ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Annals of Hepatology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.es/annalsofhepatology



# **Brief report**

# Diastolic dysfunction: Prevalence and outcome in liver transplantation candidates



Francis Voet<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Maxim Khalenkow<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Michel De Pauw<sup>c</sup>, Xavier Verhelst<sup>a,b</sup>, Sander Lefere<sup>a,b</sup>, Anja Geerts<sup>a,b</sup>, Hans Van Vlierberghe<sup>a,b</sup>, Sarah Raevens<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Ghent University Hospital, Corneel Heymanslaan 10, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
- b Hepatology Research Unit, Department Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, Liver Research Center, Corneel Heymanslaan 10, 9000, Ghent University, Belgium
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Cardiology, Ghent University Ghent University Hospital, Corneel Heymanslaan 10, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 17 July 2024 Accepted 27 January 2025 Available online 11 February 2025

Keywords: Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy Cirrhosis Liver transplantation

#### ABSTRACT

Introduction and Objectives: Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy (CCM) is a cardiac complication of cirrhosis primarily presenting as diastolic dysfunction (DD). The original diagnostic criteria from 2005 were updated in 2019. This retrospective study aimed to determine the prevalence and clinical impact of DD in liver transplantation (LT) candidates.

*Materials and Methods:* Data from 233 adult cirrhotic LT candidates were analyzed, and echocardiographic data were used to define DD in all patients using the original criteria and the revised criteria.

Results: The prevalence of DD was 72,1 % using the original criteria for DD and 6,4 % using the revised criteria. Patients with DD according to the revised criteria were older than those without DD. Other clinical characteristics were similar between groups. Waitlist mortality was 13,3 % in patients with DD versus 9,2 % in patients without DD (NS), according to the revised criteria. A similar percentage of patients with DD (80 %) underwent LT compared to patients without DD (89 %). Post-LT survival rates were comparable between patients with DD (87,3 % by original criteria, 91,7 % by revised criteria) and patients without DD (81,3 % by original and 85,6 % by revised criteria). Notably, lower e' lateral values pre-LT were associated with post-LT mortality. Major adverse cardiovascular events post-transplantation occurred in 16,7 % of patients with pre-LT DD and in 13,9 % of patients without pre-LT DD (NS).

*Conclusions*: According to the revised criteria, 6,4% of LT candidates in this cohort had DD. The presence of DD did not significantly impact overall post-transplant mortality.

© 2025 Fundación Clínica Médica Sur, A.C. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

## 1. Introduction

Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy (CCM) means cardiac dysfunction in individuals with cirrhosis, in the absence of known heart disease. CCM mainly manifests as altered diastolic relaxation, referred to as 'diastolic dysfunction' (DD). DD represents a pivotal and early manifestation of CCM. Unlike systolic dysfunction (SD), the other component of CCM, which typically emerges in more advanced disease

Abbreviations: CCM, cirrhotic cardiomyopathy; DD, diastolic dysfunction; LT, liver transplantation; e', early diastolic velocity; MASLD, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease

E-mail addresses: francis.voet@ugent.be (F. Voet), maxim.khalenkow@ugent.be (M. Khalenkow), michel.depauw@ugent.be (M. De Pauw), xavier.verhelst@ugent.be (X. Verhelst), sander.lefere@ugent.be (S. Lefere), anja.geerts@ugent.be (A. Geerts), hans.vanvlierberghe@ugent.be (H. Van Vlierberghe), sarah.raevens@ugent.be (S. Raevens).

stages, DD frequently precedes overt cardiac failure. The hyperdynamic state in cirrhosis, hallmarked by an increased cardiac output and a decreased systemic vascular resistance, underlies the development of DD. DD remains often silent until significant stress occurs, such as insertion of a transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt or a liver transplantation (LT). A detailed review on DD and CCM in cirrhosis can be found in [1].

Until recently, there was no official consensus for the diagnosis of DD. Preliminary diagnostic criteria were proposed in 2005. With significant advancements in echocardiography and the recognition of challenges in interpreting the proposed parameters in cirrhosis, these criteria are now considered outdated. In 2019, new diagnostic criteria were introduced, based on based on the recommendations from The American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging guidelines (see Graphical abstract figure) [2,3].

Data regarding the prevalence of DD in patients with end-stage liver disease are scarce since the disease usually remains silent until

<sup>\* \*</sup>Corresponding author.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally.

late stages where the cardiac reservoir is obliterated, or only becomes apparent at the time of LT. We chose DD as an investigative focus in this manuscript to better understand the influence of this specific component of CCM on LT outcomes. Its impact on the pre- and post-LT outcome is not clear yet, and it is not known whether the DD improves after LT in affected patients. This study aimed to determine the prevalence and clinical impact of DD in cirrhotic LT candidates.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Patient data

This retrospective study focused on adult patients (≥18 years old) with cirrhosis listed for LT at the Ghent University Hospital between January 1, 2005, and March 31, 2017 (n = 233). The etiology of cirrhosis was categorized as alcohol-related liver disease, hepatitis B or C viral infection, auto-immune and biliary liver disease, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), or other causes. Patients with insufficient pre-LT echocardiographic data, a known prior heart disease, or portopulmonary hypertension were excluded. For those patients with multiple echocardiograms within the year prior to LT, the most recent and comprehensive one was included for analysis in this study.

Demographic and clinical data, including information regarding age, sex, race, the etiology (including alcohol misuse) and severity of the liver disease (Child-Pugh, MELD), pre-LT decompensation events, smoking and comorbidities (pulmonary: asthma, COPD; cardiovascular: history of ischemic or valvular heart disease, arrythmia, peripheral vascular disease) were obtained from the patient files. Outcome data included transplantation status and pre-LT and post-LT mortality. The presence of DD was evaluated using echocardiography variables and based on the original criteria from 2005 and the revised criteria from 2019. The original criteria from 2005 define DD as deceleration time <200 ms, E/A < 1 and isovolumic relaxation time >80 ms. The revised criteria from 2019 define DD as left atrial volume index >34 mL/m², septal e' <7 cm/s or lateral e' <10 cm/s, tricuspid regurgitation peak velocity >2.8 m/s and E/e' >=15.

#### 2.2. Statistical analyses

The analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 29. Normality was assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Results are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median (interquartile range) for quantitative variables and as n (%) for categorical variables. The paired and unpaired Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney-U test,  $\chi 2$ -test and Fisher-Exact-test were used. The association between time-to-event outcomes and covariates was estimated using Cox proportional hazard regression. Survival was evaluated with Kaplan-Meier curves and compared by the log-rank test.

# 2.3. Ethical statements

The study received approval from our local Ethical Committee (11/2010–2010/582 and 05/2017–2017/0635), conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. As approved by the Ethical Committee, patients were actively informed about this retrospective study and its aims and were provided with the option to oppose through opting-out.

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. Patient characteristics

All LT candidates had sufficient echocardiographic data to adequately define the presence or absence of DD. The median study follow-up was 1290 days. Study population characteristics are provided

in Table 1. The cause of cirrhosis was alcohol-related in 49,8 %, MASLD in 9,4 %, and viral hepatitis in 24,1 %.

168/233 (72,1 %) patients met the original criteria for DD, while 15/233 (6,4 %) met the revised criteria for DD. Echocardiographic data are shown in Table 2. Patients with DD had increased E and A velocity, lower E/A, decreased lateral e' velocity, higher E/e' lateral, tricuspid regurgitation peak velocity, tricuspid insufficiency gradient and right ventricular systolic pressure (Table 2). Patients with DD by the revised criteria were significantly older (median 67 vs 61 years; P = 0.005). The etiology and severity of the underlying liver disease were comparable between patients with and without DD. The occurrence of refractory ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and hepatorenal syndrome was comparable in patients with and without DD (Table 1) (20 % vs 21,6 %; 13,3 % vs 12,8 %; 20 % vs 11 %, respectively), according to the revised criteria).

#### 3.2. Waitlist outcome

194/218 (89 %) patients without DD and 12/15 (80 %) patients with DD according to the 2019 criteria were transplanted (Table 3). The median time from listing to LT was not different between groups (142 vs 114 days, P = 0.382). 13,3 % of patients with DD died on the waiting list compared to 9,2 % of patients without (NS, Graphical abstract figure, Table 3). The most common cause of pre-LT death was multiple organ failure.

#### 3.3. Post-transplant outcome

Post-LT survival was similar in patients who were transplanted with and without DD according to the revised criteria (91,7 % vs 85,6 %, P = 0.391, Graphical abstract figure, Table 4). 8,3 % of patients with DD and 14,4 % without DD had died after LT (NS, Table 4). The causes of death did not differ between groups. 1 of the 2 transplanted patients with DD who died post-LT died because of a cardiovascular complication.

Of the 206 patients who underwent transplantation, 177 (85,9 %) had survived at data collection. Median follow-up post-LT was 1012 days. In the group of patients who died post-LT, 1 patient (3,4 %) had DD pre-LT according to the revised 2019 criteria. The presence of DD pre-LT was not associated with death post-LT. Patients who died had significantly lower e' lateral (mean 9,849 vs 11,796 cm/s, P = 0,050).

Major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE, including ischemic heart disease, heart failure, cardiac death) occurred in 2/12 (16,7 %) patients with pre-LT DD in the post-transplantation phase, and in 27/194 (13,9 %) patients without pre-LT DD (NS). No association could be found between the presence or absence of DD and the occurrence of MACE by regression analysis.

# 3.4. Evolution of DD post-transplant

12 patients with DD according to the revised definition underwent LT. In 9/12 transplanted patients with DD pre-LT, we had a follow-up with sufficient data to re-evaluate the presence of DD (at median follow-up of 1395 days post-transplant). Only 1 patient of them fulfilled the criteria for DD post-LT.

### 4. Discussion

Using the revised criteria, the prevalence of DD in this study was 6,4 %, indicating a significant shift in the reported prevalence of DD compared to earlier studies using the original criteria (up to 65 %) [1,4].

Conflicting data exist regarding the clinical impact of DD in LT candidates. Mittal et al. reported an association between DD pre-LT and mortality post-LT [5]. However, other studies could not establish

**Table 1**Baseline characteristics of patients with and without DD by the original and revised criteria of 2005 and 2019.

	<b>Total group</b> N = 233	<b>Original criteria – 2005</b> N = 223		<b>Revised criteria – 2019</b> N = 233	
		No DD (n = 55)	DD (n = 168)	No DD (n = 218)	DD (n = 15)
Age (years)	61 (13)	57 (15)	62 (11)	61 (12)	67 (12)
Male sex (%)	158 (67,8)	30 (54,5)	120 (71,4)	150 (68,8)	8 (53,3)
Race (n) (%)					
Caucasian	221 (94,8)	53 (96,4)	159 (94,6)	207 (95,0)	14 (93,3)
North African	8 (3,4)	1 (1,8)	7 (4,2)	7 (3,2)	1 (6,7)
Asian	3 (1,3)	0 (0,0)	2 (1,2)	3 (1,4)	0 (0,0)
Unknown	1 (0,4)	1 (1,8)	0 (0,0)	1 (0,4)	0 (0,0)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25,8 (6,3)	25 (6,2)	25,9 (5,9)	25,8 (5,8)	25,9 (5,5)
Disease etiology					
Alcohol	116 (49,8)	19 (34,5)	92 (54,8)	110 (50,5)	6 (40)
Autoimmune and biliary disease	37 (15,9)	18 (32,7)	19 (11,3)	33 (15,1)	4 (26,7)
HBV	23 (9,9)	7 (12,7)	15 (8,9)	22 (10,1)	1 (6,7)
HCV	33 (14,2)	6 (10,9)	24 (14,3)	30 (13,8)	3 (20)
MASLD	22 (9,4)	5 (9,1)	16 (9,5)	21 (9,6)	1 (6,7)
Other	2 (0,9)	0 (0,0)	2 (1,2)	2 (0,9)	0(0)
MELD score	17 (11)	17 (13)	17 (11)	17 (12)	18 (23)
Child Pugh class					
Α	36 (15,5)	10 (18,2)	24 (14,3)	34 (15,6)	2 (13,3)
В	96 (41,2)	25 (45,5)	68 (40,5)	91 (41,7)	5 (33,3)
С	86 (36,9)	19 (34,5)	62 (36,9)	81 (37,2)	5 (33,3)
Unknown	15 (6,4)	1 (3,6)	14 (8,3)	12 (5,5)	3 (20)
Refractory ascites (n) (%)	50 (21,5)	10 (18,2)	38 (22,6)	47 (21,6)	3 (20)
Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (n) (%)	30 (12,9)	4 (7,3)	24 (14,3)	28 (12,8)	2 (13,3)
Hepatorenal syndrome (n) (%)	27 (11,6)	7 (12,7)	17 (10,1)	24 (11)	3 (20)
Hepatocellular carcinoma (n) (%)					
Yes	78 (33,5)	9 (16,4)	63 (37,5)	73 (33,5)	5 (33,3)
No	153 (65,7)	46 (83,6)	103 (61,3)	143 (65,6)	10 (66,7)
Unknown	2 (0,9)	0 (0,0)	2 (1,2)	2 (0,9)	0 (0,0)
Smoking (n) (%)					
Yes	69 (29,6)	19 (34,5)	45 (26,8)	68 (31,2)	1 (6,7)
No	143 (61,4)	31 (56,4)	108 (64,3)	131 (60,1)	12 (80)
Unknown	21 (9)	5 (9,1)	15 (8,9)	19 (8,7)	2 (13,3)
Cardiovascular comorbidities (n) (%)					
Yes	42 (18)	5 (9,1)	34 (20,2)	39 (17,9)	3 (20)
No	191 (82)	50 (90,9)	134 (79,8)	179 (82,1)	12 (80)
Pulmonary comorbidities (n) (%)					
Yes	29 (12,4)	4 (7,3)	23 (13,7)	25 (11,5)	4 (26,6)
No	199 (85,4)	48 (87,2)	143 (85,1)	189 (86,7)	10 (66,7)
Unknown	5 (2,2)	3 (5,5)	2 (0,2)	4 (1,8)	1 (6,7)

Amount (percentage); median (IQR). Abbreviations: DD, diastolic dysfunction; BMI, body mass index; HBV, hepatitis B; HCV, hepatitis C; MASLD, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease.

**Table 2**Echocardiographic data in patients with or without DD by the original and revised criteria of 2005 and 2019.

	Original criteria – 2005 N = 223		Revised criteria – 2019 N = 233			
	No DD (n = 55)	DD (n = 168)	P-value	No DD (n = 218)	DD (n = 15)	P-value
LVEDD (mm) (n)	$47,226 \pm 5554$	$47,116 \pm 6345$	0,910	$47,150 \pm 6076$	$49,264 \pm 6626$	0,211
A (cm/s)	73 (33)	77,5 (26)	0,005	76 (26)	109 (36)	<0,001
E (cm/s)	96 (29)	82 (34)	<0,001	85 (33)	95 (29)	0,050
DT (ms)	172 (35)	239 (66)	<0,001	214 (74)	191,5 (86)	0,179
E/A	1,367 (0,433)	0,941 (0,451)	<0,001	1,118 (0,491)	0,804 (0,345)	0,005
EF (%)	77,5 (17,5)	68 (17,5)	0,483	69 (17,5)	77,5 (15)	0,388
e' lat (cm/s) (n)	$12,524 \pm 3249$	$11,087 \pm 3135$	0,018	11,6 (4,7)	8,5 (1,16)	<0,001
E/e' lat	8,583 (4,769)	8,077 (3,707)	0,749	7,908 (3,518)	11,778 (5,297)	<0,001
TR-peak velocity (m/s)	2,411 (0,362)	2,500 (0,457)	0,425	2,450 (0,410)	3 (0,210)	<0,001
TI-gradient (mmHg)	25 (8)	25 (10)	0,516	25 (8)	36 (5)	<0,001
S' (cm/s)	14,3 (3,7)	14 (4,1)	0,574	14 (3,6)	15,4 (5,4)	0,595
TAPSE (mm)	26 (5)	26 (7)	0,832	26(6)	25 (6)	0,732
RVSP (mmHg) (n)	$27,64 \pm 6172$	$28,90 \pm 6327$	0,282	$28,04 \pm 6066$	$37,71 \pm 4340$	<0,001
RVEDD (mm) (n)	$30,17\pm4054$	$32,\!20 \pm 5697$	0,013	$\textbf{31,} \textbf{58} \pm \textbf{5205}$	$34{,}58 \pm 7255$	0,063
RVEDD/LVEDD (n)	$\textbf{0,645} \pm \textbf{0,102}$	$\textbf{0,688} \pm \textbf{0,123}$	0,044	$\textbf{0,675} \pm \textbf{0,118}$	$\textbf{0,708} \pm \textbf{0,129}$	0,353

Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; median (IQR). Abbreviations: DD, diastolic dysfunction; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; A, late diastolic filling; E, early diastolic filling; DT, deceleration time; EF, ejection fraction; e' lat, lateral mitral annular early diastolic velocity; E/e', early mitral inflow velocity to mitral annular early diastolic velocity ratio; TR, tricuspid regurgitation; TI, tricuspid insufficiency; S', lateral tricuspid annulus peak systolic velocity; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; RVSP, right ventricular systolic pressure; RVEDD, right ventricular end-diastolic diameter.

**Table 3**Outcome on the waiting list of patients with or without DD by the revised criteria of 2019.

	Revised criteria – 2019 N = 233		
	No DD (n = 218)	DD (n = 15)	
Waiting time (days) Outcome on waiting list (n) (%)	142 (283)	114 (192)	
Transplanted	194 (89)	12 (80)	
Died before transplantation	20 (9,2)	2 (13,3)	
Too good or recovered	1 (0,5)	1 (6,7)	
Too sick	3 (1,4)	0(0)	

Median (IQR).

**Table 4**Outcome of transplanted patients with and without DD by the revised criteria of 2019

	Revised criteria – 2019 N = 206		
	No DD (n = 194)	DD (n = 12)	
Outcome at data collection (n) (%)			
Alive	166 (85,6)	11 (91,7)	
Deceased	28 (14,4)	1 (8,3)	
Cause of death (n) (%)			
Multiple organ failure	9 (32,1)	0(0)	
Cardiovascular	4 (14,3)	1 (100)	
Non-cardiovascular	12 (42,9)	0(0)	
Unknown	3 (10,7)	0(0)	
Follow-up time until data collection or death			
(days)	990 (1178)	1395 (1791)	
MACE (n) (%)			
Yes	27 (13,9)	2 (16,7)	
No	167 (86,1)	10 (83,3)	

Median (IQR).

a clear association between the presence of DD and mortality in cirrhotic patients, regardless of using the original or revised criteria [6 -11]. Our study also could not demonstrate an association between pre-transplant DD and overall post-transplant mortality. Recent reports have suggested that DD-CCM, defined by the new criteria, increases the risk of MACE including cardiovascular death after LT [6,9]. This could not be confirmed in our cohort.

Age-related changes in cardiac structure and function contribute to DD development. Our study revealed that patients with DD were older. As the age limit for LT extends, we believe that particularly the older population should receive special attention in future studies analyzing the impact of DD.

We observed that patients who had died after LT had significantly lower e' lateral. We found a notable association between lower e' lateral before LT and post-LT mortality. These findings are consistent with those of Spann et al., who demonstrated associations between septal e', cardiovascular complications and all-cause mortality [6]. These associations further support the importance of proper echocardiographic assessment in cirrhotic patients undergoing LT.

The current understanding regarding whether cardiac function improves after LT in patients with DD remains unclear. Some studies have reported on the potential for improvement in DD post-LT. Izzy et al. found that DD normalized in 6/22 affected patients at 1 year post-LT [9]. Ali et al. reported resolution of CCM in 13/23 patients post-LT [12]. We retrieved a follow-up echocardiogram after LT in 9/12 patients with DD pre-LT. Only 1 patient fulfilled the criteria post-LT. Although this reports on a very small number of patients, it suggests that DD could potentially improve post-LT. However, due to the limited number of patients in our study, further research with a

larger sample size is necessary to investigate this hypothesis more thoroughly.

Our study adds to the discussion on the clinical impact of DD in LT. While we found no direct link between pre-LT DD and post-LT mortality, an association was observed between lower e' lateral values before LT and increased post-LT mortality. This observation might suggest the need for closer cardiac evaluation during the pre-transplant phase for these patients, potentially informing follow-up care and medical management.

We recognize that a single-center, retrospective design is a limitation. Indeed, to date, investigations assessing the impact of DD on LT outcomes have been limited to single-center studies. However, the field of DD remains understudied, and any additional data further improve our understanding of the clinical impact of these liver-induced cardiac alterations. Strengths of this study include the long follow-up of a large cohort of well-characterized cirrhotic patients. Future multicenter initiatives are essential to generating more robust data, enhancing our understanding of the disease, and elucidating its implications on LT outcomes.

#### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study revealed that 6,4 % of LT candidates were diagnosed with DD based on the revised criteria. We found that the presence of DD did not significantly impact overall post-LT survival. However, we observed a potential association between lower e' lateral values before LT and increased post-LT mortality. Additional prospective studies will help explore whether DD has varying effects on outcomes after LT in specific subsets of patients.

### **Funding**

XV is funded by a translational clinical mandate of the Foundation Against Cancer Belgium. SL is supported by a grant from the Research Foundation — Flanders (FWO) (1227824 N). AG, HVV and SR are senior clinical investigators of the FWO (1805718 N, 1801721 N and 1802624 N).

# **Author contributions**

FV, MK, MDP, XV, HD, SL, AG, HVV, SR: study concept and design FV, MK, SR: acquisition of data; analysis and interpretation of data; statistical analysis

FV, MK, SR: drafting the manuscript

MDP, XV, AG, HVV: administrative, technical and material support MDP, XV, HD, SL, AG, HVV: critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

FV, MK, MDP, XV, HD, SL, AG, HVV, SR: final approval of the version to be published

#### **Declaration of interest**

None.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Evelyn Vander Straeten for her help in creating the database.

#### References

- Liu H, Naser JA, Lin G, Lee SS. Cardiomyopathy in cirrhosis: from pathophysiology to clinical care. JHEP Reports 2024;6:100911. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ihepr.2023.100911.
- [2] Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by Echocardiography: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography

- and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc
- Imaging 2016;17:1321-60. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jew082.
   Izzy M, VanWagner LB, Lin G, Altieri M, Findlay JY, Oh JK, et al. Redefining cirrhotic cardiomyopathy for the modern era. Hepatology 2020;71:334-45. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.30875.
- [4] Razpotnik M, Bota S, Wimmer P, Hackl M, Lesnik G, Alber H, et al. The prevalence of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy according to different diagnostic criteria. Liver International 2021;41:1058–69. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14769.
- [5] Mittal C, Qureshi W, Singla S, Ahmad U, Huang MA. Pre-transplant left ventricular diastolic dysfunction is associated with post transplant acute graft rejection and graft failure. Dig Dis Sci 2014:59:674–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-013-2955-8.
- failure. Dig Dis Sci 2014;59:674–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-013-2955-8.
  [6] Spann A, Coe C, Ajayi T, Montgomery G, Shwetar M, Oje A, et al. Cirrhotic cardiomy-opathy: appraisal of the original and revised criteria in predicting posttransplant cardiac outcomes. Liver Transpl 2022;28:1321–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/lt.26460.
- [7] Cesari M, Chiara Frigo A, Piano S, Angeli P. Prevalence and prognostic value of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy as defined according to the proposed new classification. Ceh 2021;7:270-7. https://doi.org/10.5114/ceh.2021.108708.

- [8] Cesari M, Frigo AC, Tonon M, Angeli P. Cardiovascular predictors of death in patients with cirrhosis. Hepatology 2018;68:215–23. https://doi.org/10.1002/ hep.29520.
- [9] Izzy M, Soldatova A, Sun X, Angirekula M, Mara K, Lin G, et al. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy predicts posttransplant cardiovascular disease: revelations of the new diagnostic criteria. Liver Transpl 2021;27:876–86. https://doi.org/10.1002/ lt.26000.
- [10] Sonny A, Ibrahim A, Schuster A, Jaber WA, Cywinski JB. Impact and persistence of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy after liver transplantation. Clin Transplant 2016;30:986–93. https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.12778.
- [11] Mohammadi F, Ramachandran J, Woodman R, Muller K, John L, Chen J, et al. Impact of cardiac dysfunction on morbidity and mortality in liver transplant candidates. Clin Transplant 2022;36. https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.14682.
- [12] Ali SA, Arman HE, Shamseddeen H, Elsner N, Elsemesmani H, Johnson S, et al. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy: predictors of major adverse cardiac events and assessment of reversibility after liver transplant. J Cardiol 2023 S0914508723000801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jicc.2023.04.007.