



# CIRUGÍA y CIRUJANOS

Órgano de difusión científica de la Academia Mexicana de Cirugía  
Fundada en 1933

[www.amc.org.mx](http://www.amc.org.mx) [www.elsevier.es/circir](http://www.elsevier.es/circir)



## CLINICAL CASE

### Revision bariatric surgery after endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty<sup>☆</sup>



Manuel Ferrer-Márquez\*, Manuel Ferrer-Ayza, Francisco Rubio-Gil,  
María José Torrente-Sánchez, Antonio Martínez Amo-Gámez

Equipo Obesidad Almería, Hospital Mediterráneo, Almería, Spain

Received 17 April 2016; accepted 18 May 2016  
Available online 1 December 2017

#### KEYWORDS

Endoscopic technique;  
Endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty;  
Sleeve gastrectomy

#### Abstract

**Background:** Attempts are being made in recent years to replace open surgery with endoscopic techniques in some obese patients when medical treatment fails, as they are considered to be less-invasive procedures. To date, there is little scientific evidence regarding their effectiveness.

**Clinical cases:** The cases are reported of 2 patients who attended our surgery looking for an effective bariatric surgical treatment after failed endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty.

**Conclusions:** Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy after failure of an endoscopic technique does not offer great variation from the standard technique.

© 2016 Academia Mexicana de Cirugía A.C. Published by Masson Doyma México S.A. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

#### PALABRAS CLAVE

Técnicas endoscópicas;  
Gastroplastia vertical endoscópica;  
Gastrectomía vertical

#### Revisión quirúrgica bariátrica tras gastroplastia vertical endoscópica

#### Resumen

**Antecedentes:** Durante los últimos años, las técnicas endoscópicas intentan sustituir a la cirugía en algunos pacientes con obesidad, cuando el tratamiento médico fracasa, basándose en que son técnicas menos agresivas. Hasta la fecha, existe muy poca evidencia científica de su efectividad.

**Casos clínicos:** Presentamos 2 casos de pacientes intervenidos mediante gastroplastia vertical endoscópica que, tras su fracaso, acuden en busca de un tratamiento quirúrgico bariátrico efectivo.

PII of original article: S0009-7411(16)30045-7

\* Please cite this article as: Ferrer-Márquez M, Ferrer-Ayza M, Rubio-Gil F, Torrente-Sánchez MJ, Martínez Amo-Gámez A. Revisión quirúrgica bariátrica tras gastroplastia vertical endoscópica. Cir Cir. 2017;85:428–431.

\* Corresponding author at: c/ Valencia, 5, 04007 Almería, Spain. Tel.: +34 6496 84463.

E-mail address: [manuferrer78@hotmail.com](mailto:manuferrer78@hotmail.com) (M. Ferrer-Márquez).

**Conclusiones:** La gastrectomía vertical laparoscópica tras el fracaso de una técnica endoscópica no supone una gran variación sobre la técnica estándar.  
© 2016 Academia Mexicana de Cirugía A.C. Publicado por Masson Doyma México S.A. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Background

Attempts have been made in recent years to replace open surgery with endoscopic techniques in some morbidly obese patients, as they are considered to be less-invasive, reversible and less costly procedures.<sup>1,2</sup> Among these techniques is endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty, a novel alternative, which attempts to improve the outcome of the Primary Obesity Surgery Endolumenal procedure (POSE), by deploying continuous suture to mould the stomach into a tube shape. This is an overstitch suture system (Apollo Endosurgery) which is fitted over the end of the endoscope and which, after being orally inserted, reduces the stomach by trying to imitate a laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy through a series of transmural stitches from the gastric lumen, following a hands free technique and placing the stitches very close to one another, from the prepyloric antrum up to the gastroesophageal junction. The POSE, in contrast, folds the stomach in 8–9 locations in the fundus, and 3–4 in the antrum, with specific loose stitches. Supposedly, the resulting plication using these new techniques leads to greater gastric reduction and at the same time produces a slowdown of gastric emptying, helping to produce a satiating effect.<sup>3,4</sup>

## Objective

We present the cases of 2 patients, on whom the Apollo technique was used and who, after one year of follow-up and failure of quantifiable results, sought a surgical solution.

## Clinical cases

### Case 1

A male patient aged 37, with a body mass index (BMI) of 42 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome, on whom 7 months previously the Apollo technique had been used for gastric reduction, without incident. The patient presented with a quantifiable weight gain of 9 kg during the following 7 months and therefore attended our surgery in search of a solution. After a complete study by our multidisciplinary team, which included a gastroduodenal radiologic study that reported him as having a "normal stomach" (Fig. 1), laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy surgery was performed on the patient.


### Case 2

A female patient aged 33, with a BMI of 45 and no comorbidities, into whom 30 months previously an intragastric balloon (for 6 months) had been inserted but without any outcome. After this (13 months later) the Apollo technique for gastric reduction was practised. One year after this technique, the patient was 14 kg heavier than the day of the operation and she therefore attended our surgery to be treated for her obesity. Following a complete multidisciplinary study, which included a gastroduodenal radiologic study that reported her as having a "normal stomach" laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy surgery was scheduled.


During surgery on both patients adhesions to the parietal peritoneum, liver, and the pancreatic body were observed. These were released to continue the surgical procedure. During the dissection of increased curvature, there was some digitation which corresponded to the endoscopic suture, but no plication or torsion imaging was observed, with the exception of the above-mentioned adhesions and several areas of thickening (the above-mentioned digitation mainly referred to in the antrum). The impression was that of finding a practically normal stomach. Surgery was performed by completing dissection to the angle of His and performing the gastrectomy with staples, reinforced with 2.0 barbed sutures. There were no difficulties during the operations that differed from a patient who had not previously had gastric surgery. Examination of the extracted specimen revealed several slightly folded areas (in fundus and antrum), with no obvious reduction of gastric capacity (Fig. 2). The patients were discharged from hospital 36 h after surgery without incident. During follow-up the patients lost weight at a normal post-bariatric surgery rate without any presence of complications. After one year of follow-up the percentage of excess BMI lost was 62% and 73% respectively.

## Discussion

The development of new endoscopic techniques and improvements in the designs of existing ones has meant that during recent years, the procedures using this route have attempted to replace surgery for some patients with obesity when medical treatment fails. Rejection of surgery or contraindications, excessive risk or the presurgical period to reduce morbidity in surgery may be some of the situations where these systems would be indicated. The suture systems (POSE, TOGa, Apollo, etc.) form a considerable



**Figure 1** Esophageal and gastroduodenal transit. *Case 1*: regular stomach walls, with no excess images, defect or extrinsic compressions; no signs of reflux or hiatus hernia. Emptying without incident. *Case 2*: regular stomach walls, with no excess images, defect or extrinsic compressions; no signs of gastroduodenal reflux or hiatus hernia.



**Figure 2** Plicatures found after surgery.

number of endoscopic techniques which during recent years have reproduced the manipulations and physiological effects minimally invasive surgery has on the treatment of morbid obesity.<sup>1,5</sup> They try to reduce the stomach's ability to store food, thus inducing early satiety, and delay gastric emptying by applying folds in the stomach wall.

Until now, only one study has been published by Espinós et al.<sup>6</sup> which included 45 obese patients with a mean BMI of 36.7, where the follow-up was a mere 6 months. They present a reduction of BMI of 5.8 (31.3) and a SPSS of 49.4%. They conclude that the POSE appears to be a safe and effective procedure with regards to weight loss, no pain, scarring and recovery from surgery. Vilallonga et al.<sup>7</sup> in their response to the before-mentioned article, are aware of the scarcity of publications which appear to defend the efficacy of fundus plicature or even gastric antrum plicature, which supposedly activates satiety receptors or slows down gastric emptying. They believe that the mechanisms relating

to satiety, motility or even gastric volume are complex and cannot simply be explained by an unquantifiable reduction of the gastric fundus.

López-Nava et al.<sup>8</sup> recently published their results in a series of 20 patients with a follow-up of 6 months after endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty. They report a pre-operative and follow-up BMI of 35.6 and 31.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

Deitel and Greenstein<sup>9</sup> propose the use of the lost BMI percentage to evaluate results, so much so that they consider it an excellent result if there is over 65%, a good result if it is between 50% and 65% and a failure when it is below 50%. None of the studies mentioned use this formula to assess outcome. Furthermore conclusions cannot be reached with such small cohorts and a follow-up of only 6 months. Randomised, controlled and well-designed studies are needed to determine the efficacy and safety both in the short and long term.

As studies on laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy have been published which report a slight swelling of the gastric tube one year after surgery, so too should studies be carried out to show what the outcome of gastric plication with the endoscopic techniques would be in the mid to long term, either through further endoscopies or with barium transit tests.<sup>10</sup> The increased pressure maintained over the gastric tube could lead to failure of the technique through the opening of the sutures, without the patient showing any signs of complications. This was such in the presented cases as both patients practically presented with an opening the length of the gastroplasty suture.

## Conclusions

It is possible that we will increasingly find more patients for whom treatment of this type has failed and they will come looking for a definitive solution to their weight loss. As we have shown with these two cases, laparoscopic sleeve gastroplasty after the failure of an endoscopic technique does not offer great variation from the standard technique. However, we would recommend a careful, meticulous technique since the percentage of complications could rise in these patients, similarly to revision surgery. Adhesions should therefore be released to maintain normal gastric anatomy, and fibrous, swollen areas should be located to avoid sectioning in this region, as much as possible. Although it has not been demonstrated that the risk of fistulas is reduced, we would recommend enhancement with suturing in the section line in this type of patient.

## Conflict of interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

## References

1. Stimac D, Majanovic SK. The position of endoscopic procedures in the treatment of obesity. *Curr Clin Pharmacol.* 2013;8:238–46.
2. Kumar N. Endoscopic therapy for weight loss: gastroplasty, duodenal sleeves, intragastric balloons, and aspiration. *World J Gastrointest Endosc.* 2015;7:847–59.
3. Jones KL, Doran SM, Hveem K, Bartholomeusz FD, Morley JE, Sun WM, et al. Relation between postprandial satiation and antral area in normal subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1997;66:127–32.
4. Geliebter A, Schachter S, Lohmann-Walter C, Feldman H, Hashim SA. Reduced stomach capacity in obese subjects after dieting. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1996;63:170–3.
5. Espinet-Coll E, Nebreda-Durán J, Gómez-Valero JA, Muñoz-Nava M, Pujol-Gebelli J, Vila-Lolo C, et al. Current endoscopic techniques in the treatment of obesity. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 2012;104:72–87.
6. Espinós JC, Turró R, Mata A, Cruz M, da Costa M, Villa V, et al. Early experience with the Incision less Operating Platform™ (IOP) for the treatment of obesity: The Primary Obesity Surgery Endolumenal (POSE) procedure. *Obes Surg.* 2013;23:1375–83.
7. Vilallonga R, Himpens J. Reply to the article Espinós JC, Turró R, Mata A, Cruz M, da Costa M, Villa V, Buchwald JN, Turró J. Early experience with the Incision less Operating Platform™ (IOP) for the treatment of obesity: The Primary Obesity Surgery Endolumenal (POSE) procedure. *Obes Surg.* 2013;23:1375–83. *Obes Surg.* 2014;24:422–3.
8. Lopez-Nava G, Galvão MP, da Bautista-Castaño I, Jimenez A, de Grado T, Fernandez-Corbelle JP. Endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty for the treatment of obesity. *Endoscopy.* 2015;47:449–52.
9. Deitel M, Greenstein RJ. Recommendations for reporting weight loss. *Obes Surg.* 2003;13:159–60.
10. Vidal P, Ramón JM, Bustó M, Domínguez-Vega G, Goday A, Pera M, et al. Residual gastric volume estimated with a new radiological volumetric model: relationship with weight loss after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. *Obes Surg.* 2014;24:359–63.