Also, despite the fact that it is not the ideal place for treating wounds, it is ideal for the acquisition of knowledge and skills in the clinical management of AS, which has intrinsic peculiarities.

Finally, we must remember that, in its beginnings, AS was predicted to be exclusively for very expert surgeons, and it did not seem the ideal place for training.¹⁵ However, scientific

evidence has shown that the AS unit is a suitable place for the training of both students and residents, as it does not alter their function or the results between residents and staff, ¹⁶ and the degree of compliance with objectives in terms of knowledge, skills and approaches is satisfactory. ¹⁷

Undergraduate training

All surgeons, regardless of their specialty, will be involved in AS at some point in their professional career. Thus, it is necessary to have a basic knowledge of patient selection criteria, care circuits, discharge criteria and postoperative follow-up, as well as the different indicators and quality standards, which are specific in the case of AS. Therefore, it is surprising that there are no unified teaching criteria at medical schools regarding these factors during the degree program. Moreover, and with few exceptions (such as the School of Medicine of Seville), ¹⁷ the inclusion of the fundamentals of AS as part of the degree program for medicine in our country continues to be anecdotal, unlike the situation in other countries. ^{18,19}

We think that it would be advisable for AS training to begin at university and, in the case of the Degree in Medicine, during the initial contact of medical students with surgical pathology in order to acquire theoretical/practical training. Authors like Docobo at al¹⁷ prospectively evaluate medical students in their 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th years of training regarding their competence in surgical knowledge, skills and approach during their rotation through the AS unit, and these authors have shown its importance and usefulness in their training.

The suggested proposals for improving undergraduate training include:

- 1 Introduce medical students from the 3rd year onward to the founding principles of AS.
- 2 Knowledge of basic management and quality concepts, especially those related with AS: replacement rate, outpatient rate, admission rate, cancellation rate, etc.
- 3 The concept of AS itself introduces students to the concepts of multidisciplinary work, teamwork, benchmarking and professional interrelation, especially with Primary Care.
- 4 Rotation of students through the AS unit, if the hospital has one, or in the different clinical units of the surgery departments in which pathologies are treated under the AS regime, both during their rotations throughout the degree and, if possible, 2–4 weeks during the last year of the degree, depending on how rotations are organized, with a series of assigned tasks that are listed in Table 1.
- 5 Begin to involve students in the scientific and research activities of the unit, encouraging final degree projects about AS, while highlighting the importance of its transversality.
- 6 Generate a change in the mentality of both educators and students, so that they become excited about the AS type of surgical management. When medical schools talk about laparoscopy and robotic approaches, the concept of ambulatory surgery should be closely associated, since this in itself embodies innovation and progress.²⁰

Table 1 - Tasks for undergraduate students.

Tasks for undergraduate students during their rotation in the ambulatory surgery unit

- Receive patients
- Follow up patients during their stay in the unit
- Attend the AS consultation
- Assist in the surgical interventions of the unit
- Postoperative monitoring and postoperative follow-up of patients
- Participate in activities of the unit: clinical and programming sessions
- Register activity in a surgical practice logbook

Post-graduate training

For years, scientific evidence has made it clear that AS units are an appropriate setting for training residents, ^{12,20} and residents also share this opinion when asked. In Spain, however, there is no specific surgery resident training path focused on AS, ^{21,22} and the current surgical specialty program ¹¹ only refers to the fact that training in AS must begin in the second year of residency in the form of courses and seminars, but no rotations are mentioned, nor is a specific time during residency identified. Unfortunately, training programs have often not been updated as advances have been made in surgery, which is why we insist on the opportunity to offer recommendations to consider when future changes or modifications are made to these programs.

Different models have been proposed for training in AS,²³ including a model that considers it a rotation in the context of the training program, or continuous training throughout the entire residency, or a mixed training model that contemplates an initial rotation and continuous training throughout the entire residency, which seems the most reasonable option.

Stone²⁴ proposed a series of current guidelines for resident training:

- 1 Provide specific instruction on how and when to prepare patients for AS, with a rotation in the unit that includes consultations.
- 2 Convey to residents the importance of reducing the postoperative stay in terms of reducing the risk of surgical infection, reducing costs and benefiting from the satisfaction of patients who are able to spend the night at home on the same day of the procedure.
- 3 Promote the concept of interactive teamwork with other services and units, as well as a broad relationship with Primary Care.
- 4 Help residents learn communication skills, especially with patients to ensure that they perfectly understand the instructions received about their treatment.
- 5 Ensure that residents actively participate in patient discharge and postoperative follow-up decisions.
- 6 Provide proper training for trainers: Continuing Education

Considering the current competency-based teaching model that requires adaptation to the characteristics of

each medical center and the possibility of rotations in external units or centers in the event that hospitals do not have specific AS units or that their activity in this area is limited (which is done in different areas of surgical training and facilitated with grants for fellowships, such as those offered by scientific societies like AEC, following a mixed teaching model), we suggest a rotation of about 3-4 months during the second year of residency along with continued training throughout. As indicated in the training program, 2nd-year residents can take a basic training course in AS during their rotation (or prior to it). To date, the AEC and the Ambulatory Surgery Division have already conducted 6 online courses, which have been widely accepted and received much interest.²⁵ In addition, AS has a very important characteristic, which is its transversality, so the knowledge acquired about AS is applicable to most rotations in other units during residency.

This professional competence in AS is based on the acquisition of a series of knowledge, skills and approaches that are listed in Table 2. In addition, it may be helpful to estimate a number of surgical interventions that would be mandatory for residents during their training period, which in some cases is stipulated by specialty training programs, ¹¹ but no mention is made for AS regimes. Hence, suggestions are proposed in Table 3, although it is very important to understand that these are recommendations

that must be adapted to the setting of each hospital and/or AS unit itself. Surgeries like inguinal hernia repair are mostly performed in AS, but other pathologies are also very prevalent, such as cholelithiasis, in which the role of the laparoscopic approach is unquestionable. The fact that residents are and should be very involved is not included in AS service portfolios of many hospitals in our country, but their involvement in surgery services is a daily occurrence.

It is likewise important to understand that, whenever we talk about professional competence, assessment tools are necessary. Several acceptable and appropriate models have been developed with this objective, such as exams, rotation evaluation sheets, objective clinical exams (ECOE), 3600 evaluation, and currently the very useful tool of clinical simulation. And like any training tool, both formative and summative, an element of feedback must be included to highlight the resident's strengths, suggesting improvements, and developing plans of action to improve. All this information, which is measurable, must be collected in a registry that should be universal and unified for all surgery residents in our country. In our opinion, the resident surgical electronic logbook²⁷ seems the most appropriate.

In this way, these skills must be transmitted during the professional activity, while promoting teamwork significantly as well as progressively assuming more responsibilities.

Competence in knowledge	Competence in skills	Competence in approach		
A. ESSENTIAL KNOWLEDGE	A. ESSENTIAL SKILLS	-Involved with the		
-Concept and definitions of AS	-Basic surgical procedures in AS and according to surgical team and u			
-Indicators of AS	the portfolio of services: abdominal wall,	-Available for research		
-Inclusion and exclusion criteria	proctology, breast, gallbladder, soft tissue and teaching			
-AS discharge criteria	-Diagnostic and instrumental tests -Relationship with th			
-Treatment circuits	-Ability to communicate within the unit and with team and patients			
-Portfolio of services	different levels -Involvement in			
-Planning and scheduling treatment	B. BASIC SKILLS complaints			
-Criteria of quality care	-Surgical and instrumental techniques	-Patient care, teaching		
-How to approach and treat complications	-Management of intrahospital information	research, managemen		
B. BASIC KNOWLEDGE	systems			
-Appropriate surgical technique given the	-Continuous and comprehensive view of the			
portfolio of services and rotation	processes			
-Management of nausea, vomiting and pain	-Creation of guidelines and/or collaboration in			
-Patient rights and responsibilities	producing clinical practice guidelines and			
-User-level computer skills	protocols in AS			
-Evidence-based medicine	-Adequate use of available resources and time			
-Quality methodology	management			
-Research methodology	-Ability to teach			
-Resource management	-Use of telemedicine systems			
-Process management	C. OPTIONAL SKILLS			
-Basic life support	-Surgical procedures			
-Intermediate level of English	-Ability to teach			
C. OPTIONAL KNOWLEDGE	-Application of basic research techniques			
-Advanced life support	-Social networking skills			
-Training in prevention of occupational hazards				
-Bioethics in research				
-Information and communication technologies				

Table 3 – Ambulatory surgical procedures.				
Intervention	Degree of complexity according to current training program	Required procedures according to current training program	Suggested requirement as main surgeon at the end of residency	
SOFT TISSUE AND MISCELLANEA Excision of skin lesions and biopsies	Grade 1	Not specified	More than 20 ^a procedures	
ABDOMINAL WALL	Grade 2	25	40, including at least	
- Inguinal and crural hernia		5	10 TEP and/or TAPP ^b	
- Umbilical hernia		10	15	
- Incisional hernia			10, including at least 3 laparoscopic ^b	
COLOPROCTOLOGY	Grade 2-3	Not specified	20	
- Sacral cyst		15	15	
- Hemorrhoids		10	10	
- Anal fissure		20	25	
- Anal fistula,				
- Abscesses, condylomas, etc				
BREAST SURGERY	Grade 3	15	15 ^b	
Benign pathology	Grade 4	15	15 ^b	
Malignant pathology				
THYROID AND PARATHYROID SURGERY	Grade 4	10	10 hemithyroidectomies ^b	
Thyroidectomies		2	5 parathyroidectomies ^b	
Parathyroidectomies				
REFLUX SURGERY	Grade 4	3	3 ^b	
LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY	Grade 3	At least 15	At least 30 ^b	

^a Excluding office procedures, minor surgery and walk-in surgery and walk-in surgery.

Continued training in AS

Continued training is a necessity and an obligation to stay updated on new indications, procedures added to the service portfolio, and surgical techniques, and to be able to evaluate their results.

Attending courses,²⁵ experimental training and clinical simulation centers,²⁶ and meetings and conferences organized by scientific societies⁷ as well as the completion of master programs, such as the one offered by the Spanish Association of Major Ambulatory Surgery (ASECMA),²⁸ represent the appropriate way to achieve this training. At the same time, the pandemic has taught us that serious situations can become opportunities for change;⁵ it has become clear that, although there were no in-person activities, teaching was maintained through webinar-type online platforms, which are here to stay and are very useful today.

The appearance of training platforms that facilitate learning among healthcare professionals, such as RED AEC or AEC Connect, and their dissemination through social media have radically changed the way information is transmitted. Results can be communicated in real time through #SoMe4-Surgery, which has interconnected millions of surgeons across the world in record time. AS is also present on different social media accounts (such as @cma_aecirujanos or @AmbMe4),

which have updated information and all the news related to AS.

A final step in AS training could be the accreditation of clinical management units, and for this it is necessary to previously know the current situation of AS units. ASECMA is working on the DUCMA project (Registry of Ambulatory Surgery Units), which will be able to provide an overview of their real situation. Currently, there is no European Board endorsed by the European Union of Medical Specialists (UEMS) specifically for AS, but there are for different areas of training closely linked to it. This may be an appropriate route for the certification of professionals²⁹ and for the future development of training units and programs.

Conclusions

Ambulatory Surgery, as a strategic pillar of the National Health System, must be known by all medical professionals, starting in medical school and implemented during residency. Thus, Primary Care physicians will have an understanding of AS circuits, and surgical professionals from the different surgical specialties will have received solid training in the techniques and management of AS. Therefore, the need for a training itinerary aimed at this area is urgent, without forgetting the importance of continued training, to which all surgeons should have guaranteed access.

^b Depending on the AS portfolio of services.

Funding

This work has not received any type of funding

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest

Acknowledgements

Our thanks to Dr Jesús Badía for his help in preparing the suggestions for the surgical procedures, together with Dr Alberto López, Dr Belén Porrero, Dr Luis Tallón and Dr Victor J Ovejero, new members of the AS Division Board.

REFERENCES

- Jarret EM, Staniszewski A. Capítulo 1 The development of ambulatory surgery and future challenges. In: Lemos P, Jarrett P, Philip BK, editors. Day Surgery Development and practice UK: International Association for Ambulatory Surgery; 2006; p. 21–34.
- Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo. Manual Unidad de Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria. Estandares y recomendaciones. Available from: https://www.mscbs.gob.es/organizacion/ sns/planCalidadSNS/docs/guiaCMA.pdf.
- Porrero JL, Porrero B. Cirugia Mayor Ambulatoria. In: "Eficiencia en la asistencia sanitaria.". Madrid: Edita Win2win worldwide; 2015.
- 4. Capitan-Vallvey JM, González-Vinagre S, Barreiro-Morandeira F. Major ambulatory surgery: where we are and where are we going. Cir Esp. 2018;96:1–2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp.2017.04.005.
- Morales-García D, Docobo-Durantez F, Capitán-Vallvey JM, Suarez-Grau JM, Campo-Cimarras ME, González-Vinagre S, et al. Consensus of the ambulatory surgery commite section of the Spanish Association of Surgeons on the role of ambulatory surgery in the SARS-CoV-pandemic. Cir Esp. 2022;100:115–24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.cireng.2022.02.014.
- Morales-García D, Docobo F, Suarez JM. Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria. In: Parrilla P, Garcia-Granero E, Martin E, Morales S, Navarro S, Targarona EM, editors. Cirugía AEC 3rd edition. 2022. p. 1291–307.
- Docobo F, Morales-García D. Training in ambulatory surgery in general and digestive surgery. Cir Andal. 2022;33:462–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.37351/2022334.11.
- Hair B, Hussey P, Wynn B. A comparison of ambulatory perioperative times in hospitals and freestanding centers. Am J Surg. 2012;204(1):23–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2011.07.023.
- Morales-Garcia D, Martin J, Garcia E, Naranjo A. Why is it so difficult to integrate laparoscopic cholecystectomy as routine major ambulatory surgery? Cir Esp. 2009;86:122. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp.2009.03.017.
- Miguelena JM, Morales-Garcia D, Serra-Aracil X, Sanz M, Iturburu I, Docobo F, et al. Training of residents in

- abdominal wall surgery in Spain. Cir Esp. 2013;91:72–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp.2011.08.004.
- 11. Orden SCO/1260/2007, de 13 de abril, por la que se aprueba y publica el programa formativo de la especialidad de Cirugía General y del Aparato Digestivo.«BOE» núm. 110, de 8 de mayo de 2007, páginas 19864 a 19873 (10 págs.) Sección: III. Otras disposiciones: Ministerio de Sanidad y Consum. Referencia: BOE-A-2007-9409. PermalinkELI: https://www.boe.es/eli/es/o/2007/04/13/sco1260.
- Zale AD, Song CI, Zhou A, Lai J, Jang M, Lipsett PA, et al. A qualitative study of the barriers and benefits to resident education in ambulatory surgical centers. J Surg Educ. 2021;78:1825–37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2021.04.002.
- 13. Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia (SAMBA) Curriculum Guidelines for the Anesthesia Resident Rotation in Ambulatory Anesthesia. November 15, 2005. http://www.sambahq.org/professional-info/ed-curr-2.html.
- Lipp AK. Surgical training should specifically include training in day case surgery. BMJ. 2015;351h4880, https://doi. org/10.1136/bmj.h4880.
- Rudkin GE, O'Driscoll MC, Carty VM. Does a teaching programme in day surgery impact on efficiency and quality of care? Aust N Z J Surg. 1997;67:883–7. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1445-2197.1997.tb07619.x.
- Larrañaga E. Resultados de la CMA con participación MIR. Cir May Amb. 1997;2 suppl I:289–92.
- Docobo F, Álamo JM, Tamayo MJ, García M, Padillo J. Undergraduate training in day surgery. In: Abstract book. Paris: 2nd European Congress IAAS; 2016.
- Levy M. An ambulatory program for surgical residents and medical students. J Med Educ. 1988;63:386–91, https://doi. org/10.1097/00001888-198805000-00006.
- Seabrook MA, Lawson M, Malster J, Solly J, Rennie P, Baskerville A. Teaching medical students in a day surgery unit: adapting medical education to changes in clinical practice. Med Teach. 1988;20:222–6, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 01421599880968.
- Docobo F, Capitan JM, Morales D. Innovación en Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria. In: Toledano M, Morales S, Aranzana A, editors. Manual de práctica clínica de innovación Madrid: Ed Aran; 2021; p. 553–62.
- Miguelena JM, Landa JI, Jover JM, Docobo F, Morales-García D, Serra -Aracil X, et al. General surgery and the digestive system: new program, same challenges. Cir Esp. 2008;84:67–70. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0009-739x(08)72136-5.
- 22. Miguelena JM, Morales-García D, Iturburu I, Alcázar JA, Serra-Aracil X, Docobo F, et al. General surgery training in Spain: core curriculum and specific areas of training. Cir Esp. 2015;93:147–51. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp.2014.10.009.
- Dent JA. Twelve tips for developing a clinical teaching programme in a day surgery unit. Med Teach. 2003;25:364–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0142159031000136806.
- 24. Stone MD, Doyle J, Patselas TN, Pories WJ. Management of ambulatory surgery and outpatient care. In: Cox SS, Poires WJ, Foil MB, Patselas TN, editors. Surgical resident curriculum 2^a ed. Arlington VA: The Association of Program Directors in Surgery; 1995; p. 151–5.
- 25. VI Curso on line de Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria. Asociación Española de Cirujanos. https://www.aec-campus.es/VI-Curso-Cirugia-Mayor-Ambulatoria-2022_es_4_71_0.html; 2023 (consultada el 2 de febrero de 2023).

- 26. Morales Garcia-D. Clinical simulation in the surgical training in the XXI century. Cir Esp. 2012;90:139–40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp.2011.05.016.
- 27. Gómez CJ, Luna A, Rebasa P, Serra S, Jurado C, Mora L, et al. Surgical electronic logbook: a step forward. Cir Esp. 2014;93:615–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp.2014.05.004.
- 28. II Master de Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria. Asociación Española de Cirugía Mayor Ambulatoria. https://www.
- mastercma.com/; 2023 (consultada el 2 de febrero de 2023).
- 29. Miguelena JM, Serra-Aracil X, Targarona E, Ramos JL, Jover JM, Millán M, et al. Reaccreditation or recertification of general surgeons in Spain: that's the question. Results of a national survey. Cir Esp. 2021;100:149–53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cireng.2022.02.005.