



REVIEW ARTICLE

Controversies in cerebrovascular disease: high or low doses of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator to treat acute stroke? A literature review[☆]



V. Montalván Ayala^{a,b,*}, Z. Rojas Cheje^a, R. Aldave Salazar^a

^a Departamento de Neurología, Hospital Guillermo Almenara Irigoyen de ESSalud, Lima, Peru

^b Interdisciplinary Cerebrovascular Diseases Training Program in Latin America – Universidad de Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

Received 1 February 2018; accepted 15 April 2018

KEYWORDS

Fibrinolysis;
Cerebrovascular;
Thrombolytic
therapy;
Dose;
Low;
Standard

Abstract

Introduction: The use of low doses of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) was initially proposed in Asian countries in response to racial peculiarities related to the functionality of fibrinogen and coagulation factors that potentially increased the risk of intracerebral haemorrhage, and with a view to saving costs. In view of the controversy over the use of rt-PA below the standard dose, we conducted a literature review of studies promoting the use of low doses or comparing different doses of rt-PA.

Development: We reviewed 198 abstracts related to the search terms and the full texts of 52 studies published in the last 30 years. We finally included 13 randomised clinical trials aiming to determine the efficacy and safety of the use of rt-PA at different doses in acute stroke, 14 observational cohort studies, 5 meta-analyses, and 3 systematic reviews.

Conclusions: There is insufficient evidence to classify low doses of rt-PA as superior or at least not inferior to the standard treatment in the management of acute stroke in western populations. More clinical trials are required to determine whether the use of low doses is beneficial in patients with relative contraindications for thrombolytic therapy or other particular circumstances that may increase the risk of intracerebral haemorrhage.

© 2018 Sociedad Española de Neurología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

[☆] Please cite this article as: Montalván Ayala V, Rojas Cheje Z, Aldave Salazar R. Controversias en enfermedad cerebrovascular: rt-PA a dosis bajas vs. dosis estándar en el tratamiento del ictus agudo. Una revisión de la literatura. Neurología. 2022;37:130–135.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: vicmont@uw.edu, montalvan.neurologia@gmail.com (V. Montalván Ayala).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Fibrinólisis;
Cerebrovascular;
Terapia trombolítica;
Dosis;
Baja;
Standard

Controversias en enfermedad cerebrovascular: rt-PA a dosis bajas vs. dosis estándar en el tratamiento del ictus agudo. Una revisión de la literatura**Resumen**

Introducción: El uso de activador tisular del plasminógeno (rt-PA) a dosis bajas fue propuesto inicialmente en países asiáticos en atención a particularidades raciales relacionadas con la funcionalidad del fibrinógeno y factores de coagulación que contribuyen al riesgo de hemorragias intracerebrales, así como a la intención de ahorrar costos. Ante la controversia sobre el uso de rt-PA por debajo de la dosis estándar, realizamos una revisión de la literatura sobre los estudios que motivaron su uso y aquellos dirigidos a comparar diferentes dosis de rt-PA.

Desarrollo: Se revisaron 198 resúmenes relacionados con los términos de búsqueda. Se revisaron 52 publicaciones de texto completo de los últimos 30 años. Se incluyeron 13 ensayos clínicos aleatorizados dirigidos a determinar la eficacia y seguridad del uso de rt-PA a diferentes dosis en el ictus agudo, 14 estudios de cohorte observacionales, 5 metaanálisis y 3 revisiones sistemáticas.

Conclusiones: No se cuenta con evidencia suficiente para catalogar la dosis baja de alteplasa como superior o al menos no inferior que el tratamiento estándar en el manejo del ictus agudo en población occidental. Se requieren más ensayos clínicos para determinar, si el uso de dosis bajas es beneficioso en pacientes con contraindicaciones relativas de terapia trombolítica u otras circunstancias particulares que eleven el riesgo de hemorragias intracerebrales.

© 2018 Sociedad Española de Neurología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

After the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) published a study¹ demonstrating the benefits of administering recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) (0.9 mg/kg) within 3 hours of stroke onset, the US Food and Drug Administration approved its use for the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke in 1996.² Low-dose rtPA was initially proposed in some Asian countries due to the increased risk in these populations of intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) secondary to use of fibrinogen and coagulation factors, and the need to reduce costs.³ In Japan, the preference for low-dose rtPA is mainly based on efficacy and safety results from clinical trials using different doses of duteplase (rtPA), now withdrawn from the market,^{4–6} as well as a wide range of observational studies of alteplase (rtPA), whose results have been compared against those of studies of standard-dose alteplase.^{7,8}

In the light of the controversy about the most appropriate dose of rtPA, we conducted a review of the studies supporting the use of low-dose rtPA and those comparing low-dose and standard-dose rtPA.

Methods

We systematically searched the PubMed, SCOPUS, EMBASE, and BIREME databases to identify studies of intravenous thrombolysis at different doses. We gathered studies published between January 1992 (publication of the first pilot clinical trials comparing thrombolytics at different doses) and January 2018 using the Spanish keywords "ictus,"

"fibrinólisis," "activador tisular del plasminógeno," "dosis bajas," and "dosis estándar"; and English keywords "thrombolytic therapy," "alteplase," "standard dose," "thrombolysis," "tissue plasminogen activator," and "fibrinolytic agents." We excluded all non-peer-reviewed studies and those that did not clearly describe the methodology. Studies with treatment windows longer than 4.5 hours and those using lower-efficacy thrombolytics were also excluded. We made a preliminary selection of studies based on their titles and abstracts and subsequently read the full texts of the articles selected. Our review included randomised controlled clinical trials (RCT), cohort studies, case series, ecological studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses written in English or Spanish.

Results

We initially reviewed 198 abstracts, then read the full texts of 52 studies. Six studies were excluded due to early termination or use of treatment windows longer than 4.5 hours. We excluded an additional 12 studies that analysed lower-efficacy thrombolytics. Our review finally included 13 RCTs aiming to determine the efficacy and safety of different doses of alteplase for acute stroke, 14 observational cohort studies, 5 meta-analyses, and 3 systematic reviews.

Standard-dose thrombolysis (0.9 mg/kg)

Multiple studies have shown the benefit of administering standard-dose alteplase within 4.5 hours of symptom onset.⁹ One of the earliest studies, the NINDS study (0.9 mg/kg of

intravenous rtPA against placebo), reports better functional outcomes at 3 months in patients receiving treatment within 3 hours of symptom onset (modified Rankin Scale [mRS] 0-1; OR = 1.7; $P = .019$), although these patients presented a higher rate of ICH (6.4% vs 0.6% in patients receiving placebo treatment; $P < .001$).

Other studies aimed to establish the most appropriate treatment window for rtPA in acute stroke.¹⁰⁻¹³ A meta-analysis including 3 of the most important RCTs published at the time (ATLANTIS, ECASS, and NINDS) reports favourable outcomes with standard-dose thrombolysis, even in patients receiving treatment from 3 to 4.5 hours after symptom onset (OR = 1.4 [95% CI, 1.1-1.9]).^{1,12,14,15} In 2008, the ECAS III study¹³ demonstrated the benefit of alteplase dosed at 0.9 mg/kg, with significant efficacy when administered within 4.5 hours of symptom onset and no significant increase in mortality (7.7%, vs 8.4% in the placebo group; $P = .68$). However, the available evidence suggests that efficacy decreases with greater delays in treatment initiation. A systematic review of 9 RCTs found that the likelihood of a favourable outcome is inversely proportional to the time from symptom onset to treatment initiation (OR = 2.55 [95% CI, 1.44-4.52] for treatment administered within 0-90 minutes; OR = 1.22 [95% CI, 0.92-1.61] for treatment administered 271-360 minutes after stroke onset).¹⁶ After 4.5 hours, the risks of this treatment usually outweigh the benefits, and thrombolysis is therefore contraindicated.^{2,9,17}

Older age, severe stroke, prior stroke, diabetes mellitus, and use of oral anticoagulants have for many years been regarded as contraindications for administering thrombolysis between 3 and 4.5 hours from stroke onset.¹⁷ These factors were established as exclusion criteria by the authors of the ECAS III study as they were arbitrarily considered to increase the risk of ICH. According to recent studies and clinical practice guidelines, however, standard-dose fibrinolysis is effective and safe for these patients.^{9,18,19}

Low-dose thrombolysis

In the early 1990s in Japan, several RCTs comparing different doses of rtPA showed that 20 MIU duteplase (0.6 mg/kg alteplase) was efficacious for treating acute embolic stroke without significantly increasing the risk of haemorrhage.^{4,5} In a subsequent study including a larger sample ($N = 113$), low-dose duteplase (20 IU) showed similar efficacy to 30 IU duteplase (0.9 mg/kg alteplase) for treating arterial occlusion, and was associated with a significantly lower incidence of ICH (3.6% vs 13.8%).⁶ After the publication of these results, the use of duteplase at doses above 20 MIU (or the equivalent dose of alteplase) was limited in Japan.

When the US Food and Drug Administration approved the use of alteplase for acute stroke, and duteplase was withdrawn from the market due to patent-related issues, researchers in Japan began to explore the efficacy and safety of different doses of alteplase. In view of the ethical issues involved in the use of placebo or control groups, the new studies compared case series against historical control groups taken from older clinical trials and patient series from Western studies of standard-dose alteplase.^{7,8,20,21}

One of the most relevant publications was the J-ACT study,⁸ in which 103 patients with acute stroke (mainly

cardioembolic) received 0.6 mg/kg of intravenous alteplase; results were compared against those of a meta-analysis of international studies and against the treatment group of the NINDS study. The percentage of patients scoring 0-1 on the mRS at 3 months was 36.9% (90% CI, 29.1%-44.7%) and the incidence of ICH within 36 hours of treatment initiation was 5.8% (90% CI, 2%-9.6%); both values are similar to those obtained in the treatment group of the NINDS study (Table 1) and the meta-analysis.^{1,8,12,22-28} However, the 3-month mortality rate was lower in the J-ACT study (9.7%, vs 17% in the NINDS and 10%-17% in the meta-analysis). Despite the limitations of observational studies, the authors showed that alteplase dosed at 0.6 mg/dL was as efficacious and safe as standard doses in their population.⁸ Based on these results, the Japanese healthcare authorities approved the use of alteplase dosed at 0.6 mg/kg for the treatment of acute stroke. Meanwhile, the researchers conducted the second phase of the study,²⁰ as well as the J-MARS post-marketing registration study,⁷ whose results were compared against those of the SIST-MOST study, a European pharmacosurveillance study of standard-dose alteplase.

In the J-MARS study,⁷ the percentages of patients with ICH at 3 months and those with favourable outcomes were similar to those reported in the SIST-MOST study. However, as reported by other Japanese studies,^{29,30} the percentage of cases of ICH was proportional to each recruiting centre's experience with thrombolysis.^{7,31} Despite a high drop-out rate, the results show that alteplase dosed at 0.6 mg/kg is associated with low rates of ICH in Japanese populations, while offering similar efficacy and safety to that of standard-dose alteplase in Europe.

Interestingly, the results of multiple observational studies comparing different doses of alteplase in other regions of Asia do not support the hypothesis that low-dose alteplase reduces the incidence of ICH. A study of 1526 patients from South Korea found that low- and standard-dose alteplase display similar efficacy and safety in terms of ICH incidence (8.4% for low-dose alteplase vs 6.4% for standard-dose alteplase; $P = .16$) and mortality (12.7% vs 14%; $P = .48$).²¹ Furthermore, a meta-analysis of observational studies conducted in China, Vietnam, Singapore, Thailand, and Korea³² found no significant differences between the low-dose (0.6-0.85 mg/kg) and standard-dose rtPA groups in terms of the rates of good functional outcomes (mRS 0-1) at 3 months (OR = 0.88; 95% CI, 0.71-1.11), ICH (OR = 1.19; 95% CI, 0.76-1.87), and mortality at 3 months (OR = 0.91; 95% CI, 0.73-1.12).

The recently published ENCHANTED study included patients younger than 80 years with mild-to-moderate stroke (mean NIHSS score of 8)³³; 63% of the patients were from Asia and only 10% were recruited in Latin America. The study compared the effects of 0.6 mg/kg alteplase against the standard dose. To confirm the non-inferiority hypothesis proposed by the authors, the upper limit of the 95% CI for the odds ratio of the primary outcome of death or severe disability had to be ≤ 1.14 (margin derived from Cochrane meta-analyses of alteplase trials with effects on poor outcomes reported). Although the incidence of ICH was significantly lower in the low-dose group, mortality rates were similar between groups. Furthermore, the study failed to demonstrate that low-dose alteplase was non-inferior to standard-dose alteplase, as the standard dose showed lower

Table 1 Characteristics of the studies aimed at determining the efficacy and safety of different doses of alteplase.

	Study								
	NINDS 1995	ECAS III 2008	IST-3 2012	SIST-MOST 2006	J-ACT 2006	J-MARS 2010	Kim et al. 2015	ENCHANTED 2016	Zhao et al. 2017
Design Comparison	RCT 0.9 mg/kg alteplase vs placebo	RCT 0.9 mg/kg alteplase vs placebo	RCT 0.9 mg/kg alteplase vs placebo	Observ. 0.9 mg/kg alteplase	Observ. 0.6 mg/kg alteplase	Observ. 0.6 mg/kg alteplase	Observ. 0.9 vs 0.6 mg/kg alteplase	RCT 0.9 vs 0.6 mg/kg alteplase	Observ. 0.9 mg/kg vs 0.6- alteplase
N	624 312 (t ¹) vs 312	821 418 (t ¹) vs 403	3035 1515 (t ¹) vs 1520	6483	103	4944	1526 1576 (t ¹) vs 450 (t ²)	3310 1643 (t ¹) vs 1654 (t ²)	1486 371 (t ¹) vs 1115 (t ²)
Mean age (years)	69 (t ¹) vs 66 <i>P</i> = .36	64	85	68	71	72	69 (t ¹) vs 68 (t ²) <i>P</i> = .24	67 (t ¹) vs 68 (t ²) <i>P</i> = .5	64 <i>P</i> = .5
Mean NIHSS score	14 (t ¹) vs 15	10 (t ¹) vs 11	13 vs 13	12	15	12	14 (t ¹) vs 10.5 (t ²)	8 (t ¹) vs 8 (t ²)	13 (t ¹) vs 13 (t ²)
Ethnicity	White Black Hispanic Asian	Europe 3024		Europe + Iceland	Japan	Japan	South Korea		China
Time to treatment	< 3 h	3-4.5 h	< 6 h	≤ 3 h	≤ 3 h	≤ 3 h	≤ 4.5 h	< 4 h	< 4 h
Follow-up	3 m	3 m	6 m	3 m	3 m	3 m	3 m	3 m	3 m
mRS 0-1 (%)	39 (t ¹) vs 26	52 (t ¹) vs 45	—	39	37	33	35 (t ¹) vs 32 (t ²) <i>P</i> = .28	38 (t ¹) vs 36 (t ²) <i>P</i> = 0.89	
mRS 2-6 (%)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	51 (t ¹) vs 53 (t ²) <i>P</i> = .51 ^a	
ICH (%)	36 h 6.4 ^b (t ¹) vs 0.6 ^b <i>P</i> < .001	36 h 2.4 ^c (t ¹) vs 0.2 ^c <i>P</i> = .008	7 d 7 ^b (t ¹) vs 1 ^b <i>P</i> < .0001	3 m 4.6 ^b <i>P</i> < .0001	36 h 5.8 ^c <i>P</i> < .0001	3 m 4.4 ^c <i>P</i> < .0001	3 m 6 ^c (t ¹) vs 8 ^c (t ²) <i>P</i> = .16	3 m 2 ^c (t ¹) vs 1 ^c (t ²) <i>P</i> = .01	3 m 6 ^c (t ¹) vs 2 ^c (t ²) <i>P</i> = .01
Deaths (%)	17 (t ¹) vs 21 <i>P</i> = .030	7.7 (t ¹) vs 8.4 <i>P</i> = .068	27 (t ¹) vs 27	11	10	13	14 (t ¹) vs 13 (t ²) <i>P</i> = .48	8.5 (t ¹) vs 10 (t ²) <i>P</i> = .07	7 (t ¹) vs 5.5 <i>P</i> = .076

d: days; h: hours; m: months; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; observ.: observational; RCT: randomised controlled trial.

t¹: standard-dose alteplase.

t²: low-dose alteplase.

^a *P* value for non-inferiority.

^b Neuroimaging findings of haemorrhage + any type of clinical worsening.

^c Neuroimaging findings of haemorrhage + clinical worsening of at least 4 points on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

rates of mortality and severe disability (51.1% vs 53.2%; OR=1.09; 95% CI, 0.95-1.25; $P=.51$ for non-inferiority). Similar results were reported by a recent retrospective observational study of 1486 patients in China; the study found no differences in functional outcomes or death at 90 days between 0.9 mg/kg alteplase and low-dose alteplase. However, the incidence of ICH was significantly lower in the low-dose group.^{33,34}

Future perspectives

The Thrombolysis for Acute Wake-Up and Unclear-Onset Strokes With Alteplase at 0.6 mg/kg Trial (THAWS) is an RCT currently underway in Japan. Intended as a complement to the WAKE UP study, the THAWS trial aims to include 300 patients with acute wake-up and unclear-onset strokes who are eligible for thrombolysis according to MRI findings. The researchers administer 0.6 mg/kg intravenous alteplase to patients with DWI-ASPECT scores ≥ 5 and not presenting hyperintensities on FLAIR sequences (these findings indicate stroke of less than 4.5 hours' progression). The study is due to be completed in March 2020 and aims to determine the efficacy and safety of low-dose thrombolysis in these patients.

Conclusion

Insufficient evidence is available to categorically state that low-dose alteplase is superior (or at least non-inferior) to standard-dose alteplase for treating acute stroke in Western populations. None of the studies included in this review reports significant reductions in mortality rates with low-dose alteplase as compared to standard doses.

The low rates of ICH associated with low-dose rtPA suggest that use of low doses of alteplase may be a reasonable option in Asian patients. Further research is needed to support its use in patients with contraindications for intravenous thrombolysis, those eligible for thrombolytic therapy and undergoing intra-arterial procedures, or patients with any other circumstances increasing the risk of ICH.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study Group. Tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischemic stroke. Tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med.* 1995;333:1581–7.
- Minematsu K, Toyoda K, Hirano T, Kimura K, Kondo R, Mori E, et al., Japan Stroke Society. Guidelines for the intravenous application of recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator (alteplase), the second edition, October 2012: a guideline from the Japan Stroke Society. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2013;22:571–600.
- Menon BK, Saver JL, Prabhakaran S, Reeves M, Liang L, Olson DM, et al. Risk score for intracranial hemorrhage in patients with acute ischemic stroke treated with intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator. *Stroke.* 2012;43:2293–9.
- Mori E, Yoneda Y, Tabuchi M, Yoshida T, Ohkawa S, Ohsumi Y, et al. Intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator in acute carotid artery territory stroke. *Neurology.* 1992;42:976–82.
- Yamaguchi T, Hayakawa T, Kiuchi H. Intravenous tissue plasminogen activator ameliorates the outcome of hyperacute embolic stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 1993;3:269–72.
- Yamaguchi T, Kikuchi H, Hayakawa T, for the Japanese Thrombolysis Study Group. Clinical efficacy and safety of intravenous tissue plasminogen activator in acute embolic stroke: a randomized, double-blind, dose-comparison study of duteplase. In: Yamaguchi T, Mori E, Minematsu K, del Kopo GJ, editors. *Thrombolytic therapy in acute ischemic stroke III* [Internet] Tokyo: Springer; 1995. p. 223–9. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-4-431-68459-6_27 [cited 05.01.18].
- Nakagawara J, Minematsu K, Okada Y, Tanahashi N, Nagahiro S, Mori E, et al. Thrombolysis with 0.6 mg/kg intravenous alteplase for acute ischemic stroke in routine clinical practice: The Japan post-Marketing Alteplase Registration Study (J-MARS). *Stroke.* 2010;41:1984–9.
- Yamaguchi T, Mori E, Minematsu K, Nakagawara J, Hashi K, Saito I, et al. Alteplase at 0.6 mg/kg for acute ischemic stroke within 3 hours of onset: Japan Alteplase Clinical Trial (J-ACT). *Stroke.* 2006;37:1810–5.
- Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, Adeoye OM, Bambakidis NC, Becker K, et al., American Heart Association Stroke Council. 2018 Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: A guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke.* 2018;49:e46–50.
- Hacke W, Kaste M, Fieschi C, Toni D, Lesaffre E, von Kummer R, et al. Intravenous thrombolysis with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator for acute hemispheric stroke: The European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS). *JAMA.* 1995;274:1017–25.
- Hacke W, Bluhmki E, Steiner T, Tatlisumak T, Mahagne MH, Sacchetti ML, et al. Dichotomized efficacy end points and global end-point analysis applied to the ECASS intention-to-treat data set: post hoc analysis of ECASS I. *Stroke.* 1998;29:2073–5.
- Hacke W, Kaste M, Fieschi C, von Kummer R, Davalos A, Meier D, et al. Randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial of thrombolytic therapy with intravenous alteplase in acute ischaemic stroke (ECASS II). Second European-Australasian Acute Stroke Study Investigators. *Lancet.* 1998;352:1245–51.
- Hacke W, Kaste M, Bluhmki E, Brozman M, Dávalos A, Guidetti D, et al., ECASS Investigators. Thrombolysis with alteplase 3 to 4.5 hours after acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:1317–29.
- Albers GW, Clark WM, Madden KP, Hamilton SA. ATLANTIS Trial: results for patients treated within 3 hours of stroke onset. Alteplase Thrombolysis for acute noninterventional therapy in ischemic stroke. *Stroke.* 2002;33:493–6.
- Hacke W, Donnan G, Fieschi C, Kaste M, von Kummer R, Broderick JP, et al. Association of outcome with early stroke treatment: pooled analysis of ATLANTIS ECASS, and NINDS rt-PA stroke trials. *Lancet.* 2004;363:768–74.
- Lees KR, Bluhmki E, von Kummer R, Brott TG, Toni D, Grotta JC, et al. Time to treatment with intravenous alteplase and outcome in stroke: an updated pooled analysis of ECASS, ATLANTIS NINDS, and EPITHET trials. *Lancet.* 2010;375:1695–703.
- Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HP Jr, Bruno A, Connors JJ, Demaerschalk BM, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare

- professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke.* 2013;44:870–947.
18. Sandercock P, Wardlaw JM, Lindley RI, Dennis M, Cohen G, Murray G, et al., IST-3 Collaborative Group. The benefits and harms of intravenous thrombolysis with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator within 6 h of acute ischaemic stroke (the third international stroke trial [IST-3]): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2012;379:2352–63.
 19. Hacke W, Lyden P, Emberson J, Baigent C, Blackwell L, Albers G, et al. Effects of alteplase for acute stroke according to criteria defining the European Union and United States marketing authorizations: individual-patient-data meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Int J Stroke.* 2018;13:175–89.
 20. Mori E, Minematsu K, Nakagawara J, Yamaguchi T, Sasaki M, Hirano T, et al. Effects of 0.6 mg/kg intravenous alteplase on vascular and clinical outcomes in middle cerebral artery occlusion: Japan Alteplase Clinical Trial II (J-ACT II). *Stroke.* 2010;41:461–5.
 21. Kim BJ, Han M-K, Park TH, Park S-S, Lee KB, Lee BC, et al. Low-versus standard-dose alteplase for ischemic strokes within 4.5 hours: a comparative effectiveness and safety study. *Stroke.* 2015;46:2541–8.
 22. Grond M, Stenzel C, Schmülling S, Rudolf J, Neveling M, Lechleuthner A, et al. Early intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke in a community-based approach [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9707190> [cited 13.01.18].
 23. Schenkel J, Weimar C, Knoll T, Haberl RL, Busse O, Hamann GF, et al. R1—systemic thrombolysis in German stroke units—the experience from the German Stroke data bank. *J Neurol.* 2003;250:320–4.
 24. Koennecke HC, Nohr R, Leistner S, Marx P. Intravenous tPA for ischemic stroke team performance over time, safety, and efficacy in a single-center, 2-year experience [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11340212> [cited 13.01.18].
 25. Merino JG, Silver B, Wong E, Foell B, Demaerschalk B, Tamayo A, et al. Extending tissue plasminogen activator use to community and rural stroke patients. *Stroke.* 2002;33:141–6.
 26. Dávalos A, Álvarez-Sabín J, Lluís Martí-Vilalta J, Castillo J. Tratamiento intravenoso con activador del plasminógeno tisular en la isquemia cerebral aguda. *Med Clin.* 2003;120:1–5.
 27. Is the Benefit of Early Recanalization Sustained at 3 Months? | *Stroke* [Internet]. Available from: <http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/34/3/695> [cited 13.01.18].
 28. Lindsberg PJ, Soinne L, Roine RO, Salonen O, Tatlisumak T, Kallela M, et al. Community-based thrombolytic therapy of acute ischemic stroke in Helsinki. *Stroke.* 2003;34:1443–9.
 29. Toyoda K, Koga M, Naganuma M, Shiohawa Y, Nakagawara J, Furui E, et al. Routine use of intravenous low-dose recombinant tissue plasminogen activator in Japanese patients: general outcomes and prognostic factors from the SAMURAI register. *Stroke.* 2009;40:3591–5.
 30. Yoneda Y, Yamamoto S, Hara Y, Ohta K, Matsushita M, Yamamoto D, et al. Post-licensed 1-year experience of systemic thrombolysis with tissue plasminogen activator for ischemic stroke in a Japanese neuro-unit. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* 2007;109:567–70.
 31. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Dávalos A, Ford GA, Grond M, Hacke W, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase for acute ischaemic stroke in the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke-Monitoring Study (SITS-MOST): An observational study. *Lancet* [Internet]. Available from: [http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(07\)60149-4/fulltext](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(07)60149-4/fulltext) [cited 07.01.18].
 32. Liu M-D, Ning W-D, Wang R-C, Chen W, Yang Y, Lin Y, et al. Low-dose versus standard-dose tissue plasminogen activator in acute ischemic stroke in Asian populations. *Medicine (Baltimore)* [Internet]. 2015;94. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5291641/> [accessed 12.11.17].
 33. Anderson CS, Robinson T, Lindley RI, Arima H, Lavados PM, Lee T-H, et al. Low-dose versus standard-dose intravenous alteplase in acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:2313–23.
 34. Zhao G, Huang T, Zheng M, Cui Y, Liu Y, Cheng Z, et al. Comparative analysis on low- and standard-dose regimes of alteplase thrombolytic therapy for acute ischemic stroke: efficacy and safety. *Eur Neurol.* 2017;79:68–73.