Management of Retropneumoperitoneum After ERCP

Manejo del retroneumoperitoneo tras CPRE

Since its introduction in 1968, ERCP has become an essential tool for the diagnosis and treatment of numerous biliary and pancreatic diseases. In the hands of expert gastroenterologists, the rate of complications is low, although its incidence reaches 4%–10% and mortality 0.4%. In order of frequency, complications include pancreatitis (1.3%–5.4%), hemorrhage (0.76%–3%), cholangitis (0.78%–1%) and perforation (0.3%–2.1%). The risk of complications is statistically higher in therapeutic cases (5.4%) than in diagnostic cases (1.38%). Traditionally, surgical treatment was used for these complications; however, in the last decade, conservative management has provided increasingly better results.

The patient is an 85-year-old male with a prior history of atrial fibrillation, glaucoma, vertigo and laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute calculous cholecystitis in 2009, which was complicated by postoperative bile leakage that was treated with the insertion of a plastic biliary stent. Two years later, ERCP was carried out due to obstructive jaundice and previous acute cholangitis. The stent was removed with some degree of difficulty and the patient was discharged from hospital the day after the procedure. He returned to our Emergency Department 48 h later due to general malaise and fever. He was hemodynamically stable and presented jaundice, generalized subcutaneous crepitation and tenderness in the epigastrium and right hypochondrium. Blood tests showed: leukocytes 9020, 87.5% PMN, total bilirubin 8.18 g/ dl, direct bilirubin 7.62 g/dl, CRP 19.90 mg/dl. Abdominal CT demonstrated dilated intra- and extrahepatic bile duct, and abundant free air distributed in the retroperitoneal/

intraperitoneal spaces and subcutaneous tissue. No collections or free fluid were observed (Figs. 1 and 2). After assessing the patient and considering the hemodynamic stability and improvement after antibiotic therapy, we decided on conservative management and the patient was transferred to intensive care. Symptoms improved and the patient was moved to the hospital ward, where he eventually died after suffering kidney failure.

Perforation after ERCP usually occurs in the retroperitoneal portion of the duodenum⁶ and air diffuses through the perineural and perivascular sheaths, which are damaged by the pressure that the endoscope exerts on the wall of the duodenum.⁷ Risk factors include older age, difficulty and duration of the procedure, the presence of diverticula in the periampullary area, anatomical abnormalities (Billroth II), dilatation or stenosis of the bile duct and sphincter of Oddi dysfunction.⁸

According to Stapfer, these lesions are classified as type I (perforation in the medial or lateral duodenal wall), type II (periampullary), type III (bile duct or pancreatic injury) and type IV (retroperitoneal air). 79% of perforations are suspected at the time of ERCP. Early diagnosis increases the chances of successful conservative treatment. Clinical manifestations are nonspecific, but a perforation should be suspected in any patient with abdominal or respiratory symptoms or crepitations after ERCP. A plain abdominal radiograph should be taken, which will show retroperitoneal air lines that increase on deep expiration. Abdominal CT without contrast is the test of choice to confirm the diagnosis 4,4; and will show



Fig. 1 - Abdominal CT scan showing free air in the intra-



Fig. 2 – Thoracic CT scan with free air in the subcutaneous tissue with no evidence of air in the pleural space or mediastinum.

^{*} Please cite this article as: Maturana Ibáñez V, Ferrer Márquez M, Moreno Serrano A, Rodríguez Morillas D, Belda Lozano R. Manejo del retroneumoperitoneo tras CPRE. Cir Esp. 2013;91:608–609.

air in the intra- and retroperitoneal spaces along the inferior vena cava up to the mediastinum and pleura. It is important to mention that the amount of air does not correlate with the severity of the symptoms. Treatment of the perforation should be initially conservative, and should include bowel rest, a nasogastric tube, hydration and antibiotics. Surgery is indicated for patients with persistent biliary obstruction, cholangitis, sepsis or non-response to conservative treatment. The type of surgery depends on the findings and can include perforation repair, drainage of abscesses, choledochojejunostomy or even pancreatoduodenectomy.

REFERENCES

- McCune WS, Shorb PE, Moscovitz H. Endoscopic cannulation of the ampulla of vater: a preliminary report. Ann Surg. 1968;167:752-6.
- Genzlinger Genzlinger JL, McPhee MS, Fisher JK, Jacob KM, Helzberg JH. Significance of retroperitoneal air after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with sphincterotomy. Am J Gastroenterol. 1999;94:1267–70.
- 3. Andriulli A, Loperfido S, Napolitano G, Niro G, Valvano MR, Spirito F, et al. Incidence rates of post-ERCP complications: a systematic survey of prospective studies. Am J Gastroenterol. 2007;102:1781–8. Epub.
- Fujii L, Lau A, Fleischer DE, Harrison ME. Successful nonsurgical treatment of pneumomediastinum, pneumothorax, pneumoperitoneum, neumoretroperitoneum, and subcutaneous emphysema following ERCP. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2010;289135. Epub.
- Stapfer M, Selby RR, Stain SC, Katkhouda N, Parekh D, Jabbour N, et al. Management of duodenal perforation after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and sphincterotomy. Ann Surg. 2000;232:191–8.

- Ozgonul A, Cece H, Sogut O, Demir D, Kurkcuoglus IC.
 Pneumoperitoneum, pneumoretroperitoneum and bilateral
 pneumothorax caused by ERCP. J Pak Med Assoc. 2010;60:
 60–1.
- Ciaccia D, Branch MS, Baillie J. Pneumomediastinum after endoscopic sphincterotomy. Am J Gastroenterol. 1995;90:475–7.
- 8. Assalia A, Suissa A, Ilivitzki A, Mahajna A, Yassin K, Hashmonai M, et al. Validity of clinical criteria in the management of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography related duodenal perforations. Arch Surg. 2007;142:1059–64.
- Maunder RJ, Pierson DJ, Hudson LD. Subcutaneous and mediastinal emphysema. Pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management. Arch Intern Med. 1984;144:1447–53.
- Aliperti G. Complications related to diagnostic and therapeutic endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 1996;6:379–407.

Vanesa Maturana Ibáñez*, Manuel Ferrer Márquez, Almudena Moreno Serrano, Diego Rodríguez Morillas, Ricardo Belda Lozano

Servicio de Cirugía General y del Aparato Digestivo, Complejo Hospitalario Torrecárdenas, Almería, Spain

*Corresponding author.
E-mail address: vanematu69@hotmail.com
(V. Maturana Ibáñez).

2173-5077/\$ – see front matter © 2011 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Cystic Adventitial Disease of the Popliteal Artery

Enfermedad quística adventicial de la arteria poplítea

Adventitial cystic disease (ACD) is a rare vascular disease with an estimated prevalence of one of every 1200 cases of intermittent claudication. Due to its rare nature, it is frequently confused with atherosclerotic disease. It typically presents as intermittent claudication of the calf that is unilateral and rapidly progressive in a young healthy man with no cardiovascular risk factors.¹

We report the case of a 42-year-old male with a history of smoking and hypercholesterolemia who presented intermittent claudication at 300 m in the right calf region. Physical examination revealed a reduced popliteal pulse and lack of distal pulses in the lower right extremity. Doppler study showed an ankle brachial index of 0.53 in this extremity. With

Doppler ultrasound, we observed right popliteal artery ectasia with a maximum size of 11 mm, anechogenic content and high speed flow. Magnetic resonance imaging showed an abrupt occlusion of approximately 4 cm in length at the second right popliteal portion. We decided to perform surgical correction using the posterior approach, where we observed an enlarged popliteal artery and the discharge of a slightly yellowish gelatinous material. The second portion of the popliteal artery was removed and reconstructed with a popliteal–popliteal reconstruction using an inverted saphenous vein graft. Samples sent for pathological examination were reported to be adventitial cystic disease (Figs. 1 and 2). The immediate postoperative period was uneventful and the

^{*} Please cite this article as: García Familiar A, Fernández Fernández JC, Sánchez Abuín J, Zevallos Quiroz JC, Egaña Barrenechea JM. Enfermedad quística adventicial de la arteria poplítea. Cir Esp. 2013;91:609–611.