ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Annals of Hepatology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.es/annalsofhepatology



Opinions

The treatment of diabetes in advanced liver disease: change of a paradigm



Maria Letizia Petroni^b, Lucia Brodosi^b, Giulio Marchesini^{a,b,*}

- a Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Alma Mater University, Bologna, Italy
- ^b IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliera di Bologna Sant'Orsola-Malpighi, Bologna, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Cirrhosis Treatment Type 2 diabetes

The treatment of type 2 diabetes (T2DM) has entered a new era in the past 15 years. After decades of stagnation, novel drugs, adding beneficial pleiotropic effects to their glucose lowering activity (Table 1), entered the market and totally replaced the old drugs in treatment diagrams proposed by international societies [1]. Also, the use of metformin as an initial treatment of hyperglycemia has been challenged following the evidence that gliflozins (sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors - SGLT-2Is) and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) may reduce the risk of heart and kidney disease progression, the most common outcomes in patients with long-standing diabetes. A large network meta-analysis comparing the effects of 5-year T2DM treatment with these new classes versus any other intervention in randomized controlled trials (764 RCT, a total of 421,364 patients) confirmed the superiority of these drugs [2]. The risks of all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, nonfatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke, kidney failure and hospital admission for heart failure were all reduced [2], with differences between GLP-1RAs and SGLT-2Is and in relation to a priori severity of cardiovascular risk. Changes in drug use are slowly being accepted in the community, despite clinical inertia and budget restriction [3].

These beneficial effects prompt to reconsider the treatment of T2DM also in patients with liver disease, a specific area of research where the risk of hepatotoxicity, drug-drug interaction, comorbidity and frailty commonly indicate the use of insulin as a preferred drug. The questions now are: 1) May we confidently use these drugs in the presence of advanced liver disease? 2) Do these beneficial effects also

Abbreviations: AGI, alfa-glucosidase inhibitor; AUC, area under the curve; Cmax, maximum concentration; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CV, cardiovascular; GIP, glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist; HR, hazard ratio; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SGLT-2I, sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus

E-mail address: giulio.marchesini@unibo.it (G. Marchesini).

occur in patients with T2DM and cirrhosis? 3) Is there any evidence that these drugs may also improve – or reduce the progression of – the underlying liver disease?

As to the first question, all SGLT-2Is share similar pharmacokinetic characteristics. Following oral administration and rapid absorption, they undergo extensive hepatic metabolism via glucuronidation to inactive metabolites, which are finally excreted by the kidney. Their systemic exposure ($C_{\rm max}$ and AUC_{∞}) increases with the severity of hepatic disease, classified according to Child-Pugh score [4], but no signs of hepatotoxicity have ever been reported. Nonetheless, very few data are available, and review articles suggest caution for use in patients with advanced liver disease [5] and even more in the presence of combined liver and renal failure. No dose adjustment is suggested for patients up to Child-Pugh B class [6].

Incretin-based therapies include GLP-1RAs and the dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP-4Is). Both classes are scarcely metabolized by the liver and are mostly excreted unchanged by the kidney [7], which regulates systemic exposure (with the notable exception of linagliptin). DPP-4Is are safe and do not induce hypoglycemia, but do not share the beneficial effects of GLP-1RAs on the cardiovascular and renal systems. Therefore, they are considered the second choice in the treatment algorithm. On the contrary, liraglutide and the long-acting weekly GLP-1RAs (exenatide LAR, dulaglutide and semaglutide) qualify as potential treatment also in the presence of liver disease [8], considering their safety and efficacy [9]. The only possible risk comes from the reported interaction of GLP-1RAs with betablocking agents for the prevention of recurrent bleeding [10], requiring further investigation.

As to the second question, there are no systematic data on cardiovascular and renal disease progression in specific cohorts with T2DM and liver disease, a group of patients largely identifiable as NASH-cirrhosis. The beneficial effects of GLP-1RAs and SGLT-2Is have been extensively reproduced in large cohorts of patients with T2DM, and most of them were expected to have non-alcoholic steatohepatitis

^{*} Corresponding author.

 Table 1

 Agents for diabetes treatment and their clinical effects. The last three classes have been added to the spectrum of available treatment in the past 15 years.

Agents	Favorable effects	Adverse effects
Metformin	Modest control of glucose levels	Rare abdominal discomfort
	Modest weight loss	 Dose tapering and suspension in the presence of CKD grade 4-5
	 Very low risk of hypoglycemia 	Risk of lactic acidosis
	Reduced risk of primary liver cancer	
Sulphonylureas and glinides	 Potent control of glucose levels 	Risk of hypoglycemia
	• Intra-class difference in terms of renal or hepatic metabolism	Increased CV risk
		Weight gain
		Low durability
Pioglitazone	Moderate control of glucose levels	Weight gain
	CV and cerebrovascular protection	Heart failure risk
	Very low risk of hypoglycemia	
	Reduced progression of NASH fibrosis	
AGIs	Modest post-prandial glucose control	Abdominal discomfort
		Low compliance
Insulin	Maximum control of glucose levels	Weight gain
		High risk of hypoglycemia
DDD 41	M 1	Low compliance and high burden with intensive treatment
DPP-4Is	Moderate control of glucose levels	Negligible adverse events
GLP-1RAs	 Potent control of glucose levels (valid alternative to insulin treatment) 	 Nausea and abdominal discomfort (relatively high discontinuation rate)
	Reduced CV and renal disease progression	Possible risk of weight loss-induced sarcopenia
	Important weight loss	Limited use in advanced CKD
	 Very low risk of hypoglycemia 	
SGLT-2Is	 Moderate control of glucose levels 	Polyuria causing low compliance
	 Reduced risk of CV and renal disease progression 	Risk of genital and urinary infections
	Prevention of heart failure	Low effectiveness in advanced CKD
	Modest weight loss	
	 Very low risk of hypoglycemia 	
	Long-term durability	

AGIs, alfa-glucosidase inhibitors; DPP-4Is, dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors; GLP-1RAs, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists; SGLT-2Is, sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors; CKD, chronic kidney disease, CV, cardiovascular; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.

(NASH) superimposed to T2DM. Old studies identified liver failure or bleeding, not cardiovascular events, as most common cause of death in cirrhosis with T2DM [11], but the present epidemics of metabolic liver disease significantly increased the cardiovascular risk in the general population with advanced liver disease [12 13]. Although liver disease was not systematically considered an exclusion criterion in cardiovascular and renal outcome trials [14–23], probably very few enrolled patients might be classified as NASH-cirrhosis. This is a very novel area of research that should be extensively investigated in the future.

The third question is far more intriguing. GLP-1RAs have been extensively investigated as treatment for NASH, but the results are inconclusive. Liraglutide and semaglutide reduced steatosis and NASH [24], but failed to improve fibrosis [25 26]. Similar effects on steatosis and liver biomarkers were observed with dulaglutide [27] and tirzepatide, the dual GIP (glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide)/GLP-1RA [28], and data on fibrosis are being investigated. SGLT-2Is similarly reduced steatosis [24], with no definite effect on fibrosis. For both classes, changes in steatosis and fibrosis biomarkers might stem from weight loss [29], favored by behavioral treatment [30]. Beneficial effects might also be achieved by high dose semaglutide and tirzepatide, causing 15% mean weight reduction [31 32], provided that the negative effects of weight loss-associated sarcopenia are adequately corrected [33]. A recent report compared the effectiveness of antidiabetic agents at reducing the risk of hepatic decompensation (hospitalization for ascites, bacterial peritonitis, hepatorenal syndrome, hepatic encephalopathy, bleeding varices) in T2DM with cirrhosis (60% NASH-cirrhosis), based on a large US commercial claims dataset [9]. After accurate propensity-score matching, patients receiving GLP-1RAs experienced lower rates of decompensation compared with DPP-4Is or sulphonylureas (HR 0.68, 95%CI 0.53-0.88; and HR 0.64, 95%CI 0.48-0.84), respectively), whereas no differences were observed between the cohorts treated with GLP-1RAs and SGLT-2Is. A role of SGLT-2Is in decompensated cirrhosis is also

being explored in adequately powered trials, following anecdotal reports of control of refractory ascites, hydrothorax and peripheral edema [34,35]. With the limits of possible bias inherent to observational studies, these drugs appear to be safe and effective for T2DM treatment in cirrhosis.

In conclusion, a large body of evidence is accumulating for a systematic use of novel antidiabetic drugs, namely GLP-1RAs and SGLT-2Is, also in subjects with cirrhosis, as well as in candidates for liver transplantation [36], a population at very high risk of cardiovascular and renal disease. These novel drugs might be effectively associated with metformin and/or pioglitazone. Metformin continuation in cirrhosis with T2DM, in the safe renal function area, improved survival [37] and also reduced the risk of primary liver cancer [38], whereas pioglitazone remains the only drug associated with reduced risk of NASH fibrosis [39].

Declaration of interest

None.

References

- [1] American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee, Draznin B, Aroda VR, Bakris G, Benson G, Brown FM, et al. 9. Pharmacologic approaches to glycemic treatment: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022. Diabetes Care 2022;45: S125–S43. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc22-S009.
- [2] Palmer SC, Tendal B, Mustafa RA, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter protein-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists for type 2 diabetes: systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 2021;372:m4573. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m4573.
- [3] Bonora E, Cataudella S, Marchesini G, et al. A view on the quality of diabetes care in Italy and the role of Diabetes Clinics from the 2018 ARNO Diabetes Observatory. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2020;30:1945–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. numecd.2020.08.018.
- [4] Scheen AJ. Pharmacokinetic and toxicological considerations for the treatment of diabetes in patients with liver disease. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2014;10:839–57. https://doi.org/10.1517/17425255.2014.902444.

- [5] Garcia-Compean D, Gonzalez-Gonzalez JA, Lavalle-Gonzalez FJ, Gonzalez-Moreno EI, Maldonado-Garza HJ, Villarreal-Perez JZ. The treatment of diabetes mellitus of patients with chronic liver disease. Ann Hepatol 2015;14:780–8. https://doi.org/ 10.5604/16652681.1171746.
- [6] Yamada H, Ohira H, Ikegami F, et al. Effects of Child-Pugh B cirrhosis on pharmacokinetics of tofogliflozin, a new sodium-glucose co-transporter (SGLT2) inhibitor. Drug Res (Stuttg) 2020;70:401–9. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1202-0818.
- [7] Scheen AJ. Pharmacokinetics and clinical use of incretin-based therapies in patients with chronic kidney disease and type 2 diabetes. Clin Pharmacokinet 2015;54:1–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-014-0198-2.
- [8] Morris SM, Armstrong MJ, Newsome PN. Safety and efficacy of glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists in patients with cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022;20:1220–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2021.09.023.
- [9] Simon TG, Patorno E, Schneeweiss S. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and hepatic decompensation events in patients with cirrhosis and diabetes. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022;20 1382-93 e19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2021.07.010.
- [10] Vukotic R, Raimondi F, Brodosi L, et al. The effect of liraglutide on beta-blockade for preventing variceal bleeding: a case series. Ann Intern Med 2020;173:404–5. https://doi.org/10.7326/L20-0041.
- [11] Bianchi G, Marchesini G, Zoli M, Bugianesi E, Fabbri A, Pisi E. Prognostic significance of diabetes in patients with cirrhosis. Hepatology 1994;20:119–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/0270-9139(94)90143-0.
- [12] An J, Shim JH, Kim SO, et al. Prevalence and prediction of coronary artery disease in patients with liver cirrhosis: a registry-based matched case-control study. Circulation 2014;130:1353–62. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.009278.
- [13] Petroni ML, Brodosi L, Bugianesi E, Marchesini G. Management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. BMJ 2021;372:m4747. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m4747.
- [14] Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1834–44. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa1607141.
- [15] Husain M, Birkenfeld AL, Donsmark M, et al. Oral semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2019;381:841–51. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1901118.
- [16] Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. Liraglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016;375:311–22. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa1603827.
- [17] Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, et al. Dulaglutide and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: an exploratory analysis of the REWIND randomised, placebocontrolled trial. Lancet 2019;394:131–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19) 31150-X.
- [18] Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, et al. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (REWIND): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2019;394:121–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31149-3.
- [19] Mann JFE, Orsted DD, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. Liraglutide and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2017;377:839–48. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJ-Moa1616011.
- [20] Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, et al. Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with empagliflozin in heart failure. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1413–24. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa2022190.
- [21] Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, et al. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2015;373:2117–28. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMoa1504720.
- [22] Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, et al. Dapagliflozin and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2019;380:347–57. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1812389.

- [23] Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, et al. Canagliflozin and cardiovascular and renal events in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2017;377:644–57. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NFIMoa1611925
- [24] Mantovani A, Byrne CD, Targher G. Efficacy of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor agonists, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists, or sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors for treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022;7:367–78. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S2468-1253(21)00261-2.
- [25] Newsome PN, Buchholtz K, Cusi K, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of subcutaneous semaglutide in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. N Engl J Med 2020;384:1113– 24. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2028395.
- [26] Armstrong MJ, Gaunt P, Aithal GP, et al. Liraglutide safety and efficacy in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (LEAN): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2 study. Lancet 2016;387:679–90. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00803-X.
- [27] Kuchay MS, Krishan S, Mishra SK, et al. Effect of dulaglutide on liver fat in patients with type 2 diabetes and NAFLD: randomised controlled trial (D-LIFT trial). Diabetologia 2020;63:2434-45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-020-05265-7.
- [28] Hartman ML, Sanyal AJ, Loomba R, et al. Effects of novel dual GIP and GLP-1 receptor agonist tirzepatide on biomarkers of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2020;43:1352–5. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc19-1892.
- [29] Colosimo S, Ravaioli F, Petroni ML, et al. Effects of antidiabetic agents on steatosis and fibrosis biomarkers in type 2 diabetes: A real-world data analysis. Liver Int 2021;41:731–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14799.
- [30] Petroni ML, Montesi L, Colosimo S, Caletti MT, Mazzotti A, Marchesini G. Combination of GLP-1 receptor agonists and behavioural treatment in type 2 diabetes elicits synergistic effects on body weight: a retrospective cohort study. Endocrinol Diab Metab 2019;2:e00082. https://doi.org/10.1002/edm2.82.
- [31] Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Calanna S, et al. Once-weekly semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity. N Engl J Med 2021;384:989–1002. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa2032183.
- [32] Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, et al. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity. N Engl J Med 2022;387:205–16. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2206038.
- [33] McCarthy D, Berg A. Weight loss strategies and the risk of skeletal muscle mass loss. Nutrients 2021;13. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13072473.
- [34] Gao Y, Wei L, Zhang DD, Chen Y, Hou B. SGLT2 inhibitors: a new dawn for recurrent/refractory cirrhotic ascites. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2021;9:795–7. https://doi.org/10.14218/ICTH.2021.00418.
- [35] Patoulias D, Katsimardou A, Papadopoulos C, Doumas M. Letter to the Editor: Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors ameliorate ascites and peripheral edema in patients with cirrhosis and diabetes. Hepatology 2021;73:866. https:// doi.org/10.1002/hep.31398.
- [36] Brodosi L, Petta S, Petroni ML, Marchesini G, Morelli MC. Management of diabetes in candidates for liver transplantation and in transplant recipients. Transplantation 2021;106:462–78. https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.000000000003867.
- [37] Zhang X, Harmsen WS, Mettler TA, et al. Continuation of metformin use after a diagnosis of cirrhosis significantly improves survival of patients with diabetes. Hepatology 2014;60:2008–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.27199.
- [38] Zhang ZJ, Zheng ZJ, Shi R, Su Q, Jiang Q, Kip KE. Metformin for liver cancer prevention in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012;97:2347–53. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2012-1267.
- [39] Musso G, Cassader M, Paschetta E, Gambino R. Pioglitazone for advanced fibrosis in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: new evidence, new challenges. Hepatology 2017;65:1058–61. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.28960.