Annals of Hepatology

CONCISE REVIEW

July-August, Vol. 13 No. 4, 2014: 316-326

Predicting outcome in primary biliary cirrhosis

Willem J. Lammers,* Kris V. Kowdley,** Henk R. van Buuren*

* Dept. of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

** Liver Center of Excellence, Digestive Disease Institute, Virginia Mason Medical Center, Seattle, WA, USA.

ABSTRACT

Primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) is a slowly progressive autoimmune liver disease that may ultimately result in liver failure and premature death. Predicting outcome is of key importance in clinical management and an essential requirement for patients counselling and timing of diagnostic and therapeutic interventions. The following factors are associated with progressive disease and worse outcome: young age at diagnosis, male gender, histological presence of cirrhosis, accelerated marked ductopenia in relation to the amount of fibrosis, high serum bilirubin, low serum albumin levels, high serum alkaline phosphatase levels, esophageal varices, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and lack of biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA). The prognostic significance of symptoms at diagnosis is uncertain. UDCA therapy and liver transplantation have a significant beneficial effect on the outcome of the disease. The Mayo risk score in PBC can be used for estimating individual prognosis. The Newcastle Varices in PBC Score may be a useful clinical tool to predict the risk for development of esophageal varices. Male gender, cirrhosis and non-response to UDCA therapy in particular, are risk factors for development of HCC.

Key words. Prognostic factors. Prediction models. Liver transplant-free survival. Esophageal varices. Hepatocellular carcinoma.

INTRODUCTION

Primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) is an autoimmune liver disease characterized by chronic nonsuppurative destructive cholangitis, typically affecting middle-aged women. The disease is relatively rare with reported incidence rates varying from 0.33 to 5.8 per 100,000 persons/year and prevalence rates ranging from 1.91 to 40.2 per 100,000 persons. Fatigue and pruritus are the most prevalent symptoms and have a major impact on quality of life, particularly in young patients. The only accepted medical treatment is ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), while liver transplantation is a lifesaving option in persons who have progressed to end-stage disease. 6,7

Correspondence and reprint request: Willem J. Lammers, M.D. Erasmus University Medical Center Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology 's-Gravendijkwal 230, Room Ca-413. 3015 CE Rotterdam, the Netherlands Tel.: +31 10 703-3040 Fax: +31 10 703-2908 E-mail: w.lammers@erasmusmc.nl

Manuscript received: May 19, 2014. Manuscript accepted: May 19, 2014.

PROGNOSIS

PBC usually has a slowly progressive course and contrary to the name, generally considered a classical misnomer, cirrhosis is only manifest in the late stages of the disease. The life expectancy of affected patients is worse compared with the general population, but on an individual basis the course of the disease and the prognosis vary greatly. Currently, patients are more likely to be asymptomatic and diagnosed at earlier stages of the disease.⁸⁻¹⁰ Table 1 summarizes studies published in the last fifteen years reporting 5- and 10- year liver transplant-free survival rates based on Kaplan Meier estimates. The reported differences in outcome are probably attributable to differences in study populations and variability with respect to duration and dose of treatment with UDCA.

The ability to reliably predict outcome in patients with PBC is critically important in clinical management and an essential requirement for patient counselling and timing of diagnostic procedures and therapeutic interventions. The aim of this review is to examine established prognostic factors and available tools for estimating prognosis in individuals

Table 1. Reported prognosis in primary biliary cirrhosis.

Group ^{ref}	Year	N	UDCA treatment Yes/no	Cohort characterization	5-year transplant- free survival	10-year transplant- free survival
Van Hoogstraten, et al.81	1999	203	Yes	Multicenter	79%	NA
Poupon, et al. ⁶¹	1999	225	Yes	Multicenter	80% (7-year)	78%
Papatheodoridis, et al.82	2002	86	Yes and no	Single center	80%	38%
Chan, et al. ⁸³	2005	69 140	Yes No	Single center	77% 78%	NA NA
Corpechot, et al. ²⁸	2005	262	Yes	Multicenter	93%	84%
Ter Borg, et al. ²⁹	2006	279	Yes	Multicenter	87%	71%
Parés, et al. ⁸⁴	2006	192	Yes	Single center	92% (7-year)	77%
Corpechot, et al.85	2008	292	Yes	Single center	94%	85%*
Myers, et al. ⁸⁶	2009	137	Yes and no	Population-based administrative data	80%	68%
Kuiper, et al. ⁷⁶	2009	375	Yes	Multicenter	90%	78%
Floreani, et al.9	2011	327	Yes	Single center	NA	79%*
Zhao, et al. ⁸⁷	2011	147	NA (Single center Chinese AMA positive populat	79%* ion	NA
Zhang, et al. ⁸⁸	2013	187	Yes	Single center	86%	63%**
Papastergiou, et al. ⁸⁹	2013	86 129	Yes No	Single center	94% 92%	94%** 80%**
Lammers, et al. ⁵¹	2013	3,895	Yes and no	Multicenter	89%	77%

^{*} Cumulative probability of survival. ** Liver transplant-free survival and survival free of complications of cirrhosis.

with PBC, including predictive scoring models for two of the most serious clinical complications, namely esophageal variceal bleeding and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

FACTORS DETERMINING PROGNOSIS

Histological stage

Severity of disease in PBC is based on the Scheuer¹⁴ and Ludwig¹ histologic scoring systems, both recognizing 4 stages. Early histological stages are associated with favourable prognosis. The last phase, or cirrhotic phase, is irreversible and classically only this stage is associated with an increased risk of liver decompensation and development of HCC.^{6,11} Thus, liver histology is a strong prognostic factor.

A particular variant form of PBC, the premature ductopenic variant, is characterized by rapid, excessive bile duct loss in relation to the amount of fibrosis. In individuals with this subtype, severe cholestasis with progressive jaundice and marked hypercholesterolemia may require liver transplantation well before the development of cirrhosis. 12

Histological progression of PBC was assessed in patients originally included in a clinical trial of D-penicillamine. Since this agent does not delay histological progression, this study is considered as representative of histological progression in treatment-naïve PBC patients. Approximately 80% of patients had histological progression of at least one stage during a median follow-up of 3 years, and 31% with stage I disease progressed to cirrhosis within 4 years. Another study followed-up 183 patients treated with UDCA and reported a 4% incidence of cirrhosis at 5 years in patients with stage I disease, suggesting that UDCA delays histological progression.

Several other histologic features have been described as important prognostic parameters of worse outcome in PBC, such as central and periportal cholestasis, ^{11,16} periportal cell necrosis and piecemeal necrosis, ^{15,16} interface hepatitis, ¹⁵ and ductopenia. ¹⁷

Many of these histological features are not systematically included in the Ludwig and Scheuer histological scoring systems; in fact, an expert panel on PBC, working under the auspices of the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease (AASLD), agreed that histology should neither be included in prognostic scoring models nor used as a primary endpoint in clinical trials. A recently proposed histologic scoring system taking into account several of the histological features discussed awaits further validation. ¹⁹

Efficacy of treatment

Treatment options in PBC are limited. Liver transplantation is the only curative treatment for PBC with excellent survival rates,²⁰ but is an option only for patients with end-stage liver disease. UDCA is the only approved treatment for PBC,6,7 although several metaanalyses have failed to show a beneficial effect of UDCA in PBC.²¹⁻²³ However, only a few of the included studies lasted longer than 24 months, a very short period to demonstrate effects on transplant-free survival, and most studies were clearly underpowered. In contrast, a pooled analysis of individual patient data from the 3 largest placebo-controlled double-blind studies which included longer follow-up data from one center, showed an improvement in survival with UDCA after four years of treatment.²⁴ Another metaanalysis showed that the use of UDCA in studies that incorporated placebo control, long-term follow-up (more than 2 years) or larger numbers of patients (more than 100 patients) were associated with both improved serum liver biochemical tests and reduced incidence of liver transplantation or death.²⁵

Several studies extending the follow-up of earlier published randomized, placebo-controlled UDCA trials showed that UDCA not only improves some histological features, but can delay histological progression. Two separate studies from the U.S. and France demonstrated a delay in histological progression after a minimum of four years of UDCA treatment. ^{17,26} A combined analysis, which also used data from a Canadian and Spanish trial, showed that histologic progression was delayed after 2 years treatment, but that UDCA treatment was not associated with regression of fibrosis. ²⁷

Several studies have shown that UDCA-treated patients with early stage disease have survival rates comparable with a standardized general population. ^{28,29} For UDCA-treated patients with advanced disease survival was diminished compared with an age- and sex-matched controlled population.

In summary, there is strong evidence to support the use of UDCA to delay the progression of PBC and currently it remains the only licensed medical therapy.

Gender and age at time of diagnosis

Data on the prognostic significance of factors such as gender or age are scare. A recent landmark study from the UK PBC consortium clearly showed the impact of important disease subgroups in a study cohort including 2,353 PBC patients.⁴ Importantly, male patients were less likely to respond to UDCA treatment and were at higher risk of worse outcome. Another important finding was an inverse relationship between age and likelihood to respond to UDCA. Thus, gender and age appear important in predicting prognosis in PBC.

Presence of symptoms at time of diagnosis

Risk stratification according to the presence of symptoms at time of diagnosis has been the subject of many studies over the past decades. 16,30-35 Of note, most studies did not use validated symptom assessment measures, which is essential for assessing the impact of subjective parameters, such as fatigue or pruritus. Therefore interpretation of such studies may be difficult.

Most studies have reported that asymptomatic patients have earlier histologic stage of disease compared with symptomatic patients, in addition to better liver enzyme profiles and lower bilirubin and higher albumin levels. Several studies showed that a substantial proportion of asymptomatic patients will develop symptoms over time. Alaka The vast majority (95%) of asymptomatic patients followed for up to 20 years will become symptomatic. Once symptoms appear, survival of initially asymptomatic patients is comparable with survival of patients who initially presented with symptoms. Therefore asymptomatic PBC patients rather appear to represent an earlier stage of the disease than a separate clinical entity.

Serological prognostic factors

Antimitochondrial antibodies (AMA) are highly specific for PBC and a cornerstone for establishment of the diagnosis. Up to 95% of PBC patients have positive AMA titers, ³⁹ and patients having positive AMA in combination with normal serum liver biochemical tests and without symptoms are likely to develop PBC over time. ³⁷ However, neither AMA

status nor AMA titer has been shown to be correlated with prognosis. ^{40,41} AMA subtypes were found to be associated with a progressive course in some studies, ⁴² but this was not confirmed by others. ^{43,44}

Approximately half of PBC patients also have anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA) detectable in serum. In particular, ANA against anti-Sp100 and antigp210 antigens are highly specific for PBC and therefore useful to establish the diagnosis of PBC in AMA-negative patients. ⁴⁵ It has been suggested that patients with initially positive anti-gp210 have more active disease and are more likely to develop liver failure. ^{46,47}

Biochemical prognostic factors

From a diagnostic point of view increased serum alkaline phosphatase values (ALP) with or without increased gamma-glutamyltranspeptidase (γ -GT) are important, and both are considered as early markers of cholestasis in contrast to elevated serum total bilirubin values, which are clearly suggestive of more advanced disease. ⁴⁸

It has been known for several decades that serum bilirubin is one of the most powerful predictors of prognosis in PBC and this variable has been incorporated in most scoring and prediction models. A classical study demonstrated a two-phase pattern of bilirubin during the course of the disease;⁴⁹ a first phase in which serum bilirubin remains stable for many years and a second phase of rapidly increasing values, the so called 'acceleration phase'. Repeated measurements of serum bilirubin > 2.0mg/dL was a sign of late stage disease and preceded death within a few years.⁴⁹ A French study showed that persistent abnormal bilirubin levels were predictive for extensive fibrosis, with a positive predictive value of

90%.¹⁵ In patients in whom serum bilirubin normalizes upon treatment with UDCA, transplant-free survival was found to be comparable with that in placebo-treated patients with initial normal serum bilirubin levels.⁵⁰ The same applied to survival of patients without normalization of bilirubin and placebo-using patients with abnormal bilirubin values at baseline. In other words, serum bilirubin values retain prognostic utility irrespective of treatment, underlining the utility of serum bilirubin as a useful surrogate endpoint of outcome.

Albumin is regarded as another important and powerful biochemical predictor of liver decompensation. Low serum albumin and high bilirubin values were shown to be independent predictors of the development of cirrhosis¹⁵ and mortality.²⁹ Recently, a global study including almost 5,000 subjects with PBC not only confirmed the strong prognostic importance of serum bilirubin, but also demonstrated that serum ALP values have significant independent and additional prognostic value in prediction of transplant-free survival.⁵¹

Angulo and colleagues were the first to report on the prognostic impact of changes in ALP values upon treatment with UDCA, showing that ALP values $\geq 2x$ upper limit of normal (ULN) after 6 months of treatment predicted future treatment failure. 52 Several recent studies have also clearly demonstrated that quantitative decreases in bilirubin, albumin, ALP, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and/or $\gamma\text{-GT}$ levels 6 months, 1 year or 2 years UDCA treatment, are predictive for improved transplant-free survival (Table 2). Responders according to these criteria were likely to have survival rates comparable with a general population. These biochemical response criteria are useful and now generally accepted tools for stratification pur-

Table 2. Biochemical response criteria for risk stratification in UDCA treated patients.

Criteria ^{ref}	Definition of biochemical response	Evaluation time point	N	
Mayo criterion, 1999 ⁵²	ALP < 2.0xULN	6 months	180	
Barcelona criterion, 2006 ⁸⁴	> 40% decrease of ALP or normalization	1 year	192	
Paris-1 criterion, 2008 ⁸⁵	ALP < 3.0xULN, AST < 2.0xULN and bilirubin \leq 1mg/dL	1 year	292	
Rotterdam criterion, 2009 ⁷⁶	Normalization of abnormal bilirubin and/or albumin	1 year	375	
Toronto criterion, 2010 ^{90,91}	ALP ≤ 1.67xULN	2 years	69	
Paris-2 criterion,* 2011 ⁹²	ALP \leq 1.5xULN, AST \leq 1.5xULN and bilirubin \leq 1mg/dL	1 year	165	
Ehim criterion,** 2011 ^{93,94}	\geq 70% decrease of γ -GT	6 months	138	
Momah/Lindor criterion, 2011 ⁹⁵	$ALP \leq 1.67 x ULN \ and \ bilirubin \leq 1 mg/dL$	1 year	73	

^{*} Only early PBC patients, ** Japanese population.

poses and for identifying patients in need of additional treatment.

PREDICTION MODELS OF TRANSPLANT-FREE SURVIVAL

Mathematical prediction models, either time-fixed or time-dependent, have been developed to predict the probability of survival using biochemical, clinical and/or histological features. Serum bilirubin and age are the main components of almost all proposed models. 11,16,53-56

Roll, *et al.* showed that age at time of diagnosis, presence of hepatomegaly and increased serum bilirubin were all independently associated with survival. Notably, portal fibrosis was an independent predictor of prolonged survival in this study. Other studies identified (log)bilirubin, 22,56.57 variceal bleeding albumin, age and ascites a independent predictors of outcome.

Bonsel, *et al.* constructed a prognostic model incorporating nine variables: log(bilirubin), age, albumin, HBsAg, neurological complications, varices, ascites, clinical icterus and Quick-time prolongation.⁵⁴

Two well defined and cross-validated models, the European Model and the Mayo risk score, are summarized in table 3. The European model was published in 1985 by Christensen, *et al.* based on data from 248 patients, originally included in an azathioprine placebo-controlled trial. ¹¹ This time-fixed model included age at time of diagnosis, bilirubin,

albumin, cirrhosis, central cholestasis and usage of azathioprine at baseline. In 1993 this group published two time-dependent models; one included only clinical and biochemical variables (bilirubin, ascites, albumin, age and gastrointestinal bleeding) and one extended version, included additionally IgM and two histological variables (central cholestasis and cirrhosis).

The Mayo risk score is the most frequently used model in PBC to predict the short-term survival probability. This model was published in 1989 and cross-validated in independent cohorts. 53,58 The following clinical and biochemical variables were included: age of the patient, serum bilirubin, serum albumin, prothrombin time (PT) and severity of edema. A great advantage of this model was that liver histology was not required to calculate the risk score. The original model was based on baseline characteristics and less useful to predict survival over time. An adapted Mayo model was proposed in 1994 using the same variables (INR instead of PT) to predict short-term (< 2 years) survival or time to transplantation at any time point during follow-up.⁵⁹

Data on the predictive value of the Mayo risk score after the introduction of UDCA treatment is conflicting. Kilmurry, *et al.* showed that in a group of 222 patients originally included in an UDCA trial, the Mayo risk score remained a useful tool for prediction of survival when calculations are repeated after 6 months treatment.⁶⁰ Later studies suggested

Table 3. Important prediction models in primary biliary cirrhosis.

Score	Prognostic score					
European model, ¹¹ 1985	$R = 2.51*log_{10} \text{ (bilirubin [}\mu\text{mol/L])} + 0.0069*exp^{(age [}years]-20)/10)} + 0.88*(cirrhosis = 1) + 0.05*(albumin [g/L]) + 0.68*(central cholestasis = 1) + 0.52*(azathioprine = 0)$					
Mayo model, ⁵³ 1989	$ R = 0.039*(age [years]) + 0.871*log_e(bilirubin [mg/dL]) + 2.38*log_e (prothrombin time [sec]) + 0.859*(edema) - 2.53*log_e(albumin [g/dL]) $					
European model, ⁵⁵ 1993	R = $2.53*log_{10}$ (bilirubin [µmol/L] - 1.53) + $1.39*(ascites)$ - $0.085*(albumin [g/L] - 34.3) + 0.040*(age [years] - 55) + 0.65*(gastro intestinal bleeding)$					
Mayo model, ⁵⁹ 1994	$R = 0.051*(age [years]) + 1.209*log_e(bilirubin [mg/dL]) + 2.754*log_e (prothrombin time [sec]) + 0.675*(edema) - 3.304*log_e(albumin [g/dL])$					
Mayo model, ⁶³ 2000	0	1	2	3		
Age (yr) Bilirubin (mg/dL) Albumin (g/dL) Prothrombin time (s) Edema	< 38 < 1 > 4.1 Absent	38-62 1-1.7 2.8-4.1 Normal Present	≥ 63 1.7-6.4 < 2.8 Prolonged	> 6.4		
MELD score, ⁶⁶ 2001	R = 3.8*log _e (bilirul	oin [mg/dL]) + 11.2*lo	g _e (INR) + 9.6*log _e (creati	nine [mg/dL])		

that the Mayo risk score overestimated the risk of death when applied before the start of treatment.^{29,61,62} In a general sense the Mayo risk score is a useful tool to stratify patients for survival and possibly for clinical trials.

A simplified model of the Mayo risk score was proposed by Kim, *et al.*,⁶³ and web based applications are available for the Mayo risk score, which facilitate its usage in clinical practice.

In addition, more general prediction liver scores are used in PBC, such as the Model of End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score and the Child-Turcotte-Pugh-score.^{64,65} The MELD score is based on serum

bilirubin, serum creatinine and INR. This score was originally proposed as a prognostic marker for the outcome after placement of a transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPSS),⁶⁶ and currently used for liver organ allocation. We believe that the MELD score does not perform well in PBC and may result in excessive waiting time.

PREDICTION OF PORTAL HYPERTENSION AND ESOPHAGEAL VARICES

Esophageal varices may develop in the cirrhotic and pre-cirrhotic stages of PBC. ^{68,69} Survival of PBC

Table 4. Risk factors for development of hepatocellular carcinoma.

Group ^{ref} , year	Study period	Type of study	N	HCC	Risk factors
Jones, ⁷³ 1997	1975-1995	Population- based follow-up cohort study	667	16	Male sex, presence of cirrhosis.
Floreani, ⁷⁴ 1999	1973-1996	Single center follow-up cohort study	175	4	History of cigarette smoking and HCV-RNA positivity.
Caballería, ⁹⁶ 2001	1977-1996	Single center follow-up cohort study	140	5	Advanced disease
Shibuya, ⁷¹ 2002	1980-1998	Multicenter prospective follow-up cohort	396	14	Age at time of diagnosis, male sex, history of blood transfusion.
Suzuki, ⁷² 2007	1976-2002	Case- control study	60 (controls)	17	Older age, male sex, blood transfusion, signs of portal hypertension or presence of cirrhosis.
Silveira, ⁸⁰ 2008	1976-2007	Single center	NA	36	Age > 70 years, blood transfusion, male gender, portal hypertension.
Deutsch, ⁷⁵ 2008	1987-2005	Single center prospective cohort study	212	8	Presence of cirrhosis and age.
Cavazza, ⁹⁷ 2009	-	Two-center follow-up cohort	716 study	24	Advanced histological stage.
Kuiper, ⁷⁸ 2010	1990-2007	Multicenter prospective cohort study	375	9	Biochemical non-response to UDCA.
Harada, ⁷⁷ 2013	1980-2009	National Survey of PBC patients (patient without capitaliza		71	Histological stage in females no independent risk factors in males.
Harada, ⁷⁷ 2013	2011	National Survey of PBC patients with HCC	NA	178	Histological stage in females no independent risk factors in males.
Trivedi, ⁷⁹ 2013	1959-2012	Multicenter follow-up cohort study	4,845	123	Biochemical non-response

patients who develop esophageal varices has been reported to be poor. ^{67,68} Patanwala, *et al.* reported a 5-year survival rate of 63% and 91% for patients with and without esophageal varices, respectively. The poor prognosis associated with esophageal varices may partly reflect the advanced stage of the disease in the majority of cases who develop varices, but may also be related to mortality associated with variceal bleeding. Therefore tools for timely diagnosis of varices and institution of prophylactic treatment are of obvious clinical importance.

A Mayo risk score ≥ 4.0 was seen in 93% of patients who developed esophageal varices, ⁵² while another study identified a Mayo risk score ≥ 4.5 together with a platelet count of < 140.000/mm as independent risk factors for development of esophageal varices. ⁶⁹ The current AASLD guideline on PBC recommends surveillance for esophageal varices of patients with a platelet count of $< 140.000/\text{mm}^3$ or Mayo risk score > 4.1.6

Recently the Newcastle Varices in PBC Score was proposed to predict esophageal varices, ⁶⁸ based on a retrospective study including 330 PBC patients. This score was validated externally in two independent cohorts. Low albumin, low platelet count, abnormal ALP values and splenomegaly were independent predictors of varices development. An adapted score was proposed excluding splenomegaly to improve the usability in clinical practice and an online calculator is available (http://www.uk-pbc.com/resources/uk-pbc-varice-prediction-tool.html),

PREDICTION OF HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA (HCC)

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrated a pooled relative risk of the development of HCC of 18.80 (95% CI, 10-81-26.79) for PBC patients compared with a general population, which makes HCC the most prevalent cancer in PBC.⁷⁰ The outcome of patients with HCC is poor.

HCC is less frequently seen in patients who initially present with early stage disease. ^{71,72} Jones, *et al.* followed-up 667 patients with early (stage I or II) and late (stage III or IV) stage disease, and both groups over the same period of time. All 16 HCC cases in this study were found in patients with advanced disease (stage III or IV) and not in patients with early disease (stage I or II). ⁷³ A similar finding was reported by Floreani, *et al.* ⁷⁴ Additional Greek and Dutch studies clearly showed that despite the differences in disease stages at baseline, all HCC cases had advanced disease at time of HCC diagno-

sis. 75,76 However, a study from Japan of 178 HCC cases, described HCC cases among all four histological stages, 77 especially in males. Histological stage at time of PBC diagnosis was independently associated with development of HCC for females, but not for males. These findings suggest that once cirrhosis occurs, risk of HCC development increases for females, but males may be at risk at any histological stage of disease. The Japanese study also showed a 10-year incidence of HCC for males versus females of 6.5% versus 2.0% (P < 0.0001). Several other studies also have demonstrated that in general males are more likely to develop HCC than females. $^{71-73}$ Estrogens are considered as having possibly protective effect on HCC development.

Male gender and advanced disease are the most frequently reported risk factors for HCC in PBC (Table 4). Japanese researchers proposed a highly accurate prediction model (area under the curve of 0.95) to predict development of HCC. Patients with older age, male sex, history of blood transfusion and any signs of portal hypertension or cirrhosis were more likely to develop HCC.72 These intriguing results await confirmation by other studies. Recently, absence of biochemical response in UDCA-treated PBC patients was proposed as another important risk factor for HCC.78 A large international cohort study involving 4845 PBC patients and 123 HCC cases confirmed these findings and indicated that biochemical non-response to UDCA therapy is the strongest predictive risk factor for development of HCC.⁷⁹

Surveillance strategies resulting in early diagnosis of HCC may improve outcome. Real Clearly, routine screening of all PBC patients on a regular basis is not practical. The current AASLD PBC guideline suggests that surveillance of HCC in PBC should be performed in cirrhotic patients and older men. Possibly, the recently reported overwhelming prognostic importance of biochemical response to UDCA may prompt future modifications of present guidelines.

ABBREVIATIONS

- **AMA:** antimitochondrial antibody.
- ANA: anti-nuclear antibodies
- **AST:** aspartate aminotransferase
- Gamma-GT: gamma-glutamyltranspeptidase.
- HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma.
- MELD: Model of End-Stage Liver Disease.
- **PBC:** primary biliary cirrhosis.
- **PT:** prothrombin time.
- UDCA: ursodeoxycholic acid.
- **ULN:** upper limit of normal.

GRANTS AND FINANCIAL SUPPORT

None.

REFERENCES

- Ludwig J, Dickson ER, McDonald GS. Staging of chronic nonsuppurative destructive cholangitis (syndrome of primary biliary cirrhosis). Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histol 1978; 379: 103-12.
- Kaplan MM, Gershwin ME. Primary biliary cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 2005; 353: 1261-73.
- Boonstra K, Beuers U, Ponsioen CY. Epidemiology of primary sclerosing cholangitis and primary biliary cirrhosis: a systematic review. J Hepatol 2012; 56: 1181-8.
- Carbone M, Mells GF, Pells G, Dawwas MF, Newton JL, Heneghan MA, Neuberger JM, et al. Sex and age are determinants of the clinical phenotype of primary biliary cirrhosis and response to ursodeoxycholic acid. Gastroenterology 2013; 144: 560-9.
- Mells GF, Pells G, Newton JL, Bathgate AJ, Burroughs AK, Heneghan MA, Neuberger JM, et al. Impact of primary biliary cirrhosis on perceived quality of life: the UK-PBC national study. Hepatology 2013; 58: 273-83.
- Lindor KD, Gershwin ME, Poupon R, Kaplan M, Bergasa NV, Heathcote EJ, American Association for Study of Liver Disease. Primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2009; 50: 291-308.
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: management of cholestatic liver diseases. J Hepatol 2009; 51: 237-67.
- Prince MI, Chetwynd A, Craig WL, Metcalf JV, James OF. Asymptomatic primary biliary cirrhosis: clinical features, prognosis, and symptom progression in a large population based cohort. Gut 2004; 53: 865-70.
- Floreani A, Caroli D, Variola A, Rizzotto ER, Antoniazzi S, Chiaramonte M, Cazzagon N, et al. A 35-year followup of a large cohort of patients with primary biliary cirrhosis seen at a single centre. Liver Int 2011; 31: 361-8.
- Prince MI, James OF. The epidemiology of primary biliary cirrhosis. Clin Liver Dis 2003; 7: 795-819.
- Christensen E, Neuberger J, Crowe J, Altman DG, Popper H, Portmann B, Doniach D, et al. Beneficial effect of azathioprine and prediction of prognosis in primary biliary cirrhosis. Final results of an international trial. *Gastroen*terology 1985; 89: 1084-91.
- 12. Vleggaar FP, van Buuren HR, Zondervan PE, ten Kate FJ, Hop WC, Dutch Multicentre PBC Study Group. Jaundice in non-cirrhotic primary biliary cirrhosis: the premature ductopenic variant. *Gut* 2001; 49: 276-81.
- Locke GR, 3rd, Therneau TM, Ludwig J, Dickson ER, Lindor KD. Time course of histological progression in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 1996; 23: 52-6.
- Gong Y, Frederiksen SL, Gluud C. D-penicillamine for primary biliary cirrhosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004: CD004789.
- 15. Corpechot C, Carrat F, Poupon R, Poupon RE. Primary biliary cirrhosis: incidence and predictive factors of cirrhosis development in ursodiol-treated patients. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 122: 652-8.
- Roll J, Boyer JL, Barry D, Klatskin G. The prognostic importance of clinical and histologic features in asymptomatic and symptomatic primary biliary cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 1983; 308: 1-7.

- 17. Corpechot C, Carrat F, Bonnand AM, Poupon RE, Poupon R. The effect of ursodeoxycholic acid therapy on liver fibrosis progression in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2000; 32: 1196-9.
- 18. Silveira MG, Brunt EM, Heathcote J, Gores GJ, Lindor KD, Mayo MJ. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases endpoints conference: design and endpoints for clinical trials in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2010; 52: 349-59.
- 19. Hiramatsu K, Aoyama H, Zen Y, Aishima S, Kitagawa S, Nakanuma Y. Proposal of a new staging and grading system of the liver for primary biliary cirrhosis. *Histopathology* 2006; 49: 466-78.
- 20. Liermann Garcia RF, Evangelista Garcia C, McMaster P, Neuberger J. Transplantation for primary biliary cirrhosis: retrospective analysis of 400 patients in a single center. *Hepatology* 2001; 33: 22-7.
- 21. Goulis J, Leandro G, Burroughs AK. Randomised controlled trials of ursodeoxycholic-acid therapy for primary biliary cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. *Lancet* 1999; 354: 1053-60.
- 22. Rudic JS, Poropat G, Krstic MN, Bjelakovic G, Gluud C. Ursodeoxycholic acid for primary biliary cirrhosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 12: CD000551.
- 23. Gong Y, Huang Z, Christensen E, Gluud C. Ursodeoxycholic acid for patients with primary biliary cirrhosis: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials using Bayesian approach as sensitivity analyses. Am J Gastroenterol 2007; 102: 1799-807.
- 24. Poupon RE, Lindor KD, Cauch-Dudek K, Dickson ER, Poupon R, Heathcote EJ. Combined analysis of randomized controlled trials of ursodeoxycholic acid in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 1997; 113: 884-90.
- 25. Shi J, Wu C, Lin Y, Chen YX, Zhu L, Xie WF. Long-term effects of mid-dose ursodeoxycholic acid in primary biliary cirrhosis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; 101: 1529-38.
- Angulo P, Batts KP, Therneau TM, Jorgensen RA, Dickson ER, Lindor KD. Long-term ursodeoxycholic acid delays histological progression in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepato*logy 1999; 29: 644-7.
- 27. Poupon RE, Lindor KD, Pares A, Chazouilleres O, Poupon R, Heathcote EJ. Combined analysis of the effect of treatment with ursodeoxycholic acid on histologic progression in primary biliary cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2003; 39: 12-6.
- 28. Corpechot C, Carrat F, Bahr A, Chretien Y, Poupon RE, Poupon R. The effect of ursodeoxycholic acid therapy on the natural course of primary biliary cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 2005; 128: 297-303.
- 29. ter Borg PC, Schalm SW, Hansen BE, van Buuren HR, Dutch PBCSG. Prognosis of ursodeoxycholic Acid-treated patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Results of a 10-yr cohort study involving 297 patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; 101: 2044-50.
- 30. Nyberg A, Loof L. Primary biliary cirrhosis: clinical features and outcome, with special reference to asymptomatic disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1989; 24: 57-64.
- Balasubramaniam K, Grambsch PM, Wiesner RH, Lindor KD, Dickson ER. Diminished survival in asymptomatic primary biliary cirrhosis. A prospective study. Gastroenterology 1990; 98: 1567-71.
- 32. Rydning A, Schrumpf E, Abdelnoor M, Elgjo K, Jenssen E. Factors of prognostic importance in primary biliary cirrhosis. Scand J Gastroenterol 1990; 25: 119-26.
- 33. Mahl TC, Shockcor W, Boyer JL. Primary biliary cirrhosis: survival of a large cohort of symptomatic and asymptomatic patients followed for 24 years. *J Hepatol* 1994; 20: 707-13.

- 34. Prince M, Chetwynd A, Newman W, Metcalf JV, James OF. Survival and symptom progression in a geographically based cohort of patients with primary biliary cirrhosis: follow-up for up to 28 years. Gastroenterology 2002; 123: 1044-51.
- 35. Quarneti C, Muratori P, Lalanne C, Fabbri A, Menichella R, Granito A, Masi C, et al. Fatigue and pruritus at onset identify a more aggressive subset of primary biliary cirrhosis. *Liver Int* 2014 doi: 10.1111/liv.12560.
- Mitchison HC, Lucey MR, Kelly PJ, Neuberger JM, Williams R, James OF. Symptom development and prognosis in primary biliary cirrhosis: a study in two centers. Gastroenterology 1990; 99: 778-84.
- Metcalf JV, Mitchison HC, Palmer JM, Jones DE, Bassendine MF, James OF. Natural history of early primary biliary cirrhosis. *Lancet* 1996; 348: 1399-402.
- Springer J, Cauch-Dudek K, O'Rourke K, Wanless IR, Heathcote EJ. Asymptomatic primary biliary cirrhosis: a study of its natural history and prognosis. Am J Gastroenterol 1999; 94: 47-53.
- Oertelt S, Rieger R, Selmi C, Invernizzi P, Ansari AA, Coppel RL, Podda M, et al. A sensitive bead assay for antimitochondrial antibodies: Chipping away at AMA-negative primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2007; 45: 659-65.
- Invernizzi P, Crosignani A, Battezzati PM, Covini G, De Valle G, Larghi A, Zuin M, et al. Comparison of the clinical features and clinical course of antimitochondrial antibody-positive and -negative primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 1997; 25: 1090-5.
- Joshi S, Cauch-Dudek K, Heathcote EJ, Lindor K, Jorgensen R, Klein R. Antimitochondrial antibody profiles: are they valid prognostic indicators in primary biliary cirrhosis? Am J Gastroenterol 2002; 97: 999-1002.
- 42. Klein R, Pointner H, Zilly W, Glassner-Bittner B, Breuer N, Garbe W, Fintelmann V, et al. Antimitochondrial antibody profiles in primary biliary cirrhosis distinguish at early stages between a benign and a progressive course: a prospective study on 200 patients followed for 10 years. Liver 1997; 17: 119-28.
- Vleggaar FP, van Buuren HR. No prognostic significance of antimitochondrial antibody profile testing in primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatogastroenterology 2004; 51: 937-40.
- 44. Palmer JM, Yeaman SJ, Bassendine MF, James OF. M4 and M9 autoantigens in primary biliary cirrhosis—a negative study. *J Hepatol* 1993; 18: 251-4.
- 45. Invernizzi P, Selmi C, Ranftler C, Podda M, Wesierska-Gadek J. Antinuclear antibodies in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Semin Liver Dis* 2005; 25: 298-310.
- Nakamura M, Kondo H, Mori T, Komori A, Matsuyama M, Ito M, Takii Y, et al. Anti-gp210 and anti-centromere antibodies are different risk factors for the progression of primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2007; 45: 118-27.
- Muratori P, Muratori L, Ferrari R, Cassani F, Bianchi G, Lenzi M, Rodrigo L, et al. Characterization and clinical impact of antinuclear antibodies in primary biliary cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2003; 98: 431-7.
- Corpechot C, Poujol-Robert A, Wendum D, Galotte M, Chretien Y, Poupon RE, Poupon R. Biochemical markers of liver fibrosis and lymphocytic piecemeal necrosis in UDCAtreated patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. *Liver Int* 2004; 24: 187-93.
- Shapiro JM, Smith H, Schaffner F. Serum bilirubin: a prognostic factor in primary biliary cirrhosis. Gut 1979; 20: 137-40.

- 50. Bonnand AM, Heathcote EJ, Lindor KD, Poupon RE. Clinical significance of serum bilirubin levels under ursodeoxycholic acid therapy in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 1999; 29: 39-43.
- 51. Lammers WJ, van Buuren HR, Janssen HLA, Invernizzi P, Battezatti PM, Floreani A, Hirschfield GM, et al. Validation of alkaline phosphatase and bilirubin values as a surrogate endpoint in primary biliary cirrhosis an international, collaborative study. *Hepatology* 2013; 58: 250A.
- 52. Angulo P, Lindor KD, Therneau TM, Jorgensen RA, Malinchoc M, Kamath PS, Dickson ER. Utilization of the Mayo risk score in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis receiving ursodeoxycholic acid. *Liver* 1999; 19: 115-21.
- 53. Dickson ER, Grambsch PM, Fleming TR, Fisher LD, Langworthy A. Prognosis in primary biliary cirrhosis: model for decision making. *Hepatology* 1989; 10: 1-7.
- 54. Bonsel GJ, Klompmaker IJ, van't Veer F, Habbema JD, Slooff MJ. Use of prognostic models for assessment of value of liver transplantation in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Lancet* 1990; 335: 493-7.
- 55. Christensen E, Altman DG, Neuberger J, De Stavola BL, Tygstrup N, Williams R. Updating prognosis in primary biliary cirrhosis using a time-dependent Cox regression model. PBC1 and PBC2 trial groups. *Gastroenterology* 1993; 105: 1865-76.
- 56. Krzeski P, Zych W, Kraszewska E, Milewski B, Butruk E, Habior A. Is serum bilirubin concentration the only valid prognostic marker in primary biliary cirrhosis? *Hepatology* 1999; 30: 865-9.
- 57. Hughes MD, Raskino CL, Pocock SJ, Biagini MR, Burroughs AK. Prediction of short-term survival with an application in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Stat Med* 1992; 11: 1731-45.
- 58. Grambsch PM, Dickson ER, Kaplan M, LeSage G, Fleming TR, Langworthy AL. Extramural cross-validation of the Mayo primary biliary cirrhosis survival model establishes its generalizability. *Hepatology* 1989; 10: 846-50.
- 59. Murtaugh PA, Dickson ER, Van Dam GM, Malinchoc M, Grambsch PM, Langworthy AL, Gips CH. Primary biliary cirrhosis: prediction of short-term survival based on repeated patient visits. *Hepatology* 1994; 20: 126-34.
- 60. Kilmurry MR, Heathcote EJ, Cauch-Dudek K, O'Rourke K, Bailey RJ, Blendis LM, Ghent CN, et al. Is the Mayo model for predicting survival useful after the introduction of ursodeoxycholic acid treatment for primary biliary cirrhosis? Hepatology 1996; 23: 1148-53.
- Poupon RE, Bonnand AM, Chretien Y, Poupon R. Ten-year survival in ursodeoxycholic acid-treated patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. The UDCA-PBC Study Group. Hepatology 1999; 29: 1668-71.
- 62. Koulentaki M, Moscandrea J, Dimoulios P, Chatzicostas C, Kouroumalis EA. Survival of anti-mitochondrial antibody-positive and -negative primary biliary cirrhosis patients on ursodeoxycholic acid treatment. *Dig Dis Sci* 2004; 49:
- 63. Kim WR, Wiesner RH, Poterucha JJ, Therneau TM, Benson JT, Krom RA, Dickson ER. Adaptation of the Mayo primary biliary cirrhosis natural history model for application in liver transplant candidates. *Liver Transpl* 2000; 6: 489-94.
- 64. Child CG, Turcotte JG. Surgery and portal hypertension. *Major Probl Clin Surg* 1964; 1: 1-85.
- 65. Pugh RN, Murray-Lyon IM, Dawson JL, Pietroni MC, Williams R. Transection of the oesophagus for bleeding oesophageal varices. *Br J Surg* 1973; 60: 646-9.

- 66. Kamath PS, Wiesner RH, Malinchoc M, Kremers W, Therneau TM, Kosberg CL, D'Amico G, et al. A model to predict survival in patients with end-stage liver disease. *Hepatology* 2001; 33: 464-70.
- 67. Gores GJ, Wiesner RH, Dickson ER, Zinsmeister AR, Jorgensen RA, Langworthy A. Prospective evaluation of esophageal varices in primary biliary cirrhosis: development, natural history, and influence on survival. *Gastroenterology* 1989; 96: 1552-9.
- Patanwala I, McMeekin P, Walters R, Mells G, Alexander G, Newton J, Shah H, et al. A validated clinical tool for the prediction of varices in PBC: The Newcastle Varices in PBC Score. J Hepatol 2013; 59: 327-35.
- Levy C, Zein CO, Gomez J, Soldevila-Pico C, Firpi R, Morelli G, Nelson D. Prevalence and predictors of esophageal varices in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; 5: 803-8.
- Liang Y, Yang Z, Zhong R. Primary biliary cirrhosis and cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hepatology 2012; 56: 1409-17.
- 71. Shibuya A, Tanaka K, Miyakawa H, Shibata M, Takatori M, Sekiyama K, Hashimoto N, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma and survival in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2002; 35: 1172-8.
- 72. Suzuki A, Lymp J, Donlinger J, Mendes F, Angulo P, Lindor K. Clinical predictors for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; 5: 259-64.
- 73. Jones DE, Metcalf JV, Collier JD, Bassendine MF, James OF. Hepatocellular carcinoma in primary biliary cirrhosis and its impact on outcomes. *Hepatology* 1997; 26: 1138-42.
- 74. Floreani A, Baragiotta A, Baldo V, Menegon T, Farinati F, Naccarato R. Hepatic and extrahepatic malignancies in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 1999; 29: 1425-8.
- 75. Deutsch M, Papatheodoridis GV, Tzakou A, Hadziyannis SJ. Risk of hepatocellular carcinoma and extrahepatic malignancies in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008; 20: 5-9.
- 76. Kuiper EM, Hansen BE, de Vries RA, den Ouden-Muller JW, van Ditzhuijsen TJ, Haagsma EB, Houben MH, et al. Dutch PBCSG. Improved prognosis of patients with primary biliary cirrhosis that have a biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid. Gastroenterology 2009; 136: 1281-7.
- 77. Harada K, Hirohara J, Ueno Y, Nakano T, Kakuda Y, Tsubouchi H, Ichida T, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma in primary biliary cirrhosis: national data from Japan. *Hepatology* 2013; 57: 1942-9.
- 78. Kuiper EM, Hansen BE, Adang RP, van Nieuwkerk CM, Timmer R, Drenth JP, Spoelstra P, et al. Dutch PBCSG. Relatively high risk for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis not responding to ursodeoxycholic acid. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010; 22: 1495-502.
- 79. Trivedi PJ, Lammers WJ, van Buuren HR, Janssen HLA, Invernizzi P, Battezatti PM, Floreani A, et al. Effective stratification of hepatocellular carcinoma risk in primary biliary cirrhosis: results of a multi-centre international study. J Hepatol 2014; 60: S55.
- Silveira MG, Suzuki A, Lindor KD. Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2008; 48: 1149-56.
- 81. van Hoogstraten HJ, Hansen BE, van Buuren HR, ten Kate FJ, van Berge-Henegouwen GP, Schalm SW. Prognostic factors and long-term effects of ursodeoxycholic acid on liver biochemical parameters in patients with primary biliary

- cirrhosis. Dutch Multi-Centre PBC Study Group. *J Hepatol* 1999; 31: 256-62.
- 82. Papatheodoridis GV, Hadziyannis ES, Deutsch M, Hadziyannis SJ. Ursodeoxycholic acid for primary biliary cirrhosis: final results of a 12-year, prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002; 97: 2063-70.
- 83. Chan CW, Gunsar F, Feudjo M, Rigamonti C, Vlachogiannakos J, Carpenter JR, Burroughs AK. Long-term ursodeoxycholic acid therapy for primary biliary cirrhosis: a follow-up to 12 years. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2005; 21: 217-26.
- 84. Pares A, Caballeria L, Rodes J. Excellent long-term survival in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis and biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic Acid. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 130: 715-20.
- 85. Corpechot C, Abenavoli L, Rabahi N, Chretien Y, Andreani T, Johanet C, Chazouilleres O, et al. Biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid and long-term prognosis in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2008; 48: 871-7.
- 86. Myers RP, Shaheen AA, Fong A, Burak KW, Wan A, Swain MG, Hilsden RJ, et al. Epidemiology and natural history of primary biliary cirrhosis in a Canadian health region: a population-based study. Hepatology 2009; 50: 1884-92.
- 87. Zhao DT, Liao HY, Liu YM, Zhao Y, Feng X, Yan HP. Prognostic factors and survival analysis of antimitochondrial antibody-positive primary biliary cirrhosis in Chinese patients. *Dig Dis Sci* 2011; 56: 2750-7.
- 88. Zhang LN, Shi TY, Shi XH, Wang L, Yang YJ, Liu B, Gao LX et al. Early biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid and long-term prognosis of primary biliary cirrhosis: Results of a 14-year cohort study. *Hepatology* 2013; 58: 264-72.
- 89. Papastergiou V, Tsochatzis EA, Rodriquez-Peralvarez M, Thalassinos E, Pieri G, Manousou P, Germani G, et al. Biochemical criteria at 1 year are not robust indicators of response to ursodeoxycholic acid in early primary biliary cirrhosis: results from a 29-year cohort study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2013; 38: 1354-64.
- Kumagi T, Guindi M, Fischer SE, Arenovich T, Abdalian R, Coltescu C, Heathcote EJ, et al. Baseline ductopenia and treatment response predict long-term histological progression in primary biliary cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2010; 105: 2186-94.
- Lammert C, Juran BD, Schlicht E, Chan LL, Atkinson EJ, de Andrade M, Lazaridis KN. Biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid predicts survival in a North American cohort of primary biliary cirrhosis patients. J Gastroenterol 2013.
- 92. Corpechot C, Chazouilleres O, Poupon R. Early primary biliary cirrhosis: biochemical response to treatment and prediction of long-term outcome. *J Hepatol* 2011; 55: 1361-7.
- 93. Azemoto N, Abe M, Murata Y, Hiasa Y, Hamada M, Matsuura B, Onji M. Early biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid predicts symptom development in patients with asymptomatic primary biliary cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol* 2009; 44: 630-4.
- 94. Azemoto N, Kumagi T, Abe M, Konishi I, Matsuura B, Hiasa Y, Onji M. Biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid predicts long-term outcome in Japanese patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatol Res* 2011; 41: 310-7.
- 95. Momah N, Silveira MG, Jorgensen R, Sinakos E, Lindor KD. Optimizing biochemical markers as endpoints for cli-

- nical trials in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Liver Int* 2012; 32: 790-5.
- 96. Caballeria L, Pares A, Castells A, Gines A, Bru C, Rodes J. Hepatocellular carcinoma in primary biliary cirrhosis: similar incidence to that in hepatitis C virus-related cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001; 96: 1160-3.
- 97. Cavazza A, Caballeria L, Floreani A, Farinati F, Bruguera M, Caroli D, Pares A. Incidence, risk factors, and survival of hepatocellular carcinoma in primary biliary cirrhosis: comparative analysis from two centers. *Hepatology* 2009; 50: 1162-8.