

# CIRUGÍA ESPAÑOLA

#### www.elsevier.es/cirugia



## **Original article**



## Procalcitonin and C-Reactive Protein as Early Indicators of Postoperative Intra-Abdominal Infection After Surgery for Gastrointestinal Cancer<sup>☆</sup>

### Elías Domínguez-Comesaña,<sup>a,\*</sup> Victoria López-Gómez,<sup>b</sup> Sergio Manuel Estevez-Fernández,<sup>a</sup> Esther Mariño Padín,<sup>a</sup> Julio Ballinas-Miranda,<sup>a</sup> Ester Carrera-Dacosta,<sup>a</sup> Miguel Ángel Piñon-Cimadevila,<sup>a</sup> Francisco Barreiro-Morandeira<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Servicio de Cirugía, Complejo Hospitalario de Pontevedra, Pontevedra, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Servicio de Análisis Clínicos, Complejo Hospitalario de Pontevedra, Pontevedra, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Departamento de Cirugía, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 June 2013 Accepted 26 September 2013 Available online 24 April 2014

Keywords: Procalcitonin C-reactive protein Postoperative intra-abdominal infection

#### ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the association between serum levels of procalcitonin and C-reactive protein, on the first 3 postoperative days, and the appearance of postoperative intraabdominal infection.

*Method*: Prospective observational study including 67 patients operated on for colo-rectal, gastric and pancreatic cancer. Serum levels of procalcitonin and C-reactive protein were analysed before surgery and daily until the third postoperative day. Values of procalcitonin (PCT) and C-reactive protein (CRP) were recorded as well as their accuracy for detection of postoperative intra-abdominal infection (PIAI).

Results: The incidence of postoperative intra-abdominal infection was 13.4%. CRP serum levels at 72 h, PCT serum levels at 24, 48 and 72 h and the ratio between serum levels of CRP at 72 h and serum levels of CRP at 48 h (CRP D3/CRP D2) were significantly associated with the appearance of postoperative intra-abdominal infection. The highest sensitivity corresponded to PCT at 72 h (88.9%); the highest specificity and positive predictive value corresponded to the ratio CRP D3/CRP D2 (96.49% and 71.4%, respectively); the highest negative predictive value to procalcitonin at 72 h and 24 h.

*Conclusions:* Serum levels of PCT are significantly associated with the appearance of postoperative intra-abdominal infection. Sensitivity and predictive positive values are low, but negative predictive value is high, even at 24 h after surgery.

© 2013 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: eliasdomcom@gmail.com (E. Domínguez-Comesaña).

2173-5077/\$ – see front matter 🕜 2013 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Please cite this article as: Domínguez-Comesaña E, López-Gómez V, Estevez-Fernández SM, Mariño Padín E, Ballinas-Miranda J, Carrera-Dacosta E, et al. Procalcitonina y proteína C reactiva como marcadores precoces de infección intraabdominal postoperatoria en pacientes operados de cáncer gastrointestinal. Cir Esp. 2014;92:240–246.

#### Procalcitonina y proteína C reactiva como marcadores precoces de infección intraabdominal postoperatoria en pacientes operados de cáncer gastrointestinal

RESUMEN

*Objetivo*: Evaluar la asociación entre niveles séricos de procalcitonina (PCT) y proteína C reactiva (PCR), en los 3 primeros días de postoperatorio, y la aparición de infección intraabdominal postoperatoria.

Método: Estudio observacional prospectivo que incluye a 67 pacientes intervenidos quirúrgicamente de cáncer colorrectal, gástrico y pancreático. Los niveles séricos de PCT y PCR se midieron antes de la cirugía y a las 24, 48 y 72 h de la misma. Se registraron los valores de PCT y PCR, así como su fiabilidad para la detección de infección intraabdominal postoperatoria. *Resultados*: La incidencia de infección intraabdominal postoperatoria fue de 13.4%. Los valores de PCR a las 72 h, los valores de PCT a las 24, 48 y 72 h y el cociente entre el valor de PCR a las 72 h y el valor de PCR a las 48 h (PCR D3/PCR D2) se asociaron significativamente con la aparición de infección intraabdominal postoperatoria. La sensibilidad más alta correspondió al valor de PCT a las 72 h (88,9%); la especificidad más alta y el valor predictivo positivo (VPP) más alto, al cociente PCR D3/PCR D2 (96,49 y 71,4%, respectivamente); el valor predictivo negativo (VPN) más alto, al valor de PCT a las 72 h y a las 24 h (97,7 y 96%, respectivamente).

*Conclusión*: Los valores de PCT se asocian significativamente con la aparición de infección intraabdominal postoperatoria en los 3 primeros días de postoperatorio. Su sensibilidad y VPP son bajos, pero su VPN es alto, incluso a las 24h de la cirugía.

© 2013 AEC. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

#### Introduction

Palabras clave:

Procalcitonina

postoperatoria

Proteína C reactiva

Infección intraabdominal

Occasionally post-operative intra-abdominal infection (PIAI) presents as symptoms of acute abdomen and is easy to diagnose. However, it more commonly manifests with covert and non-specific symptomatology and abdominal examination can be misleading. Furthermore, the most commonly used laboratory tests during the postoperative period, such as leucocyte count, are neither very sensitive nor specific. All this has meant that PIAI is usually diagnosed late, generally between the sixth and ninth postoperative day,<sup>1</sup> despite the fact that most of its causes, such as anastomotic leak, can appear much earlier. If there were an appropriate marker, PIAI could be diagnosed earlier, probably with a notable improvement in the prognosis of these patients. To date, essentially 2 proteins have been examined, C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT).<sup>2-6</sup> CRP is a non-specific marker of inflammation, but, in some recent studies, it has been noted that from the 4th postoperative day its levels are significantly associated with the appearance of postoperative infection.<sup>7,8</sup> PCT, although levels can rise in different inflammatory processes of a non-infectious aetiology, 9-12 is quite a specific marker of infection. To date it has been used to assess the prognosis of patients with sepsis,<sup>13</sup> to select patients who need antibiotic treatment<sup>14,15</sup> and to assess the prognosis of patients with acute pancreatitis<sup>16</sup> and even to predict pancreatic necrosis infection.<sup>17</sup> Reith et al. were the first authors to observe that PCT levels rise significantly in patients with postoperative infection<sup>4</sup> and other authors have subsequently made similar findings.<sup>5,18-21</sup> Nonetheless, its role in the diagnosis of postoperative infection has still not been well

evaluated and its use remains controversial. Four articles have recently been published which examine the value of PCT levels in the diagnosis of PIAI, 2 of which conclude that they are better than CRP<sup>22,23</sup> and the other 2 conclude that they are not.<sup>24,25</sup> A prospective study was organised, not yet finished, to analyse the evolution of serum levels of both proteins in the first 3 postoperative days and to assess whether there is an association between them and the occurrence of postoperative intra-abdominal infection; the preliminary findings of the study are shown in this article.

#### **Patients and Methods**

A prospective, observational study was carried out which included 67 patients operated on for colorectal, pancreatic or stomach cancer, consecutively, between 1 January and 30 June 2011 in the Surgical Department of the Hospital Complex in Pontevedra (CHOP). Criteria for exclusion were; aged less than 18 years of age, emergency or palliative surgery, and existence of preoperative infections and preoperative levels of PCT above 0.5 ng/ml. None of the patients were operated on using laparoscopy. Data of age, gender, ASA classification, type of tumour, type of surgery, postoperative complications and postoperative stay were recorded for each patient. The patients were assessed daily until discharge and were reviewed as outpatients 30 days after the operation. PCT and CRP levels were measured the day before surgery and at 24, 48 and 72 h after surgery (D0, D1, D2 and D3, respectively). Blood was taken between 8 am and 10 am. CRP levels were determined using an immunoturbidometric method, using a Beckman Coulter AU 5420 analyser (Fullerton, California,

USA). PCT levels were determined with a VIDAS<sup>®</sup> multiparametric immunoanalyser (BRAHMS, Hennigsdorf, Germany) using an enzyme immunoassay test method. The quotients between the values obtained at a determined time and the values obtained in the preceding moments were calculated, with the exception of D0. Thus 3 quotients were calculated for each of the 2 variables: D3/D2, D3/D1 and D2/D1. Intra-abdominal infection was considered to be present when one of the following occurred<sup>26</sup>:

- (a) Discharge of purulent liquid through a drain placed in the peritoneal cavity
- (b) Positive culture of exudates obtained aseptically from the peritoneal cavity
- (c) Evidence of infection by direct examination (reoperation), by histopathological study (identification of germs) or by radiological examination.

The software packages IBM SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA.) version 20.0 for Mac and MedCalc version 12.2.1 for Windows (MedCalc Software, Marlakerke, Belgium) were used for the statistical analysis.

Fisher's exact test was used to compare qualitative variables. Kruskall–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests were used to compare quantitative variables between groups. For intragroup comparisons the Wilcoxon signs test was used.

*P* values lower than .05 were considered statistically significant. The diagnostic precision of CRP and PCT in detecting PIAI was assessed through ROC curves construction for each day, obtaining an optimal cut-off point for which the sensitivity, specificity and predictive values were calculated. The study was authorised by the Ethical Committee for Clinical Research of Galicia.

#### Results

In the time period of the study 8 patients were operated who were excluded because of incomplete CRP and PCT values (3 cases), because the preoperative PCT value exceeded 0.5 ng/ml (3 cases), because the surgery was palliative (one case) and because the patient refused to participate in the study (one case). Forty patients (59.7%) were male and 27 (40.3%) were female, with an average age of 69 and a median of 68 (range 42–89). Table 1 shows a summary of the data for each type of tumour, surgery performed and ASA classification.

#### **Postoperative Complications**

Twenty three patients (34.3%) presented complications. Of the 26 complications found, 14 (53.8%) were infections. Amongst the non-infectious complications, the most common was prolonged ileus, which presented in 5 patients (7.4%). Eleven patients presented some sort of infection in the postoperative period, which represents an incidence of 16.4% (Table 2). Four patients had superficial incisional infection; one, infection associated with a central venous catheter and 9, intraabdominal infection (13.4%). Of the latter, 7 were abscesses and 2 were diffuse peritonitis. 3 of the abscesses were found to be associated with anastomotic leak (2 in patients who had undergone colectomies and one in a patient after cephalic pancreatectomy). The intra-abdominal abscesses were diagnosed by means of CT between the 8th and 35th postoperative days, with a median of 8 days. There was a positive culture in all the infections, except in 2 intra-abdominal abscesses which were treated using antibiotics alone, and in 2 superficial incisional infections, from which no sample was taken. Five patients (7.4%) were reoperated on, one because of a

Table 1 – Demographic Variables and Type of Surgery.					
Variable	All patients	Patients with PIAI	Patients without PIAI	Р	
Mean age	69 ± <u>10.7</u>	69.2 ± <u>8.9</u>	68.9 ± <u>11</u>	.973	
Gender (male/fem-ale)	40/27	7/2	33/25	.295	
ASA (%)				.872	
ASA I	5 (7.5)	1 (11.1)	4 (6.4)		
ASA II	39 (58.2)	5/55.6)	34 (58.6)		
ASA III	20 (29.8)	3 (33.3)	17 (29.3)		
ASA IV	3 (4.5)	0	3 (5.17)		
Type of tumour (%)				.597	
Stomach	9 (13.4)	1 (11.1)	8 (13.8)		
Colon	26 (38.8)	4 (44.5)	22 (37.9)		
Rectum	25 (37.3)	2 (22.2)	23 (39.6)		
Pancreas	7 (10.4)	2 (22.2)	5 (8.6)		
Type of surgery (%)				.534	
Partial gastrectomy	6 (8.5)	0	6 (10.3)		
Total gastrectomy	3 (4.5)	1 (11.1)	2 (3.5)		
Colectomy <sup>a</sup>	26 (38.8)	4 (44.5)	22 (37.9)		
Anterior resection of rectum	17 (25.4)	1 (11.1)	16 (27.6)		
Abdominoperineal resection	8 (11.9)	1 (11.1)	7 (12.1)		
CDP	6 (8.9)	2 (22.2)	4 (6.9)		
Total pancreatectomy	1 (1.5)	0	1 (1.72)		
CDP, cephalic duodenopancreatectomy; PIAI, postoperative intra-abdominal infection.					
<sup>a</sup> Right hemicolectomy, transverse colectomy, left hemicolectomy, sigmoidectomy.					

Table 2 – Postoperative Infections.					
Patient No.	Type of surgery	Type of infection	Day/Diagnostic method	Cultures	Treatment
2	APR	Intra-abdominal abscess	8 CT	Escherichia coli	Percutaneous drainage
12	Left colectomy	Intra-abdominal abscess	8 CT	No	Antibiotic
19	CDP	Intra-abdominal abscess	8 CT	Candida albicans	Percutaneous drainage
24	Left colectomy	Intra-abdominal abscess	35 CT	No	Antibiotic
27	Right colectomy	Intra-abdominal abscess	18 CT	Enterococcus faecium	Cirugía
34	Total gastrectomy	Anastomotic leak	4 intestinal output	Enterococus faecalis;	Surgery
			and CT	Proteus mirabilis	
34	Total gastrectomy	Superficial incisional infection	7 inspection	Enterococus faecalis	Drainage
48	Anterior resection	Anastomotic leak	8 rectal exam CT	Bacteroides fragilis; Escherichia coli	Surgery
48	Anterior resection	Superficial incisional infection	12 inspection	No	Drainage
55	Colectomy	Intra-abdominal abscess	8 CT	Escherichia coli	Percutaneous drainage
60	CDP	Intra-abdominal abscess	14 CT	Enterococus faecalis;	Percutaneous drainage
				Enterococcus faecium	
60	CDP	Superficial incisional infection	5 inspection	No	Drainage
64	AAP	Superficial incisional infection	8 inspection	Bacillus sp.	Drainage antibiotic
70	Colectomy	Central venous catheter	6 culture	Escherichia coli	Antibiotic removal
					of catheter
APR, abdomino-perineal resection of the rectum; CDP, cephalic duodenopancreatectomy; CT, computerised tomography.					

haemoperitoneum, one because of evisceration, 2 because of anastomotic leak with peritonitis and one because of an intraabdominal abscess. There was no mortality. When we compared the group of patients with intra-abdominal infection (9) with the group without abdominal infection (58), it was observed that there were no significant differences in terms of



Fig. 1 – Box diagram showing the C-reactive protein (CRP) values in patients with and without postoperative intraabdominal infection (PIAI). The boxes show percentiles 25, 50 and 75 and the minimum and maximum values. The o symbols represent extreme values. The numbers indicate the order number of the patient. age (P=.971), gender (P=.208), ASA classification (P=.665), type of tumour (P=.597) or type of surgery (P=.534).

#### Kinetics of C-Reactive Protein and Procalcitonin

Basal serum levels of CRP and PCT were not different in either group of patients (P=.330 and P=.569, respectively). CRP and PCT levels increased after surgery in 98.5% and 97% of patients, respectively. Both markers increased in 100% of the patients who had PIAI. Over the period of time studied, in patients who did not have PIAI, CRP reached its highest level after 48 h, with a median of 10.67 mg/d, whereas in patients who did have PIAI the peak was reached after 72 h, with a median of 18.03 mg/dl (Fig. 1). The highest concentration of PCT, in the first 3 postoperative days was reached after 24 h in both groups, with medians of 0.35 ng/ml, for patients with no PIAI and 1.59 ng/ml for patients with PIAI (Fig. 2). There were no significant differences in PCT levels at 24, 48 and 72 h, according to the type of surgery (P=.613, P=.774, P=.764, respectively). Neither were there significant differences in CRP values, in any of the postoperative tests, according to the type of surgery (P=.320; P=.586; P=.741).

Serum levels of CRP were not significantly different in either group of patients at 24 or 48 h after surgery (P=.139 and P=.183, respectively) however 72 h postoperatively they were significantly higher in patients with PIAI (P=.003).

Serum levels of PCT were significantly higher in patients with PIAI 24, 48 and 72 h after surgery (P=.003; P=.02 and P=.002, respectively). Table 3 shows the CRP and PCT values in both groups of patients.

The only quotient which was significantly associated with the appearance of PIAI was CRP D3/CRP D2, with a median of 1.29 for patients with PIAI, and 0.88 for patients without PIAI (P=.003).

The widest area under the ROC curve corresponded to determination of PCT at 72 h (0.829 95%CI: 0.717–0.910; typical





Fig. 2 – Box diagram showing procalcitonin (PCT) values in patients with and without postoperative intra-abdominal infection (PIAI). The boxes show percentiles 25, 50 and 75 and the minimum and maximum values. The o symbols represent extreme values. The numbers indicate the order of the patient.

error: 0.0742; P=.0001) (Fig. 3). Table 4 shows the best cut-off points, the sensitivity values and the predictive values.

#### Discussion

This study shows that gastrointestinal cancer surgery caused raised serum levels of CRP and PCT in practically all the patients and that the highest concentration was reached earlier for PCT than for CRP. Both proteins are useful markers in predicting postoperative intra-abdominal infection, with a

Table 3 – CRP and PCT Values in Patients With and Without Postoperative Intra-abdominal Infection.					
Day	Patients without PIAI	Patients with PIAI <sup>a</sup>	Р		
Median CRP (percentile 25-percentile 75) mg/dl					
D0	0.50 (0.22–1.69)	0.38 (0.15–0.38)	.333		
D1	7.29 (3.59–10.10)	9.23 (7.41–9.23)	.139		
D2	10.67 (86.93–14.99)	13.86 (9.04–13.86)	.183		
D3	8.35 (5.98–12.16)	18.03 (9.75–24.93)	.003		
Median PCT (percentile 25-percentile 75) ng/ml					
D0	0.05 (0.05–0.06)	0.05 (0.05–0.06)	.569		
D1	0.35 (0.15–0.74)	1.59 (0.59–3.60)	.003		
D2	0.33 (0.12-0.58)	0.79 (0.28–2.89)	.02		
D3	0.22 (0.09–0.44)	0.85 (0.43–2.72)	.002		
<sup>a</sup> PIAI, postoperative intra-abdominal infection; CRP, C-reactive					

" PIAI, postoperative intra-abdominal infection; CRP, C-reactive protein; PCT, procalcitonin.

Fig. 3 – ROC curves for procalcitonin (PCT) values and Creactive protein (CRP) which reached statistical significance.

very high negative predictive value (NPV), already in the first 3 postoperative days, although the positive predictive value (PPV) is low. Considering isolated values, PCT is a more valid marker in predicting PIAI than PCR, but if we consider the evolution of the values over time, we can see that the relationship between CRP values at 72 h after surgery and CRP values at 48 h after surgery has quite a high PPV, higher than that of the isolated determinations of PCR and PCT. The results of our study also show that the raised levels of CRP and PCT preceded the clinical and radiological diagnosis of PIAI by several days, which in most cases was made after the 8th postoperative day. The significant elevation in PCT values in patients with PIAI had already occurred at 24 h. The reason that this occurs cannot be deduced from the results of this study, but it could be due to greater intra-operative contamination or bacterial translocation caused by anastomotic ischaemia.

The principal weakness of our study is the small number of patients and that cancers of different types were included. Nonetheless, we believe that the results are still valid, as both in our study and in others published previously,<sup>18,20</sup> in patients having undergone gastrointestinal surgery, no significant differences were found in PCT values according to the type of surgery. The clinical relevance of this study lies in the usefulness of PCT and CRP in the early prediction of PIAI, which could potentially enable earlier diagnosis, since radiological examinations can be performed, such as CT with intraluminal and intravenous contrast, in patients with high levels of PCT, before there is clinical suspicion of infection. Thus the morbimortality associated with delayed diagnosis of PIAI could be reduced, especially for cases of anastomotic

Table 4 – Sensitivity, Specificity Values, PPV, NPV and Probability Quotients.					
Criterion (95% CI)	PCT T1 >0.89	PCT T2 >1.72	PCT T3 >0.4	CRP T3 >16.85	CRPT3/CRPT2 >1.29
Sensitivity	77.8 (40–97.2)	44.4 (13.7–78.8)	88.9 (51.8–99.7)	55.6 (21.2–86.3)	55.7 (21.2–86.3)
Specificity	82.8 (70.6–91.4)	94.8 (85.6–98.9)	74.1 (61–84.7)	93.1 (83.3–98.1)	96.5 (87.9–99.6)
PPV	41.2 (18.4–67.1)	57.1 (18.4–90.1)	34.8 (16.4–57.3)	55.6 (21.2–86.3)	71.4 (29–96.3)
NPV	96 (86.3–99.5)	91.7 (81.6–92.2)	97.7 (58–99)	93.1 (83.3–98.1)	93.2 (83.5–98.1)
PPQ	4.5 (3.1–6.5)	8.6 (4.1–17.9)	3.4 (2.6–4.5)	8.1 (4.5–14.5)	15.8 (8.8–28.5)
NPQ	0.3 (0.07–1)	0.6 (0.2–2)	0.1 (0.2–1)	0.5 (0.1–1.6)	0.5 (0.10–2.2)
NPQ, negative probability quota; PPQ, positive probability quotient; CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; PCT, procalcitonin; NPV,					

leak.<sup>27</sup> The finding that both CRP and PCT have a high NPV is particularly relevant, making it possible to identify patients very unlikely to develop a PIAI as early as the first 3 days after surgery, and therefore facilitate the safe, early discharge of patients who have undergone gastrointestinal cancer surgery.

negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

Coinciding with the results obtained in our study, other authors have shown that both CRP<sup>2,4,7,28</sup> and PCT<sup>4,29</sup> levels significantly increase in practically all patients after gastrointestinal surgery. Our study was limited to the first 3 postoperative days and does not show when they normalise, however other authors who have studied the evolution of both proteins over a longer period of time have observed that PCT normalises 5–7 days after surgery and CRP 7–10 days after surgery.<sup>29</sup>

Although CRP is a non-specific inflammation marker, several authors have shown that persistently high levels from the third postoperative day is a good predictor of postoperative infection.<sup>2,7,22,28</sup> Similarly, in our study, CRP only shows a significant relationship with the occurrence of postoperative intra-abdominal infection on the third day after surgery. Ortega-Deballon et al. demonstrated that serum levels of CRP on the fourth postoperative day are a good predictor of anastomotic leak in colorectal surgery; for a cut-off point of 125 mg/l, sensitivity was 81.8%, specificity was 64.4% and the NPV 95.8%.<sup>2</sup> García-Granero et al. obtained similar results for the values on the third, fourth and fifth postoperative days.<sup>22</sup> Our study also shows that CRP levels on the third postoperative day are a good predictor of postoperative intraabdominal infection, with similar sensitivity, specificity and NPV values, but for a cut-off point which is somewhat higher than those to which these authors refer.

The usefulness of PCT as a marker of postoperative infection has also been demonstrated previously by other authors in different types of surgery; cardiothoracic<sup>30,31</sup> and abdominal.4-6,18-24 In the abovementioned García-Granero et al.<sup>22</sup> study it was observed that serum levels of PCT between the third and fifth postoperative days were a good predictor of major anastomotic dehiscence, with a sensitivity greater than 90%, an NPV above 99% and a PPV of less than 17%, but not of minor dehiscence (not requiring percutaneous drainage or surgery) in patients undergoing colorectal cancer surgery. However, in our study we considered all PIAI, regardless of whether they required drainage or not, and PCT levels on the third postoperative day are still a good predictor of infection, with very similar sensitivity and NPV values. Another recent study, which included 114 patients who had undergone colorectal surgery, and which covered all surgical site infections, shows similar results to our work, although with somewhat lower sensitivity and NPV values.<sup>23</sup> Almost all studies published to date agree with ours in that PCT is a better predictor of postoperative infection than CRP,<sup>5,22-24</sup> but a study has recently been published, on 100 patients operated for colorectal cancer, which concludes that PCT is not a better predictor of postoperative infection than CRP.<sup>25</sup> Both our study and studies published previously coincide in that the PPV of PCT is low<sup>22,23</sup> and, therefore, PCT on its own is not valid for diagnosing PIAI. However, it can enable patients to be selected for imaging studies such as CT with contrast, before infection is clinically suspected. An interesting finding from our study, which has not been published before, is that the quotient between CRP levels at 72 h after surgery and CRP levels at 48 h after surgery is also significantly associated with the occurrence of PIAI; with a greater PPV, above 70%, although this finding should be interpreted with caution, as there is a very wide confidence interval, which detracts from the validity of this data.

In conclusion, our study suggests that serum levels of PCT in the first 3 postoperative days are a good marker of PIAI, with a very high NPV, which makes it possible to safely predict that there is no PIAI. The quotient between CPR values at 72 h after surgery and CPR values at 48 h after surgery combine high NPV with high PPV.

However, these results need to be corroborated with studies that include a greater number of patients and usefulness of both proteins needs to be confirmed with randomised prospective studies in which early diagnostic tests are indicated in a group of patients, such as CT, according to serum levels.

#### Funding

Partially funded by a grant from the José Luis Castaño Foundation.

#### **Conflict of Interests**

There is no conflict of interests to declare.

#### REFERENCES

Pickleman J, Watson W, Cunningham J, Fisher S, Gamelli R. The failed gastrointestinal anastomosis: an inevitable catastrophe? J Am Coll Surg. 1999;188:473–82.

- Ortega-Deballon P, Radais F, Facy O, d'Athis P, Masson D, Charles PE, et al. C-reactive protein is an early predictor of septic complications after elective colorectal surgery. World J Surg. 2010;34:808–14.
- **3.** Warschkow R, Tarantino I, Torzewski M, Naf F, Lange J, Steffen T. Diagnostic accuracy of C-reactive protein and white blood cell counts in the early detection of inflammatory complications after open resection of colorectal cancer: a retrospective study of 1187 patients. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2011;26:1405–13.
- Reith HB, Mittelkötter U, Debus ES, Küsner C, Thiede A. Procalcitonin in early detection of postoperative complications. Dig Surg. 1998;15:260–5.
- Mokart D, Merlin M, Sannini A, Brun JP, Delpero JR, Houvenaeghel G, et al. Procalcitonin, interleukin 6 and systemic inflammatory reponse syndrome (SIRS): early markers of postoperative sepsis after major surgery. Br J Anaesth. 2005;94:767–73.
- Watkins RR, Lemonovich TL. Serum procalcitonin in the diagnosis and management of intra-abdominal infections. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2012;10:197–205.
- 7. Welsch T, Müller SA, Ulrich A, Kischlat A, Hinz U, Kienle P, et al. C-reactive protein as early predictor for infectious postoperative complications in rectal surgery. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2007;22:1499–507.
- 8. Woeste G, Muller C, Bechstein WO, Wullstein C. Increasesed serum levels of C-reactive protein precede anastomotic leakage in colorectal surgery. World J Surg. 2010;34:140–6.
- 9. Nylen ES, Snider RH, Thompson KA, Rohatgi P, Becker KL. Pneumoitis-associated hyperprocalcitoninemia. Am J Med Sci. 1996;312:12–8.
- **10.** Carsin H, Assicot M, Feger F, Roy O, Pennacino I, Le Bever H, et al. Evolution and significance of circulating procalcitonin levels compared with 11-6, TNF[alpha], and endotoxin levels early after thermal injury. Burns. 1997;23:218–24.
- 11. Rau BM. Predicting severity of acute pancreatitis. Curr Gastroenterol Rep. 2007;9:107–15.
- 12. Wanner GA, Keel M, Steckholzer U, Beier W, Stocker R, Ertel W. Relationship between procalcitonin plasma levels and severity of injury, sepsis, organ failure, and mortality in injured patients. Crit Care Med. 2000;28:950–7.
- Becker KL, Snider R, Nylen ES. Procalcitonin assay in systemic inflammation, infection and sepsis: clinical utility and limitations. Crit Care Med. 2008;36:941–52.
- 14. Kopterides P, Siempos I, Tsangaris I, Tsantes A, Armaganidis A. Procalcitonin-guided algorithms of antibiotic therapy in the intensive care unit: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Crit Care Med. 2010;38:2229–41.
- Schuetz P, Christ-Crain M, Thomann R, Falconnier C, Wolbers M, Widmer I, et al. Effect of procacitonin-based guidelines versus standard guidelines on antibiotic use in lower respiratory tract infections. JAMA. 2009;302:1059–66.
- 16. Rau BM, Kemppainen EA, Gumbs AA, Büchler MW, Eegscheider K, Bassi C, et al. Early assessment of pancreatic infections and overall prognosis in severe acute pancreatitis by procalcitonin (PCT). A prospective internatinal multicenter study. Ann Surg. 2007;245:745–75.

- 17. Riche FC, Cholley BP, Lainse MJ, Vicaut E, Panis YH, Lajeunie EJ, et al. Inflammatory cytokines, C reactive protein and procalcitonin as early predictors of necrosis infection in acute necrotizinf pancreatitis. Surgery. 2003;133:257–62.
- Di Filippo A, Lombardi A, Ognibene A, Messeri G, Tonelli F. Procalcitonin as an early marker of postoperative infectious complications. Minerva Chir. 2002;57:59–62.
- Sarbinowsky R, Arvidsson S, Tylman M, Oresland T, Bengtsson A. Plasma concentration of procalcitonin and systemic inflammatory response syndrome after colorectal surgery. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2005;49:191–6.
- Meisner M, Tschaikowsky K, Hutzler A, Schick C, Schüttler J. Postoperative plasma concentrations of procalcitonin after different types of surgery. Intensive Care Med. 1998;24:680–4.
- Siassi M, Riess J, Steffensen R, Meisner M, Thiel S, Hohenberger W, et al. Mannan-binding lectin and procalcitonin measurement for prediction of postoperative infection. Crit Care. 2005;9:483–548.
- 22. García-Granero A, Frasson M, Flor-Lorente B, Blanco F, Puga R, Carratalá A, et al. Procalcitonin and C-reactive protein as early predictors of anastomotic leak in colorectal surgery: a prospective observational study. Dis Colon Rectum. 2013;56:475–83.
- 23. Takakura Y, Hinoi T, Egi H, Shimomura M, Adachi T, Saito Y, et al. Procalcitonin as a predictive marker for surgical site infection in elective colorectal cáncer surgery. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2013;398:833–9.
- 24. Oberhofer D, Juras J, Pavicic AM, Zuric IR, Rumenjak V. Comparison of C-reactive protein and procalcitonin as predictors of postoperative infectious complications after elective colorectal surgery. Croat Med J. 2012;53:612–9.
- 25. Lagoutte N, Facy O, Ravoire A, Chalumeau C, Jonval L, Rat P, et al. C-reactive protein and procalcitonin for the early detection of anastomotic leakage after elective colorectal surgery: pilot study in 100 patients. J Visc Surg. 2012;149:345–9.
- 26. Horan TC, Andrus M, Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. Am J Infect Control. 2008;36:309–32.
- 27. Hyman N, Manchester TL, Osler T, Burns B, Cataldo PA. Anastomotic leaks after intestinal anastomosis: it's later tan you think. Ann Surg. 2007;245:254–8.
- McKay GJ, Molloy RG, O'Dwyer PJ. C-reactive protein as a predictor of postoperative infective complications following elective colorectal resection. Colrectal Dis. 2011;13:583–7.
- 29. Lindberg M, Hole A, Johnsen H, Asberg A, Rydning A, Myrvold HE, et al. Reference intervals for procalcitonin and C-reactive protein after major abdominal surgery. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2002;62:189–94.
- 30. Jebali MA, Hausfater P, Abbes Z, Aouni Z, Riou B, Ferjani M. Assessment of the accuracy of procalcitonin to diagnose postoperative infection after cardiac surgery. Anesthesiology. 2007;107:232–8.
- 31. Falcoz PE, Laluc F, Toubin MM, Puyraveau M, Clement F, Mercier M, et al. Usefulness of procalcitonin in the early detection of infection after thoracic surgery. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2005;27:1074–8.