



Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica

www.elsevier.es/eimc



Brief report

The classification of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains by biofilm production differs depending on the method used



María Consuelo Latorre ^{a,b}, Beatriz Alonso ^{a,b}, Raquel Cruces ^{a,b}, Aurora Sanz ^c, Patricia Muñoz ^{a,b,d,e}, María Guembe ^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

^b Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

^c School of Biology, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

^d Medicine Department, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

^e CIBER Enfermedades Respiratorias-CIBERES, Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 July 2020

Accepted 1 November 2020

Available online 25 December 2020

Keywords:

Biofilm

Methods

Crystal violet assay

XTT

Staphylococcus aureus

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Strains can be classified in terms of biofilm production from quantitative absorbance values collectively by dividing strains into tertile ranks or individually by calculating the optical density for the negative control. However, these methods have not been compared in a large sample of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. Therefore, our objective was to analyze the agreement between both methods in terms of biomass production and metabolic activity of their biofilm.

Methods: We classified 233 *S. aureus* strains by biomass production and metabolic activity using the crystal violet and XTT assays, respectively. Strains were classified as low, moderate, or high biofilm producers according to tertile or optical density.

Results: We found no agreement between both methods ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.028$, respectively).

Conclusions: We consider strains' biofilm classification by optical density to be a more reliable method, as it depends on the individual absorbance of each strain.

© 2020 Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

La clasificación de cepas de *Staphylococcus aureus* según la producción de biopelícula varía dependiendo del método utilizado

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:

Biopelícula

Métodos

Ensaya cristal violeta

XTT

Staphylococcus aureus

Introducción: Las cepas se pueden clasificar en términos de producción de biopelícula a partir de valores cuantitativos de absorbancia dividiendo de forma colectiva las cepas en rangos por terciles o individualmente calculando la densidad óptica para el control negativo. Sin embargo, estos métodos no se han comparado en una gran muestra de cepas de *Staphylococcus aureus*. Por lo tanto, nuestro objetivo fue analizar la concordancia entre ambos métodos en términos de producción de biomasa y actividad metabólica de la biopelícula.

Métodos: Se clasificaron 233 cepas de *S. aureus* por producción de biomasa y actividad metabólica utilizando los ensayos de cristal violeta y de XTT, respectivamente. Las cepas se clasificaron como altamente, moderadamente o bajamente productoras de biopelícula según terciles o densidad óptica.

Resultados: No encontramos concordancias entre ambos métodos ($p < 0.001$ y $p = 0.028$, respectivamente).

Conclusiones: Consideramos que la clasificación del *biofilm* de cepas por densidad óptica es un método más fiable, ya que depende de la absorción individual de cada cepa.

© 2020 Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Abbreviation: *S. aureus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*; CV, crystal violet; XTT, tetrazolium salt assay; OD, optical density.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mariaguembe@hotmail.com (M. Guembe).

Introduction

Bacterial biofilms are microbial communities that adhere to a surface or an interface and become embedded in an extracellular matrix. Biofilms constitute a significant problem in clinical settings and are responsible for more than 65% of human infections and treatment failures.^{1,2} *Staphylococcus aureus* is a major causative agent of this kind of infection and has been described as a high biofilm-former, mainly in device-related bloodstream infections.³

Various approaches have been used for the in vitro study of biofilm production.^{2,4} The most common are those based on static models that measure absorbance in the crystal violet (CV) assay and the tetrazolium salt reduction assay (XTT) because of their simplicity and low cost.^{5,6}

However, quantitative absorbance values can be converted into qualitative degrees of biofilm production using two different methods. In one, the absorbance values of several strains are organized collectively in ascending order and divided into tertiles, each representing a different degree of biofilm production (low, moderate, and high). In the other, the optical density (OD) of each individual strain is calculated and degrees of biofilm production are established according to the OD of the negative control. To our knowledge, these methods have not been compared across a broad range of *S. aureus* strains. Therefore, our objective was to analyze the concordance between both methods of classifying *S. aureus* strains in terms of biomass production and the metabolic activity of their biofilm.

Methods

We selected 233 *S. aureus* strains isolated from patients with bacteremia and tested them using the CV and XTT assays based on a previous study by our group.⁷ Biofilm was formed as described by Peeters et al., with some modifications.² Briefly, a loopful of overnight culture of *S. aureus* was inoculated in 20 ml of TSB, which was then incubated overnight with shaking at 30°C in an orbital shaker. After three centrifuge-resuspension cycles with PBS, the pellet was re-suspended in 10 ml of TSB. This suspension was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity using a turbidimeter, and 100 µl was inoculated in the 96-well plate and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Planktonic cells were removed by three washes of 100 µl of PBS and dried at room temperature before developing CV and XTT assays. Each strain was tested in triplicate, and TSB was used as a negative control. The absorbance was measured at 550 nm (CV assay)/492 nm (XTT assay) in a spectrophotometer (Biochrom EZ Read 400). Absorbance values for the CV assay were only available in 116 of the 233 strains due to some data loss caused by a computer issue. The cut-offs (based on tertiles) used to classify the strains according to biomass production by CV and metabolic activity by XTT were, respectively, as follows: low, <0.530/<0.214; moderate, 0.530–1.291/0.214–0.416; and high, ≥1.292/≥0.417. Optical density (OD) absorbance values of the strains obtained by CV and XTT were used to classify semi-quantitatively biofilm production according to the method described in Stepanovic et al.⁸ Specifically, the cut-off OD (ODc) was defined as three stan-

dard deviations above the mean OD of the negative control and strains were classified as follows: OD ≤ 2 × ODc = weak biofilm producer; 2 × ODc < OD < 4 × ODc = moderate biofilm producer; and OD ≥ 4 × ODc = high biofilm producer, respectively.⁹

Qualitative variables were expressed as percentages of the number of strains classified in the same group. The agreement between both methods of classification was analyzed using Cohen's kappa coefficient. The kappa statistic values vary from 0 to 1, where 0 = agreement equivalent to chance, 0.20 = slight agreement, 0.21–0.40 = fair agreement, 0.41–0.60 = moderate agreement, 0.61–0.80 = substantial agreement, 0.81–0.99 = near perfect agreement, and 1 = perfect agreement. The analysis was performed using SPSS software 21.0.

Results

According to biomass production, 51.7%, 31.9%, and 16.4% were strains classified, respectively, as high, moderate, and low biomass producers using the tertile method. However, for the OD method, 87.1%, 8.6%, and 4.3% were classified as high, moderate, and low biomass producers. In the case of metabolic activity, 31.8%, 38.2%, and 30.1% of strains were classified by the tertile method as having high, moderate, and low metabolic activity. In contrast, 84.1%, 12.4%, and 3.4% were classified as high, moderate, and low metabolic activity by the OD method.

The concordance for biomass production for low, moderate, and high groups was 3.5%, 4.3%, and 50.0%. The concordance for metabolic activity for low, moderate, and high groups was 3.4%, 3.0%, and 30.5%.

The global agreement, defined as the percentage of strains in the same category by both methods—biomass production and metabolic activity—was 57.8% and 36.9% ($\kappa = 0.180$, $p < 0.01$; and $\kappa = 0.065$, $p = 0.028$, respectively) (Tables 1 and 2).

We found no agreement between the tertile and OD methods for classification of *S. aureus* strains in terms of biomass production or metabolic activity.

Discussion

S. aureus is one of the most virulent microorganisms causing device-associated nosocomial infections. This virulence is due to the ability of *S. aureus* to form biofilm.¹

Over the years, several in vitro models have been developed to study biofilm formation. The static 96-well plate model is the most frequently used because of its low cost and simplicity.^{8,10} However, despite the study carried out by Stepanovic et al., which showed that the classification by OD values was the most widely used for biofilm formation in bacterial biofilms,⁸ classification of biofilm formation into qualitative degrees remains controversial, as individual laboratories use the most suitable one for their research.^{11–12}

Different conclusions have been reached in clinical studies assessing the impact of biofilm production using degrees of biofilm production according to patient outcome.

Muñoz et al., reported that biofilm was not a predictor of mortality or unfavorable prognosis in adults with candidemia when

Table 1

Concordance between tertile and OD methods for classification of *S. aureus* strains in terms of biomass production by the CV method.

		Tertile method, N (%)			
		Low	Moderate	High	Total
OD method, N (%)	Low	4 (3.5)	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	5 (4.3)
	Moderate	3 (2.6)	5 (4.3)	2 (1.7)	10 (8.6)
	High	12 (10.3)	31 (26.7)	58 (50.0)	101 (87.1)
	Total	19 (16.4)	37 (31.8)	60 (51.7)	116

OD, optical density; CV, crystal violet assay.

Table 2

Concordance between tertile and OD methods for classification of *S. aureus* strains in terms of metabolic activity by the XTT assay.

		Tertile method, N (%)			Total
		Low	Moderate	High	
OD method, N (%)	Low	8 (3.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	8 (3.4)
	Moderate	19 (8.2)	7 (3.0)	3 (1.3)	29 (12.5)
	High	43 (18.5)	82 (35.2)	71 (30.5)	196 (84.1)
	Total	70 (30.0)	89 (38.2)	74 (31.8)	233

OD, optical density; XTT, tetrazolium salt assay.

biofilm production was classified by tertile.^{13,14} Rajendran et al., in contrast, reported that candidemia and biofilm production were significantly associated with *C. albicans* mortality in 15.6% of their study population (217 patients).¹⁵ Therefore, the different results from various studies may be due to the fact that the authors have classified the strains using different parameters for biofilm production. Moreover, Guembe et al., found that biofilm production in 485 patients with *S. aureus* bacteraemia was not associated with poor outcome. The results may have been different if the OD method had been used to classify strains in terms of biofilm production.^{7,13–15}

The main limitation of the study is that concordance between methods to classify *S. aureus* biofilm production was only tested using CV and XTT, and data can not be extrapolated to other biofilm production methods, such as MBEC assay or CDC Bioreactor.

Conclusion

For this reason, we compared the two main methods for biofilm classification and found no concordance between biomass production and metabolic activity, thus demonstrating the importance of establishing a unique standard method that can be widely used to compare results between laboratories.

We consider that calculating the OD of each strain individually and comparing it against the OD of the negative control (OD method) seems the most reliable method for biofilm classification, as it can be used independently of the number of strains.

Availability of data and materials

The original datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

MCL, BA, CC-S and MG contributed in the conception and design of the study and had full access to all of the study data. MCL, BA, AS and RC made substantial contributions to acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data. PM, MCL, BA and MG contributed substantially to the study design, data analysis and interpretation, and the writing of the manuscript. MG contributed to the conception and design of the study and data analysis and interpretation and was involved in drafting the manuscript and critically reviewing it for important intellectual content. She takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital Gregorio Marañón.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Financial support

M. Guembe is supported by the Miguel Servet Program (ISCIII-MICINN, MS13/00268) of the health Research Fund (FIS, PI18/00045) of the Carlos III Health Institute (ISCIII), Madrid, Spain. MC. Latorre is supported by the Consejería de Educación, Juventud y Deporte de la Comunidad de Madrid y Fondo Social Europeo (PEJD-2017-PRE/BMD-3596). Beatriz Alonso was supported by the Consejería de Educación, Juventud y Deporte de la Comunidad de Madrid y Fondo Social Europeo (PEJ15/BIO/AI-0406). The study was partially financed by grants from the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER) "A way of making Europe".

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank Thomas O'Boyle for his help in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Hoiby N. A personal history of research on microbial biofilms and biofilm infections. *Pathog Dis.* 2014;70:205–11.
- Peeters E, Nelis HJ, Coenye T. Comparison of multiple methods for quantification of microbial biofilms grown in microtiter plates. *J Microbiol Methods.* 2008;72:157–65.
- Fowler VG, Fey PD, Reller LB, Chamis AL, Corey GR, Rupp ME. The intercellular adhesin locus ica is present in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* from bacteremic patients with infected and uninfected prosthetic joints. *Med Microbiol Immunol.* 2001;189:127–31.
- Stepanovic S, Vukovic D, Hola V, Di Bonaventura G, Djukic S, Cirkovic I, et al. Quantification of biofilm in microtiter plates: overview of testing conditions and practical recommendations for assessment of biofilm production by staphylococci. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2007;115:891–9.
- Coenye T, Nelis HJ. In vitro and in vivo model systems to study microbial biofilm formation. *J Microbiol Methods.* 2010;83:89–105.
- Vandenbosch D, Braeckmans K, Nelis HJ, Coenye T. Fungicidal activity of miconazole against *Candida* spp. biofilms. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2010;65:694–700.
- Guembe M, Alonso B, Lucio J, Perez-Granda MJ, Cruces R, Sanchez-Carrillo C, et al. Biofilm production is not associated with poor clinical outcome in 485 patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2018;24:659.e1–659.e3.
- Stepanovic S, Vukovic D, Dakic I, Savic B, Svabic-Vlahovic M. A modified microtiter-plate test for quantification of staphylococcal biofilm formation. *J Microbiol Methods.* 2000;40:175–9.
- Alonso B, Lucio J, Perez-Granda MJ, Cruces R, Sanchez-Carrillo C, Bouza E, et al. Does biomass production correlate with metabolic activity in *Staphylococcus aureus*? *J Microbiol Methods.* 2016;131:110–2.
- Coenye T, Peeters E, Nelis HJ. Biofilm formation by *Propionibacterium acnes* is associated with increased resistance to antimicrobial agents and increased production of putative virulence factors. *Res Microbiol.* 2007;158:386–92.
- Sanchez CJ, Mende K, Beckius ML, Akers KS, Romano DR, Wenke JC, et al. Biofilm formation by clinical isolates and the implications in chronic infections. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2013;13:47.

12. Zmantar T, Koudhi B, Miladi H, Mahdouani K, Bakhrouf A. A microtiter plate assay for *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm quantification at various pH levels and hydrogen peroxide supplementation. *New Microbiol.* 2010;33:137–45.
13. Munoz P, Agnelli C, Guinea J, Vena A, Alvarez-Uria A, Marcos-Zambrano LJ, et al. Is biofilm production a prognostic marker in adults with candidaemia? *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2018.
14. Marcos-Zambrano LJ, Escribano P, Bouza E, Guinea J. Production of biofilm by Candida and non-Candida spp. isolates causing fungemia: comparison of biomass production and metabolic activity and development of cut-off points. *Int J Med Microbiol.* 2014;304:1192–8.
15. Rajendran R, Sherry L, Nile CJ, Sherriff A, Johnson EM, Hanson MF, et al. Biofilm formation is a risk factor for mortality in patients with *Candida albicans* blood-stream infection—Scotland, 2012–2013. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2016;22:87–93.