



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Adverse reactions and influencing factors in children with primary immunodeficiencies receiving intravenous immunoglobulin replacement

I.B.P. Ibis<sup>a,\*</sup>, B. Erdur<sup>a</sup>, S.B. Erdem<sup>b</sup>, S. Karaman<sup>b</sup>, N. Gulez<sup>b</sup>, F. Genel<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Dr. Behcet Uz Children's Hospital, Izmir, Turkey

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pediatric Immunology, Dr. Behcet Uz Children's Hospital, Izmir, Turkey

Received 2 December 2019; accepted 8 May 2020

Available online 21 July 2020

## KEYWORDS

IVIG;  
Primary  
immunodeficiency;  
Adverse reactions

## Abstract

**Objectives:** We aimed to determine adverse reactions and influencing factors, within the scope of the number of patients and total infusions, in patients with primary immunodeficiencies receiving intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) replacement.

**Materials and methods:** Children with primary immunodeficiencies receiving IVIG replacement in Izmir Dr Behcet Uz Children's Hospital, between June 2014 and June 2016, were included in our study.

**Results:** The total number of the patients receiving IVIG replacement was 145 (37 female, 108 male). The number of total IVIG infusions was 1214. Adverse reactions were observed in 44.8% of the patients and 14.2% of the infusions. Common variable immunodeficiency was the most common diagnosis of the patients and adverse reactions most commonly developed in this group (24.2%). In all infusions the most frequent adverse reaction was headache (7.8%); fever was the most frequent immediate side effect (3.9%), whereas headache was the most common delayed adverse effect (5.1%). By logistic regression analyses, history of adverse reaction to IVIG in previous infusions, existence of concomitant infectious disease, past or family history of atopic disease, to receive IVIG infusion at the first time, or being under 10 years old were found associated with adverse reactions. There was no correlation between the concentration of IVIG preparations and the rate of side-effect development.

**Conclusions:** In our study no severe adverse reaction to IVIG was observed, but many mild or moderate side effects occurred. Therefore, IVIG indications must be well identified. Patients, family of the patients and health care workers must be informed for adverse reactions.

© 2020 SEICAP. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [mdipekburcu@hotmail.com](mailto:mdipekburcu@hotmail.com) (I.B.P. Ibis), [bariserdur@yahoo.com](mailto:bariserdur@yahoo.com) (B. Erdur), [semihabahceci@hotmail.com](mailto:semihabahceci@hotmail.com) (S.B. Erdem), [saitkaraman73@gmail.com](mailto:saitkaraman73@gmail.com) (S. Karaman), [nesgulez@yahoo.com](mailto:nesgulez@yahoo.com) (N. Gulez), [ferahgen@yahoo.com](mailto:ferahgen@yahoo.com) (F. Genel).

## Introduction

Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) was firstly licenced in 1981 for the treatment of primary antibody deficiencies. During the past two decades, human IVIG therapy has become the mainstay of various diseases in which the immune system is disrupted.<sup>1,2</sup> Standard IVIG preparations are obtained from 5000 to 10000 donor plasma. Since they are prepared from a lot of donor plasma, they include various antibodies of the donors, arisen from infections and immunizations.<sup>3,4</sup> IVIG therapy is used especially in patients with primary immunodeficiencies including primary antibody deficiencies such as X-linked agammaglobulinemia, common variable immunodeficiency (CVID), some types of Hyper-IgM syndrome and combined B and T cell deficiencies. In those types of patients, with IVIG replacement, immunoglobulins are passively transferred.<sup>1,4</sup> The main purpose of the IVIG therapy is to obtain achieve functional IgG levels, to neutralise infection agents, and to provide sufficient passive antibodies for opsonization. In fact, IVIG therapy is more successful than the replacement of immunoglobulins, by affecting development and functions of the immune system cells.<sup>4</sup>

In the short term IVIG therapy decreases the frequency and severity of infections and improves quality of life. In the long term it prevents the patient from organ damage because of recurrent infections.<sup>5,6</sup>

In most of the practice guidelines a starting dosage of IgG between 400 and 600 mg/kg/monthly is recommended to achieve a serum trough IgG level of 600–800 mg/dL for immunodeficiencies.<sup>7,8</sup>

In generally, the adverse effects of IVIG infusions are mild and are related to the infusion rate. Formation of immunoglobulin aggregates leading to complement system activation can be prevented by decelerating infusion rates. In patients with primary antibody production defects, systemic adverse reactions are frequently seen in first infusions, especially in patients with very low immunoglobulin levels and having infusions after long untreated periods.<sup>3,4</sup> Temporary slowdown of infusions or having pauses for 15–30 min may result in a disappearance of the side effects. The frequency of side effects decreases if infusions are given in four hours. Cases that require completely suspending the infusions are extremely rare. IgE types of antibodies to IgA may rarely cause anaphylactic reactions. In these rare cases, infusions must be promptly stopped, and anaphylactic reactions must be treated.<sup>3,4</sup> Aseptic meningitis, renal failure, thromboembolism and hemolytic reactions are other rare but severe adverse effects. Transfusion related acute lung injury, enteritis and skin reactions are rarely encountered as late side effects.<sup>9</sup>

Although IVIG preparations seem to be similar to each other, they differ in immunoglobulin fractions, refining and mixture stages and the plasma used for the preparations. Thus, different preparations may be preferred in some different conditions. Every preparation may be tolerated differently from others and may lead to various adverse reactions.

In this study, in children with primary immunodeficiencies receiving IVIG replacement in Ambulatory Treatment Department of Izmir Dr Behcet Uz Children's Hospital,

between June 2014 and June 2016, we aimed to determine the features of the patients, frequency, type and severity of adverse reactions related to IVIG, risk factors and possible precautions for adverse reactions.

## Materials and methods

The Local Research Ethics Committee granted approval and the study was designed as a single institution retrospective cross-sectional study.

The study was performed in the Pediatric Immunology Department of Dr. Behcet Uz Children's Research and Teaching Hospital between June 2014 and June 2016. All children with primary immunodeficiency receiving IVIG replacement in the ambulatory treatment department of the hospital were evaluated, retrospectively. From the patient files, data about age, gender, indication of IVIG replacement, concomitant diseases, other drugs used, past and family history of atopy were obtained. Dates of all infusions, concentrations and stabilising agent content of the IVIG solutions, administration of premedication, time and type of the side effects, existence of concomitant infectious diseases were recorded. Side effects were classified as immediate adverse reactions developed in 0–6 h, and delayed adverse reactions developed in 6 h to 1 week.<sup>10</sup>

We used SPSS for Windows 21.0 for all calculations. Descriptive statistical analyses of the dataset were performed. Categorical variables were compared using Fischer's exact chi-square and Pearson chi-square tests. Significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ . The existence of an adverse reaction was accepted as independent variable and logistic regression analysis was applied.

## Results

One hundred and forty-five patients (37 female, 108 male) with primary immunodeficiency, under IVIG replacement and regular follow-up, not passed to subcutaneous administration in the course of the disease, were included in the study. Median age was 28 months in males and 41 months in females and 97 of the patients (66.9%) were under five years old. The most common concomitant disease was reactive airway disease (20%). Fifteen of the patients had a concomitant disease such as hematologic diseases (anaemia and thrombocytopenia) and chronic lung diseases (bronchopulmonary dysplasia and bronchiectasis). 53 of the patients had past history of atopy and 27 of them had family history of atopy in first degree relatives. The sociodemographic properties of the 145 patients are given in Table 1.

In 145 patients 1214 infusions were performed; 93 of the 145 patients had their first administration of IVIG in their lives. Adverse reactions occurred in 65 of the 145 (44.8%) patients. For the patients with their first administration of IVIG, adverse reactions occurred in 23 (24.7%) infusions. Recurrent infusions adverse reactions developed in 149 of 1121 (13.2%). Fifty-three percent of the adverse reactions were immediate and 47% of them were delayed reactions. The most common immediate adverse reactions were fever (3.9%) and headache (2.7%). The most common delayed adverse reactions were headache (5.1%), vomiting (1.8%) and fever (1.7%) (Table 2).

**Table 1** Sociodemographic properties of the patients.

Variables	Patients under IVIG treatment n (%)
Gender	
Female	37 (25.5%)
Male	108 (74.5%)
Age	
Under 5 years old	97 (66.9%)
Between 5 and 10 years old	37 (25.5%)
Above 10 years old	11 (7.6%)
Concomitant diseases	
Reactive airway disease	29 (20.0%)
Hematologic diseases	15 (10.3%)
Chronic lung disease	15 (10.3%)
Cardiovascular diseases	12 (8.2%)
Asthma	10 (6.8%)
Other diseases	34 (23.4%)
Past history of atopy	
Positive	53 (36.5%)
Negative	92 (63.5%)
Family history of atopy	
Positive	27 (18.6%)
Negative	118 (81.4%)
Drugs used other than IVIG	
Prophylactic antibiotics	40 (27.5%)
Nebulised drug	35 (24.1%)
LTRA	10 (6.9%)
Anti-reflux drugs	6 (4.1%)
Other drugs	21 (14.4%)

In total, headache was the most common adverse reaction (7.8%). In two of these patients, headache was so severe that it required hospitalization, intravenous hydration, dexamethasone, antihistaminic, analgesic and antiemetic drugs. 3.37% of the total infusions were interrupted, 0.25% were slowed and 0.91% were stopped completely. 428 of the infusions (35.2%) were performed for common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) and 149 of the infusions (12.2%) were administered for unclassified antibody deficiencies (UAD). In infusions performed in patients with CVID, adverse reactions occurred in 104 (24.2%) of them. For UAD, this proportion was found to be 14% (Table 3). Adverse reactions developed in 11.9% of the infusions in males and 20.1% of the infusions in females ( $p < 0.001$ ). Adverse reactions were evaluated in terms of age groups: under five years old in 13.4%, between five and 10 years in 25.1%, above 10 years in 4.1% of the infusions adverse reactions occurred. Adverse reactions were significantly higher in children between five and 10 years old ( $p < 0.001$ ). In patients with a family history of atopy, adverse reactions were encountered more frequently, in 25.8% of the infusions ( $p < 0.001$ ). Adverse reactions in patients with a history of atopy were again encountered more frequently, in 17.2% of the infusions ( $p = 0.012$ ). No significant association was found between adverse reactions and concomitant cardiovascular diseases, hematologic diseases or reactive airway disease. Premedication was used in patients with adverse reaction history in previous infusions. In 26.6% of the total infusions, premed-

ication was found to be administered. The most common premedication was antihistamines (13.67%), then ibuprofen (6.5%) and these drugs together (5.18%). In infusions without premedication, adverse reactions appeared in 11% of the infusions, but in infusions with premedication adverse reactions arose in 23% of the infusions ( $p < 0.001$ ). These results indicated that a previous history of adverse reactions to IVIG infusion increases the risk of adverse reactions and premedication may prevent these reactions in 77% of the infusions. In patients with concomitant infectious disease, adverse reactions developed in 21.5% of the infusions, without concomitant infections developed in 11.1% of the infusions. In the administration of 5% IVIG preparations, adverse reactions occurred in 14% of the infusions, and in 10% IVIG preparations adverse reactions occurred in 14.6% of the infusions ( $p = 0.777$ ). In 34 (19.7%) of the 172 infusions with adverse effects changing of the IVIG preparation was present. Changing the IVIG preparation did not affect the adverse reaction rate. During the follow-up period no severe reactions such as aseptic meningitis, thromboembolism or haemolytic reactions appeared. The distribution of adverse reaction rates in total of the infusions is given in Table 4.

The existence of adverse reaction was accepted as independent variable and logistic regression analysis was applied. History of adverse reactions in previous infusions, concomitant infectious disease, being under 10 years old, past or family history of atopy, no history of receiving previous IVIG infusion were found to increase the risk of adverse reactions (Table 5).

## Discussion

Adverse effects associated with IVIG therapy vary with the type of present disease and IVIG preparation. In patients with immunodeficiency, administration of IVIG in constant intervals, using the same IVIG preparation that the patient previously tolerated and using the same dosages decreases the rate of systemic side effects. Subcutaneous immunoglobulin therapy can be applied in our clinic but in our study we analysed only intravenous immunoglobulin administration, only one patient was passed subcutaneous immunoglobulin therapy because of adverse effects and no adverse effects were seen after subcutaneous immunoglobulin therapy. In patients without immunodeficiency with neurologic and hematologic diseases, and in patients receiving high dose IVIG (1–2 gr/kg) systemic adverse reactions occur more frequently.<sup>9</sup> Donofrio et al. demonstrated that, in patients with chronic inflammatory polyneuropathy, systemic adverse effects occurred after high dose IVIG administration in 55% of 113 patients and in 18% of the infusions.<sup>11</sup>

In the study including 72 centres, 1705 patients with primary and secondary immunodeficiency and 15,548 IVIG infusions with the same preparation, Struff et al. showed that systemic adverse reactions developed in only 0.6% of the patients and 0.064% of the infusions.<sup>12</sup> In the review of nine different clinical trials, Schroder et al. found that in patients with primary antibody deficiency, systemic side effects occurred in 26–44% of the patients and 5–27% of the infusions.<sup>13</sup> Brennan et al. disclosed that systemic adverse reactions occurred in 59 of 459 (13%) of the patients with

**Table 2** Immediate and delayed adverse effects in total of the infusions.

Type of adverse effect	Number in total of the 1214 infusions (n)	Percentage (%)	Type of adverse effect	Number in total of the 1214 infusions (n)	Percentage (%)
Immediate reactions (0–6 h)	129	10.6	Delayed reactions (6 h to 1 week)	124	10.2
Fever	48	3.95	Headache	63	5.18
Headache	33	2.71	Vomiting	23	1.89
Rashes	10	0.82	Fever	21	1.72
Vomiting	8	0.65	Myalgia	9	0.74
Chilling	4	0.32	Rashes	2	0.16
Cough	3	0.24	Malaise	2	0.16
Anxiety	3	0.24	Fatigue	2	0.16
Malaise	3	0.24	Others	2	0.16
Fatigue	3	0.24	Total	124	10.2
Nausea	2	0.16			
Dyspnoea	2	0.16			
Myalgia	2	0.16			
Sweating	2	0.16			
Others	6	0.49			
Total	129	10.6			

**Table 3** Adverse effects according to the diagnosis of the patients.

Diagnosis	Number of total infusions n	Number of infusions with adverse reactions n (%)	Number of total patients n	Number of patients with adverse reactions n (%)
Common variable immunodeficiency	428	104 (24.2)	48	30 (62.5)
Unclassified antibody deficiency	149	21 (14)	19	8 (42.1)
Genetic syndrome with hypogammaglobulinemia	144	7 (4.8)	19	6 (31.5)
Bruton's disease	142	4 (2.8)	7	2 (28.5)
Transient hypogammaglobulinemia of infancy	135	16 (11.8)	35	10 (28.5)
Other diagnosis	216	20 (9.25)	17	9 (52.9)
Total	1214	172 (14.2)	145	65 (44.8)

primary antibody deficiency and occurred in 111 of 13,508 (0.8%) of the infusions,<sup>14</sup> in their study including four centres. In 58 patients under IVIG therapy (33 patients for primary immunodeficiency, 25 patients for immune modulation), Singh-Grewal et al. demonstrated that systemic adverse effects developed in 44.8% of the patients and 24.3% of the infusions.<sup>15</sup> In our study, side effects related to IVIG occurred in 44.8% of the 145 patients and 14.2% of the infusions. Common variable immunodeficiency was the most common diagnosis of the patients and the highest side effect ratio (24.2%) was found in that group.

Adverse reactions related to IVIG are classified as immediate and delayed reactions. Systemic reactions usually develop in the early period (in the first six hours). Early reactions may be related with the activation of complement system due to aggregation of immunoglobulin molecules

(immunoglobulin G complexes bind to Fc receptors on monocytes and phagocytes, activate complement system and promote releasing of inflammatory mediators) and stabilising agents in the preparations. Immediate side effects are generally mild and limited. Fever, trembling, headache, rashes, nausea and vomiting, anxiety, generalized body pain, heart rate and blood pressure changes are observed in early periods. These side effects can be prevented by slowing down the infusion rate and using premedication (antihistamines, corticosteroids, paracetamol). Anaphylactic reactions due to IVIG are extremely rare. Delayed adverse reactions are generally systemic and more complicated reactions but seem rare. Persistent headache, aseptic meningitis, renal failure, thromboembolism, hematologic reactions are examples of delayed reactions.<sup>10</sup> In a prospective study, Singh-Grewal et al. evaluated 58 patients and

**Table 4** Distribution of adverse effects in terms of total infusions.

Variables		Ratio of adverse reactions %	P value
Gender	Female (n = 333)	20.1	p < 0.001
	Male (n = 881)	11.9	
Age	<5 years old (n = 516)	13.4	p < 0.001
	Between 5 and 10 years old (n = 354)	25.1	
	>10 years old (n = 344)	4.1	
Family history of atopy	Positive (n = 248)	25.8	p < 0.001
	Negative (n = 966)	11.2	
Past history of atopy	Positive (n = 487)	17.2	p = 0.012
	Negative (n = 727)	12.1	
Adverse reaction history in previous infusions/ premedication	Positive (n = 323)	23	p < 0.001
	Negative (n = 891)	11	
Change in IVIG preparation	Positive (n = 208)	16.3	p = 0.508
	Negative (n = 1006)	13.7	
Concentration of IVIG	5% (n = 794)	14	P = 0.777
	10% (n = 420)	14.6	
Stabilizing agents of IVIG	Maltose (n = 447)	12.6	p < 0.001
	Glycine (n = 373)	12.9	
	Glucose (n = 155)	20	
	Sucrose (n = 109)	6.4	
	D-sorbitol (n = 83)	20.5	
	L-proline (n = 47)	27.7	
Concomitant diseases			
Cardiovascular diseases	Positive (n = 134)	13.4	p = 0.756
	Negative (n = 1080)	14.3	
Hematologic diseases	Positive (n = 147)	9.5	p = 0.085
	Negative (n = 1067)	14.8	
Reactive airway disease	Positive (n = 228)	12.3	p = 0.365
	Negative (n = 986)	14.6	
Infectious diseases	Positive (n = 353)	21.5	p = 0.003
	Negative (n = 861)	11.1	

**Table 5** Logistic regression analysis of the risk factors for adverse reaction development related to IVIG.

Independent risk factor	OR (95%CI)	p value
Adverse reactions in previous infusions/ premedication	2.662 (1.802–3.934)	<0.001
Existence of concomitant infectious disease	2.144 (1.489–3.086)	<0.001
Female gender	1.405 (0.952–2.071)	= 0.087
Past history of atopy	1.481 (1.028–2.133)	= 0.035
Family history of atopy	2.288 (1.528–3.425)	<0.001
History of previous IVIG administration	0.411 (0.233–0.724)	= 0.002
<10 years old	6.508 (3.584–11.820)	<0.001

345 IVIG infusions. Immediate reactions occurred in 10.3% of the patients and 3.5% of the infusions and delayed reactions occurred in 41.4% of the patients and 20.9% of the infusions. The most common immediate side effects were found as headache (0.9%), pain in infusion area (0.9%) and vertigo (0.9%). Headache was the most common (12.8%) delayed side effect.<sup>15</sup> In our study, when all infusions were evaluated, immediate side effects were more common (53%). Fever (3.9%) and headache (2.7%) were the most common of these immediate reactions. Headache (5.1%), vomiting

(1.8%) and fever (1.7%) were the most common delayed reactions.

IVIG-related headache may occur as an immediate or delayed side effect. Singh-Grewal et al. demonstrated that headache developed in 26 of 58 patients and 24.3% of the infusions mostly as a delayed adverse effect.<sup>15</sup> Headache is more frequent in patients under IVIG treatment for neurologic or autoimmune diseases. However, it also may develop in patients with primary immunodeficiency even in standard doses. In a cohort study including 117 patients with primary

immunodeficiency under IVIG therapy (1765 infusions) with the dosage of 600 mg/kg in 3–4 weeks interval, headache was found as the most common delayed adverse effect.<sup>16</sup> In our study, we also found headache to be the most common side effect, in 7.8% of all infusions. Headache required hospitalization and medical treatment in two of these patients.

If there is a history of side effect in previous infusions, premedication and hydration should be performed and infusion rate should be slowed down. Changing of the preparation may rarely be required. Premedication with antihistamines and non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs decreases the rate of adverse effects. Hydrocortisone has also been demonstrated to decrease IVIG-infusion related adverse reactions.<sup>17</sup> In our clinic, patients with previous history of adverse effects were routinely administered pre-medication. In our study, we found that premedication was required in 26.6% of the infusions. Antihistamines (13.7%), ibuprofen (6.5%), and these two drugs together (5.18%) were the most common drugs used for premedication. In patients with history of previous adverse reactions, premedication prevented 77% of these reactions in the following infusions.

Renal failure after IVIG administration has rarely been reported previously. This condition has been considered to be the consequence of osmotic load.<sup>18</sup> Between 1985 and 1998, a total of 88 renal failure cases had been reported to the Federal Drug Association (FDA). Renal failure had been reported to develop after the IVIG preparations which include sucrose as a stabilizing agent instead of D-sorbitol.<sup>18</sup> In these patients hematuria, mild or moderate proteinuria may occur. Before the first IVIG infusion and in the follow-up, renal function tests should be monitored. In patients with an underlying renal disease, preparations without sucrose should be preferred. In our study, none of the patients developed renal failure, hematuria or proteinuria.

Related to IVIG, cardiovascular adverse effects may rarely occur, such as blood pressure and heart rate changes, heart failure and sudden death. None of these complications was observed in our patients.

Since IVIG preparations include various donor plasma derivates, viral pathogens may be capable of being transmitted and may cause hepatitis.<sup>19</sup> In our patients, viral hepatitis did not develop due to IVIG treatment, because IVIG preparations have been routinely screened for frequently encountered viral agents such as hepatitis B and C and HIV.

Hematologic manifestations generally occur as delayed side effects. Neutropenia and hemolytic anaemia are usually mild and transient.<sup>20–22</sup> Lymphopenia may occur with up to 33% decrease of pre-treatment values, but generally recovers in a period of 30 days.<sup>23</sup> Thrombocyte activation and thromboembolic complications such as cerebral infarct, deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, may develop in 1–3% of the patients, due to the increase in blood viscosity caused by stabilising agents in the IVIG preparations. To prevent from thromboembolic complications, we have to avoid from high doses, high infusion rates and preparations with high concentrations.<sup>24</sup>

Severe nephrologic, cardiac and thromboembolic complications in our patient group did not occur. This condition is thought to be the consequence of administering IVIG in replacement doses to our patients but not in

immunomodulatory doses and absence of underlying severe systemic disease.

Dashti-Khavidaki et al. demonstrated similar adverse effect ratios between children (7.4%) and adults (6.4%) in a study including 99 patients and 3004 infusions. In this study, when children were compared in two groups as under 10 years old and between 10–17 years old, ratio of the adverse effects did not differ.<sup>25</sup> Kaba et al. found similar adverse event ratios between male (22.6%) and female (28.3%) patients.<sup>26</sup> In our study, adverse effects were encountered significantly more in children between 5–10 years old (25.1%) than other age groups (under 5 years 13.4%, above 10 years 4.1%). Adverse reactions were more frequent in female children (20.1%) than males (11.9%) ( $p < 0.001$ ).

Family history of atopy has been reported as a risk factor for adverse reactions to IVIG infusions. Palabrida et al. demonstrated that adverse effects of IVIG infusions were more frequent in patients with a family history of atopic diseases.<sup>27</sup> In our study, adverse effects were significantly more frequent in patients with family history of atopy (25.8%) and past history of atopy (17.2%), than the patients without family history of atopy (11.2%) and past history of atopy (12.1%). Concomitant cardiovascular and hematologic diseases and reactive airway disease were not found associated with increased adverse events.

The presence of an active infectious disease concurrently with the IVIG infusion has been reported as a risk factor for IVIG adverse effects. Increased adverse effects are considered to be the result of antigen-antibody complexes and the rapid release of bacterial components such as lipopolysaccharides.<sup>28</sup> Similar to the literature, we found significantly more frequent adverse effects in the patients with an active infectious disease on the IVIG infusion day.

Side effects to IVIG develop more frequently in the first infusions, without a previous history of IVIG administration. Sing-Grewal et al. found that adverse reactions occurred in 16.2% of the patients receiving IVIG for the first time but 6.9% of the patients who had IVIG infusions previously, in their study including 3004 infusions.<sup>15</sup> The Immune Deficiency Foundation reported that 34% of the IVIG infusions with adverse reactions were associated with the first infusion. Similar to the previous literature we found the adverse reaction ratio significantly more in the first infusions (24.7%) than in the consequent infusions (13.2%).

In the literature, there are studies demonstrating increased incidence of adverse effects with the change of IVIG preparation.<sup>25,29</sup> Dashti-Khavidaki et al. found that adverse effects occurred after the change of IVIG preparation in 15.7% of the patients.<sup>25</sup> In our study this ratio was 19.7%, similar to that previous study.

The concentration of the IVIG preparation (5% or 10%) has not been found to affect the incidence of side effects.<sup>30</sup> In our study, consistent with the literature, no significant difference in the frequency of side effects was found between 5% concentration IVIG (14.1%) and 10% concentration IVIG (14.6%) administrations ( $p = 0.0777$ ).

In our study, we accepted that the existence of adverse reaction was an independent variable and we applied logistic regression analysis. History of adverse reactions in previous infusions, concomitant infectious disease, being under 10 years old, past or family history of atopy, no history of

receiving previous IVIG infusion were found to increase the risk of adverse reactions.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, IVIG is a safe and well tolerable treatment. No serious reaction to IVIG developed in our study. Headache was the most common adverse reaction and it rarely required hospitalization and medical treatment. Other adverse reactions were all mild systemic reactions. In the stage of planning IVIG therapy, the characteristics of the patients and risk factors should be taken into consideration. Dosage, treatment interval, type of the preparation should be individual for each patient. Patients, their families and health care workers should be informed about potential adverse effects.

## Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

## References

- Buckley RH, Schiff RI. The use of intravenous immunoglobulin in immunodeficiency diseases. *N Engl J Med.* 1991;325:110-7.
- Wilson NW, Bastian JF. New uses of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy. *West J Med.* 1991;154:461.
- Friedli HR. Methodology and safety considerations in the production of an intravenous immunoglobulin preparation. *Pharmacotherapy.* 1987;7:36-40.
- Simon HU, Spath PJ. IVIG-mechanism of action. *Allergy.* 2003;58:543-52.
- Roifman CM, Levison H, Gelfand EW. High-dose versus low-dose intravenous immunoglobulin in hypogammaglobulinaemia and chronic lung disease. *Lancet.* 1987;9:1075-7.
- Pirofsky B. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in hypogamma-globulinemia. A review. *Am J Med.* 1984;30:53-60.
- Azizi G, Abolhassani H, Asgardoone MH, Rahnavard J, Dizaji MZ, Yazdani R, Mohammadi J, Aghamohammadi A. The use of immunoglobulin therapy in primary immunodeficiency diseases. *Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets.* 2016;16:80-8.
- Azizi G, Abolhassani H, Asgardoone MH, Shaghaghi S, Negahdari B, Mohammadi J, Rezaei N, Aghamohammadi A. Managing patients with side effects and adverse events to immunoglobulin therapy. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol.* 2016;9(1):91-102.
- Stiehm ER. Adverse effects of human immunoglobulin therapy. *Transfus Med Rev.* 2013;27:171-8.
- Richard Stiehm E. Adverse effects of human immunoglobulin therapy. *Transf Med Rev.* 2013;27:171-8.
- Donofrio PD, Bril V, Dalakas MC, Deng C, Hanna K, Hartung HP, et al. Safety and tolerability of immunoglobulin intravenous in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Arch Neurol.* 2010;67:1082-8.
- Struff WG, Klasser M, Eckert V, Dietrich RL. Safety monitoring of a polyvalent immunoglobulin preparation: documentation of 15,548 administrations. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2005;43:420-8.
- Shroeder HW Jr, Dougherty CJ. Review of intravenous immunoglobulin replacement therapy trials for primary humoral immunodeficiency patients. *Infection.* 2012;40:601-11.
- Brennan VM, Salome-Bentley NJ, Chapel HM. Prospective audit of adverse reactions occurring in 459 primary antibody deficient patients receiving intravenous immunoglobulin. *Lancet.* 2003;133:247-51.
- Singh-Grewal D, Kemp A, Wong M. A prospective study of the immediate and delayed adverse events following intravenous immunoglobulin infusions. *Arch Dis Childhood.* 2006;91:651-4.
- Bichuetti-Silva DC, Furlan FP, Nobre FA, Pereira CT, Gonçalves TR, Gouveia-Pereira M, et al. Immediate infusion-related adverse reactions to intravenous immunoglobulin in a prospective cohort of 1765 infusions. *Int Immunopharmacol.* 2014;23:442-6.
- Gislason D, Hanson LA, Kjellman H, Ljunggren C, Malmberg R. Intravenous gamma-globulin infusions in patients with hypogamma-globulinemia: prevention of adverse reactions with corticosteroids. *Vox Sang.* 1978;34:143-8.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Renal insufficiency and failure associated with immunoglobulin intravenous therapy—United States, 1995-1998. *MMWR.* 1999;48:518-21.
- Schiff RI. Intravenous immunoglobulin, pharmacology, clinical uses and mechanism of action. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 1994;5:127-56.
- Tam DA, Morton LD, Stroncek DF. Neutropenia in a patient receiving intravenous immunoglobulin. *J Neurol Immunol.* 1996;64:175-8.
- Brox AG, Cournoyer D, Sternbach M. Hemolytic anemia following intravenous gammaglobulin administration. *Am J Med.* 1987;82:633-5.
- Kessary-Shoham H, Levy Y, Shoenfeld Y. In vivo administration of intravenous immunoglobulin can lead to enhanced erythrocyte sequestration. *J Autoimmun.* 1999;13:129-35.
- Koffman BM, Dalakas MC. Effect of high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin on serum chemistry, hematology and lymphocyte subpopulations: assessments based on controlled treatment trials in patients with neurological diseases. *Muscle Nerve.* 1997;20:1102-7.
- Go RS, Call TG. Deep venous thrombosis of the arm after intravenous immunoglobulin infusion: case report and literature review of intravenous immunoglobulin related thrombotic complications. *Mayo Clinic Proc.* 2000;75:83-5.
- Dashti-Khavidaki S, Aghamohammadi A, Farshadi F, Movahedi M, Parvaneh N, Pauladi N, et al. Adverse reactions of prophylactic intravenous immunoglobulin; a 13-year experience with 3004 infusions in Iranian patients with primary immunodeficiency diseases. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol.* 2009;19:139-45.
- Kaba S, Keskindemirci G, Aydogmus C, Siraneci R, Erol Cipe F. Immediate adverse reactions to intravenous immunoglobulin in children: a single center experience. *Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2017;49:11-4.
- Palabrica FR, Kwong SL, Padua FR. Adverse events of intravenous immunoglobulin infusions: a ten-year retrospective study. *Asia Pac Allergy.* 2013;3:249-56.
- Zimring JC. Do immune complexes play a role in hemolytic sequelae of intravenous immune globulin? *Transfusion.* 2015;55 Suppl 2:86-9.
- Ameratunga R, Sinclair J, Kolbe J. Increased risk of adverse events when changing intravenous immunoglobulin preparations. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2004;136:111-3.
- Wasserman RL, Church JA, Stein M, Moy J, White M, Forbes L, et al. Safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetics of a new 10% liquid intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) in patients with primary immunodeficiency. *J Clin Immunol.* 2012;32:663-9.