



Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica

www.elsevier.es/eimc



Brief report

Identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of positive blood culture isolates from briefly incubated solid medium cultures[☆]



Mónica Ballesteros-Téllez ^{a,*}, Esther Recacha ^a, Marina de Cueto ^{a,b}, Álvaro Pascual ^{a,b}

^a Unidad Intercentros de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Microbiología y Medicina Preventiva, Hospital Virgen Macarena-Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain

^b Departamento de Microbiología, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 September 2015

Accepted 8 January 2016

Available online 1 November 2017

Keywords:

Blood culture

Bacteremia

Matrix-Assisted Laser

Desorption-Ionisation Time-of-Flight

Antimicrobial susceptibility

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mass spectrometry Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption-Ionisation Time-of-Flight (MALDI-TOF) helps in the rapid identification of microorganisms causing blood stream infection. Rapid and reliable methods are required to decrease the turnaround time for reporting antimicrobial susceptibility results from blood culture isolates.

Methods: An evaluation was performed on the reliability of a method for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of positive blood culture isolates from briefly incubated solid medium cultures.

Results: The agreement between the evaluated and standard methods was 99.3%. The major and minor error rates were 0.4% and 0.3%, respectively, and no very major errors were observed.

Conclusion: The inoculation of briefly incubated solid medium cultures into antimicrobial susceptibility testing panels is an easy and reliable technique, and helps to decrease the turnaround time for reporting antimicrobial susceptibility results of positive blood cultures.

© 2016 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. All rights reserved.

Identificación y determinación de sensibilidad a antibióticos de aislados de hemocultivos a partir de subcultivos de corta incubación

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:

Hemocultivo

Bacteriemia

Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption-Ionisation

Time-of-Flight

Sensibilidad a antibióticos

Introducción: La espectrometría de masas Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption-Ionisation Time-of-Flight (MALDI-TOF) permite la identificación rápida de los microorganismos causantes de bacteriemia. Se requieren métodos fiables y rápidos que permitan acortar el tiempo necesario hasta disponer de los resultados de sensibilidad a antibióticos de los aislados de hemocultivos.

Métodos: Se evalúa la fiabilidad de un método que combina la identificación con MALDI-TOF y el estudio de sensibilidad en paneles de microdilución inoculados a partir de un subcultivo incubado durante solo 4 h.

Resultados: La concordancia de los resultados de sensibilidad a antibióticos de la técnica evaluada frente a la técnica de referencia fue del 99,3%, sin que se observaran errores máximos.

Conclusión: La inoculación de paneles de microdilución a partir de un subcultivo de solo 4 h de incubación es un método fiable y fácil de realizar que permite acortar el tiempo de informe de hemocultivos positivos.

© 2016 Elsevier España, S.L.U. y Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. Todos los derechos reservados.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2016.01.007>

[☆] Please cite this article as: Ballesteros-Téllez M, Recacha E, de Cueto M, Pascual Á. Identificación y determinación de sensibilidad a antibióticos de aislados de hemocultivos a partir de subcultivos de corta incubación. Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin. 2017;35:582–585.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: m.ballesteros.t@gmail.com (M. Ballesteros-Téllez).

Introduction

Bloodstream infections are a major cause of morbidity and mortality.¹ Haemoculture continues to be the leading technique for aetiological diagnosis of bacteraemia, also allowing for susceptibility tests on isolates.² The rapid information provided by these results allows for early initiation of appropriate antibiotic treatment that improves the prognosis of septic patients.^{3,4}

Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption-Ionisation Time-of-Flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry is a fast and reliable technique for bacterial identification.^{5,6} Performing MALDI-TOF directly on positive haemocultures considerably reduces the turnaround time for results.^{7,8} Strategies have been used combining MALDI-TOF identification and inoculation of antibiogram panels directly from the positive haemoculture vial; nevertheless, published protocols include different centrifugation and washing steps that are laborious for implementation in laboratory routines.^{8–11} Good results have been reported when combining MALDI-TOF identification with inoculation of microdilution panels from subcultures incubated for short periods of time.^{12,13}

With the objective of decreasing reporting times for positive haemocultures, this article evaluates the reliability of the susceptibility results obtained from WIDER system panels (Francisco Soria Melguizo, Madrid, Spain) inoculated from a subculture after 4 h incubation.

Methods

For a period of 3 months (January–March 2015) 138 consecutive positive haemocultures were studied corresponding to 138 different patients. The haemocultures were processed with the BD BACTEC™ FX system (Becton Dickinson, MD, USA). A Gram stain was performed on the positive vials and they were subcultured in chocolate agar (37 °C, 5% CO₂).

After 4 h of incubation, a swab of growth in chocolate agar was carried out with a wooden spatula and the identification was performed with the MALDI-TOF system (Bruker, MALDI Biotype 3.0) using the direct transfer technique with on-plate formic acid.^{6,8,12} A score >1.7 was considered acceptable.

When the identification obtained an acceptable score, the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined with the microdilution technique on WIDER MIC/IDGP and MIC/IDGN panels for gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, respectively. To inoculate the panels, a bacterial suspension was prepared from the 4-h subculture. The Prompt™ Inoculation System Wands were used, following the manufacturer's instructions. To check the purity of the inoculum, 10 µl of the bacterial suspension was seeded onto blood agar. The panels were incubated at 37 °C, with the reading being carried out after 14–18 h of incubation.

Meanwhile, after 24 h of incubation, based on the colonies isolated in the chocolate agar medium, the standardised inoculation of the isolates was performed on the WIDER panels. The results obtained with this technique were considered as a reference to evaluate those obtained from the 4-h subculture.

To compare the results, the MICs obtained have been transformed into clinical categories (susceptible, intermediate or resistant) in accordance with the expert WIDER system which applies the criteria of the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI).¹⁴ The results obtained with the technique evaluated compared to the standard technique have been classified as consistent (identical interpretation with both methods), maximum errors (false susceptibility), major errors (false resistance), and minor errors (susceptible/resistant vs intermediate).

The WIDER panels used allow for identification of the isolate; nevertheless, the reliability of MALDI-TOF as an identification technique has been sufficiently evaluated and our objective was

Table 1
Bacteraemia-causing agents identified with MALDI-TOF (score > 1.7).

Aetiology	Microorganisms, n (%)
Gram-positive cocci	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	9 (42.8)
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	5 (23.8)
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	5 (23.8)
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	2 (9.5)
<i>Total</i>	21 (100)
Gram-negative bacilli	
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	51 (52.0)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	14 (14.3)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	8 (8.1)
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	6 (6.1)
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	5 (5.1)
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	4 (4.0)
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	3 (3.0)
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	1 (1.0)
<i>Aeromonas veronii</i>	1 (1.0)
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	1 (1.0)
<i>Citrobacter koseri</i>	1 (1.0)
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	1 (1.0)
<i>Kluyvera ascorbata</i>	1 (1.0)
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	1 (1.0)
<i>Total</i>	98 (100)

solely to evaluate the reliability of the susceptibility results obtained from the subculture which had been incubated for 4 h. To this end, discrepancies in the identification between the MALDI-TOF and WIDER systems have not been evaluated. When a discrepancy was found in the identification, the identification obtained with MALDI-TOF was manually entered into the system.

Results

Of the 138 haemocultures studied, 19 were excluded. In 9 isolates (2 *Bacteroides* spp., one *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, 3 coagulase-negative staphylococci, one *Escherichia coli* and 2 polymicrobial cultures), identification was not achieved with MALDI-TOF (score < 1.7) after 4 h of incubation. Another 6 isolates (3 *S. pneumoniae*, one *Streptococcus agalactiae*, one *Streptococcus pyogenes* and one *Listeria monocytogenes*), although correctly identified, were excluded because susceptibility studies using the microdilution technique are not conducted for these pathogens in the laboratory routine. Another 4 cases in which yeasts were observed in the Gram stain were also excluded.

In 119 haemocultures, an acceptable identification was obtained (score > 1.7) with MALDI-TOF and a MIC was conducted based on the subculture incubated for 4 h: 98 (83%) were gram-negative bacilli (GNB) and 21 (17%) gram-positive cocci (GPC) (Table 1).

Only in 3 cases (one *Citrobacter koseri*, one *Enterobacter cloacae* and one *Enterobacter sakazakii*) were discrepancies in the identification of the species observed between the 2 systems used.

For GNBS, a total of 1522 combinations of antibiotic/microorganism were tested. For GPCs, the total number of studied combinations was 246. The agreement between the susceptibility results obtained with the standard technique and the evaluated technique was 99.3%; the major and minor error rate was 0.4% and 0.3%, respectively, with no maximum errors observed (Table 2). No differences were observed in the error rates between the different included bacterial species. No carbapenemase-producing strains were detected, and between 3 strains of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *E. coli* and one strain of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*, there was complete agreement with the reference method.

Table 2

Correlation between the results of the susceptibility study obtained with the standard technique and the evaluated technique.

Microorganism	Antimicrobial	n	Major errors	Minor errors
GNB	Amikacin	98	1	
	Amoxicillin	89		
	Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid	89		2
	Aztreonam	97	1	
	Cefepime	97		
	Cefotaxime	90		
	Ceftazidime	98		1
	Cefuroxime	89	2	1
	Ciprofloxacin	98		
	Ertapenem	89		
	Gentamicin	98	1	
	Imipenem	98		
	Meropenem	98		
	Piperacillin/tazobactam	98		
	Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	98	1	
	Tobramycin	98	1	
	Total	1522	7	4
GPC	Amikacin	14		
	Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid	14		
	Ampicillin	7		
	Clindamycin	14		
	Daptomycin	21		
	Erythromycin	14		
	Gentamicin	14		1
	Levofloxacin	14		
	Linezolid	21		
	Oxacillin	14		
	Penicillin	14		
	Rifampicin	15		
	Teicoplanin	21		
	Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	14		
	Tobramycin	14		
	Vancomycin	21		
	Total	246	0	1

GNB: gram-negative bacilli; GPC: gram-positive cocci; n: number of combinations of antibiotic/microorganism tested.

The identification and susceptibility report was issued for the 119 cases studied in less than 24 h from the detection of the positive haemoculture.

Discussion

The direct identification technique with MALDI-TOF based on positive haemocultures has been shown to be effective and reliable; however, the pre-processing of the sample is quite labour intensive. Even to the detriment of speed, in order to better organise work flow, some authors recommend grouping positive haemocultures and performing the identification in blocks twice a day.¹⁵ Thus, identification from a 4-h subculture could be obtained in a similar amount of time with the advantage of not needing to pre-process the sample. In our series, an acceptable identification was not obtained in just 9 (6%) of the 138 haemocultures initially included in the study. In the series by Hoyos-Mallecot et al.⁸ conducting direct identification from the haemoculture, with a score of 1.7, 4% of GNB and 26% of gram-positive bacteria were not identified. It is possible that the sample obtained from a subculture contains a larger number of proteins that enables more reliable profiles to be obtained, increasing the sensitivity of the technique.

Strategies that combine MALDI-TOF identification and MIC panel inoculation directly from the haemoculture vial can accelerate the times to issuance of reports, and in general have shown good results; nevertheless, most published methods require samples to be pre-treated. Machen et al.,¹⁰ conducting a lysis filtration

pre-processing, obtained a global error rate of 5.5% with 1.3% of maximum errors with the Vitek-2 system. After first centrifuging the sample, Wimmer et al.¹¹ found an error rate close to 2% with 0.26% of maximum errors using the Phoenix system.

Previous studies have shown that the identification obtained with MALDI-TOF from a swab of a subculture, which has been incubated for very few hours, is consistent with that obtained using the recommended identification technique based on 18–24 h subcultures.^{11,12} In a series of 104 haemoculture isolates, Idelevich et al.¹² evaluated inoculation of MIC panels using the Vitek system based on growth in 2.5–7-h subcultures, finding that the mean incubation time for GPC was 3.8 h and for GNB was 2.4 h. Based on these results, we set the incubation time at 4 h, and, using WIDER system MIC panels for the first time, we succeeded in identifying 93.5% of the isolates studied. For the 119 isolates that we subjected to MIC after 4 h of incubation, we obtained 99.3% consistency with the reference technique, with no observed maximum errors. Also, the method that we evaluated has the advantage of not requiring any sample pre-processing. Therefore, it entails no additional costs and can be easily adapted to the laboratory work flow.

The limitations of the technique include anaerobic bacteraemias in which insufficient growth is achieved after 4 h of incubation, and polymicrobial bacteraemias. Another limitation of the study would be the low number of gram-positives included in this series.

In conclusion, inoculation of MIC panels with a subculture which has been incubated for 4 h, after identification via MALDI-TOF, is a reliable method compared to the conventional inoculation system after 24 h of incubation, and can shorten the reporting time for positive haemocultures.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent SM, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2004;39:309–17.
2. Baron EJ, Thomson RB. Specimen collection, transport and processing: bacteriology, pp. 228–271. In: Versalovic J, Carroll K.C, Funke G, Jorgensen JH, Landry ML, Warnock DW, editors. Manual of clinical microbiology. Washington, DC. 10th edition.
3. Kang CI, Kim SH, Park WB, Lee KD, Kim HB, Kim EC, et al. Bloodstream infections caused by antibiotic-resistant gram-negative bacilli: risk factors for mortality and impact of inappropriate initial antimicrobial therapy on outcome. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2005;49:760–6.
4. Barenfanger J, Graham DR, Kolluri L, Sangwan G, Lawhorn J, Drake CA, et al. Decreased mortality associated with prompt Gram staining of blood cultures. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2008;130:870–6.
5. Muñoz Bellido JL, González Buitrago JM. Espectrometría de masas MALDI-TOF en microbiología clínica. Situación actual y perspectivas futuras. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2015;33:369–71.
6. Jordana-Lluch E, Martró Català E, Ausina Ruiz V. Mass spectrometry in the clinical microbiology laboratory. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2012;30:635–44.
7. Huang AM, Newton D, Kunapuli A, Gandhi TN, Washer LL, Isip J, et al. Impact of rapid organism identification via matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight combined with antimicrobial stewardship team intervention in adult patients with bacteremia and candidemia. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;57:237–45.
8. Hoyos-Mallecot Y, Miranda-Casas C, Cabrera-Alvargonzalez JJ, Gómez-Camarasa C, Pérez-Ramírez MD, Navarro-Marí JM. Bacterial identification from blood cultures by a rapid Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption-Ionisation Time-of-Flight mass spectrometry technique. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2013;31:152–5.
9. Ferreira L, Sánchez-Juanes F, Porras-Guerra I, García-García MI, García-Sánchez JE, González-Buitrago JM, et al. Microorganisms direct identification from blood culture by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2011;17:546–51.
10. Machen A, Drake T, Wang YF. Same day identification and full panel antimicrobial susceptibility testing of bacteria from positive blood culture bottles made possible by a combined lysis-filtration method with MALDI-TOF VITEK mass spectrometry and the VITEK2 system. *PLoS One.* 2014;9:e87870.

11. Wimmer JL, Long SW, Cernoch P, Land GA, Davis JR, Musser JM, et al. Strategy for rapid identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing of gram-negative bacteria directly recovered from positive blood cultures using the Bruker MALDI Biotaqer and the BD Phoenix system. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2012;50:2452–4.
12. Idelevich EA, Schüle I, Grünastel B, Wüllenweber J, Peters G, Becker K. Acceleration of antimicrobial susceptibility testing of positive blood cultures by inoculation of Vitek 2 cards with briefly incubated solid medium cultures. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2014;52:4058–62.
13. Verroken A, Defourny L, Lechgar L, Magnette A, Delmée M, Glupczynski Y. Reducing time to identification of positive blood cultures with MALDI-TOF MS analysis after a 5-h subculture. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2015;34:405–13.
14. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Twenty-fifth informational supplement. M100-S25. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute; 2015.
15. Martiny D, Dediste A, Vandenberg O. Comparison of an in-house method and the commercial Sepsityper™ kit for bacterial identification directly from positive blood culture broths by matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2012;31:2269–81.