

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Multidisciplinary consensus on nutritional and metabolic therapy in enhanced recovery after abdominal surgery programs: NutRICA Project[☆]

M. Julia Ocón Bretón^{a,*}, M. José Tapia Guerrero^b, José Manuel Ramírez Rodríguez^c, Carlos Peteiro Miranda^d, María D. Ballesteros Pomar^e, Francisco Botella Romero^{f,o}, Miguel Ángel Martínez Olmos^g, Luis Miguel Luengo Pérez^h, Emilia Cancer Minchot^{i,o}, Katherine García Malpartida^{j,o}, Juan José López Gómez^{k,o}, Ana Zugasti Murillo^{l,o}, Julia Álvarez Hernández^m, Irene Bretón Lesmesⁿ, on behalf of the NutRICA working group of the Nutrition Departments of SEEN and GERM[◊]

^a Sección de Nutrición Clínica, Hospital Clínico Universitario Lozano Blesa, Zaragoza, Spain

^b Servicio de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Hospital Regional Universitario de Málaga, Málaga, Spain

^c Servicio de Cirugía General, Hospital Clínico Universitario Lozano Blesa, Zaragoza, Spain

^d Servicio de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Hospital de Viladecans, Viladecans, Barcelona, Spain

^e Sección de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León, León, Spain

^f Servicio de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Albacete, Albacete, Spain

^g Servicio de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

^h Servicio de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Hospital Universitario de Badajoz, Badajoz, Spain

ⁱ Sección de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Hospital Universitario de Fuenlabrada, Fuenlabrada, Madrid, Spain

^j Sección de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Valencia, Spain

^k Servicio de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

^l Sección de Nutrición Clínica y Dietética, Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain

^m Servicio de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Hospital Universitario Príncipe de Asturias, Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain

ⁿ Unidad de Nutrición Clínica y Dietética, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

^o Comité Gestor de Área de Nutrición de la Sociedad Española de Endocrinología y Nutrición (SEEN), Spain

Received 3 February 2021; accepted 5 February 2021

Available online 28 February 2022

KEYWORDS

Enhanced recovery
after abdominal
surgery;

Abstract

Background: The Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) care pathways include evidence-based items designed to accelerate recovery after surgery. Interdisciplinarity is one of the key points of ERAS programs.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Ocón Bretón MJ, Tapia Guerrero MJ, Ramírez Rodríguez JM, Peteiro Miranda C, Ballesteros Pomar MD, Botella Romero F, et al. Consenso multidisciplinar sobre la terapia nutricional y metabólica en los programas de recuperación intensificada en cirugía abdominal: Proyecto NutRICA. Endocrinol Diabetes Nutr. 2022;69:98–111.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mjocon@salud.aragon.es (M.J. Ocón Bretón).

[◊] The names of the components of the NutRICA working group of the Nutrition Departments of SEEN and GERM are given in Appendix A.



Preoperative fasting;
Immunonutrition;
Carbohydrate rich
beverages;
Nutritional therapy

Objective: To prepare a consensus document among the members of the Nutrition Area of the Spanish Society of Endocrinology and Nutrition (SEEN) and the Spanish Group for Multimodal Rehabilitation (GERM), in which the goal is to homogenize the nutritional and metabolic management of patients included in an ERAS program.

Methods: 69 specialists in Endocrinology and Nutrition and 85 members of the GERM participated in the project. After a literature review, 79 statements were proposed, divided into 5 sections: 17 of general characteristics, 28 referring to the preoperative period, 4 to the intraoperative, 13 to the perioperative and 17 to the postoperative period. The degree of consensus was determined through a Delphi process of 2 circulations that was ratified by a consistency analysis.

Results: Overall, in 61 of the 79 statements there was a consistent agreement, with the degree of consensus being greater among members of the SEEN (64/79) than members of the GERM (59/79). Within the 18 statements where a consistent agreement was not reached, we should highlight some important nutritional strategies such as muscle mass assessment, the start of early oral feeding or pharmaconutrition.

Conclusion: Consensus was reached on the vast majority of the nutritional measures and care included in ERAS programs. Due to the lack of agreement on certain key points, it is necessary to continue working closely with both societies to improve the recovery of the surgical patients.

© 2021 SEEN and SED. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Recuperación intensificada en cirugía abdominal; Ayuno preoperatorio; Inmunonutrición; Bebidas ricas en hidratos de carbono; Terapia nutricional

Consenso multidisciplinar sobre la terapia nutricional y metabólica en los programas de recuperación intensificada en cirugía abdominal: Proyecto NutRICA

Resumen

Antecedentes: La recuperación Intensificada en cirugía abdominal (RICA), constituye un conjunto de estrategias perioperatorias encaminadas a acelerar la recuperación del paciente. La interdisciplinariedad es uno de los puntos claves de los programas RICA.

Objetivo: Elaborar un documento de consenso entre los miembros del Área de Nutrición de la Sociedad Española de Endocrinología y Nutrición (SEEN) y del Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal (GERM), donde se intente homogeneizar el manejo nutricional y metabólico de los pacientes incluidos en un programa RICA.

Métodos: Han participado 69 especialistas en Endocrinología y Nutrición y 85 miembros del GERM. Tras una revisión bibliográfica fueron propuestas 79 aseveraciones divididas en 5 apartados: 17 de carácter general, 28 referidas al preoperatorio, 4 al intraoperatorio, 13 al perioperatorio y 17 al postoperatorio. Se determinó el grado de acuerdo a través de un proceso Delphi de 2 circulaciones que fue ratificado mediante un análisis de consistencia.

Resultados: De forma global, en 61 de los 79 enunciados hubo un acuerdo consistente, siendo mayor el grado de consenso entre los miembros de la SEEN (64/79) que en los del GERM (59/79). Dentro de las 18 aseveraciones donde no se alcanzó un acuerdo consistente cabe destacar algunas estrategias nutricionales importantes como la valoración la masa muscular, el inicio de la alimentación precoz o la farmaconutrición.

Conclusión: Queda consensuada la gran mayoría de las medidas y los cuidados nutricionales incluidos en los programas RICA. Debido a la falta de acuerdo en algunos puntos claves, es necesario seguir colaborando estrechamente entre ambas sociedades para mejorar la recuperación del paciente quirúrgico.

© 2021 SEEN y SED. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Multimodal rehabilitation in surgery or Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) in Europe, is a new approach to the care and management of the surgical patient aimed at minimising the metabolic stress caused by surgery, maintaining physical

function and accelerating the patient's recovery.¹ The ERAS programmes cover the entire surgical process from the time of diagnosis to full incorporation back into normal activity after surgery has been conducted. All this requires the close and structured understanding and collaboration of all the health professionals involved, such as surgeons, anaesthe-

siologists, endocrinologists, nurses, etc., in addition to the active participation of the patient him or herself throughout the process.²

Some multicentre observational studies and meta-analyses have shown that the implementation of ERAS protocols is safe and beneficial for the patient, since they are associated with a lower rate of postoperative complications and a reduction in hospital stay and health care costs.³⁻⁵ It has been documented that the benefit in reducing postoperative morbidity is directly related to compliance or adherence to all the perioperative measures included in the ERAS programmes.⁶

Due to the growing interest of Endocrinology and Nutrition specialists in the ERAS programmes, the Nutrition Department Management Committee of the Sociedad Española de Endocrinología y Nutrición (SEEN) has promoted the NutRICA (nutritional and metabolic therapy in ERAS) multidisciplinary project and has invited the Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal (GERM) to participate, with the main objective to prepare a consensus document defining and establishing the metabolic and nutritional approach in the perioperative period of patients included in an ERAS programme.

Material and methods

The project was carried out between the months of November 2018 and March 2019, framed within the scientific activity carried out by the SEEN Nutrition Department. The SEEN secretary invited all members of the Nutrition Department to participate via email and the same thing occurred with the GERM secretary.

In a first phase, a combined systematic bibliographic search was carried out in Medline, PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Library, the websites of the European Society of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN), the ERAS Society and the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons, as well as the ERAS route and the *Guía de práctica clínica sobre cuidados perioperatorios en cirugía mayor abdominal [clinical practice guidelines on perioperative care in major abdominal surgery]*, both published by the Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality, in addition to the clinical practice guidelines published by each of the entities named above,^{2,7-11}

As a result of the literature review, 79 statements classified into five blocks were selected: 17 related to generalities, 28 to the preoperative period, four to the intraoperative period, 13 to the perioperative period, and 17 to the postoperative period.

The second phase of the study involved the implementation of a Delphi process of two circulations to determine the degree of agreement with the proposed statements. For this, a specific email was generated, and the questionnaire was sent via personal email to the registered members. The degree of agreement was assessed on a numerical Likert scale from one to nine, with one being the minimum agreement and nine being the maximum assessment. The second circulation was sent to those who responded to the first questionnaire, and as a guide, the median value and the interquartile range (IQR) resulting from the assignment of

the first circulation were provided, highlighting those cases in which the IQR was greater than one.

A descriptive analysis was carried out determining, for the 79 statements, the mean and its standard deviation (SD), the median and the IQR as a measure of dispersion. Consistency of agreement was considered if the IQR was ≤ 1 . On the contrary, if the IQR was > 1 , the dispersion was considered excessive and therefore the agreements were inconsistent.

To compare the results obtained between the members of the SEEN and the GERM, the Student's t-statistic for contrasting means was used at a confidence level of 95%.

Results

73 specialists in Endocrinology and Nutrition were enrolled, of whom 69 participants completed the two circulations of the Delphi process. Of the 120 members of the GERM who initially enrolled, 85 participants completed the project (both circulations), corresponding to 57 surgeons, two gynaecologists, three urologists and 23 anaesthesiologists.

Together, the specialists from both organisations came from 60 Spanish hospitals with a mean of 666 (SD 387.28) beds, representing the 17 autonomous communities. The participants had a mean of 18.43 (SD 9.48) years' experience, with 75% of them being area specialists, 8% department/section heads, and 6% resident medical interns who had already completed their training period in a Nutrition Unit.

The degree of agreement is set out in Tables 1–5. In summary, a greater degree of agreement was observed among the members of the SEEN compared to the members of the GERM. Within the SEEN group, there was consistent agreement in 64 of the 79 statements compared to the GERM group where consistency was reached in 59 statements.

There was uniformity of agreement between the two organisations in the 17 items related to general aspects of the ERAS programmes (Table 1) and in the four items related to intraoperative measures (Table 3).

Among the 28 statements that made reference to care in the preoperative period (Table 2), on eight occasions no agreement was reached between the two organisations on aspects such as functional and muscle mass assessment, the use of beverages rich in carbohydrates in diabetic patients or the indication of nutritional therapy.

Of the 13 statements related to ERAS strategies during the perioperative period (Table 4), there was no agreement between the two groups on only one occasion.

The largest number of items where a consistent agreement was not reached (Table 5) was related to postoperative care (nine of the 17 items), especially regarding such important aspects as the start of early feeding or pharmaconutrition.

Discussion

ERAS protocols combine a series of perioperative strategies with the aim of reducing the response to surgical stress and optimising patient recovery.¹ In 2015, the ERAS pathway,² which was an interdisciplinary consensus document based on a set of clinical practice recommendations that reviews the entire perioperative process was published in Spain, and

Table 1 Degree of agreement of the statements related to general aspects.

General statements	SEEN			GERM			SEEN + GERM			p Value SEEN vs. GERM
	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	
To successfully carry out an ERAS programme, it is essential to have a multidisciplinary team and the responsible active participation of the patient.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.1338
ERAS programmes reduce the metabolic response to surgical stress and speed up the patient's recovery, which conditions an improvement in their quality of life	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0302 ^a
ERAS programmes have demonstrated that they can reduce postoperative morbidity, shorten hospital stay and reduce healthcare costs	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.3558
The endocrine-metabolic response to surgical stress generates a state of insulin resistance that can foster the development of postoperative hyperglycaemia	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0220 ^a
Hyperglycaemia in surgical patients conditions an increase in postoperative morbidity and mortality	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0087 ^a
ERAS programmes can reduce insulin resistance and thus improve perioperative glycaemic control	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.6666
Individualised patient information is a key aspect of ERAS programmes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.6804
A high degree of protocolisation and the availability of clinical pathways promote the success of ERAS programmes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.7833
At each centre, the ERAS programmes must be audited periodically to assess their degree of compliance	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.5684
Malnutrition is a very prevalent clinical situation in oncology patients who are going to be treated with major abdominal surgery.	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.0255 ^a
Malnutrition in surgical patients can be defined as that state resulting from decreased intake or assimilation that leads to a change in body composition, which conditions a reduction in functional and mental capacity, as well as a worsening of postoperative clinical results.	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.0098 ^a

Table 1 (Continued)

General statements	SEEN			GERM			SEEN + GERM			p Value SEEN vs. GERM
	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	
A surgical patient is considered to be malnourished when he or she presents with at least one of the following phenotypic criteria (involuntary weight loss greater than 5–10% in a given period, body mass index less than 20–22 kg/m ² according to age or decreased muscle mass) together with an aetiological criterion (decreased nutritional intake/absorption or acute/chronic inflammatory state)	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.1040
Surgical patients are considered to be at severe nutritional risk when they present a weight loss of >10–15%, or a body mass index of less than 18.5 kg/m ² , or a subjective global assessment grade C, or an NRS-2002 > 5 or a plasma albumin <3 g/dl	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.5791
Decreased plasma albumin values must be interpreted within the context of the systemic inflammatory response caused by the surgical process.	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.0002 ^a
Metabolic-nutritional therapy is understood to be the supply of nutrients orally (therapeutic diets or oral nutritional supplements), enterally and parenterally, as well as the use of immunonutrition, physical exercise and control of plasma glucose.	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.7449
Metabolic-nutritional therapy is a fundamental pillar in ERAS programmes and must be integrated into the overall management of the patient	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.1939
To ensure that nutritional therapy is safe and effective, it is essential that centres have standardised protocols for the management of nutritional support	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes;	9	0	Yes	0.2086

M: median; GERM: Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal [Spanish Multimodal Rehabilitation Group]; IQR: interquartile range, ERAS: Enhanced recovery after abdominal surgery; SEEN: Sociedad Española de Endocrinología y Nutrición [Spanish Society of Endocrinology and Nutrition].

^a Statistical significance.

Table 2 Degree of agreement of the statements related to preoperative aspects.

Preoperative statements	SEEN			GERM			SEEN + GERM			p Value SEEN vs. GERM
	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	
It is essential that a nutritional screening is performed on all patients who are going to undergo major abdominal surgery to identify their preoperative nutritional risk.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0028 ^a
In order to detect nutritional risk, all patients must have their height measured and be weighed, quantifying their involuntary weight loss and monitoring their nutritional intake	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0132 ^a
In patients identified to be at nutritional risk, a complete nutritional assessment should be carried out to confirm the diagnosis of malnutrition and initiate nutritional therapy	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0269 ^a
In the assessment of nutritional status, the evaluation of muscle mass in patients with abdominal neoplasm should be included, given its negative impact on postoperative clinical results.	8	2	No	8	2	No	8	2	No	0.0210 ^a
The nutritional assessment must be carried out with sufficient time to take the necessary measures derived from the results	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.1577
In patients with severe malnutrition and who are at high nutritional risk, preoperative nutritional therapy should be started as soon as possible in order to reduce postoperative complications.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0398 ^a
In patients with mild-moderate malnutrition, the clinical benefits of preoperative nutritional therapy are scarce or non-existent	5	3	No	5	5	No	5	4	No	0.1482
To achieve postoperative clinical benefits, nutritional therapy must be maintained for a period of 7–14 days before surgery, and a delay to oncological surgery is justified.	8	1	Yes	8	2.5	No	8	2	No	0.0132 ^a
Patients should routinely receive individualised dietary guidance by qualified staff that includes an assessment of nutritional intake and dietary advice.	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.1129
When patients do not meet their nutritional requirements with oral feeding, it is recommended that nutritional supplements be added regardless of their nutritional status	8	1	Yes	8	2	No	8	2	No	0.3866
Oral nutritional supplementation is recommended preoperatively for all malnourished cancer patients.	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.3877
Oral nutritional supplementation is recommended preoperatively for all high-risk patients, such as the elderly with sarcopenia	8	2	No	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.2352
The superiority of using oral pharmaconutrition exclusively in the preoperative period has not been clearly demonstrated.	8	2	No	7	2	No	7	2	No	0.0294 ^a
Oral nutritional supplements and enteral nutrition through a tube should preferably be administered on an outpatient basis to avoid unnecessary hospitalisation.	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.4373
Preoperative parenteral nutrition should only be administered in patients with malnutrition or severe nutritional risk who cannot cover their nutritional requirements with enteral nutrition.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0469 ^a

Table 2 (Continued)

Preoperative statements	SEEN			GERM			SEEN + GERM			p Value SEEN vs. GERM
	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	
Evaluation of preoperative functional capacity using the CPET or the 6MWT is recommended.	8	2	No	8	2	No	8	2	No	0.5179
The use of prehabilitation (nutritional, functional and psychological support) should be considered in elderly, sarcopenic and cancer patients	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.4964
To achieve greater clinical benefits, preoperative nutritional therapy should be complemented with personalised physical training that includes aerobic and resistance exercises.	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	1	Yes	0.2295
Optimisation of preoperative hyperglycaemia is essential and the determination of HbA1c is necessary	9	1	Yes	9	2	No	9	1	Yes	0.3570
It is recommended that the presence of preoperative anaemia be detected by determining haemoglobin at least 28 days before surgery	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0148 ^a
Preoperative midnight fasting has been shown not to reduce gastric volume, making it unnecessary in most patients.	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.1178
The administration of clear liquids up to two hours before anaesthetic induction is safe and is not associated with an increased risk of aspiration or regurgitation	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.9484
Solid food intake up to six hours before anaesthetic induction is recommended in patients without risk of aspiration.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.7229
In those patients with known delayed gastric emptying, such as diabetic gastroparesis or gastroesophageal reflux, measures will be taken to prevent regurgitation during anaesthetic induction.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0716
In most patients, preoperative intake of a 12.5% maltodextrin carbohydrate drink at a volume of 800 ml at midnight and 400 ml two hours before surgery is safe and does not increase the risk of aspiration.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.7146
In non-diabetic patients, the intake of a drink rich in carbohydrates is recommended in order to improve insulin sensitivity, shorten hospital stay and reduce discomfort secondary to fasting (anxiety, thirst, hunger)	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.3595
In patients with type one diabetes or diabetics with insulinopaenia, the intake of carbohydrate drinks is not recommended due to the risk of worsening glycaemic control and the lack of clinical benefits	8	3	No	7	4	No	8	4	No	0.1999
In patients with type two diabetes mellitus with good metabolic control and without chronic complications, the intake of carbohydrate drinks can be considered as long as the patient's usual hypoglycaemic treatment is maintained.	8	2	No	8	2	No	8	2	Nos	0.1863

CPET: cardiopulmonary exercise testing; GERM: Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal [Spanish Multimodal Rehabilitation Group]; HbA1c: glycosylated haemoglobin; M: median; IQR: interquartile range; SEEN: Sociedad Española de Endocrinología y Nutrición [Spanish Society of Endocrinology and Nutrition]; 6MWT: six-minute walk test.

^a Statistical significance.

Table 3 Degree of agreement of the statements related to intraoperative aspects.

Intraoperative statements	SEEN			GERM			SEEN + GERM			p Value SEEN vs. GERM
	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	
Routine placement of a nasogastric tube for gastrointestinal decompression is not recommended since it does not reduce postoperative complications, may lengthen hospital stay, and does not facilitate recovery from postoperative ileus	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.4157
The placement of a nasojejunal tube or a jejunostomy at the end of the surgical procedure is suggested in those complex or long-lasting surgical interventions in which it is anticipated that early oral intake will not be possible, as is the case of pancreatic surgery.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0232 ^a
The administration of fluid therapy must be established in an individualised way to try to achieve a zero balance, avoiding water and sodium overload.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.3780
Infusion of excessive volumes of intravenous fluids and crystalloids should be avoided as it has been shown to be one of the main causes of slowing of gastric emptying and prolongation of postoperative ileus.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.4825

GERM: Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal [Spanish Multimodal Rehabilitation Group]; M: median; IQR: interquartile range; SEEN: Sociedad Española de Endocrinología y Nutrición [Spanish Society of Endocrinology and Nutrition].

^a Statistical significance.

Table 4 Degree of agreement of the statements related to perioperative aspects.

Perioperative statements	SEEN			GERM			SEEN + GERM			p Value SEEN vs. GERM
	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	
Reassessment of nutritional status is recommended for surgical patients throughout their hospitalisation period, as well as monitoring of the established nutritional care plan.	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0081 ^a
In general, nutritional therapy is indicated in all patients with malnutrition and in those who are at nutritional risk.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.5352
Nutritional therapy should be started when a perioperative fast of more than five days is anticipated.	9	1	Yes	9	2	No	9	1	Yes	0.0199 ^a
Nutritional therapy is indicated in all patients who are expected to have an oral intake of less than 50% of their nutritional requirements for more than seven days.	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.1610
Whenever possible, the nutritional therapy of choice throughout the perioperative period will be oral or enteral.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.5024
When nutritional therapy is indicated and there is a contraindication for the use of the enteral route, the start of parenteral nutrition is recommended.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0855
Supplementation with parenteral nutrition is suggested for those patients who do not cover 50% of their nutritional requirements orally/enterally for more than seven days	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0741
In patients who are at high risk of developing postoperative nausea and vomiting, multimodal anti-emetic prophylaxis should be performed using dexamethasone and ondansetron.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.9287
Administration of intravenous opioids for pain management should be minimised	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0234 ^a
To control pain, the use of epidural analgesia with a combination of local anaesthetics and small doses of lipophilic opioids is recommended in all patients treated with major open abdominal surgery.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.3626
To prevent postoperative hyperglycaemia, the use of some ERAS strategies that minimise surgical stress is recommended, such as epidural analgesia, avoiding fasting with the administration of drinks rich in carbohydrates, not placing nasogastric suction tubes, prophylaxis against nausea and vomiting and early mobilisation	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.5968
To reduce the risk of postoperative complications, the goal of glycaemic control throughout the perioperative period should be in the range of 140–180 mg/dl.	9	0	Yes	9	1	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0014 ^a
Nutritional and metabolic recommendations for bariatric surgery do not differ from those applied to other patients treated with another type of major abdominal surgery.	9	2	No	8	3	No	8	2	No	0.0272 ^a

M: median; GERM: Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal [Spanish Multimodal Rehabilitation Group]; IQR: interquartile range; SEEN: Sociedad Española de Endocrinología y Nutrición [Spanish Society of Endocrinology and Nutrition].

^a Statistical significance.

Table 5 Degree of agreement of the statements related to postoperative aspects.

Postoperative statements	SEEN			GERM			SEEN + GERM			p Value SEEN vs. GERM
	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	
In most patients, it is recommended that oral nutritional intake be started immediately after surgery.	8	2	No	8	2	No	8	2	No	0.3172
Starting oral intake within the first 24 h following surgery speeds up recovery from ileus, shortens hospital stay and reduces postoperative complications without increasing the risk of anastomotic leaks.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.7300
In the beginning of early oral feeding, the prescription of a progressive and gradual diet is not necessary, but a regular hospital diet can be recommended after the first hours after surgery	6	3.5	No	6	4	No	6	4	No	0.4886
The oral diet should be adapted individually depending on digestive tolerance and the type of surgery performed, with special caution in elderly patients.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.0973
Early enteral nutrition through a tube is indicated in those patients for whom oral intake cannot be initiated and in those for whom oral intake does not cover more than 50% of nutritional requirements for more than seven days, especially in patients with obvious malnutrition and in those who have undergone gastrointestinal oncological surgery	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.1170
In most surgical patients who present with an uncomplicated postoperative period, there is no indication for total parenteral nutrition.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.1768
For the prevention of postoperative ileus, it is recommended that the use of opioids in pain control be limited, as well as avoiding excess fluids and not placing nasogastric tubes for gastric decompression	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.5151
Chewing gum after surgery facilitates the recovery of gastrointestinal function and can shorten hospital stay	8	2	No	7	3	No	8	3	Yes	0.0932
The functional mobilisation of the patient should be promoted early and progressively along with performing respiratory physiotherapy exercises	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.9085

Table 5 (Continued)

Postoperative statements	SEEN			GERM			SEEN + GERM			p Value SEEN vs. GERM
	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	M	IQR	Consistency	
It is advisable for the patient to stay out of bed for two hours on the day of surgery and at least six hours a day on the days after.	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.7517
The administration of intravenous fluids should be suspended in the early postoperative period.	8	2	No	8	2	No	8	2	No	0.7255
The oral/enteral use of formulas enriched with pharmaconutrients (arginine, omega 3 fatty acids, ribonucleotides) is recommended in the perioperative and postoperative period of malnourished patients treated with oncological surgery.	8	2	No	8	2	No	8	2	No	0.9962
There is currently insufficient evidence to support a recommendation for isolated supplementation with oral glutamine or parenteral or enteral arginine.	9	1	Yes	8	2	No	8	2	No	<0.001 ^a
Glutamine supplementation should be considered in parenteral nutrition in those patients for whom there is an indication for said nutritional support.	8	3	No	8	2	No	8	3	No	0.0173 ^a
In those patients for whom there is an indication for postoperative parenteral nutrition, the use of lipid emulsions enriched with omega-3 fatty acids is recommended.	8	1	Yes	8	2	No	8	2	No	0.4641
The use of enteral and parenteral pharmaconutrition in surgical patients has proven to be cost-effective	8	2	No	8	2	No	8	2	No	0.7162
In those patients who have received perioperative nutritional therapy and who do not cover their nutritional requirements with an oral diet at the time of hospital discharge, it is recommended that nutritional supplements be continued at home	9	0	Yes	9	0.5	Yes	9	0	Yes	0.2213

M: median; GERM: Grupo Español de Rehabilitación Multimodal [Spanish Multimodal Rehabilitation Group]; IQR: interquartile range; SEEN: Sociedad Española de Endocrinología y Nutrición [Spanish Society of Endocrinology and Nutrition].

^a Statistical significance.

it is aimed not only at health professionals directly involved in the management of surgical patients, that is, surgeons or anaesthesiologists, but also at those professionals who are in some way related to the interdisciplinary treatment of these patients, such as Endocrinology and Nutrition specialists. The ERAS pathway was updated in 2020 and the SEEN was one of the scientific organisations that participated in the development of the new document.¹²

As far as we know, this is the first publication in Spain that aims to reach a consensus among the different professionals involved in the surgical process about the nutritional and metabolic strategies included in the ERAS protocols. The Delphi methodology is considered a good technique for evaluating an agreement or visualising a discrepancy between experts. The successive sending out of the questionnaires reduces dispersion and helps to specify the average consensus opinion.¹³

As can be seen in the tables, of the 79 selected items, 61 of them demonstrated consistent agreement, the degree of consensus being greater among the members of SEEN (64/79) than among those of GERM (59/79).

Within the preoperative period, one of the main ERAS strategies is nutritional optimisation. Preoperative malnutrition is associated with an increase in postoperative morbidity and mortality, hospital stay, re-admission rate and health costs related to the surgical patient.¹⁴ It is estimated that two out of three patients who are going to be treated with major surgery for gastrointestinal neoplasm are malnourished and that only one out of five malnourished patients receives some type of preoperative nutritional intervention.¹⁵ For this reason, all the scientific organisations connected with enhanced recovery recommend screening and nutritional assessment of all patients who are going to be treated with major surgery and starting nutritional therapy in those who are malnourished.^{1,2,7,8} On this subject there has been uniform and consistent agreement on the part of the two organisations for all the statements consulted, except in the importance of assessing muscle mass and functional capacity, despite the fact that we have all had a favourable opinion on the use of prehabilitation and adding physical exercise to nutritional therapy. The negative impact of sarcopenia on postoperative clinical outcomes has been widely demonstrated.^{16,17} Although there has also been consistency between the two groups of experts regarding the need to start preoperative nutritional therapy in malnourished patients, we have not reached an agreement regarding the degree of malnutrition and the time required for nutritional treatment to obtain postoperative benefits.

Another key point in the immediate preoperative period of the ERAS programmes is in relation to avoiding the midnight fast,^{18,19} and to the use of carbohydrate drinks as a treatment to improve insulin resistance and patient well-being.^{20,21} Fortunately, there has also been consistent uniform agreement on these items in considering that the administration of clear liquids and drinks rich in carbohydrates up to two hours before anaesthetic induction is safe and beneficial for the patient. The scarce evidence available on the use of drinks rich in carbohydrates in diabetic patients has meant that we have not reached an agreement on this issue.

It has been widely demonstrated that early initiation of oral feeding in the first hours after surgery is safe²² and is associated with a decrease in postoperative infectious complications and hospital stay without increasing the risk of anastomotic leaks, repositioning of the nasogastric tube or mortality.^{23,24} Unfortunately, on this key point of the ERAS programme, there has been no consistent agreement by either of the two scientific organisations, although it is surprising that there is a favourable and homogeneous opinion and knowledge about the postoperative clinical benefits of early feeding as is recorded in one of the statements. From our point of view, it seems that although we are aware of the importance of early resumption of feeding after surgery, there is still some root in the traditional surgical practice of late initiation of oral diet based on fear of the appearance of the dreaded anastomotic leaks.

Immunonutrition (IMN) is one of the topics that continues to generate controversy today despite being a strategy to reduce surgical risk that was proposed more than 25 years ago. It seems that this controversy has affected the opinion of our experts from both organisations, since no consistent agreement has been reached on any of the six items related to enteral and parenteral IMN.

Several reviews and meta-analyses, with some limitations and of variable quality, have shown that the use of enteral IMN during the perioperative or postoperative period reduces postoperative infectious complications and hospital stay.^{25–28} This observed improvement in clinical outcomes is more evident in malnourished neoplastic patients.²⁸ Spanish authors have analysed the use of perioperative IMN in the ERAS context and have demonstrated a reduction in infectious complications.²⁹ Some meta-analyses have suggested that the use of IMN exclusively in the preoperative period does not improve clinical results when compared to a standard nutritional supplement.³⁰ For all these reasons, in the update of the ERAS pathway, it is noted that IMN seems recommendable in malnourished patients treated with gastrointestinal surgery for cancer, but there is not enough evidence to recommend it exclusively in the preoperative period compared to the use of standard oral supplements.¹²

In the case of parenteral IMN, the latest ERAS guidelines consider the supplementation of parenteral nutrition with glutamine and omega-3 fatty acids in surgical patients, since it has demonstrated its safety and clinical benefits associated with a reduction in infectious complications and hospital stay.⁷

Despite the fact that the two organisations have reached a consensus on a large number of the nutritional and metabolic strategies for intensified recovery, even today the ERAS pathway is not fully implemented in many Spanish hospitals and the degree of adherence to some of the nutritional care options proposed in this project continues to be low. According to the Power study, a multicentre prospective study, the percentage of compliance in Spanish hospitals with fundamental strategies such as screening and perioperative nutritional treatment, avoiding fasting, treatment with drinks rich in carbohydrates and early postoperative feeding is 67%, 62%, 28% and 35%, respectively.⁶

In conclusion, this publication represents, to our knowledge, the first consensus document in relation to the nutritional and metabolic measures of ERAS programmes. Although we should congratulate ourselves since the dif-

ferent health professionals involved in the surgical process have managed to reach a consistent agreement on most of the proposed statements, there are still important issues on which we must continue working together to reach a consensus. We believe that this document could become a starting point for trying to homogenise this care, maximise the dissemination of the contents of the new ERAS pathway and help towards uniform implementation in all hospitals in Spain.

Funding

The NutRICA study was financed by Vegenat Healthcare S.L., Badajoz, Spain.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

To all members of the Nutrition Departments of SEEN and GERM who participated in the NutRICA project. To Scientia Salus, for the work as technical secretary.

Appendix A. The NutRICA working group is composed of the following participants

SEEN Nutrition Department:

M. Victoria García Zafra, Amelia Marí Sanchis, Pablo Suárez Llanos, Diego Bellido Guerrero, Juan Parra Barona, M. Luisa Fernández Soto, Patricia Díaz Guardiola, Carlos Miguel Peteiro Miranda, Carmen Tenorio Jiménez, Elena Parreño Caparrós, Jose Jorge Ortez Toro, Angela Martín Palmero, Silvia Mauri Roca, Cristina Maldonado Araque, Begoña Molina Baena, Iris Mercedes de Luna Boquera, Samara Palma Milla, M. del Rosario Vallejo Mora, Concepción Vidal Peracho, Araceli Ramos Carrasco, Luisa Muñoz Salvador, Lorena Suárez Gutiérrez, Pilar Matía Martín, María Ballesteros Pomar, Luis Miguel Luengo Pérez, Francisco Botella Romero, Gabriel Olveira Fuster, Ana Zugasti Murillo, Rosa Burgos Pelaez, Emilia Cancer Minchot, Juan José López Gómez, Francisco Villazón González, Rafael López Urdiales, Ángel Luis Abad González, Carmen Aragón Valera, Miguel Civera Andrés, María Lainez López, José Joaquín Alfaro Martínez, Rocío Campos del Portillo, María Riester Fernández, Elena Carrillo Lozano, Álvaro García-Manzanares Vázquez de Agredos, Carmen Arraiza Irigoyen, Inmaculada Prior Sánchez, Dolores del Olmo García, Carmen Gómez Candela, Jara Altemir Trallero, Natalia Colomo Rodríguez, Francisco Pita Gutierrez, Josefina Olivares, Laura Boraú Mao-rad, Julia Alvarez Hernández, Yaiza García Delgado, Ana Hernández Moreno, M. José Martínez Ramírez, Cristina Campos Martín, Ana Herrero Ruiz, Migueal Ángel Martínez Olmos, Beatriz Lardiés Sánchez, Daniel Antonio de Luis Román, Natalia Ramos Pérez, Carlos Sánchez Juan, Laia Casamitjana Espuña, Ana Agudo Tabuenca, Irene Breton Lesmes, Ana Urioste, Angela González Díaz-Faes, M. Ángeles Vicente Vicente.

GERM:

Marina Varela Duran, Bakarne Ugarte Sierra, Aitor Landaluce, Leyre Gambra, Cristina Ruiz Romero, Pablo Vázquez Barros, Mercedes Estaire Gómez, Alberto Carrillo Acosta, José Miguel Valverde Mantecón, Olga Blasco Delgado, Antonio Arroyo Sebastián, Carlo Brugiotti, Francisco Javier Blanco, Jaime Ruiz-Tovar Polo, Carlos Díaz Lara, José Luis Sánchez Iglesias, Pablo Colsa Gutiérrez, Débora Acín Gándara, Omar Abdel-lah Fernandez, José Antonio Gracia Solanas, Marcos Bruna Esteban, Juan Pablo Marín Calahorrano, Miguel León Arellano, Federico Ochando Cerdan, Javier longás Valiéen, Alfredo Abad Gurumeta, Ana Soto Sanchez, Tihomir Georgiev Hristov, Ane Abad Motos, Javier Ripollés Melchor, María del Carmen Pérez Durán, Elizabeth Redondo, Camilo Castellon Pavon, Alejandro Garcia, Esther Garcia Villabona, Carmen Vallejo Lantero, Raquel Aranzazu Latorre Fragua, Joana Miguel Perelló, Carmen V. Pérez Guarinos, José Andrés García Marín, Victoriano Soria Aledo, José Alberto Pérez García, Ignacio Garutti Martinez, Lluís Cecchini Rosell, Susana Manrique Muñoz, Marga Logroño Ejea, Antonio Servera Ruiz de Velasco, Rajesh-Haresh Gian-chandani Moorjani, Luis Sánchez-Guillén, Raquel Sánchez Santos, Enrique Moncada Iribarren, José López Fernández, Alfredo Manuel Estévez Diz, Izaskun Balciscueta Coltell, Cristina Roque Castellano, Jose Manuel Gutierrez Cabezas, Jose Ramon Rodríguez Fraile, Silvia Castellarnau Uriz, Pilar Sierra, Eva María Nogues Ramia, Ana Pascual Pedreño, Eugenia Peiró González, Mónica Reig Pérez, Beatriz Cros Montalbán, José Fernando Trebollé, Pilar Palacios, Mónica Valero Sabater, Pedro Antonio Pacheco Martínez, Luis Miguel Jiménez Gómez, Paula Dujovne Lindenbaum, Macarena Barbero Mielgo, Belén San Antonio San Roman, Viktoria Molnar, Isabel Alonso, Matias Cea Soriano, Javier Martinez Ubieto, Ana Pascual Bellostaa, Sonia Ortega Lucea, Berta Perez, Lucía Tardós Ascaso, Idelia Mateu Guillamon, Silvia Llopis Pla, María Aymerich de Franceschi, María del Campo Lavilla, Luis Antonio Fernández Fernández, Jose Miguel Lozano Enguita, Enrique Alday Muñoz, Roger Cabezali Sánchez.

References

1. Gustafsson UO, Scott MJ, Hubner M, Nygren J, Demartines N, Francis N, et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in elective colorectal surgery: enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) society recommendations: 2018. *World J Surg.* 2019;43:659–95.
2. Grupo de trabajo. Vía Clínica de Recuperación Intensificada en Cirugía Abdominal (RICA). Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. Instituto Aragonés de Ciencias de la Salud. 2014. [Accessed 05/2021]. Available from: <http://portal.guiasalud.es/contenidos/iframes/documentos/opbe/2015-07/ViaClinica-RICA.pdf>.
3. Ljungqvist O, Scott M, Fearon KC. Enhanced recovery after surgery: a review. *JAMA Surg.* 2017;152:292–8.
4. Ljungqvist O, Thanh NX, Nelson G. ERAS-value based surgery. *J Surg Oncol.* 2017;116:608–12.
5. Greco M, Capretti G, Beretta L, Gemma M, Pecorelli N, Braga M. Enhanced recovery program in colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *World J Surg.* 2014;38:1531–41.
6. Ripollés-Melchor J, Ramirez-Rodríguez JM, Casans-Francés R, Aldecoa C, Abad-Motos A, Logroño-Egea M, et al. Association between use of enhanced recovery after surgery protocol and postoperative complications in colorectal surgery. The post-

- operative outcomes within enhanced recovery after surgery protocol (POWER) study. *JAMA Surg.* 2019;154:725–36.
7. Arends J, Bachmann P, Baracos V, Barthelemy N, Hartmut B, Bozzetti F, et al. ESPEN guidelines on nutrition in cancer patients. *Clin Nutr.* 2017;36:11–48.
 8. Carmichael JC, Keller DS, Baldini G, Bordeianou L, Weiss E, Lee L, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for enhanced recovery after colon and rectal surgery from the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons and Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2017;60:761–84.
 9. Gustafsson UO, Scott MJ, Schwenk W, Demartines N, Roulin D, Francis N, et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in elective colonic surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations. *World J Surg.* 2013;37:259–84.
 10. Grupo de trabajo. Guía de práctica clínica sobre cuidados perioperatorios en cirugía mayor abdominal. Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. Instituto Aragonés de Ciencias de la Salud. 2016. [Accessed May 2021]. Available from: http://www.guiasalud.es/GPC/GPC_555.CMA_IACS_compl.pdf.
 11. Nygren J, Thacker J, Carli F, Fearon KCH, Norderval N, Lobo DN, et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in elective rectal/pelvic surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations. *World J Surg.* 2013;37:285–305.
 12. Grupo de trabajo. Vía Clínica de Recuperación Intensificada en Cirugía Abdominal (RICA). Ministerio de Sanidad. Instituto Aragonés de Ciencias de la Salud. Grupo Español de Reabilitación Multimodal (GERM). 2021. Available from: <https://portal.guiasalud.es/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/via-clinica-cirugia-adulto-rica-2021.pdf>.
 13. Hsu CC, Sandford BA. The Delphi Technique: Making Sense of Consensus. Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation; 2007, 12:1.
 14. Correia MI, Waitzberg DL. The impact of malnutrition on morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay and costs evaluated through a multivariate model analysis. *Clin Nutr.* 2003;22:235–9.
 15. Wischmeyer PE, Carli F, Evans DC, Guilbert S, Koza R, Pryor A, et al. American society for enhanced recovery and perioperative quality initiative joint consensus statement on nutrition screening and therapy within a surgical enhanced recovery pathway. *Anesth Analg.* 2018;126:1883–95.
 16. Jones K, Gordon-Weeks A, Coleman C, Silva M. Radiologically determined sarcopenia predicts morbidity and mortality following abdominal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Surg.* 2017;41:2266–79.
 17. Hua H, Xu X, Tang Y, Ren Z, Xu Q, Chen L. Effect of sarcopenia on clinical outcomes following digestive carcinoma surgery: a meta-analysis. *Support Care Cancer.* 2019;27:2385–94.
 18. Lambert E, Carey S. Practice guideline recommendations on perioperative fasting. A systematic review. *J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2016;40:1158–65.
 19. Brady M, Kinn S, Stuart P. Preoperative fasting for adults to prevent perioperative complications. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2003;CD00442383.
 20. Smith MD, McCall J, Plank L, Herbison GP, Soop M, Nygren J. Preoperative carbohydrate treatment for enhancing recovery after elective surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;CD009161.
 21. Amer MA, Smith MD, Herbison GP, Plank LD, McCall JL. Network meta-analysis of the effect of preoperative carbohydrate loading on recovery after elective surgery. *Br J Surg.* 2017;104:187–97.
 22. Andersen HK, Lewis SJ, Thomas S. Early enteral nutrition within 24h of colorectal surgery versus later commencement of feeding for postoperative complications. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;CD004080.
 23. Lewis SJ, Andersen HK, Thomas S. Early enteral nutrition within 24 h of intestinal surgery versus later commencement of feeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2009;13:569–75.
 24. Osland E, Yunus RM, Khan S, Memon MA. Early versus traditional postoperative feeding in patients undergoing resectional gastrointestinal surgery: a meta-analysis. *JPEN.* 2011;35:473–87.
 25. Osland E, Hossain B, Khan S, Memon MA. Effect of timing of parenteral nutrition (immunonutrition) administration on outcomes of elective surgery for gastrointestinal malignancies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JPEN.* 2014;38:53–69.
 26. Song GM, Tian X, Liang H, Yi LJ, Zhou JG, Zeng ZMN, et al. Role of enteral immunonutrition in patients undergoing surgery for gastric cancer. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine.* 2015;94:e1311.
 27. Wong CS, Aly EH. The effects of enteral immunonutrition in upper gastrointestinal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg.* 2016;29:137–50.
 28. Yu K, Zheng X, Wang G, Liu M, Li Y, Yu P, et al. Immunonutrition vs standard nutrition for cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis (Part 1). *JPEN.* 2020;44:742–67.
 29. Moya P, Soriano-Irigaray L, Ramirez JM, Garcea A, Blasco O, Blanco FJ, et al. Perioperative standard oral nutrition supplements versus immunonutrition in patients undergoing colorectal resection in an enhanced recovery (ERAS) protocol: a multicenter randomized clinical trial (SONVI Study). *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2016;95:e3704.
 30. Hegazi RA, Hustead DS, Evans DC. Preoperative standard oral nutrition supplements vs immunonutrition: results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2014;219:1078–87.