

NEUROLOGÍA



www.elsevier.es/neurologia

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

J.M. García Domínguez^{a,*}, M.L. Martínez Ginés^a, M.L. Martín-Barriga^a, J. Guzmán-de-Villoria^b, J.L. Muñoz Blanco^a, C. de Andrés Frutos^a

^a Servicio de Neurología, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain ^b Servicio de Neurorradiología, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

Received 25 August 2010; accepted 11 April 2011 Available online 17 May 2012

KEYWORDS

Rhombencephalomyelitis; Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM); Neuromyelitis optica (NMO); Recurrent myelitis; Multiple sclerosis

Abstract

Introduction: The lack of accepted homogeneous criteria for the definition of some demyelinating diseases makes diagnostic characterization difficult and limits data interpretation and therapeutic recommendations. Recurrent encephalomyelitis (ADE-R) along with borderline cases of neuromyelitis optica (NMO) is especially controversial.

Objective: To describe the clinical and radiological evolution of an adult-onset ADE-R versus NMO case throughout 9 years of follow-up.

Patient and methods: Our patient presented with severe symptoms of rhombencephalomyelitis and the cranial and spinal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed large lesions, with gadolinium enhancement in brainstem and spinal cord, correlating with the clinical picture. Infectious aetiology was excluded, IgG index was normal and NMO antibodies were negative. After treatment with intravenous corticosteroids and plasmapheresis, there was excellent recovery in the acute phase. During follow-up, seven relapses have occurred, mainly in the spinal cord, with good recovery and the same symptomatology, albeit with different severity. Immunosuppressive treatment was introduced since the beginning.

Conclusions: Our case shares common features of both ADE-R and NMO, illustrating that diagnostic characterization is not easy in spite of current criteria.

© 2010 Sociedad Española de Neurología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Rombencefalomielitis; Encefalomielitis aguda diseminada (ADEM);

¿Rombencefalomielitis aguda recurrente del adulto o neuromielitis óptica? A propósito de un caso

Resumen

Introducción: La falta de criterios homogéneos aceptados para la definición de algunas de las patologías desmielinizantes dificulta la caracterización diagnóstica limitando la

* Corresponding author.

2173-5808/\$ - see front matter © 2010 Sociedad Española de Neurología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

^{*} Please cite this article as: García Domínguez JM, et al. ¿Rombencefalomielitis aguda recurrente del adulto o neuromielitis óptica? A propósito de un caso. Neurología. 2012;27: 154–60.

E-mail address: jose_garciadom@hotmail.com (J.M. García Domínguez).

Neuromielitis óptica (NMO); Mielitis recurrente; Esclerosis múltiple reproducibilidad de los resultados y las recomendaciones terapéuticas. Especialmente controvertidas son las formas de encefalomielitis recurrentes (EAD-RR) y otras formas infrecuentes de neuromielitis óptica (NMO).

Objetivo: Describimos la evolución clínico-radiológica de un caso de EAD-RR del adulto versus NMO, seguida durante 9 años.

Paciente y métodos: La paciente debutó con síntomas severos de rombencefalomielitis y la resonancia magnética (RM) craneal y medular mostraron lesiones extensas, con captación de gadolinio en el tronco encefálico y de la médula, acorde con los síntomas clínicos de la paciente. Se excluyó etiología infecciosa, el índice IgG fue normal y fueron negativos los anticuerpos para NMO. Tras tratamiento con corticoides por vía intravenosa y plasmaféresis la recuperación del episodio fue excelente. Durante el seguimiento ha presentado 7 recurrencias, preferentemente medulares, con buena recuperación, que reproducen con severidad variable los mismos síntomas. Desde el inicio ha recibido tratamiento inmunosupresor.

Conclusiones: Nuestro caso comparte características clínicas con EAD-RR y NMO e ilustra que, pese a los criterios vigentes, la caracterización diagnóstica de estas entidades no es fácil. © 2010 Sociedad Española de Neurología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Idiopathic acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM) is an inflammatory demyelinating disease. It primarily affects children and is usually preceded by viral infections or vaccines, with a latency between 2 and 30 days and a higher incidence in winter.^{1–4} Its causes do not include infections^{5–7} or neoplasms.⁸ The aetiopathogenic hypothesis explains it as an inadequate immune response against antigens of the central nervous system (CNS), released after damage by neurotropic agents or by molecular analogy.^{3,4} The neurological manifestations of ADEM are often polysymptomatic, ^{1,9} cerebral and, less commonly, medullar. The most common signs of ADEM in children⁴ are alterations in level of consciousness or behaviour, ¹⁰ fever, meningism and epileptic crises. ^{1-3,11,12} In adults it is rare and the most prevalent symptoms are motor, sensory, ataxia and alterations in speech or level of consciousness.^{2,4,9,13} ADEM is usually monophasic,³ although 5.5%-25% of cases present recurrences.¹⁰ These may occur in the same initial topography (ADEM-R) and, for some authors, in other locations (multiphasic ADEM).^{1,2,4,10} Both of them, especially the multiphasic form, are the subject of much controversy since the differential diagnosis with MS^{3,10,11} carries prognostic and therapeutic implications.⁴

Radiologically, ADEM usually exhibits more extensive lesions in the cerebral white matter than those caused by MS.^{1,9} Gadolinium uptake is variable,³ but a simultaneous uptake points more towards ADEM.⁹ Gray matter lesions, mainly in the basal ganglia, may be bilateral and occur in up to 60% of cases,⁹ while they are infrequent in the first demyelinating event in MS. The presence of "Dawson's fingers'' in T1-weighted sequences should direct towards an alternative diagnosis to ADEM.^{3,11} Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) may be normal or present lymphocytic pleocytosis and hyperproteinorrachia.¹ Oligoclonal bands (OCB) are often absent or disappear during follow-up, contrary to what has been described in MS.¹ Histopathologically, ADEM can be distinguished from MS by the existence in the former of perivenular demyelination "bands" with prominent inflammatory infiltrate at the expense of macrophages. Lesion margins are poorly defined.14,15 Typically, patients are treated with intravenous methylprednisolone (ivMTP) at high doses³ during acute episodes. Plasmapheresis^{16,17} and intravenous immunoglobulins¹⁸ are therapeutic alternatives⁹ in severe cases that are unresponsive to corticosteroids. The prognosis of monophasic ADEM is variable; 37%-81% of patients present a complete resolution of symptoms.^{2,11} Mortality (5%-25%) is associated with respiratory failure due to bulbar involvement.⁴ There is little experience regarding the treatment of ADEM-R; some authors have proposed immunosuppressors,¹² cyclophosphamide^{2,11,12} and mitoxantrone.^{4,12}

For its part, neuromyelitis optica (NMO) or Devic's disease is characterised by recurrent episodes of optic neuritis and myelitis, which are usually disabling.^{15,19} Infrequently, NMO may present bulbar symptoms, such as hyperemesis and respiratory dysfunction, or even appear as a transverse myelitis.²⁰ The MRI scan of NMO usually reveals extensive medullar lesions of more than vertebral 3 segments^{18,20} and, in most cases, the cerebral white matter is normal. Determination of the anti-aquaporin 4 antibody in 2004²¹ enabled differentiation between this entity and MS and the detection of intermediate or "NMO spectrum" forms whose evolution is still to be determined.^{19,20} However, false negatives can reach 30%-45% of the total, especially in cases treated with immunosuppressive drugs.¹⁹ Therapeutic options during the acute phase include intravenous methylprednisolone (ivMTP)²⁰ and occasionally plasmapheresis¹⁷ or intravenous immunoglobulins (ivlg).¹⁸ As in ADEM-R, there are currently no randomised trials evaluating the treatment of recurrent NMO to prevent recurrences.^{1,20}

Objective

The aim of this study is to describe the clinical and radiological characteristics of a 21-year-old female patient with recurrent rhombencephalomyelitis who was monitored for 9 years, as well as to discuss diagnostic options and therapeutic considerations.

Patient and methods

The patient was a 21-year-old female with no prior history of systemic or autoimmune disease, diagnosed with



Figure 1 Brain MRI image at the time of diagnosis (February 2003). Sagittal section in T2 (A) and axial FLAIR (B) sequences, revealing an altered signal at the level of the dorsal bulb, exerting a mass effect on the fourth ventricle. (C) Medullar MRI image in STIR sequence without contrast, showing an extensive signal alteration from C4 to L1, with slight mass effect.

gastritis at the emergency department in February 2003, due to a 2-day history of vomiting, fever and mesogastric pain. Eight days later she reported progressive difficulty swallowing odynophagia, and uncontrollable vomiting. A thoracoabdominal CT scan and an urgent endoscopy were both normal.

Subsequently, the patient was again examined due to dyspnoea, pharyngeal foreign body sensation, phonatory difficulty and choking, with lancinating pain and painful dysesthesia throughout a broad band in the thoracolumbar region. She had no history of vaccination or infection prior to these symptoms. The general physical examination was normal. Upon exploration by a neurologist she presented limitation of left eye abduction and multidirectional nystagmus in all gaze positions, abolition of the gag reflex, significant dysarthria and breathing difficulty. Barre's manoeuvre was positive in the right upper limb and osteotendinous reflexes were hypoactive. She presented a band of hypoalgesia at the level of D4-L1, altered proprioceptive sensitivity in all four limbs, and dysmetria in the left upper limb. Visual acuity and fundus were normal.

A cranial MRI scan (Fig. 1A) showed hyperintense lesions in T2 sequences in two-thirds of the dorsal bulb, with compression of the fourth ventricle and left cerebellar peduncle. A spinal MRI scan (Fig. 1B) revealed a hyperintense band from C4 to L1 in T2-weighted sequences. The CSF presented pleocytosis $(50/\mu l)$ with monocyte predominance, sterility, proteins at 62 mg/dl and glucose at 84 mg/dl. The IgG index was normal and OCBs were not obtained. The microbiological study ruled out infectious aetiologies (*Borrelia, Brucella, Mycoplasma*, parvovirus, cytomegalovirus, herpes virus, varicella-zoster virus, Epstein-Barr virus, toxoplasmosis, enteroviruses, mycobacteria, acquired immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis C and HBV virus, *Listeria*, Gram, auramine). The serological study ruled out autoimmune or tumoural causes.

The initial diagnosis was autoimmune rhombencephalomyelitis. The patient was admitted to the intensive care unit with respiratory distress secondary to aspiration pneumonia. She was treated with broad-spectrum antibiotics and ivMTP for 5 days. Due to her poor response to corticosteroids, she was treated with 5 cycles of plasmapheresis followed by iv Ig at doses of 0.4 mg/kg/day for 5 days. At discharge, 1 month after admission, she presented paresis of the left sixth cranial nerve, multidirectional nystagmus and walked unaided, but was unsteady.

Subsequently, the patient presented 7 spontaneous relapses (Table 1). Of these 7 relapses, 4 consisted in mild-moderate myelitis with motor and/or sensory involvement, all at the dorsal level, from which she recovered after a few days. The first relapse coincided with removal of glu-cocorticoids. Treatment with azathioprine was started. The remaining relapses consisted of bilateral, paroxysmal pain in a half-band, associated with bilateral metameric hypoalgesia at the level of D5–D10. During the clinical course, visual evoked potentials were normal and serologies for HTLV-1 and anti-aquaporin 4, conducted during one of the relapses (May 2007), were negative. Regarding the MRI scan, the medullar lesion showed gadolinium uptake during various clinical relapses, whilst no other cranial or spinal injuries or signs of axonal damage were observed (Fig. 2).

The patient was chronically treated with azathioprine at doses of 50 mg/12 h and, given the persistence of relapses, azathioprine was replaced by mycophenolate mofetil at doses of 1-1.5 g/day. At present, the patient has not suffered relapses for 3 years, she can walk and jump normally and exploration has only revealed the persistence of upwards vertical and bilateral horizontal nystagmus, without functional interference.

Discussion

This case suggests a form of recurrent rhombencephalomyelitis compatible with ADEM-R by clinical and radiological criteria, with a good clinical course and therapeutic response to immunosuppressors. At present, the patient is clinically asymptomatic after 7 relapses, despite having presented moderate disability during 3 of them. Notably, all relapses were in the same location as the initial event, although with different severity, and only the first relapse could be attributed to the gradual withdrawal of glucocorticoids.

Table 1Clinical recurrences and treatment.

Date	Symptoms	Neurological examination	Treatment
April 2003 (2 months after the first episode)	Double vision when viewing close objects. Burning sensation from abdomen to lower limbs. Numbness and weakness in fine movements of right upper limb Gait instability and feeling of ''swollen lower limbs'', difficulty urinating and defecating. Duration of symptoms, 2 weeks	Multidirectional nystagmus in upwards gaze. Medial rectus paresis of left eye along with paresis to accommodate it Hypoalgesia in D6—D12 band and associated dysesthesia Proprioceptive ataxia, abolition of positional sensitivity in both lower limbs. Hypoesthesia in both lower limbs and bilateral Babinski sign	Azathioprine 50 mg/12 h, MTP 1 g/5 days
May 2003	Brief episodes of involuntary extension of both lower limbs alternately. Interpreted as phenomena of paroxysmal dystonia, with a frequency of 3–4 times/day. ''Tingling'' ascending from both lower limbs up to pavel	Persistence of bilateral horizontal nystagmus and vertical upwards Mild impairment of positional sensitivity in the right leg. Left Babinski sign	Carbamazepine was added at 50 mg/8 h
October 2003	Sensation of ''intermittent burning'' in the right leg from knee to thigh. Duration of 1 week	Eye movements similar to prior. Hypoalgesia from the umbilical region to the left thigh No cerebellar signs. Normal strength. Indifferent CPR	Increase of carbamazepine 100 mg/8 h
May 2007	Alteration in touch and temperature perception from the interscapular region, more accentuated in submammary and perianal bands, with unaffected sphincters Clumsiness when walking Duration of 1.5 months	Eye movements similar to prior Bilateral sensitive level from D2 to D5. Mild impairment of positional sensitivity in lower limbs. Autonomous gait with proprioceptive ataxia. Closed-eye Romberg with oscillations. No weakness in limbs. Bilateral Babiarki cign	MTP 1g/5 days Azathioprine without changes
August 2007	Very painful dysesthesia from inframammary level, half-abdomen and left leg Lack of perception of temperature and ground texture Difficulty to start urination and defecate	Inframammary hypoesthesia (touch and pain) in a band from D4 to inguinal region Defective positional sensitivity in toes Proprioceptive gait, positive tandem, oscillating Romberg Rest of the examination (nystagmus and reflexes) similar to previous	MTP 1g/5 days

Date	Symptoms	Neurological examination	Treatment
October 2007	Painful dorsal dysesthesia in band. Numbness of left side of body Intermittent contractions of the muscles of the left abdomen 8–10 times/day Unsteady gait with a feeling of ''oppressive band'' at that level Does not feel ground	Vertical nystagmus in all eye movements. Impaired convergence and left medial rectus paresis Slowness of alternating hand movements. Left extensor CPR, right indifferent Spontaneous abdominal contractions interpreted as segmental motor paroxysmal phenomena Hypoalgesia in D3–D10 band and knees, with proprioceptive disorder in all 4 limbs Proprioceptive gait. Hesitation in finger—nose manoeuvre	MTP 1 g/5 days Mycophenolate mofetil is introduced (1 g/day). Azathioprine is suspended
March 2009	Altered sensitivity in subaxial mammary region band of 5 days duration Reduced thermal sensitivity and proprioception in lower limbs Difficulty urinating	Eye movements similar to previous Torpid dorsiflexion Asymmetrical hypoalgesia at D2—D5 level and lower limbs: in the right leg up to the knee and in the left leg up to the groin. Affected perineum Proprioceptive impairment (abolished in left foot, 40% in right foot) Ataxic gait	ivMTP 1g/10 days. Increase of mycophenolate mofetil (1.5g/day)

CPR: cutaneous-plantar reflex; MTP: methylprednisolone.

Images from the brain and medullar MRI scans were extensive, with medullar thickening similar to that described in exceptional cases of ADEM,^{2,10} and unusual for MS. The medullar images captured contrast on at least 2 occasions, coinciding with an exacerbation of symptoms. It is striking that after 7 recurrences, there were no observations of medullar necrosis or black holes in the brain MRI, suggesting the absence of axonal lesions, more typical in MS. The evolution of the case and the data presented excluded the diagnosis of MS.²²

The boundary with NMO is even more controversial.²³ From the clinical standpoint, our patient did not present the visual symptoms typical of NMO at any time during her evolution, and her evoked potentials were normal. Full recovery of the episodes without disability is rare in NMO.^{15,19,23,24} Although in our patient the medullar images resembled those described for NMO,²⁴ they did not show evidence of tissue necrosis, as is usual in NMO.^{15,24} Nevertheless, there have recently been reports of cases in which radiographic abnormalities improved or even disappeared between episodes.²⁰ The determination of IgG versus AQP4 was negative, although this determination was conducted under immunosuppressive treatment, so we cannot definitively rule out the possibility that our patient suffered an uncommon form of recurrent NMO according to the current criteria. 20,24

The clinical evolution and negative result of microbiological and immunological tests conducted at the onset and during several of the episodes excluded other entities which could mimic these symptoms.

There is no clear consensus regarding treatment. During the initial outbreak, the patient was treated with ivMTP at high doses. Due to the severity of the outbreak and the incomplete response, she was subsequently treated with plasmapheresis and iv Ig, with marked clinical improvement.^{16,17} Treatment with azathioprine was established after the first relapse, following reports of good response to this drug.^{12,24,25} The outbreaks persisted, so it was switched to mycophenolate mofetil, useful in other autoimmune diseases including NMO and MS.²⁵ This drug acts by inducing apoptosis of reactive T cells and decreasing humoral reactivity.²⁶ The patient has remained asymptomatic for two and a half years.

Table 1 (Continued)



Figure 2 August 2007. (A) Medullar MRI image with intravenous gadolinium. There is a hypodense lesion in T1 sequences, from C2 to D10, with contrast uptake at the level of D8–D9. At the brain level, axial FLAIR (B) and sagittal T1 (C) sequences show no white matter lesions or signs of atrophy.

Conclusions

Our study illustrates the observation that in certain cases of demyelinating diseases, diagnostic characterisation is not easy, despite the current criteria. We believe that our case presents clinical and radiological data matching both adult onset ADEM-R and an unusual form of NMO. The excellent medium-term prognosis with immunosuppressive therapy is more suggestive of a rare form of ADEM-R. Treatment with mycophenolate mofetil may be an alternative therapy for these patients.

Financing

This article has not been elaborated with any external financing.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Dale RC, De Sousa C, Chong WK, Cox T, Harding B, Neville B. Acute disseminated encepahlomyelitis, multiphasic disseminated encephalomyelitis and multiple sclerosis in children. Brain. 2000;123:2407–22.

- Tenembaum S, Chitnis T, Ness J, Hahn JS, International Pediatric MS Study Group. Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis. Neurology. 2007;68 Suppl. 2:S23–36.
- Young NP, Weinshenker BG, Lucchinetti CF. Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis: current understanding and controversies. Semin Neurol. 2008;28:84–94.
- Stüve O, Nessler S, Hartung HP, Hemmer B, Wiendl H, Keiseier BC. Akute disseminierte enzephalomyelitis. Nervenartz. 2005;76:701–7.
- 5. Fujimoto H, Asaoka K, Imaizumi T, Ayabe M, Shoji H, Kaji M. Epstein-Barr virus infections of the central nervous system. Intern Med. 2003;42:33–40.
- Mantienne, Albucher J, Catalaa I, Sévely A, Cognard C, Manelfe C. MRI in Lyme disease of the Spinal Cord. Neuroradiology. 2001;43:485–8.
- Kuntzer T, Bogousslavsky J, Miklossy J, Steck AJ, Janzer R, Regli F. Borrelia rhombencephalomyelopathy. Arch Neurol. 1991;48:832–6.
- Graus F, Delattre JY, Antoine JC, Dalmau J, Giometto B, Grisold W, et al. Recommended diagnostic criteria for paraneoplastic neurological syndromes. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2004;75:1135-40.
- Poser C, Brinar V. Disseminated encephalomyelitis and multiple sclerosis: two different diseases—a critical review. Acta Neurol Scan. 2007;116:201–6.
- Brinar V, Poser C. The spectrum of disseminated encephalomyelitis. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2006;108: 295–310.
- Schwarz S, Mohr A, Knauth M, Wildemann B, Storch-Hagenlocher B. Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis. A follow-up study of 40 adult patients. Neurology. 2001;56:1313–8.
- De Seze J, Debouverie M, Zephir H, Lebrun C, Blanc F, Bourg V, et al. Acute fulminant demyelinating disease. A descriptive study of 60 patients. Arch Neurol. 2007;64: 1426–32.
- Young NP, Weinshenker BG, Parisi JE, Scheithauer B, Giannini C, Roemer SF, et al. Perivenous demyelination: association with clinically defined acute disseminated encephalomyelitis and comparison with pathologically confirmed multiple sclerosis. Brain. 2010;133:333–48.
- Hu W, Lucchinetti C. The pathological spectrum of CNS inflammatory demyelinating diseases. Semin Immunopathol. 2009;31:439–53.
- Cox A, Coles A, Antoun N, Malik O, Lucchinetti C, Compston A. Recurrent myelitis and optic neuritis in a 29-year-old woman. Lancet Neurol. 2005;4:510–6.
- De Andrés C, Anaya F, Gimenez-Roldán S. Tratamiento con plasma inmunoadsorción en brotes graves y prolongados de sclerosis múltiple maligna. Rev Neurol. 2000;30:601–5.
- Llufriu S, Castillo J, Blanco Y, Ramió-Torrentà L, Río J, Vallès M, et al. Plasma exchange for acute attacks of CNS demyelination. Predictors of improvement at 6 months. Neurology. 2009;73:949–53.
- Marchioni E, Marinou-Aktipi K, Uggetti C, Bottanelli M, Pichiecchio A, Soragna D, et al. Effectiveness of intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in adult patients with steroid-resistant monophasic or recurrent acute disseminated encephalomyelitis. J Neurol. 2002;249:100–4.
- Saiz A, Zuliani L, Blanco Y, Tavolato B, Giometto B, Graus F, Spanish-Italian NMO study group. Revised diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica (NMO). Application in a series of suspected patients. J Neurol. 2007;254:1233–7.
- Sellner J, Boggild M, Clanet M, Hintzen RQ, Illes Z, Montalban X, et al. EFNS guidelines on diagnosis and management of neuromyelitis optica. Eur J Neurol. 2010;17:1019-32.
- 21. Lennon VA, Wingerchuk DM, Kryzer TJ, Pittock SJ, Lucchinetti CF, Fujihara K, et al. A serum autoantibody

marker of neuromyelitis optica: distinction from multiple sclerosis. Lancet. 2004;364:2106–12.

- Marchioni E, Tavazzi E, Minoli N, Del Bue S, Ferrante P, Piccolo G, et al. Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis. Neurol Sci. 2008;29:S286–8.
- 23. Sellner J, Hemer B, Mühlau M. The Clinical spectrum and immunobiology of parainfectious neuromyelitis optica (Devic) syndromes. J Autoimmun. 2010;34:371–9.
- 24. Wingerchuk D. Diagnosis and Treatment of Neuromyelitis optica. Neurologist. 2007;13:2–11.
- 25. Neuhaus O, Kieseier B, Hartung HP. Immunosuppresive agents in multiple sclerosis. Neurotherapeutics. 2007;4: 654–60.
- 26. Allison AC, Eugui EM. Mycophenolate mofetil and its mechanisms of action. Immunopharmacology. 2000;47:85–118.